

# The Quantum Commutator Algebra of a Perfect Fluid.

Mark D. Roberts,

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics,  
University of Cape Town, South Africa

roberts@gmunu.mth.uct.ac.za

August 11, 2018

arXiv:gr-qc/9810089v1 30 Oct 1998

### Abstract

A perfect fluid is quantized by the canonical method. The constraints are found and this allows the Dirac brackets to be calculated. Replacing the Dirac brackets with quantum commutators formally quantizes the system. There is a momentum operator in the denominator of some coordinate quantum commutators. It is shown that it is possible to multiply throughout by this momentum operator. Factor ordering differences can result in a viscosity term. The resulting quantum commutator algebra is

$$v_4(v_3v_2 - v_2v_3) = -i,$$

$$v_4(v_1v_3 - v_3v_1) = -iv_3,$$

$$v_4v_1 - v_1v_4 = -i,$$

$$v_5v_2 - v_2v_5 = -i.$$

Mathematical Review Classification Scheme:

81S05,81R10,82B26,83CC22,

Physics and Astronomy Classification Scheme:

03.70+k,05.70-a,04.40+c.

Keyword Index:

Quantum Algebra, Perfect Fluid, Dirac Bracket, Canonical Quantization.

# 1 Introduction

It has been known for some time Hargreaves (1908) [1] that a perfect fluid has a Lagrangian formulation. The Lagrangian is taken to be the pressure and variations are achieved through an infinitesimal form of the first law of thermodynamics. A perfect fluid's stress is described using the vector field co-moving with the fluid; this vector field defines an absolute time for the system, furthermore this absolute time can then be used to define canonical momenta and canonical Hamiltonians. This is done here for the first time. There are equivalences between scalar fields and fluids, Tabensky and Taub (1973) [2], more generally the co-moving vector field can be decomposed into scalar fields resulting in a description of a perfect fluid employing only scalar fields. Previously this decomposition has been investigated by choosing an *ad hoc* global time rather than absolute time and defining canonical momenta and other quantities with respect to the global time. Typically the resulting theory is applied to cosmology Tipler (1986) [3] Lapchiniskii and Rubakov (1977) [4]. Once the constrained Hamiltonian has been calculated by the standard canonical method Dirac (1963) [9] (see also Hanson, Regge, and Teitelboim (1976) [10]), the Dirac bracket can be replaced by quantum commutators. The original motive for investigating this was to find a fluid generalization of the Higg's model Roberts (1997) [5]. A quantum treatment is required to estimate the VEVs (quantum vacuum expectation values) of the scalar fields, the VEVs are related to the induced non-zero mass. The quantum commutator algebra is unusual, perhaps reflecting the structure of the scalar field decomposition of the co-moving vector field Eckart (1960) [6], Selinger and Whitham(1968) [7], and Rund (1979) [8]. It is hoped that eventually the present theory will be applied to low temperature super fluids. To do this it probably will be necessary to include a chemical potential term in the first law of thermodynamics as expressed by equation 1.

## 2 Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Formulation of a Perfect Fluid's Dynamics.

A perfect fluid has a Lagrangian formulation in which the Lagrangian is the pressure  $p$ . Variation is achieved by using the first law of thermodynamics

$$dp = n dh - n T ds, \quad (1)$$

where  $n$  is the particle number,  $T$  the temperature,  $s$  the entropy, and  $h$  the enthalpy. The pressure and the density are equated to the enthalpy and the particle number by

$$p + \mu = nh. \quad (2)$$

In four dimensions a vector can be decomposed into four scalars, however the five scalar decomposition

$$hV_a = W_a = \sigma_a + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha=2} \theta_\alpha s_a^\alpha, \quad V^a V_a = -1, \quad (3)$$

where  $a, b, c \dots$  are spacetime indices and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \dots$  are fluid scalar potential indices. For  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $s$  and  $\theta = \int T d\tau$  have interpretation as the entropy and the thermasy respectively, for  $\alpha = 2$  there is no such interpretation and no "second temperature" as  $\dot{\theta}_2 = 0$ . From now on the index  $\alpha$  is suppressed as it is straightforward to reinstate. There are other conventions for this scalar field decomposition, for example with a minus(-) instead of a plus(+) before the summed fields. "q" is used to notate an arbitrary scalar field, i.e.  $q = \sigma, \theta, \text{ors}$ . The coordinate space action is taken to be

$$I = \int \sqrt{-g} p dx^4. \quad (4)$$

Replacing the first law with  $dp = -nV_a dW^a - nT ds$ , variation with respect to the metric gives

$$T_{ab} = (p + \mu)V_a V_b + p g_{ab}, \quad (5)$$

variation with respect to  $\sigma, \theta$ , and  $s$  gives

$$(nV^a)_a = \dot{n} + n\Theta = 0, \quad \dot{s} = 0, \quad \dot{\Theta} = T, \quad (6)$$

respectively.  $\Theta = V_a^a$  is the expansion of the vector field.

The canonical momenta are given by  $\Pi^i = \frac{\delta I}{\delta \dot{q}^i}$  and are

$$\Pi^\sigma = -n, \quad \Pi^\theta = 0, \quad \Pi^s = -n\theta. \quad (7)$$

The Hamiltonian density is usually defined in terms of components of the canonical stress as  $\Theta_t^t$ . In the present case the canonical stress is not defined so that the metric stress  $T_b^a$  is used instead; also 4-vectors are used rather than components, resulting in

$$H_d = V^a V^b T_{ab} = \mu. \quad (8)$$

The standard Poisson bracket is

$$\{A, B\} = \frac{\delta A}{\delta q_i} \frac{\delta B}{\delta \Pi^i} - \frac{\delta A}{\delta \Pi_i} \frac{\delta B}{\delta q^i}, \quad (9)$$

where  $i$ , which labels each field, is summed;  $i$  differs from  $\alpha$  in that it sums over all fields for example  $\sigma, \theta_1, s_1, \theta_2, s_2$  in four dimensions,  $\alpha$  labels the sets  $\theta_1, s_1$  and  $\theta_2, s_2$ . The integral sign and measure have been suppressed and the variations are performed independently. When absolute time is used Hamilton's equations have an additional term in the expansion [13] explicitly

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\delta H_c}{\delta \Pi}, \quad \dot{\Pi} + \Theta \Pi = -\frac{\delta H_c}{\delta q}, \quad (10)$$

where  $H_c$  is the canonical Hamiltonian

$$H_c = \int H_d \sqrt{-g} dx^4. \quad (11)$$

From 7 the momenta are constrained

$$\phi_1 = \Pi^s - \theta \Pi^\sigma, \quad \phi_2 = \Pi^\theta. \quad (12)$$

The initial Hamiltonian is

$$H_i = \Pi_i \dot{q} - \mathcal{L}, \quad (13)$$

replacing the dependent  $\Pi$ 's gives the ordinary hamiltonian

$$H_o = \Pi^\sigma (\dot{\sigma} + \theta \dot{s}) - \mathcal{L}, \quad (14)$$

adding the constraints gives the constrained Hamiltonian

$$H_\lambda = H_o + \lambda^\alpha \phi_\alpha, \quad \lambda^1 = \dot{s}, \quad \lambda^2 = \dot{\theta}, \quad (15)$$

where the  $\lambda$ 's are the Lagrange multipliers. Replacing the ordinary Hamiltonian in this gives the Hamiltonian density

$$H_d = \Pi^\sigma (\dot{\sigma} + \theta \dot{s}) + \lambda^1 (\Pi^s + \theta \Pi^\sigma) + \lambda^2 \Pi^\theta - \mathcal{L}, \quad (16)$$

Substituting the values of the momentum the Hamiltonian density is still weakly the fluid density. Using Hamilton's equations in the form 10 the time evolution of any variable  $X$  is given by

$$\frac{dX}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial X}{\partial \tau} + \{X, H_i\} - \Theta \Pi^i \frac{\delta X}{\delta \Pi^i}, \quad (17)$$

replacing the Hamiltonian density  $H_d$  by  $H_\lambda$  and then holding the multipliers constant so that

$$\{X, H_\lambda\} = \{X, H_o\} + \lambda^\iota \{X, \phi_\iota\}, \quad (18)$$

where  $\iota, \kappa, \dots$  ranges over the multipliers, gives the time evolution

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dX}{d\tau} &= \frac{\partial X}{\partial \tau} - \Theta \Pi^i \frac{\delta x}{\delta \Pi^i} + \{X, H_o\} + \lambda^\alpha \{X, \phi_\alpha\} \\ &= \frac{\partial X}{\partial \tau} + \left( \dot{\sigma} + \theta(\dot{s} - \lambda^1) \right) \frac{\delta X}{\delta \phi} + \lambda^1 \frac{\delta X}{\delta s} + \lambda^2 \frac{\delta X}{\delta \theta} \\ &\quad + \left( (V^a \Pi^\sigma)_a - \Theta \Pi^\sigma \right) \frac{\delta X}{\delta \Pi^\sigma} \\ &\quad + \left( (\lambda^1 - \dot{s}) \Pi^\sigma - \Theta \Pi^\theta \right) \frac{\delta X}{\delta \Pi^\theta} \\ &\quad + \left( (V^a \theta \Pi^\sigma)_a - \Theta \Pi^s \right) \frac{\delta X}{\delta \Pi^s} \\ &\approx \frac{\partial X}{\partial \tau} + \dot{\sigma} \frac{\delta X}{\delta \sigma} + \dot{\theta} \frac{\delta X}{\delta \theta} - \dot{n} \frac{\delta X}{\delta \Pi^\sigma} - (\theta n) \frac{\delta X}{\delta \Pi^s}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $\approx$  means is 'weakly equal to'. Letting  $X$  equal the constraints gives  $\frac{d\phi_\iota}{d\tau} \approx 0$ , this shows that there are no further constraints so that the Dirac brackets can now be constructed.

A quantity  $R(q, \Pi)$  is first class [10] if

$$\{R, \phi_\iota\} \approx 0, \quad \iota = 0, 1, \quad (20)$$

otherwise it is second class. The second class constraints encapture the way in which there are more variables describing the sytem than is necessary, they give rise to the Dirac matrix  $C_{\iota\kappa}$ , c.f. [10] page 10, defined by

$$C_{\iota\kappa} \equiv \{\phi_\iota, \phi_\kappa\}. \quad (21)$$

In the present case it is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\iota\kappa} &= \Pi^\sigma \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i\sigma^2 \Pi^\sigma, \\ C_{\iota\kappa}^{-1} &= -\frac{1}{\Pi^\sigma} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = +i\frac{\sigma^2}{\Pi^\sigma}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where  $C_{\iota\kappa}^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $C_{\iota\kappa}$  and  $\sigma^2$  is a Pauli matrix, Bjorken and Drell (1965) [11] page 378,

$$\sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ +i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

The Dirac bracket is defined by c.f. [10] page 11

$$\{A, B\}_* \equiv \{A, B\} - \{A, \phi_\iota\} C_{\iota\kappa}^{-1} \{\phi_\kappa, B\}. \quad (24)$$

In the present case this gives the Dirac bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \{A, B\}_* &= \{A, B\} + \frac{1}{\Pi^\sigma} \frac{\delta B}{\delta \theta} \left( \frac{\delta A}{\delta s} - \theta \frac{\delta A}{\delta \sigma} + \Pi^\sigma \frac{\delta A}{\delta \Pi^\theta} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\Pi^\sigma} \frac{\delta A}{\delta \theta} \left( \frac{\delta B}{\delta s} - \theta \frac{\delta B}{\delta \sigma} + \Pi^\sigma \frac{\delta B}{\delta \Pi^\theta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Consistency is checked by noting

$$\begin{aligned} H_\lambda &= H_o - \{H_o, \phi^\iota\} C_{\iota\kappa}^{-1}, \\ \lambda_\kappa &= -\{H_o, \phi^\iota\} C_{\iota\kappa}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

from which  $H_\lambda$  given by 15 can be recovered with the correct  $\lambda$ 's.

### 3 Quantization.

To quantize a classical dynamical system the Dirac bracket is replaced by the commutator

$$\{A, B\}^* \rightarrow \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}], \quad (27)$$

where  $\hbar$  is Planck's constant divided by  $2\pi$  the hat on  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  signifies that the variables are now operators, from now on the hat is dropped as the ellipsis is immediate. There are various correspondence criteria which one would hope to investigate, for example: as  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$  there should be *firstly* the same time evolution, *secondly* the same stress, *thirdly* the first law should be recovered. Another correspondence criteria can be called the *particle number criteria*: the particle number  $n$  (see equation 2) should bear a relation to the quantum particle number constructed from creation and destruction operators. An intermediate aim, between formal quantization achieved by replacing field and momenta Dirac brackets with commutators, and establishing contact with applications is to produce a quantum perfect fluid. This could be obtained from brackets involving the numbered field, the angular momentum and so on, or from brackets involving a mixture of these and geometric objects. However no progress has been made so far in finding a quantum perfect fluid, so that attention is restricted to the implications of replacing brackets consisting solely of individual components of fields and momenta with commutators. Effecting the replacement of the 15 Dirac brackets between the fields and momenta there are 4 non-vanishing commutators

$$\begin{aligned} [\Pi^\sigma \sigma - \sigma \Pi^\sigma] &= -i\hbar, & [\Pi^\theta \theta - \theta \Pi^\theta] &= 0, & [\Pi^s s - s \Pi^s] &= -i\hbar, \\ [\sigma \theta - \theta \sigma] &= -i\hbar \frac{\theta}{\Pi^\sigma}, & [\theta s - s \theta] &= -i\hbar \frac{1}{\pi^\sigma}, & [\sigma s - s \sigma] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The last two commutators have the operator  $\Pi^\sigma$  in the denominator. This might not be well-defined. To avoid  $\Pi^\sigma$  in the denominator we multiply by the operator  $\Pi^\sigma$ , using the first commutation of 28 it turns out that multiplying on the left or multiplying on the right are equivalent so that

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma \theta - \theta \sigma] \Pi^\sigma &= \Pi^\sigma [\sigma \theta - \theta \sigma] = -i\hbar \theta, \\ [\theta s - s \theta] \Pi^\sigma &= \Pi^\sigma [\theta s - s \theta] = -i\hbar. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

These results are in accord with the equations deduced if the Dirac brackets  $\{q^i, q^j \Pi^k\}^*$  are replaced by commutators. Left and right multiplication



by  $\Pi^\sigma$  are also equivalent if anti-commutation rather than commutation is considered.

The quantum Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned}
H_q = & + l_1 \Pi^\sigma \dot{\sigma} + l_2 \dot{\sigma} \Pi^\sigma - l_3 \Pi^\sigma \theta \dot{s} - l_4 \Pi^\sigma \dot{s} \theta \\
& - l_5 \Pi^\sigma \dot{s} - l_6 \theta \dot{s} \Pi^\sigma - l_7 \dot{s} \Pi^\sigma \theta - l_8 \dot{s} \theta \Pi^\theta - p
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where the  $l$ 's are constant and obey  $l_1 + l_2 = 1$  and  $l_3 + l_4 + l_5 + l_6 + l_7 + l_8 = 1$ . using the commutation relations 29 the quantum Hamiltonian 30 becomes

$$H_q = \Pi^\sigma (\dot{\sigma} - \theta \dot{s}) - i \hbar \Theta l - p, \tag{31}$$

where  $l = l_2 + l_4 + l_7 + l_8$  is called the ordering constant: it is of undefined size but is can be taken to be of order unity. Because the Dirac bracket of  $p$  with anything vanishes the commutators with  $p$  also vanish and  $p$  can be taken to be  $pI$ , where  $I$  is the identity element. To investigate the algebraic implications of 29 and 31 label the 6 operators by  $v$ 's,

$$v_1 = \sigma, v_2 = s, v_3 = \theta, v_4 = \Pi^\sigma, v_5 = \Pi^s, v_6 = \Pi^\theta. \tag{32}$$

$v_6$  commutes with everything and can be disregarded. Of the remaining commutators only 4 are non-zero. In units  $\hbar = 1$ , 29 and 32 give the algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
v_4(v_3 v_2 - v_2 v_3) &= -i, \\
v_4(v_1 v_3 - v_3 v_1) &= -i v_3, \\
v_4 v_1 - v_1 v_4 &= -i, \\
v_5 v_2 - v_2 v_5 &= -i.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

This algebra does not seem to be realizable in terms of matrices and differential operators, the closest algebras are found in [12]. If a commutator is constructed with a time derivative of the field or momenta, the same algebra results but multiplied by a term in the expansion. Similarly if  $m$  time derivatives occur, the algebra is multiplied by the expansion to the power of  $m$ .

## 4 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Prof.T.W.B.Kibble for discussion of some of the points that occur. This work was supported in part by the South African Foundation for Research and Development (FDA).

## References

- [1] Hargreaves, R. (1908) A Pressure-integral as Kinetic Potential. *Phil. Mag.* **16**, 436.
- [2] Tabensky, R. and Taub, A. H. (1973) Plane symmetric self-gravitating fluids with pressure equal to energy density. *Math.Rev.47#10022 Commun. Math. Phys.* **290**, 61.
- [3] Tipler, F. (1986) Interpreting the Wave Function. *Math.Rev.87g:83161 Phys. Rep.* **C137**, 231.
- [4] Lapchinskii, V., G. and Rubakov, V., A. (1977) (no mr) *Theor. Math. Phys.* **33**, 1076.
- [5] Roberts, M. D. (1997) Fluid Symmetry Breaking II: Velocity Potential Method. *Hadronic J.* **20**, 73-84.
- [6] Eckart, C. (1960) Variational Principles of Hydrodynamics. *Math.Rev.22#5249 Physics of Fluids* **3**, 421 Appendix.
- [7] Selinger, R.L. and Whitham, G.B. (1968) Variational Principles in Continuum mechanics. (no mr) *Proc.Roy.Soc.London*, **A305**, 1.
- [8] Rund, H. (1979) Clebsch Representations and Relativistic Dynamical Systems. *Math.Rev.80d:83054 Arch.Rat.Mech.Anal.*, **71**, 199.
- [9] Dirac, P. A. M. (1963) Lectures on Quantum Mechanics, Belfor Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University, New York.
- [10] Hanson, A., J., Regge, T. and Teitelboim, C. (1976) Constrained Hamiltonian Systems, Accademia Nazionale die Lincei, Rome.
- [11] Bjorken, J.D. and Drell, S.D. (1965) Relativistic Quantum Fields, *Math.Rev.66#5092 McGraw-Hill*, New York, page 378.
- [12] Ohaki, Y. and Kamefuchi, S. (1982) Quantum Field Theory and Parastatistics, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg.
- [13] Roberts, M. D. (1997) An Expansion Term in Hamilton's Equations. Preprint.