

# RECENT RESULTS FROM PROTVINO POLARIZED EXPERIMENT PROZA-M

V.V. Mochalov<sup>1†</sup>, N.S. Borisov<sup>2</sup>, A.M. Davidenko<sup>1</sup>, A.N. Fedorov<sup>2</sup>, V.N. Grishin<sup>1</sup>,  
V.Yu. Khodyrev<sup>1</sup>, V.I. Kravtsov<sup>1</sup>, A.A. Lukhanin<sup>3</sup>, V.N. Matafonov<sup>2</sup>,  
Yu.A. Matulenko<sup>1</sup>, V.A. Medvedev<sup>1</sup>, Yu.M. Melnick<sup>1</sup>, A.P. Meschanin<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Morozov<sup>1</sup>,  
A.B. Neganov<sup>2</sup>, L.V. Nogach<sup>1</sup>, S.B. Nurushev<sup>1</sup>, Yu.A. Plis<sup>2</sup>, A.F. Prudkoglyad<sup>1</sup>,  
P.A. Semenov<sup>1</sup>, K.E. Shestermanov<sup>1</sup>, V.L. Solovianov<sup>1</sup>, L.F. Soloviev<sup>1</sup>, Yu.A. Usov<sup>2</sup>,  
A.N. Vasiliev<sup>1</sup>, A.E. Yakutin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia*  
*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia*  
*Kharkov Physical Technical Institute, Kharkov, Ukraine*  
† *E-mail: mochalov@mx.ihep.su*

## Abstract


Single Spin Asymmetry  $A_N$  (SSA) was measured at the Protvino 70 GeV accelerator. Asymmetry in the reaction  $p + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  is close to zero within error bars at small  $|x_F|$  and  $p_T < 1.5$  GeV/c. SSA in the reaction  $\pi^- + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  at polarized target fragmentation region equals to  $(-13.8 \pm 3.8)\%$  at  $|x_f| > 0.4$ . There is an indication that the asymmetry begins to rise up at the same centre of mass system pion energy.

Large polarization effects were found during last few decades. Single Spin Asymmetries were observed to be of order 20–40% while Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (pQCD) makes a qualitative prediction that the single-spin transverse effects should be very small due to the helicity conservation [1]. Here we present new SSA measurements carried out at the 70 GeV Protvino accelerator in the reaction  $p + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  at 70 GeV in the central region ( $x_F \approx 0$ ) and in the reaction  $\pi^- + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  in the polarized target fragmentation region at 40 GeV.

## Asymmetry in the reaction $p + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$ at 70 GeV.

The goal of our measurements was to measure asymmetry in the reaction  $\pi^- + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  and to compare it with the previous contradictory results.


Asymmetry in the reaction  $p + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  in the central region was measured earlier in two experiments. Experiment at 24 GeV (CERN) manifested large SSA in inclusive  $\pi^0$  production [2], while E704 (FNAL, 200 GeV) claimed zero asymmetry [3].




**Figure 1.** Experimental Setup PROZA-M. S1-S3 – trigger scintillation counters; H1-H2 – hodoscopes; EMC1 and EMC2 – electromagnetic calorimeters; *target* – polarized target.

PROZA-M experiment observed significant effects in the reaction  $\pi^- + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  at 40 GeV (Protvino, [4]). New measurements at the Protvino U-70 accelerator facility were carried out. 70 GeV protons were extracted from the accelerator vacuum chamber using a bent crystal. The experimental setup is presented in **Fig. 1**.

Three scintillation counters S1-S3 were used for zero level trigger with a coincidence from two hodoscopes H1-H2 (two subplanes each).  $\gamma$ -quanta were detected by two electromagnetic calorimeters *EMC1* and *EMC2* (arrays of 480 and 144 lead-glass cells) placed at 7 and 2.8 m downstream the frozen polarized target with average polarization of 80%. First level trigger on transverse energy was set up to be independent for each detector.



**Figure 3.** (a) Two-dimensional  $\pi^0$  distribution on  $p_T$  and  $x_F$  and (b) Relative  $\pi^0$  cross-section ( $N_{pions}/Beam$ ).



**Figure 2.** Mass spectra for EMC1 (a – c) and EMC2 (d – f) for different  $p_T$  intervals.

We were able to detect  $\pi^0$  till  $p_T = 3.0$  GeV/c using specially developed algorithm for overlapping showers reconstruction [5].  $\pi^0$  mass resolution was 10 MeV/ $c^2$  for EMC1 and from 12 to 16 MeV/ $c^2$  for EMC2 (**Fig. 2**).

Angle  $9.3^\circ$  in the laboratory frame corresponds to  $90^\circ$  in the center of mass system (c.m.s.). Kinematical characteristics of detected  $\pi^0$ 's ( $p_T$  vs  $x_F$  bi-plot) are presented in (**Fig. 3a**).  $|x_F|$  did not exceed 0.15 and the distribution was symmetrical on  $x_F$ .

The slope of relative  $\pi^0$ -cross-section presented on **Fig. 3b** was used as an additional cross-check of data quality. The result is in a good agreement with the previous charged pion invariant cross-section measurements. Exponential constant  $\alpha = -5.89 \pm 0.08$ , while FODS experiment (Protvino) announced  $\alpha = -5.68 \pm 0.02$  for  $\pi^+$ 's and  $\alpha = -5.88 \pm 0.02$  for  $\pi^-$ -mesons [6].

The asymmetry  $A_N$  was defined as


$$A_N = \frac{D}{P_{target}} \cdot A_N^{raw} = \frac{D}{P_{target}} \cdot \frac{n_\downarrow - n_\uparrow}{n_\downarrow + n_\uparrow}$$

for EMC2 and had the opposite sign for EMC1.  $D$  is the polarized target dilution factor,  $P_{target}$  – average target polarization,  $n_\downarrow$  and  $n_\uparrow$  – normalized numbers of  $\pi^0$ -mesons for opposite target polarizations.

False asymmetry  $A_N^{false}$  appears mainly due to the instability of the calorimeters energy scale. We recalibrated both calorimeters independently using  $\pi^0$  mass with an accuracy of 0.15%, which corresponded to raw false asymmetry value of 0.3% and  $A_N^{false} \approx 3\%$  taking into account the dilution factor divided by target polarization ( $D/P_{target} \approx 10$ ).


The false asymmetry was investigated by dividing statistics with the same target polarization into two data samples and calculating the asymmetry for them.  $A_N^{false}$  was zero within error bars (**Fig4**, top). We also compared the asymmetry for the two detectors and did not find any difference (**Fig4**, bottom).

The final result for both detectors is presented in **Fig5a**. The asymmetry is zero within error bars. Our result is in a good agreement with the FNAL data at 200 GeV [3] and contradicts to the previous CERN measurements at 24 GeV[2].



**Figure 5.** (a) Summed  $A_N$  for two detectors. (b) Asymmetry at 24 GeV [2] and 200 GeV [3] at central region; Anselmino calculations [7] for 200 GeV and  $x_F = 0$  are drawn.

polarized proton and a large contribution of gluonic channels. In the  $\pi^- p_T$  collisions the valence  $u$ -quark from a polarized proton combining with the valence  $\bar{u}$ -quark from  $\pi^-$  gives the main contribution to  $\pi^0$  production, while other channels are suppressed.



**Figure 4.** Raw false asymmetry (top). Asymmetry for two detectors (bottom)

Almost all theoretical models expect small effects in the reaction  $p_T + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  in the central region, because  $\pi^0$  is supposed to be produced mainly from the gluons. The gluons transversal spin component is considered to be small. The Anselmino prediction [7] for 200 GeV at small  $x_F$  is presented together with the E704 experimental data (**Fig5b**).

By comparing the presented data with the  $\pi^0$  asymmetry  $A_N \approx -40\%$  in the reaction  $\pi^- + p_T \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  at 40 GeV at the same kinematic region [4], we may conclude that the asymmetry depends on quark flavour. Otherwise we have to suppose significant changes in the interaction dynamics in the energy range between 40 and 70 GeV.

The asymmetry in the reaction  $p_T + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  is cancelled because of various channel interference from a polarized and non-polarized proton and a large contribution of gluonic channels. In the  $\pi^- p_T$  collisions the valence  $u$ -quark from a polarized proton combining with the valence  $\bar{u}$ -quark from  $\pi^-$  gives the main contribution to  $\pi^0$  production, while other channels are suppressed.

## $A_N$ in the reaction $\pi^- + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$ at the polarized target fragmentation region.


The asymmetry in the polarized target fragmentation region was measured for the first time. The aim of the current experiment was to check our expectations that the asymmetry in the polarized particle fragmentation region is the same for both the beam and the target projectile. The experiment was carried out at the modified experimental setup PROZA-M (see above).

The electromagnetic calorimeter from 720 cells was placed at 2.3 m downstream the target at the angle of  $40^\circ$  in the laboratory frame in two expositions in the 2000 and at the angle of  $30^\circ$  in the 1999 data taking run.


We detected  $\gamma$ -quanta in the energy range between 0.5 and 3.5 GeV. Monte-Carlo simulation of the electromagnetic showers in the PROZA-M lead-glass calorimeter based on GEANT3.21 shows that significant part of the  $\gamma$ -quanta energy (up to 20%) was lost during reconstruction procedure mainly due to an electronic threshold. Cherenkov light was simulated taking into account light absorption and reflection from crystal surface wrapped by mylar. The dependence of detected energy on simulated  $\gamma$ -quanta energy is shown in **Fig 6** as well as reconstruction efficiency. The efficiency is higher than 80% starting from the energy 0.8 GeV. A special algorithm was developed to correct these energy losses.

A mass spectrum and the detector kinematic region are presented in **Fig. 7**. The  $\pi^0$ -meson width  $\sigma_m = 16 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  and mainly depends on detector energy resolution. Kinematic parameters  $p_t$  and  $x_F$  are correlated. It means that the measurement was carried out at rather narrow solid angle.

Single armed experimental setup can bring additional apparatus systematic asymmetry displacement. Special algorithm was developed to eliminate this displacement. The method was based on the fact that the asymmetry of  $2\gamma$ -pairs between  $\pi^0$



**Figure 6.** The dependence of the energy reconstructed (top) and the efficiency on true  $\gamma$ -quantum energy from MC simulation.



**Figure 7.**  $\gamma$  pairs mass spectrum (top) and kinematic parameters in the  $\pi^0$  mass region

and  $\eta$  mass is zero [4]. The method is described in [4].

False asymmetry is zero and is presented in **Fig 8 (top)**.

Measured asymmetry  $A_N$  is close to zero at low values of  $|x_F|$  and  $p_T$  (**Fig 8 (bottom)**).  $A_N = (-13.8 \pm 3.8)\%$  at  $-0.8 < x_F < -0.4$ . The result is similar to  $\pi^0$  asymmetry in the polarized beam fragmentation experiments E704 ( $(12.4 \pm 1.4)\%$ ,  $\sqrt{s} = 20$  GeV, [9]) and STAR ( $(14 \pm 4)\%$ ,  $\sqrt{s} = 200$  GeV [10]). We can make a conclusion that the asymmetry does not depend on beam energy at large  $x_F$ . Analyzing power in this reaction can be used for proton beam polarization measurements.

The result was compared with theoretical predictions. The Anselmino calculation for the reaction  $p_{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  is based on the E704 data and is drawn by solid line in **Fig 8 (bottom)** [11]. The predictions of the quark model for U-matrix [12] are drawn by dashed and dotted-dashed lines [13] in the same figure.

Earlier the asymmetry in this reaction was measured in the central region.  $A_N$  was found to be small at  $p_t < 1.6$  GeV/c and rose up linearly starting from  $p_T \approx 1.65$  GeV/c [4]. To compare the results from the two measurements we studied the asymmetry dependence on  $\pi^0$  energy in the centre of mass system. Surprisingly the asymmetry begins to rise up at the same energy (**Fig 9**).


## Conclusions

Finally we can summarise:

- $A_N$  in the reaction  $p + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  is zero within error bars in the central region at  $1.0 < p_T < 3.0$  GeV/c. The result is in a good agreement with the E704 measurements at 200 GeV and differs from the CERN 24 GeV data.


- By comparing presented asymmetry with the measurements in the reaction  $\pi^- + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$ , we can conclude that the asymmetry depends on quark flavour in the central region. Another possibility is that the interaction dynamics changes dramatically in the energy range between 40 and 70 GeV.

- The asymmetry in the polarized target fragmentation region was measured for the first time in the reaction  $\pi^- + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$ .  $A_N = (-13.8 \pm 3.8)\%$  at  $-0.8 < x_F < -0.4$  and  $p_T$  range



**Figure 8.** *Top:* Raw false asymmetry.

*Bottom:*  $A_N$  in the reaction  $\pi^- + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  at 40 GeV at the polarized target fragmentation region. Solid line — Anselmino prediction for Collins mechanism; two other lines — calculation in quark model for U-matrix



**Figure 9.** The dependence of  $A_N^{\pi^0}$  on the energy in the centre of mass system in the central region ( $\Delta$ , [4]) and in the target polarization region ( $\blacksquare$ , current result).

between 1 to 2 GeV/c and is close to zero at  $-0.4 < x_F < -0.1$  and  $p_T$  from 0.5 to 1.5 GeV/c.

- Asymmetry at large  $|x_F|$  is in a good agreement with E704 (200 GeV) and BNL (equivalent to 20 TeV in the laboratory system) data in the reaction  $p_{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  in the polarized beam fragmentation region. It was experimentally established, that  $A_N$  appears in the polarized particle fragmentation region (independent on beam or target). Analysing power of  $\pi^0$  inclusive production can be used for the measurements of the proton beam polarization.

- By comparing the asymmetry in the reaction  $\pi^- + p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^0 + X$  at 40 GeV in the central region and in the polarized target fragmentation region we found that the asymmetry starts to rise up at  $E_{cms}^0(\pi^0) \approx 1.7$  GeV/c in the centre of mass system for the two different kinematic region.

*The work is supported by RFBR grant 03-02-16919*

## References

- [1] G.L. Kane, J. Pumplin, and W. Repko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 1689 (1978).
- [2] J. Antille *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **94**, 523 (1980).
- [3] D.L. Adams *et al.*, Preprint 94-88, IHEP (Protvino, 1994); Phys. Rev. D **53**, 4747 (1996).
- [4] V.D. Apokin *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **243**, 461 (1990)
- [5] A.A. Lednev, IHEP Preprint 93-153 (Protvino, 1993, in Russian)
- [6] V. Abramov *et al.*, IHEP Preprint 84-88 (Protvino, 1993, in Russian)
- [7] M. Anselmino and F.Murgia, PLB 442, 470 (1998); hep-ph/9808426.
- [8] A.N. Vasiliev *et al.*, IHEP Preprint 2003-21, Protvino, 2003; submitted to Sov. Journ. Nucl. Phys. (in Russian).
- [9] D.L. Adams *et al.*, Z. Phys. C **56**, 181 (1992)
- [10] L.C. Bland *et al.*, in *Proc. 15th Intern. Spin Physics Symposium (SPIN 2002)*; hep-ex/0212013
- [11] M. Anselmino, private communication;  
M. Anselmino, M. Boglione and F. Murgia, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 054027 (1999);  
M. Anselmino, M. Boglione and F. Murgia, Phys. Lett. B **362**, 164 (1995);  
M. Anselmino and F.Murgia, Phys. Lett. B **442**, 470 (1998); hep-ph/9808426.
- [12] S.M. Troshin, N.E. Tyurin, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 3862 (1995), Phys. Rev. D **54**, 838 (1996).
- [13] S.M. Troshin, private communication