

Relaxational effects in radiating stellar collapse

Megan Govender¹, Roy Maartens² and Sunil D Maharaj³

¹*Department of Physics, Technikon Natal, PO Box 953, Durban 4000, South Africa*

²*School of Computer Science and Mathematics, Portsmouth University, Portsmouth PO1 2EG, England*

³*Department of Mathematics, University of Natal, Durban 4041, South Africa*

(30 September 1998)

Relaxational effects in stellar heat transport can in many cases be significant. Relativistic Fourier-Eckart theory is inherently quasi-stationary, and cannot incorporate these effects. The effects are naturally accounted for in causal relativistic thermodynamics, which provides an improved approximation to kinetic theory. Recent results, based on perturbations of a static star, show that relaxation effects can produce a significant increase in the central temperature and temperature gradient for a given luminosity. We use a simple stellar model that allows for non-perturbative deviations from staticity, and confirms qualitatively the predictions of the perturbative models.

Keywords: gravitational collapse – relaxation time

1 INTRODUCTION

The formation of compact astrophysical objects such as neutron stars and black holes is usually preceded by a period of radiative gravitational collapse in which neutrinos and photons carry energy to the exterior spacetime. The problem of radiative collapse was originally addressed by Oppenheimer and Snyder (1939), who investigated a collapsing dust sphere. Their idealised treatment has subsequently been improved via more physically realistic thermodynamical models.

Most work has been based on the standard Fourier-Eckart theory of heat transport (see, e.g., Grammenos, 1994). However, this theory is non-hyperbolic, and thus suffers from the pathologies of infinite propagation speed of thermal signals, and instability of all equilibrium states (Israel and Stewart, 1979, Hiscock and Lindblom, 1983). The causal (hyperbolic) generalization of standard thermodynamics, due to Israel and Stewart (1979), is based on an improved kinetic theory approximation, and avoids these pathologies. The causal behaviour results essentially from treating the heat flux as an independent dynamical quantity, on the same footing as the equilibrium quantities. As a consequence, non-quasi-stationary and transient relaxational effects are incorporated, expressed via the appearance of a time derivative of heat flux in the transport equation. By contrast, the standard quasi-stationary transport equation contains no time derivative; it is an algebraic relation for heat flux, incapable of accounting for relaxational effects.

In relativistic stellar collapse, strong deviations from quasi-stationary heat transport can occur, so that relaxation effects can be significant, as argued by Di Prisco et al. (1996) and Herrera and Santos (1997). Recently, a number of radiating collapse models with causal heat transport has been developed (Martinez, 1996, Di Prisco et al., 1996, Herrera and Santos, 1997, Herrera et al., 1997, Govender et al., 1998, Herrera and Martinez, 1998).¹

These models show that the causal theory can predict significantly different results when the relaxation time-scale is sufficiently large relative to other time-scales. Typically, for a given luminosity, causal corrections lead to a greater temperature gradient, and thus greater central temperature. In other words, non-quasi-stationary heat transport implies that a collapsing star with a given observed luminosity is hotter, and with greater temperature gradient, than predicted by quasi-stationary heat transport.

The role played by the relaxation time during radiative gravitational collapse was investigated by Herrera and Santos (1997), assuming that the spacetime metric is close to a static (and non-radiating) initial metric. Perturbation of the initial configuration is assumed to produce collapse without shearing. In this paper, we use a very simple shear-free collapse model with the same static limit as in Herrera and Santos (1997), but which allows for large deviations from the initial static metric. Although these deviations are very simple in form, they do allow us to provide a non-perturbative complementary approach to the perturbative model of Herrera and Santos. We are able to

¹ See also Trigriner and Pavon (1996) and Maartens et al. (1998) for cosmological models with causal heat flux.

find explicit analytic forms for the temperature for a simple relaxation time, using the methods of our previous work (Govender et al., 1998). We also consider the case of small relaxational effects, to find a perturbative solution for the temperature (without assuming that the metric is close to static). Our results confirm the increase of temperature and temperature gradient for a given luminosity.

2 SIMPLE MODEL

A shear-free isotropic stellar fluid has metric

$$ds^2 = -A^2(t, r)dt^2 + B^2(t, r) [dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2] ,$$

in comoving coordinates, where $d\Omega^2$ is the metric of the unit 2-sphere. The fluid 4-velocity is $u^a = A^{-1}\delta^a_0$, and its volume rate of expansion $\Theta = \nabla_a u^a$ is

$$\Theta = \frac{3\dot{B}}{AB} , \quad (1)$$

where an overdot denotes $\partial/\partial t$. Since the shear rate σ_{ab} vanishes, the expansion rates in the radial and tangential directions are the same, and equal to $\dot{B}/(BA)$. If the star is collapsing, then of course $\dot{B} < 0$.

Modelling the star as a perfect fluid with heat conduction (where the heat flux is provided principally by neutrinos), the energy-momentum tensor is

$$T_{ab} = (\rho + p)u_a u_b + pg_{ab} + q_a u_b + q_b u_a , \quad (2)$$

where ρ is the energy density, p is the pressure, and $q_a = qn_a$ is the heat flux, with n^a a unit radial vector.

Herrera and Santos (1997) assumed a shear-free perturbed metric of the form

$$ds^2 = -[A_0(r) + \epsilon a(r)Y(t)]^2 dt^2 + [B_0(r) + \epsilon b(r)Y(t)]^2 [dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2] , \quad (3)$$

where A_0 and B_0 describe the initial static star, and $\epsilon \ll 1$ is the perturbation parameter. It follows that (Bonnor et al., 1989)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, r) &= \rho_0(r) + \epsilon E(t, r) , \\ p(t, r) &= p_0(r) + \epsilon P(t, r) , \\ q(t, r) &= \epsilon Q(t, r) , \end{aligned}$$

where subscript zero denotes equilibrium quantities. The dynamical stability of this particular model has been studied extensively in the past by Chan et al. (1989) and Herrera et al. (1989), but a systematic thermodynamical treatment of the heat flux and temperature gradient was not carried out.

Here we consider an alternative approach to that of Herrera and Santos (1997), where we use a simple metric that accomodates large (though restricted) deviations from staticity, and that leads to simple analytic expressions for the important quantities. We use the model first proposed by de Oliveira et al. (1985, 1986):

$$ds^2 = -A_0^2(r)dt^2 + B_0^2(r)f^2(t) [dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2] . \quad (4)$$

It follows from equations (1) and (4) that the expansion rate is

$$\Theta = \frac{3}{A_0} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} . \quad (5)$$

Einstein's field equations for the metric (4) and the energy-momentum tensor (2) give (Bonnor et al., 1989)

$$\rho = \frac{1}{f^2} \left(\rho_0 + \frac{3}{A_0^2} \dot{f}^2 \right) , \quad (6)$$

$$p = \frac{1}{f^2} \left[p_0 - \frac{1}{A_0^2} (2f\ddot{f} + \dot{f}^2) \right] , \quad (7)$$

$$q = -\frac{2A_0'}{A_0^2 B_0} \frac{\dot{f}}{f^2} , \quad (8)$$

where a prime denotes $\partial/\partial r$, and the pressure isotropy condition

$$\left(\frac{A_0'}{A_0} + \frac{B_0'}{B_0}\right)' - \left(\frac{A_0'}{A_0} + \frac{B_0'}{B_0}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{A_0'}{A_0} + \frac{B_0'}{B_0}\right) + 2 \left(\frac{A_0'}{A_0}\right)^2 = 0. \quad (9)$$

In equations (6) and (7) we have introduced

$$\rho_0 = -\frac{1}{B_0^2} \left[2 \left(\frac{B_0'}{B_0}\right)' + \left(\frac{B_0'}{B_0}\right)^2 + \frac{4}{r} \frac{B_0'}{B_0} \right], \quad (10)$$

$$p_0 = \frac{1}{B_0^2} \left[\left(\frac{B_0'}{B_0}\right)^2 + 2 \frac{A_0'}{A_0} \frac{B_0'}{B_0} + \frac{2}{r} \left(\frac{A_0'}{A_0} + \frac{B_0'}{B_0}\right) \right], \quad (11)$$

which define the energy density and pressure of the initial static configuration before the onset of collapse. The functions $A_0(r)$ and $B_0(r)$ must satisfy the isotropy condition (9) in order to obtain a solution to the Einstein field equations with isotropic pressure. Failure to check this condition can invalidate a solution (see e.g. Govinder et al., 1998). Equations (6)–(8) together with (10) and (11) describe a radiating shear-free star with an initial static configuration.

The interior metric must be matched to the outgoing Vaidya metric across a time-like hypersurface Σ , described by $r = r_\Sigma = \text{constant}$ in comoving coordinates. The Vaidya (1953) metric is

$$ds^2 = - \left[1 - \frac{2m(v)}{R} \right] dv^2 - 2dv dR + R^2 d\Omega^2,$$

where $m(v)$ is the mass function. The static solution, before the onset of collapse at $t = -\infty$, matches the exterior Schwarzschild spacetime across Σ . In the static limit, the pressure p_0 vanishes at the boundary: $(p_0)_\Sigma = 0$. At late times the model becomes nonstatic and the pressure $(p)_\Sigma$ is nonzero in general because of the presence of heat flow (Santos, 1985). The junction conditions resulting from the matching of (4) to the outgoing Vaidya metric yield

$$(R)_\Sigma = (rB_0f)_\Sigma, \quad (12)$$

$$(p)_\Sigma = (q)_\Sigma, \quad (13)$$

$$[r(rB_0f)']_\Sigma = [\dot{v}(R - 2m) + \dot{R}R]_\Sigma, \quad (14)$$

$$m(v) = \left(\frac{r^3 B_0^3 f \dot{f}^2}{2A_0^2} - r^2 B_0' f - \frac{r^3 B_0'^2 f}{2B_0} \right)_\Sigma. \quad (15)$$

The proper radius of the star is

$$r_p(t) = \int_0^{r_\Sigma} B_0(r) f(t) dr. \quad (16)$$

Using the junction condition (13) together with (7) and (8), and taking into account $(p_0)_\Sigma = 0$, we obtain the evolution equation

$$2f\ddot{f} + \dot{f}^2 - 2af = 0. \quad (17)$$

The constant

$$a = \left(\frac{A_0'}{B_0} \right)_\Sigma \quad (18)$$

is positive because the static solution (A_0, B_0) must match with the exterior Schwarzschild metric. A first integral of (17) is given by

$$\dot{f} = -2a \left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{f}} - 1 \right),$$

where the constant of integration is $-2ab$. We choose $b = 1$ so that $\dot{f} \rightarrow 0$ when $f \rightarrow 1$ in the static limit. Thus

$$\dot{f} = -2 \left(\frac{A_0'}{B_0} \right)_\Sigma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} - 1 \right). \quad (19)$$

Note that p_Σ is nonnegative, so on using the result $(p_0)_\Sigma = 0$, together with equations (7) and (17), we obtain $\dot{f} \leq 0$. By equations (5) or (16), this implies that the only possible evolution is contraction. Also, equation (19) gives $|f| \leq 1$, and without loss of generality we assume f is non-negative, so that

$$0 \leq f(t) \leq 1. \quad (20)$$

Integrating equation (19) we obtain

$$t = \frac{1}{a} \left[\frac{1}{2} f + \sqrt{f} + \ln(1 - \sqrt{f}) \right], \quad (21)$$

where the constant of integration has been absorbed by rescaling $t \rightarrow t + \text{constant}$. This shows that f decreases monotonically from 1 at $t = -\infty$ to zero at $t = 0$, where a physical singularity is encountered, since $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ by equation (6). From equation (15) we can obtain the simpler expression

$$m(v) = \left[\frac{2a^2 r^3 B_0^3}{A_0^2} (1 - \sqrt{f})^2 + m_0 f \right]_\Sigma, \quad (22)$$

where the initial mass m_0 of the star is given by

$$m_0 = - \left(r^2 B_0' + r^3 \frac{B_0'^2}{2B_0} \right)_\Sigma, \quad (23)$$

since at $t = -\infty$ the model is static. In the infinite past we must match the static perfect fluid solution to the exterior Schwarzschild solution in isotropic coordinates and we obtain

$$(A_0')_\Sigma = \frac{m_0}{\mathcal{R}_0^2} \left(1 + \frac{m_0}{2r_\Sigma} \right)^2, \quad (24)$$

$$(B_0)_\Sigma = \left(1 + \frac{m_0}{2r_\Sigma} \right)^2, \quad (25)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = (rB_0)_\Sigma$$

is the initial luminosity radius. We can rewrite equation (18) as

$$a = \frac{m_0}{\mathcal{R}_0^2},$$

where m_0 is given by (23). The surface redshift z_Σ is

$$z_\Sigma = \left[\frac{r(B_0 r)' f + 2ar^2 B_0^2 A_0^{-1} \sqrt{f} (1 - \sqrt{f})}{rB_0 f - 2m} \right]_\Sigma - 1. \quad (26)$$

This becomes infinite at

$$r_h = \left(\frac{2m}{B_0 f} \right)_\Sigma, \quad (27)$$

which locates the horizon. By equations (8) and (19) we get

$$q = \frac{4aA_0'}{A_0^2 B_0} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{f}}{f^2 \sqrt{f}} \right). \quad (28)$$

We require $q > 0$, which implies that

$$A_0' > 0.$$

For a physically reasonable model the energy density and pressure must be decreasing functions of the radial coordinate. From equations (6) and (7) we can write the spatial gradients of the energy density and pressure as

$$\rho' = \rho_0' \frac{1}{f^2} - 24a^2 \frac{A_0'}{A_0^3} \frac{(1 - \sqrt{f})^2}{f^3}, \quad (29)$$

$$p' = p_0' \frac{1}{f^2} - 8a^2 \frac{A_0'}{A_0^3} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{f}}{f^2 \sqrt{f}} \right). \quad (30)$$

From these equations it follows that in order to have $\rho' < 0$ and $p' < 0$, we must have $\rho_0' < 0$ and $p_0' < 0$ for the initial static configuration. It has been shown by Bonnor et al. (1989) that the strong energy condition is satisfied throughout the collapse if the initial static configuration satisfies the condition

$$\rho_0 - 3p_0 \geq \frac{3a^2}{A_0^2} \quad (31)$$

at $r = 0$.

3 CAUSAL HEAT TRANSPORT

We now investigate the evolution of the temperature profile using the causal thermodynamics developed by Israel and Stewart (1979). The causal relativistic transport equation is

$$\tau h_a{}^b u^c \nabla_c q_b + q_a = -\kappa (h_a{}^b \nabla_b T + T u^b \nabla_b u_a), \quad (32)$$

where $h_a{}^b = \delta_a{}^b + u_a u^b$ is the projection tensor into the comoving rest space, T is the local equilibrium temperature, κ is the thermal conductivity and τ is the relaxational time-scale. Setting $\tau = 0$ in (32) we obtain the non-causal Fourier-Eckart law for quasi-stationary heat transport, which leads to pathological behaviour in the propagation velocity of thermal signals.

For the metrics (3) and (4), equation (32) reduces to

$$\tau \dot{q} + Aq = -\frac{\kappa}{B} (AT)'. \quad (33)$$

Taking $\tau \approx 10^{-4}$ s, which corresponds to the early stages of the evolution of a neutron star, Herrera and Santos (1997) found that the magnitude of the temperature gradient was enhanced by 10 per cent as compared to the case $\tau = 0$. For a temperature of approximately 10^6 K and a thermal propagation velocity $v \approx 10^3$ cm/s, Di Prisco et al. (1996) obtained $\tau \approx 10^2$ s. Using this value as an upper bound on the relaxation time, Herrera and Santos found that the temperature gradient is five orders greater than the corresponding non-causal case. In general, they concluded that for a given luminosity, the associated temperature gradient is enhanced by relaxational effects, and the enhancement grows with increasing relaxation times. (This qualitative conclusion is confirmed by Di Prisco et al., 1996, and Govender et al., 1998.)

In this section we perform a similar analysis but with the simple non-perturbative metric (4). For a physically reasonable model, we assume that heat is carried to the exterior spacetime by thermally generated neutrinos having long mean free paths through the stellar core. The thermal conductivity is then given by the radiative transfer form (Martinez, 1996)

$$\kappa = \gamma T^3 \tau_c, \quad (34)$$

where $\gamma (\geq 0)$ is a constant and τ_c is the mean collision time for neutrino-matter interactions. These interactions are primarily due to electron-neutrino scattering and nucleon absorption. Following Govender et al. (1998), we assume a mean collision time of form

$$\tau_c = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \right) T^{-\sigma}, \quad (35)$$

where $\alpha (\geq 0)$ and $\sigma (\geq 0)$ are constants. For thermal neutrino transport, Martinez (1996) argues that $\sigma \approx \frac{3}{2}$. The mean collision time decreases with growing temperature except for the special case $\sigma = 0$, when it is constant. The

special case of constant τ_c was investigated by Govender et al. (1998) for acceleration-free gravitational collapse. By adopting a similar approach, we investigate the relaxation effects when the acceleration is nonzero. The relaxation time-scale in causal radiative transfer (Udey and Israel, 1982, Schweizer, 1988) is taken as equal to the mean collision time. A simple generalization

$$\tau = \left(\frac{\beta\gamma}{\alpha} \right) \tau_c, \quad (36)$$

where β (≥ 0) is a constant, allows both for cases where the relaxation time-scale is greater than the collision time-scale ($\beta\gamma/\alpha > 1$) (Maartens and Triginer, 1998), and for the case of perturbative deviations from the quasi-stationary case, i.e. $\beta\gamma/\alpha \ll 1$. The degenerate case $\beta = 0$ recovers the non-causal transport law.

With these assumptions, the transport equation (33) implies

$$(A_0 T)^{3-\sigma} (A_0 T)' - 2 \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\dot{f}}{f} - 2 \frac{\dot{f}^2}{f^2} \right) A_0 A_0' (A_0 T)^{-\sigma} = \frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} A_0^{2-\sigma} A_0', \quad (37)$$

where $f(t)$ is implicitly determined by equation (21). Equation (37) is a first order radial equation in $A_0 T$, which is solved in principle once $A_0(r)$ is specified and boundary conditions are given to determine the time-function of integration. The effective surface temperature as determined by an external observer is given in general by Misner (1969); for the metric (4) this leads to

$$(T^4)_\Sigma = \left[\frac{1}{\xi(r B_0 f)^2} \right]_\Sigma L_\infty, \quad (38)$$

where ξ is a constant, and L_∞ is the total luminosity for an observer at infinity. This can be found using the general form in Bonnor et al. (1989):

$$L_\infty = 2a^2 \left(\frac{B_0^2 r^2}{A_0^2} \right)_\Sigma \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{f}}{\sqrt{f}} \right) \frac{1}{(1 + z_\Sigma)^2}, \quad (39)$$

where the surface redshift z_Σ is given by equation (26).

Equations (38) and (39) determine the time-function of integration of the temperature equation (37). In the non-causal case $\beta = 0$, the temperature equation is readily solved. Writing \tilde{T} for the non-causal temperature, we find

$$(A_0 \tilde{T})^{4-\sigma} = \frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\frac{4-\sigma}{3-\sigma} \right) \frac{\dot{f}}{f} A_0^{3-\sigma} + \mathcal{F} \quad \text{for } \sigma \neq 3, 4, \quad (40)$$

$$A_0 \tilde{T} = \frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} \ln A_0 + \mathcal{F} \quad \text{for } \sigma = 3, \quad (41)$$

$$\ln(A_0 \tilde{T}) = -\frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} A_0^{-1} + \mathcal{F} \quad \text{for } \sigma = 4, \quad (42)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(t)$ is determined from the surface conditions via equations (26), (38) and (39). For example, if $\sigma = 0$, then we find

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \left\{ \frac{2a^2 A_0^4 (1 - \sqrt{f})}{\xi r^2 f^3 \sqrt{f}} \left[\frac{r B_0 f - 2m}{A_0 (B_0 r)' \sqrt{f} + 2a r B_0^2 (1 - \sqrt{f})} \right]^2 - \frac{8}{3\alpha} A_0^3 \frac{\dot{f}}{f} \right\}_\Sigma. \quad (43)$$

The temperature equation (37) is difficult to solve in the general causal case $\beta > 0$. However, we can find a perturbative solution in the case of small relaxational effects, and we can also find an exact solution in the case $\sigma = 0$, i.e. when the mean collision time is constant. This assumption may be reasonable over short periods of time.

3.1 Small relaxational effects

For a qualitative estimate of how relaxation effects change the predictions of the quasi-stationary theory, we consider the case of small relaxation parameter $\beta\gamma/\alpha$, and solve equation (37) perturbatively. We write

$$T = \tilde{T} + \left(\frac{\beta\gamma}{\alpha}\right) S + O\left[\left(\frac{\beta\gamma}{\alpha}\right)^2\right],$$

where the quasi-stationary temperature \tilde{T} is given by equations (40)–(42). In order to make sensible comparisons, we require the luminosities, and thus the surface temperatures, to coincide, so that

$$S_{\Sigma} = 0.$$

To first order, the temperature equation (37) gives

$$(A_0 S)' + (3 - \sigma) \frac{(A_0 \tilde{T})'}{(A_0 \tilde{T})} (A_0 S) = \frac{2}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\ddot{f}}{f} - 2 \frac{\dot{f}^2}{f^2} \right) (A_0 \tilde{T})^{-3} A_0 A_0'.$$

This linear equation in $A_0 S$ has solution

$$(A_0 S) = -\frac{2}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\ddot{f}}{f} - 2 \frac{\dot{f}^2}{f^2} \right) (A_0 \tilde{T})^{\sigma-3} \int_r^{r_{\Sigma}} (A_0 \tilde{T})^{-\sigma} A_0 A_0' dr, \quad (44)$$

where \tilde{T} is given in equations (40)–(42). Now it follows from equations (17)–(19) that

$$\frac{\ddot{f}}{f} - 2 \frac{\dot{f}^2}{f^2} = a \frac{\dot{f}}{f^2} \left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{f}} - 4 \right),$$

which is always negative, since $0 \leq f \leq 1$ and $\dot{f} < 0$. Thus we see that

$$S > 0,$$

so that small relaxational effects lead to an increase in the temperature into the interior, consistent with the results of Herrera and Santos (1997).

For the case of thermal neutrino transport, where $\sigma = \frac{3}{2}$, we can give explicit analytic forms for the relaxational temperature correction. By equation (40)

$$(A_0 \tilde{T})^{5/2} = \frac{10}{3\alpha} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} A_0^{3/2} + \mathcal{F}(t).$$

We find \mathcal{F} from the surface conditions via equations (26), (38) and (39)

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \left\{ \left[\frac{2a^2 A_0^4 (1 - \sqrt{f})}{\xi r^2} \frac{1}{f^3 \sqrt{f}} \left(\frac{r B_0 f - 2m}{A_0 (B_0 r)' \sqrt{f} + 2ar B_0^2 (1 - \sqrt{f})} \right)^2 \right]^{5/8} - \frac{10}{3\alpha} A_0^{3/2} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} \right\}_{\Sigma}. \quad (45)$$

Now the integral in equation (44) becomes

$$\int_0^{r_{\Sigma}} \left[\frac{10}{3\alpha} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} A_0^{3/2} + \mathcal{F}(t) \right]^{-3/5} A_0 dA_0 = \left\{ \frac{3\alpha}{11} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} A_0^{1/2} \left[\frac{10}{3\alpha} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} A_0^{3/2} + \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}^{2/5} \mathbf{F} \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{3}, -\frac{10}{3\alpha \mathcal{F}} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} A_0^{3/2} \right) \right] \right\}_0^{r_{\Sigma}},$$

where \mathcal{F} is given by equation (45) and $\mathbf{F}(a, b, c, z)$ is the hypergeometric function. Thus, collecting the above results, we have the explicit analytic form for the causal temperature correction in the case of thermal neutrino transport with small relaxation timescale:

$$S = \frac{2}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\ddot{f}}{f} - 2 \frac{\dot{f}^2}{f^2} \right) (A_0 \tilde{T})^{\sigma-3} \left\{ \frac{3\alpha}{11} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} A_0^{1/2} \left[\frac{10}{3\alpha} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} A_0^{3/2} + \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}^{2/5} \mathbf{F} \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{3}, -\frac{10}{3\alpha \mathcal{F}} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} A_0^{3/2} \right) \right] \right\}_0^{r_{\Sigma}}.$$

3.2 Constant collision time approximation

For $\sigma = 0$, equation (37) integrates to give

$$T^4 = \frac{\mathcal{F}(t)}{A_0^4} + 4 \frac{\beta}{\alpha A_0^2} \left[\frac{\dot{f}}{f} - 2 \frac{\dot{f}^2}{f^2} \right] + \frac{8}{3\alpha A_0} \frac{\dot{f}}{f}, \quad (46)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(t)$ is a function of integration. Using equations (46), (38), (39) and (26) we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \left\{ \frac{2a^2 A_0^4 (1 - \sqrt{f})}{\xi r^2} \frac{1}{f^3 \sqrt{f}} \left[\frac{r B_0 f - 2m}{A_0 (B_0 r)' \sqrt{f} + 2ar B_0^2 (1 - \sqrt{f})} \right]^2 - 4 \frac{\beta A_0^2}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\dot{f}}{f} - 2 \frac{\dot{f}^2}{f^2} \right) - \frac{8}{3\alpha} A_0^3 \frac{\dot{f}}{f} \right\}_\Sigma.$$

Hence we can finally write the temperature as

$$T^4 = -\frac{8a^2 \beta}{\alpha f^3} \left[\frac{1}{A_0^2} - \frac{(A_0^2)_\Sigma}{A_0^4} \right] (5 + 4f - 9\sqrt{f}) - \frac{16a}{f\sqrt{f}} (1 - \sqrt{f}) \left[\frac{1}{A_0} - \frac{(A_0^3)_\Sigma}{A_0^4} \right] + \left\{ \frac{2a^2}{\xi} A_0^4 \frac{(1 - \sqrt{f})}{f^3 \sqrt{f} r^2} \left[\frac{r B_0 f - 2m}{A_0 (B_0 r)' \sqrt{f} + 2ar B_0^2 (1 - \sqrt{f})} \right]^2 \right\}_\Sigma. \quad (47)$$

If we set $\beta = 0$ then (47) becomes

$$\tilde{T}^4 = -\frac{16a}{f\sqrt{f}} (1 - \sqrt{f}) \left[\frac{1}{A_0} - \frac{(A_0^3)_\Sigma}{A_0^4} \right] + \left\{ \frac{2a^2}{\xi} A_0^4 \frac{(1 - \sqrt{f})}{f^3 \sqrt{f} r^2} \left[\frac{r B_0 f - 2m}{A_0 (B_0 r)' \sqrt{f} + 2ar B_0^2 (1 - \sqrt{f})} \right]^2 \right\}_\Sigma, \quad (48)$$

where \tilde{T} is the noncausal temperature. This is exactly the temperature obtained by de Oliveira and Santos (1985) when considering shear-free stellar models in the noncausal theory. Our expression for the temperature in (47) differs by the additional term involving β .

4 CONCLUSIONS

Using a very simple shearfree stellar metric, we have investigated relaxational effects on the temperature in a radiating collapsing star in the non-perturbative regime relative to the static limit. Our results are in this sense a non-perturbative (though restrictive, due to the simplicity of the metric) complement to the perturbative results of Herrera and Santos (1997), whose model necessarily remains close to the static limit.

In the case of small relaxation time-scale but possibly large deviations from staticity, we were able to confirm in section 3.1 the increase in interior temperature that results from causal heat transport. In other words, heat transport that respects relativistic causality requires that the interior of a star must be hotter than predicted by quasi-stationary, non-causal transport, for a given luminosity. We also found the explicit analytic form of the temperature correction in terms of hypergeometric functions.

In the case of unrestricted relaxation timescale (section 3.2), we were able to find analytic forms only for the constant collision-time regime. A detailed analysis of the causal temperature T in (47) is not easy because of the presence of the arbitrary functions A_0 and B_0 . However it is possible to make a few general qualitative statements about the behaviour of T . It is easy to see that the causal and noncausal temperatures are different in the interior of the star.

In particular we note that the causal temperature is higher for a given luminosity than the noncausal temperature for each interior point of the star since

$$4f - 9\sqrt{f} + 5 > 0.$$

Here we have used the fact that $0 < f < 1$ and the result

$$1 - \frac{(A_0^2)_\Sigma}{A_0^2} < 0,$$

which follows since $A_0' > 0$ in the interior of the star. The parameter β determines the deviation from the noncausal temperature \tilde{T} . For small values of $\beta\gamma/\alpha$, the causal temperature is similar to the noncausal temperature \tilde{T} , but as relaxational effects grow for larger values of $\beta\gamma/\alpha$, T and \tilde{T} deviate substantially.

The causal and noncausal temperatures coincide on the surface of the radiating star:

$$(T)_\Sigma = (\tilde{T})_\Sigma.$$

By equation (47) we note that the surface temperature T_Σ becomes zero at $t = -\infty$ and at the time of the formation of the horizon given by

$$f = \frac{2m}{(rB_0)_\Sigma}.$$

This is also the case for the noncausal theory, from equation (47). Note that

$$\kappa(T)(A_0T)' - \kappa(\tilde{T})(A_0\tilde{T})' = -(B_0f\tau)\dot{q}. \quad (49)$$

Using equations (34) and (35) we can rewrite equation (49) as

$$(\mathcal{T}^{4-\sigma})' - (\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^{4-\sigma})' = -\frac{4-\sigma}{\alpha} (A_0^{3-\sigma} B_0 f \tau) \dot{q}, \quad (50)$$

where we have defined $\mathcal{T} = A_0T$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = A_0\tilde{T}$. We can immediately see that the relative spatial gradient of \mathcal{T} is greater than that of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$, since f and \dot{q} are positive. Equation (50) is similar to the result of Govender et al. (1998). However in that case the acceleration was vanishing and the model had a Friedmann limit. In the present model there is nonzero acceleration and the model has a static limit at $t = -\infty$.

To conclude we observe that the line element (3) used by Herrera and Santos is more general than (4). However, we were in a position to investigate the evolution of the temperature profile analytically. Using the causal transport equation for heat flow we were able to demonstrate the role played by the relaxation time in radiative gravitational collapse, providing a non-perturbative support for the results of Herrera and Santos. Looking to the future, a more realistic analytic model of dissipative gravitational collapse would need to incorporate the effects of viscosity and shear. It would be interesting to investigate the relaxational effects of such a model especially during the late stages of collapse when the temperatures can be of the order of 10^9K (Shapiro and Teukolsky, 1983).

- [1] Bonnor W.B., de Oliveira A.K.G. and Santos N.O., 1989, Phys. Rep., 181, 269
- [2] Chan R., Kichenassamy S., Le Denmat G. and Santos N.O., 1989, MNRAS, 239, 91
- [3] de Oliveira A.K.G. and Santos N.O., 1985, MNRAS, 216, 1001
- [4] de Oliveira A.K.G., de F Pacheo J.A. and Santos N.O., 1986, MNRAS, 220, 405
- [5] Di Prisco A., Herrera L. and Esculpi M., 1996, Class. Quantum Grav., 13, 1053
- [6] Govender M., Maharaj S.D. and Maartens R., 1998, Class. Quantum Grav., 15, 323
- [7] Govinder K.S., Govender M. and Maartens R., 1998, MNRAS, 299, 809
- [8] Grammenos T., 1994, Astrophys. Space Sci., 211, 31
- [9] Herrera L., Le Denmat G. and Santos N.O., 1989, MNRAS, 237, 257
- [10] Herrera L. and Santos N.O., 1997, MNRAS, 287, 161
- [11] Herrera L., Di Prisco A., Hernandez-Pastora J.L., Martin J. and Martinez J., 1997, Class. Quantum Grav., 14,
- [12] Herrera L. and Martinez J., 1998, Gen. Rel. Grav., 30,
- [13] Hiscock W. and Lindblom L., 1983, Ann. Phys., 151, 466
- [14] Israel W. and Stewart J.M., 1979, Ann. Phys., 118, 341
- [15] Maartens R., Govender M. and Maharaj S.D., 1998, Gen. Rel. Grav., 30, in press
- [16] Maartens R. and Triginer J., 1998, Phys. Rev. D, to appear (astro-ph/9802074)

- [17] Martinez J., 1996, *Phys. Rev. D*, 53, 1
- [18] Misner C.W. 1969, *Astrophysics and General Relativity*, Chretein M., Deser S. and Goldstein J., eds., Gordon and Breach: NY
- [19] Oppenheimer J.R. and Snyder H., 1939, *Phys. Rev. D*, 56, 455
- [20] Santos N.O., 1985, *MNRAS*, 216, 403
- [21] Schweizer M.A., 1988, *Ann. Phys.* 183, 80
- [22] Shapiro S.L. and Teukolsky S.A., 1983, *Black Holes, White Dwarfs and Neutron Stars*, Wiley-Interscience, New York
- [23] Triginer J. and Pavon D., 1995, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 12, 689
- [24] Udey N. and Israel W., 1982, *MNRAS* 199, 1137
- [25] Vaidya P.C., 1953, *Nature*, 171, 260