

# Anisotropic lattice QCD studies of penta-quarks and tetra-quarks

N. Ishii<sup>\*</sup>, T. Doi<sup>†</sup>, H. Iida<sup>\*\*</sup>, M. Oka<sup>\*\*</sup>, F. Okiharu<sup>‡</sup>, H. Suganuma<sup>§</sup> and K. Tsumura<sup>§</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

<sup>†</sup>RIKEN BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA

<sup>\*\*</sup>Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Physics, Nihon University, Tokyo 101-8308, Japan

<sup>§</sup>Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

**Abstract.** Anisotropic lattice QCD studies of penta-quarks(5Q) with  $J^P = 1/2^\pm$  and  $3/2^\pm$  are presented at the quenched level together with tetra-quarks(4Q). The standard gauge action at  $\beta = 5.75$  and  $O(a)$  improved quark (clover) action with  $\kappa = 0.1410(0.010)0.1440$  are employed on the anisotropic lattice with the renormalized anisotropy  $a_s/a_t = 4$ . The “hybrid boundary condition(HBC)” is adopted to discriminate a compact resonance state from scattering states. Only massive 5Q states are found for  $J^P = 1/2^+$  and  $3/2^\pm$ , which cannot be identified as  $\Theta^+(1540)$ . A low-lying 5Q state is found for  $J^P = 1/2^-$  at  $m_{5Q} \simeq 1.75$  GeV, which however turns out to be an  $NK$  scattering state through the HBC analysis. A preliminary result for 4Q states is presented suggesting an existence of a compact 4Q resonance at  $m_{4Q} \simeq 1.1$  GeV in the idealized  $SU(4)_f$  chiral limit.


**Keywords:** Exotic hadron, lattice QCD

**PACS:** 12.38.Gc, 12.39.Mk, 14.20.-c, 14.20.Jn

Multi-quark states attract an increasing interest from wide range of high energy physics. Enormous number of experimental as well as theoretical contributions have been devoted to the penta-quarks(5Q) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6], and series of tetra-quark(4Q) candidates have been discovered recently as  $D_{s0}^+(2317)$ [7, 8],  $D_{s1}^+(2460)$ ,  $X(3872)$ [9], and  $Y(4260)$ . In this paper, we are interested in 5Q  $\Theta^+(1540)$  and 4Q  $D_{s0}^+(2317)$ .

We adopt  $12^3 \times 96$  anisotropic lattice QCD for high precision measurements, where the temporal lattice spacing is 4 times finer than the spatial ones as  $a_s/a_t = 4$ . We employ standard gauge action at  $\beta = 5.75$  and  $O(a)$  improved Wilson (Clover) action with  $\kappa = 0.1410(0.010)0.1440$ , which roughly covers the quark mass region of  $m_s \leq m_q \leq 2m_s$ .  $\kappa_s = 0.1440$  is fixed for  $s$  quark, and the chiral extrapolation is performed by varying  $\kappa = 0.1410 - 0.1440$  for  $u$  and  $d$  quarks. The lattice spacing is determined with the Sommer parameter  $r_0^{-1} = 395$  MeV leading to the spatial lattice spacing as  $a_s^{-1} = 1.10$  GeV ( $a_s \simeq 0.18$  fm). We use 504 gauge configurations for  $J^P = 1/2^\pm$  penta-quarks, 1000 gauge configurations for  $J^P = 3/2^\pm$  penta-quarks, and 1872 gauge configurations for tetra-quarks. A smeared source with gaussian size  $\rho \simeq 0.4$  fm is adopted after Coulomb gauge fixing to suppress the contamination of excited states.

We use the hybrid boundary condition(HBC) [4] as well as the conventional periodic BC(PBC) to discriminate a compact resonance state from two-hadron scattering states. HBC is a flavor-dependent spatial BC, where we impose PBC on  $s$  quark, and anti-PBC on  $u$  and  $d$  quarks. Since  $\Theta^+(uudd\bar{s})$  contains even number of  $u$  and  $d$  quarks, it obeys



**FIGURE 1.**  $J^P = 1/2^\pm$  5Q masses (left) and 4Q masses (right). See the main text for explanations.

PBC. Its spatial momentum is discretized as  $p_i = 2n_i\pi/L$  ( $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) in the spatial box of the size  $L$ . The 5Q energy with  $\vec{p} = \vec{0}$  is expected to be insensitive to HBC as long as the box can accommodate the 5Q state. In contrast, since  $N(uud, udd)$  and  $K(u\bar{s}, d\bar{s})$  contain odd number of  $u$  and  $d$  quarks, they obey anti-PBC leading to the discretization  $p_i = (2n_i + 1)\pi/L$ . Since they have the non-vanishing minimum momentum  $|\vec{p}_{\min}| = \sqrt{3}\pi/L$ , the  $NK$  threshold is raised as  $E_{NK} = \sqrt{m_N^2 + 3\pi^2/L^2} + \sqrt{m_K^2 + 3\pi^2/L^2}$ , which can be used for the discrimination.

We first consider  $J^P = 1/2^\pm$  iso-scalar 5Q state with a non-NK type interpolating field as  $\psi \equiv \varepsilon_{abc}\varepsilon_{ade}\varepsilon_{bfg}(u_d^T C \gamma_5 d_e)(u_f^T C d_g)C\bar{s}_c^T$ , where  $C = \gamma_4\gamma_2$  denotes the charge conjugation matrix, and  $a - g$  the color indices. Fig. 1 (left) shows 5Q masses for  $J^P = 1/2^\pm$ . The dotted lines indicate the p-wave(upper) and the s-wave(lower)  $NK$  thresholds. The open symbols denote the direct lattice QCD data, which are linearly extrapolated into the physical quark mass region denoted by closed symbols. We obtain  $m_{5Q} = 2.25(12)$  GeV for  $J^P = 1/2^+$ , which is too massive to be identified as  $\Theta^+(1540)$ . For  $J^P = 1/2^-$ , we obtain  $m_{5Q} = 1.75(4)$  GeV, which is located above the s-wave  $NK$  threshold(on the lattice) by about 100 MeV. Although it might be a candidate of  $\Theta^+(1540)$  in this sense, HBC analysis indicates that it is an  $NK$  scattering state [4].

We next consider  $J^P = 3/2^\pm$  iso-scalar 5Q states with three Rarita-Schwinger interpolating fields as  $\psi_\mu \equiv \varepsilon_{abc}\{(u_a^T C \gamma_5 d_b)u_c \cdot (\bar{s}_d \gamma_\mu d_d) - (u_a^T C \gamma_5 d_b)d_c \cdot (\bar{s}_d \gamma_\mu u_d)\}$ ,  $\psi_\mu \equiv \varepsilon_{abc}\{(u_a^T C \gamma_5 d_b)u_d \cdot (\bar{s}_d \gamma_\mu d_c) - (u_a^T C \gamma_5 d_b)d_d \cdot (\bar{s}_d \gamma_\mu u_c)\}$ , and  $\psi_\mu \equiv \varepsilon_{abc}\varepsilon_{def}\varepsilon_{cfg}(u_a^T C \gamma_5 d_b)(u_d^T C \gamma_5 \gamma_\mu d_e)C\gamma_5 \bar{s}_g$ . Note that  $J^P = 3/2^-$  assignment can explain the narrow decay width of  $\Theta^+(1540)$  as pointed out by Ref. [5], and that  $J^P = 3/2^+$  assignment can be supported by the diquark picture as the LS-partner of  $J^P = 1/2^+$  5Q state [6]. However, after the chiral extrapolations, we obtain only massive 5Q states as  $m_{5Q} \simeq 2.1 - 2.2$  GeV for  $J^P = 3/2^-$ , and  $m_{5Q} \simeq 2.4 - 2.6$  GeV for  $J^P = 3/2^+$ . These 5Q states are too massive to be identified as  $\Theta^+(1540)$  [4].

$D_{s_0}^+(2317)$  is a tetra-quark(4Q) candidate. It is so far believed to be iso-scalar due to its narrow decay width to  $D_s^+ \pi^0$ . Recently, Terasaki pointed out the iso-vector possibility [10] in order to resolve the experimental constraint in the radiative decay [8] as  $\Gamma(D_{s_0}^+(2317) \rightarrow D_s^{*+} \gamma) / \Gamma(D_{s_0}^+(2317) \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^0) < 0.052$ . If it is iso-vector, it has a manifestly exotic iso-spin partner  $D_{s_0}^{*+}(cu\bar{s}\bar{d})$ , which we will consider here mainly in the idealized  $SU(4)_f$  limit. We employ a *diquark-antidiquark-type* interpolating fields

as  $\phi \equiv \varepsilon_{abc}\varepsilon_{dec}(u_a^T C \gamma_5 c_b)(\bar{d}_d^T C \gamma_5 \bar{s}_e)$ . We use a spatial BC similar to HBC, where we impose anti-PBC on  $u$  and  $c$  quarks, and PBC on  $d$  and  $s$  quarks. We will refer to it as “HBC” as well. So far, we obtain a positive signal only in the light-quark sector. A preliminary result is shown in Fig. 1 (right) in the idealistic  $SU(4)_f$  limit. Circles and triangles denote 4Q masses obtained with PBC and HBC, respectively. Thin dotted lines denote two-PS-meson( $q\bar{q}$ ) thresholds for PBC(lower) and HBC(upper). Note that 4Q states with PBC(circles) fit the PBC threshold and that they have no counterparts in HBC spectrum. Hence, they are scattering states. In contrast, 4Q states with HBC(triangles) appear below the raised threshold by about 100 MeV, and its chiral behavior is different from that of the two-PS-meson threshold [4], which indicates the existence of compact 4Q resonance state at  $m_{4Q} \simeq 1.1$  GeV in the idealized  $SU(4)_f$  chiral limit. Note that, if the contribution from the disconnected diagram is negligible,  $D_{s0}^{++}(cu\bar{s}\bar{d})$  is identical with  $f_0(ud\bar{u}\bar{d})$ . Then, this 4Q state may be identified as one of the scalar-nonet  $f_0(980)$ . For comparison, we also show the scalar  $q\bar{q}$  masses with squares, which may correspond to  $f_0(1370)$  or  $a_0(1450)$  consistent with the quark-model assignment. We see that the 4Q states can be lighter than the conventional  $q\bar{q}$  in the light quark-mass region.

To summarize, we have studied  $\Theta^+(1540)$  with  $J^P = 1/2^\pm, 3/2^\pm$  by using anisotropic lattice QCD. For  $J^P = 1/2^+, 3/2^\pm$ , we have obtained only massive 5Q states as  $m_{5Q} > 2$  GeV, which cannot be identified as  $\Theta^+(1540)$ . For  $J^P = 1/2^-$ , we have obtained a low-lying 5Q state at  $m_{5Q} \simeq 1.75$  GeV, which has turned out to be an  $NK$  scattering state through HBC analysis however. We have also considered the iso-vector possibility of the 4Q candidate  $D_{s0}^+(2317)$  recently pointed out by Ref. [10]. We have obtained a positive signal in the idealized  $SU(4)_f$  chiral limit at  $m_{4Q} \simeq 1.1$  GeV. Within the valence approximation, it may correspond to one of the scalar nonet  $f_0(ud\bar{u}\bar{d})$ . MEM analysis of 4Q spectrum is currently in progress, which will be presented elsewhere.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Lattice QCD calculations have been performed with NEC SX-5 at Osaka University.

## REFERENCES

1. LEPS Collab. (T. Nakano *et al.*), Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 012002 (2003).
2. R.A. Schumacher in this proceedings.
3. M. Oka, Prog. Theor. Phys. **112**, 1 (2004) and references therein.  
S.L. Zhu, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A19**, 3439 (2004) and references therein.  
K.-F. Liu, N. Mathur, hep-lat/0510036 and references therein.
4. N. Ishii, T. Doi, H. Iida, M. Oka, F. Okiharu, H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 034001 (2005).  
N. Ishii, T. Doi, Y. Nemoto, M. Oka, H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. **D72**, 074503 (2005).  
H. Suganuma, K. Tsumura, N. Ishii, F. Okiharu, PoS **LAT2005**, 070 (2005).
5. A. Hosaka, M. Oka, T. Shinozaki, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 074021 (2005).
6. R.L. Jaffe and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 232003 (2003).
7. BABAR Collab. (B. Aubert *et al.*), Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 242001 (2003).  
Belle Collab. (P. Irokovny *et al.*), Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262002(2003).
8. CLEO Collab. (D. Besson *et al.*), Phys. Rev. **D68**, 032002 (2003).
9. Belle Collab. (S.K. Choi *et al.*), Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262001 (2003).  
BABAR Collab. (B. Aubert *et al.*), Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 041801 (2004).  
CDF II Collab. (D. Acosta *et al.*), Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 072001 (2004).  
D0 Collab. (V.M. Abazov *et al.*), Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 162002 (2004).
10. K. Terasaki, Phys. Rev. **D68**, 011501 (2003); AIP Conf. Proc. **CP717**, 556 (2004).  
K. Terasaki and B.H.J. McKellar, Prog. Theor. Phys. **114**, 205 (2005).