

B-parameters of 4-fermion operators from lattice QCD

Rajan Gupta^{a*}

^aGroup T-8, MS B-285, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, 87545, USA

This talk summarizes the status of the calculations of B_K , B_7 , B_8 , and B_s , done in collaboration with T. Bhattacharya, G. Kilcup, and S. Sharpe. Results for staggered, Wilson, and Clover fermions are presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

Reliable estimates of the matrix elements of 4-fermion operators between hadronic states are essential in order to quantify strong interaction corrections to weak processes. Here we report on calculations of mixing elements of (i) $\Delta s = 2$ operators between K^0 and \bar{K}^0 states (B_K) that arise in the calculation of the CP violation parameter ϵ . The value of B_K is an essential input in pinning down the Wolfenstein parameters ρ and η in the CKM matrix. (ii) The strong and electromagnetic penguin operators needed to predict ϵ'/ϵ (B_6 and B_8). In particular, we consider B_8 , which is phenomenologically important since a smaller value means a larger ϵ'/ϵ . (iii) The $S+P$ operators needed in the study of the lifetime differences of B mesons (B_s).

2. STAGGERED RESULTS

Staggered fermions are the method of choice for calculating kaon matrix elements as they respect the continuum chiral Ward identities. Our results for B_K , B_7 , and B_8 have recently been given in [1]. They are based on the same numerical data first presented at LATTICE 93 by Sharpe [2]. As explained in [1], even though the statistical quality of the data is meager by present standards, the largest source of error in the quenched staggered theory is a systematic one – the dependence of B_K on the lattice operator. The second new feature of the analysis, compared to [2], is a better understanding of the matching between lattice and continuum operators using tadpole improved 1-loop perturbation theory, *i.e.* the horizontal matching

explained in [3].

The data for both “smeared” ($\beta = 6.0, 6.2$) and “unsmeared” ($\beta = 6.0, 6.2, 6.4$) operators are shown in Fig. 1. (See [1] for definition of these operators.) The extrapolation to $a = 0$ is done assuming that only the leading correction $O(a^2)$ contributes (the absence of the linear $O(a)$ term is expected theoretically [2], and has been confirmed numerically [4]). The results are (for $q^* = 1/a$)

$$\begin{aligned} B_K(NDR, 2 \text{ GeV}) &= 0.63(2) \quad \text{unsmeared} \\ B_K(NDR, 2 \text{ GeV}) &= 0.60(2) \quad \text{smeared} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

For the final value we take the mean

$$B_K(NDR, 2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.62 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02 \quad (2)$$

where the second error covers the spread due to the operator dependence.

The difference between the central values for smeared and unsmeared operators is ~ 0.025 . While our data is certainly not good enough to argue that this difference is significant, a difference of similar size has been reported by JLQCD [4]. This difference is an artifact of keeping only an a^2 correction term in the $a = 0$ extrapolation as shown by the following argument. Consider two discretizations, \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 , of any 4-fermion operator. Let the typical lattice momenta q^* associated with their lattice measurement be K_1/a and K_2/a respectively. Then, using the “horizontal” matching to the continuum scheme defined in [3], the results at $\mu = K_1/a$ are related as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_1(\mu) &= \mathcal{O}_2(\mu) (\alpha(K_2/a)/\alpha(K_1/a))^{-\gamma_0/2\beta_0} \\ &+ \{1 + X\alpha^2(q^*) + Y a^2 + \dots\} . \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Since the factor $\alpha(K_2/a)/\alpha(K_1/a) \rightarrow 1$ as $a \rightarrow 1$, the two operators should give the same result in

*Work supported by DoE Grand Challenges award.




Figure 1. The data for $B_K(\text{NDR}, 2 \text{ GeV})$ as a function of lattice spacing a^2 , along with a linear extrapolation to $a = 0$, for the smeared and unsmearred operators.

the continuum limit provided the extrapolation is done including factors of both $O(a)$ and $O(\alpha)$.

To convert the quenched result in Eq. 2 to the renormalization group invariant quantity \hat{B} , one can proceed in two ways: use the $n_f = 0$ or the $n_f = 4$ values for $\alpha_s, \beta_0, \beta_1, \gamma_1$. The quenched result, using $\alpha(2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.19$, is

$$\hat{B}_K = 0.86 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03 \quad (4)$$

Interestingly, using $n_f = 4$ values for $\beta_0, \beta_1, \gamma_1$ and $\alpha_{\overline{MS}}^{(4)}(2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.3$ also gives the same value. However, there is an uncertainty of ~ 0.05 in such a conversion. What we really predict is the quenched result Eq. 2.

2.1. Electromagnetic penguins

The quantity that enters in the standard model calculation of ϵ'/ϵ is B_8 evaluated at say $\mu = 2 \text{ GeV}$ [5]. To get this from lattice simulations one needs to calculate the matrix elements of both O_7 and O_8 as these mix under a scale evolution.

Our current calculations use 1-loop matching factors for the 4-fermion operators. As explained in [1], if the discretization of operators is such that Z_P for the pseudoscalar bilinear is large, then the

mixing contribution due to the $P \otimes P$ term can be even larger than the tree level result. In such a case the 1-loop determination of the matching Z 's is inadequate, and no results for B_7 or B_8 can be extracted. This is true for the unsmearred Landau gauge operators that we have used and probably also for the gauge invariant operators used by JLQCD [4] as they have the same Z_P . On the other hand, the 1-loop perturbative value for Z_P for the smeared operators is much smaller, and consequently results are independent of q^* to within 10% as shown in Table 1. (The failure of 1-loop Z 's for the unsmearred operators shows up as a large dependence of B -parameters on q^* ; for example the results even change sign between $q^* = \pi/a$ and $1/a$ [1].)

The shaky part of this analysis is that the data at two values of a are extrapolated using just the lowest order (a^2) correction. Since these corrections are large, further checks of these first results are needed.

3. WILSON FERMIONS

Our results with Wilson fermions are exploratory. They have been obtained at just $\beta = 6.0$, albeit on large lattices and with high statistics [3]. The goal has been to understand systematic errors, in particular the question of bad chiral behavior of matrix elements induced by the mixing with wrong chirality operators.

The general form of the kaon matrix elements, as predicted by χ PT, is

$$\frac{\langle \overline{K^0}(p_f) | \mathcal{O} | K^0(p_i) \rangle}{(8/3)f_{K,\text{phys}}^2} = \alpha + \beta m_K^2 + \gamma p_i \cdot p_f + \delta_1 m_K^4 + \delta_2 m_K^2 p_i \cdot p_f + \delta_3 (p_i \cdot p_f)^2 + \dots$$

where we ignore chiral logarithms and terms proportional to $(m_s - m_d)^2$. The former are difficult to distinguish numerically from the terms we include, while the latter we expect to be small, especially for the range of quark masses studied.

For B_K , chiral symmetry predicts that α , β , and δ_1 are zero. With Wilson fermions, the mixing with wrong chirality operators generates these terms and, in addition, the allowed terms γ , δ_2 , δ_3 get contributions that have to be eliminated.

Operator	q^*	$\beta = 6.0$	$\beta = 6.2$	$a = 0$
$B_7^{3/2}$	$1/a$	0.989(05)	0.823(16)	0.62(3)
$B_7^{3/2}$	π/a	1.085(06)	0.903(14)	0.67(3)
$B_8^{3/2}$	$1/a$	1.240(06)	1.030(16)	0.77(4)
$B_8^{3/2}$	π/a	1.288(06)	1.076(17)	0.81(4)

Table 1

Results for $B_7^{3/2}$ (NDR, 2 GeV) and $B_8^{3/2}$ (NDR, 2 GeV), at the physical kaon mass, using smeared operators. The last column gives the result of linear extrapolation in a^2 .

With 1-loop improved operators these artifacts are $O(\alpha_s^2)$, but nevertheless overwhelm the signal. Our approach is to first remove α, β, δ_1 by studying the momentum dependence, and secondly, roughly estimate the artifacts in $\gamma, \delta_2, \delta_3$ using the fact that α, β, δ_1 have to be zero. Putting all these together gives, without extrapolation in a , [3]

$$B_K(\text{NDR}, 2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.74 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.05 \quad (5)$$

where the second error is an estimate of the residual contamination due to bad chiral behavior.

Results for the electromagnetic penguins operators, in the NDR scheme at $\mu = 2 \text{ GeV}$, are [3]

$$B_7^{3/2} = 0.58 \pm 0.02(\text{stat}) \begin{matrix} +0.07 \\ -0.03 \end{matrix} (\text{pert}), \quad (6)$$

$$B_8^{3/2} = 0.81 \pm 0.03(\text{stat}) \begin{matrix} +0.03 \\ -0.02 \end{matrix} (\text{pert}). \quad (7)$$

The ‘‘perturbative error’’ reflects the dependence of the results on the choice of α_s used in the matching of continuum and lattice operators, and is comparable to or larger than the statistical errors. A recent calculation of these by the APE collaboration using non-perturbative matching coefficients and the $C_{SW} = 1$ clover action suggests that the errors in the 1-loop mixing coefficients may be far more severe [6].

The final quantities we consider are $B_S \equiv B_4^+$ and the related parameter B_5^+ as defined in [3]. The matrix elements we require are for $\bar{b}s$ mesons. The best we can do with present data is to give the result for $m_b \sim m_c$,

$$B_4^+(\text{NDR}, 1/a) = 0.80 \pm 0.01(\text{stat}), \quad (8)$$

$$B_5^+(\text{NDR}, 1/a) = 0.94 \pm 0.01(\text{stat}). \quad (9)$$

A second limitation is that these results are at $\mu = 1/a = 2.33 \text{ GeV}$, the scale at $\beta = 6.0$, be-

cause the two-loop anomalous dimension matrix needed to run to 2 GeV has not been calculated.

4. CLOVER FERMIONS

The analysis of data with clover fermions is preliminary. The same 170 lattices used in the study with Wilson fermions [3] are analyzed with tree-level tadpole improved clover action ($C_{SW} = 1.4785$). At this point we have a few qualitative statements about the data.

The statistical fluctuations in the matrix elements of $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{T}$ operators are much larger compared to those with Wilson fermions. Curiously, these fluctuations cancel in the five operators $O_1^+ \dots O_5^+$ discussed above.

The 1-loop mixing factors for $O_7^{3/2}$ and $O_8^{3/2}$ are too large and the calculation fails. The reason is the increase in Z_P with C_{SW} .

The dominant artifacts in B_K , α and β , are roughly a factor of five smaller compared to Wilson fermions. As a result, the lattice value of B_K using the 1-loop improved operator improves from -0.30 with Wilson fermions to 0.50 with clover. This suggests that a large part of chiral violations is an $O(a)$ effect.

REFERENCES

1. Kilcup, Gupta, Sharpe, hep-lat/9707006.
2. S. Sharpe, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Supp.) **B34** (1994) 403.
3. R. Gupta, T. Bhattacharya, S. Sharpe, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 4036.
4. JLQCD Collaboration, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Supp.) **B53** (1997) 341; S. Aoki, *ibid*.
5. A. Buras and R. Fleischer, hep-ph/9704376.
6. A. Vladikas, Talk at LATTICE 97, *ibid*.