

Surface width of the Solid-On-Solid models

H. Arisue^{a*}

^aOsaka Prefectural College of Technology, 26-12 Saiwai-cho, Neyagawa, Osaka 572, Japan

The low-temperature series for the surface width of the Absolute value Solid-On-Solid model and the Discrete Gaussian model both on the square lattice and on the triangular lattice are generated to high orders using the improved finite-lattice method. The series are analyzed to give the critical points of the roughening phase transition for each model.

1. INTRODUCTION

The solid-on-solid(SOS) model is an approximation of the interface in three-dimensional Ising model. It suffers from the roughening phase transition. This transition is considered to be of the Kosterlitz–Thouless (KT) type[1]. Hasenbusch et al[2] determined the roughening transition points u_r in high precision by Monte Carlo renormalization group(MCRG) matching of various SOS models with the body-centered solid-on-solid(BCSOS) model, which was solved exactly.[3] They found that the absolute-value solid-on-solid(ASOS) model and the discrete gaussian(DG) model on the square lattice belong to the same universality class as the BCSOS model, with the roughening transition points $u_r = 0.19945(1)$ and $u_r = 0.2643(1)$ for the ASOS model and the DG model, respectively.

The free energy in the SOS models is expected to exhibit the singularity like

$$f(u) = A(u) \exp [-c(u_r - u)^{-1/2}] + B(u).$$

In fact the free energy has this type of singularity in the BCSOS model. The low-temperature series for the free energy of the ASOS model was calculated [4,5] and the behavior of the series is consistent with this type of singularity. This singularity is, however, weaker than the singularity of the quantities concerning the interface width such as the average of the n -th moment of the surface height $\langle h^n \rangle$ or the inverse of the den-

sity gradient at the center of the interface

$$M = \frac{1}{[\langle \theta(h + 1/2) \rangle - \langle \theta(h - 1/2) \rangle]}.$$

These quantities are expected to behave like

$$\langle h^n \rangle, M \sim (u_r - u)^{-\theta}.$$

The renormalization group estimate was given by Ohta and Kawasaki[6] as

$$\theta = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{for } \langle h^2 \rangle \\ 1 & \text{for } \langle h^4 \rangle \\ 1/4 & \text{for } M \end{cases}.$$

In the BCSOS model[7] the critical exponents have the same values as these RG prediction. The low-temperature series were calculated for $\langle h^2 \rangle$ and M in the ASOS model to order u^9 by Leamy et al[8]. But, they are too short to give some definite conclusion and longer series are expected. Here we calculate the low-temperature series for $\langle h^2 \rangle$, $\langle h^4 \rangle$ and M to high orders in the ASOS model and the DG model on the square lattice and also on the triangular lattice, among which those in the ASOS model on the square lattice are previously reported together with those in the 3D Ising interface.[9]

2. SERIES

The series are calculated using the improved algorithm[5,14] of the finite-lattice method.[10–13] The finite-lattice can also be applied to the model on the triangular lattice by mapping it to the square lattice with not only the nearest-neighbor

*This work is supported in part by the Grants-in-Aid of the Ministry of Education (No.08640494)

Table 1

The low-temperature expansion coefficients for the surface height squared $\langle h^2 \rangle$ of the ASOS model and the DG model on the square lattice and on the triangular lattice.

n	square lattice		triangular lattice	
	ASOS model	DG model	ASOS model	DG model
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0
2	2	2	0	0
3	8	8	2	2
4	40	24	0	0
5	168	64	12	12
6	766	172	4	-16
7	3424	448	78	90
8	15640	1544	0	-204
9	71664	6712	552	812
10	331106	28028	-156	-2304
11	1537392	105520	4050	8028
12	7175356	383744	-2476	-25240
13	33633968	1412064	30888	84330
14	158321118	5253608	-29004	-273636
15	748150456	19575936	243190	913548
16	3548644024	73097908	-305436	-2998968
17	16892249464	273313456	1967166	10025034
18	80687694550	1016314950	-3050432	-33247692
19	386688784544	3742138552	16292466	111258024
20	1859048866840	13682700296	-29581152	-371501832
21	8964629577440	49953067648	137708278	1246265942
22	43353168530402	182594166164	-281836944	-4180005708
23	210226581434968		1184301792	
24			-2656332832	

Table 2

The roughening transition points predicted by the biased inhomogeneous differential approximants of the low-temperature series for the surface width. The result from the MCRG matching with BCSOS model is also listed for comparison.

	square lattice		triangular lattice	
	ASOS model	DG model	ASOS model	DG model
$\langle h^2 \rangle$	0.19945(?1)	0.2636(?4)	0.3702(19)	0.443(?5)
$\langle h^4 \rangle$	0.19934(?3)	0.2639(?4)	0.3672(23)	0.408(?5)
M	0.19939(10)	0.2670(23)	0.3674(?4)	0.448(?1)
average	0.19942(?5)	0.2640(?9)	0.3678(10)	0.442(14)
MCRG	0.19946(?1)	0.2643(?1)	—	—

interaction but also the next-nearest-neighbor interaction in one direction.[15] In the standard finite-lattice method the series expansion of the free energy (and its derivative with respect to the source term introduced to lead to the expectation value of various quantities) in the infinite volume limit can be obtained by an appropriate linear combination of the free energies (and their derivatives) on the finite-size lattices. The improved algorithm is effective in such a model that the spin variable at each site have high or infinite discrete degree of freedom as in the q -state Potts model with a large q and the SOS models. In the improved algorithm we prepare the free energies on finite-size lattices with the degree of freedom of the spin at each site restricted to be smaller than in the original model. Then the free energy in the infinite volume limit is given by an appropriate linear combination of the free energies on the finite-size lattices with the restricted spin degree of freedom as well as of the free energies with the full degree of freedom on smaller finite-size lattices. This saves us from taking into account unnecessarily large fluctuation of the spin variables, which would contribute only to the higher order terms than we wish. The low-temperature expansion coefficients for $\langle h^2 \rangle$ in each model are listed in Table 1. The expansion parameter is $u = \exp(-2J/k_B T)$, where J is the interaction strength in the Hamiltonian as

$$H = \begin{cases} J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} |h_i - h_j| & \text{for ASOS model} \\ J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} |h_i - h_j|^2 & \text{for DG model.} \end{cases}$$

Those for $\langle h^4 \rangle$ and M will be seen in the literature.[16]

3. ANALYSIS

Here we assume the universality between the exactly solved BCSOS model and the other SOS models so that the critical exponents for $\langle h^2 \rangle$, $\langle h^4 \rangle$ and M would be $1/2, 1$ and $1/4$, respectively, which is also the prediction of the renormalization group arguments. Then inhomogeneous differential approximants of the series biased for the critical exponents to have the above

values give the values of the roughening transition point for each model, which are listed in Table 2. The data listed for M is from the approximants of its second derivative with respect to u , which give more convergent result than of itself. We see that the obtained values of the roughening transition point for the ASOS model and the DG model on the square lattice are consistent with the precise values given by Hasenbusch et al from the MCRG matching to the BCSOS model. Those for the models on the triangular lattice are a new prediction, which we do not know any data to be compared with by now.

REFERENCES

1. J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless J. Phys. C: Solid St. Phys. 6 (1973) 1181.
2. M. Hasenbusch, M. Marcu and K. Pinn, Physica A208 (1994) 124; M. Hasenbusch and K. Pinn, J. Phys. A30 (1997) 63.
3. H. van Beijeren, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 993.
4. M. Hasenbusch and K. Pinn, Physica A203 (1994) 189.
5. H. Arisue, Nucl. Phys. B446[FS] (1995) 373
6. T. Ohta and K. Kawasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 60 (1978) 365.
7. P. J. Forrester, J. Phys. A19 (1986) L143.
8. H. J. Leamy, G. H. Gilmer and K. A. Jackson, in Surface Physics of Crystalline Materials, ed. J. M. Blakely, (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
9. H. Arisue, Nucl. Phys. B(Proc. Suppl.) 47 (1996) 743.
10. I. G. Enting, J. Phys. A11 (1978) 563.
11. H. Arisue and T. Fujiwara, Prog. Theor. Phys. 72 (1984) 1176; Preprint RIFP-588 (1985 unpublished).
12. M. Creutz, Phys. Rev. B43 (1991) 10659.
13. I. G. Enting, Nucl. Phys. B(Proc. Suppl.) 47 (1996) 180.
14. H. Arisue and K. Tabata, J. Phys. A30 (1997) 3313.
15. I. G. Enting and F. Y. Wu, J. Stat. Phys. 28 (1982) 351.
16. H. Arisue, in preparation.