arXiv:gr-qc/9802071v1 27 Feb 1998

UCR HEP-T184 Is It Possible to Disentangle an Entangled Quantum State?

Shu Yuan Chu

Physics Department, University of California, Riverside, California 92521

Experimental tests of the suggestion that the generalization of Wheeleer and Feynman's time symmetric system is the dynamical basis underlying quantum mechanics are considered. In a time-symmetric system, the instantaneous correlations exhibited by two spatially separated particles in an entangled state can be established through other particles, and can reveal advanced interaction effects. In particular, the existence of advanced gravity waves may be detectable through suitable arrangements at the Laser Interferometer Gravitation-Wave Observatory.

One of the most fundamental predictions of quantum mechanics is the correlations between particles in an entangled quantum state in an Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen type experiment. The concept of causality, that cause must precede its effect, is one of the most fundamental principles in physics, firmly established by innumerable observations. It is therefore a truly surprising result, although perhaps not generally perceived as such, that Wheeler and Feynman were able to show that the time-symmetric electrodynamics, where the advanced interaction is equal in strength to the causal retarded interaction, is a viable alternative to the usual theory containing only the retarded interaction [1]. By imposing the complete absorber boundary condition, which requires that there are enough absorbers to absorb all the radiation in the system, they demonstrated that the effect of the advanced electromagnetic interaction on a test charge is completely canceled, except for the radiation reaction on an accelerated one. In their time-symmetric theory, the advanced interaction is the crucial ingredient for producing the radiation reaction, which is the only manifestation of the advanced interaction identified by Wheeler and Feynman. Because the radiation reaction is required in the usual theory by energy considerations, it cannot be taken as decisive evidence for the existence of advanced interaction.

With the benefit of hindsight we must say that it is unfortunate that Wheeler and Feynman did not pursue other possible manifestations of the advanced interaction. The reason is what one might loosely denote as the "quantum non-locality" problem, which was finally formulated precisely by Bell in 1964 [2]. Bell showed that quantum mechanics demands the violation of Bell's inequality, which implies that there are instantaneous correlations between particles separated by nonzero spatial distances. These instantaneous correlations on the surface violate the combined requirement of causality and the relativity principle that interactions propagate with a finite maximum velocity. This difficulty can be overcome in the time-symmetric system.

When both retarded and advanced interactions are present, instantaneous correlations between two spatially separated particles can be established through other particles. The simplest way is to have a third paticle, which correlates with one of the two particles through the advanced interaction and with the other one through the retarded interaction. As long as it takes an equal amount of time for the interaction to travel between the third particle and each of the two particles in question, instantaneous correlations will be established. More complicated ways involving a larger number of particles are also possible provided that the correlations established are instantaneous. This last condition singles out a small fraction of the total number of particles available in the system.

If Wheeler and Feynman had pursued the possible manifestations of the advanced interaction further, it would have been likely for them to conclude that there are instantaneous correlations between two particles in their time-symmetric system. Because only a small fraction of the particles in the system are involved in establishing these correlations, bypassing the complete absorber condition, the effect of the advanced interaction is not completely canceled in this situation. If the possible existence of instantaneous correlations had been suggested as a prediction of the time-symmetric electrodynamics in the late 1940s, long before Bell's insightful analysis, the subsequent experimental detection [3] of such correlations would then be considered as the verification of the prediction of the time-symmetric system rather than that of quantum mechanics. The fact that, quantum mechanics, later on, also was found to predict such instantaneous correlations through the violations of Bell's inequality, would only provide a strong argument for the suggestion that the time symmetric system is a possible dynamic basis underlying quantum mechanics [4].

Since it is impossible to change the historical sequence of events, how can we test whether the time-symmetric system is a possible dynamic basis of quantum mechanics at this late date? One way is to search for manifestations of the advanced interaction not predicted by quantum mechanics already. An obvious area to consider is gravity, where the complete quantum mechanical theory is not yet available.

When Wheeler and Feynman proposed their time-symmetric electrodynamics, Einstein remarked that he saw nothing wrong with their proposal but did not know how to apply it to gravity [5]. In their proposal, there are only charged particles interacting directly among each other with a finite velocity of propagation for the interactions. It is this distinct feature of direct interaction that demands the existence of the advanced interaction with strength equal to that of the retarded interaction. The electromagnetic field emerges as an "adjunct field" that summarizes all the information about the motion of the charged particles. The recent development of string theories, capable of unifying gravity with other interactions, raises the expectation that the natural generalization of the theory of Wheeler and Feynman from point particles to strings may lead to a time-symmetric theory of gravity [6]. The gravitational field will then also emerge as an "adjunct" field summarizing the behavior of the strings.

As an initial step to choose between the time-symmetric approach and the conventional causal theory, we would like to examine whether there is any experimental evidence against the existence of advanced gravitational interaction. If it is not precluded by existing experimental results, then we would like to explore the possibility of detecting the advanced gravitational interaction experimentally. In the final analysis this is how we can decide which approach is the better choice without being influenced by any preconceived preferences.

In any experiments involving only a static gravitational field, one cannot distinguish between the advanced or the retarded choices. All five classic tests of general relativity: the gravitational redshift of spectral lines, the deflection of light by the sun, the precession of the perihelia of the orbits of the inner planets, the time delay of radar echoes passing the sun, and the precession of a gyroscope in orbit around the earth, to a good approximation are carried out in a static field [7]. Staying within the experimental capabilities available in the near future, we conclude that only gravity-wave experiments are left to be examined.

The only existing indirect evidence of gravity waves is the famous discovery of Taylor and Hulse [8]. Their observation of the ever shortening separation between a whirling pair of neutron stars measures the radiation reaction of the gravity waves radiated by the binary system. As mentioned above, in Wheeler and Feynman's electrodynamics, the radiation reaction depends critically on the existence of the advanced interaction. Hence, the experimental results of Taylor and Hulse do not provide any evidence against the existence of advanced gravity waves. Next, let us consider experiments that directly detect the gravity waves.

In order to detect the advanced gravity waves, the effect of the advanced gravitational interaction on the detector clearly must not be completely canceled. Wheeler and Feynman

analyzed the possibility of incomplete cancellation of the effect of the advanced interaction in general, and they concluded that there is no logical inconsistency [1]. The complete cancellation of the effect of the advanced interaction on a test charge in the electromagnetic case, depends on the complete absorber condition *and* the fact that the retarded field is a solution of Maxwell's homogeneous equations [9]. This latter condition is not satisfied in the gravity case. It is therefore possible that the effect of the advanced gravitational interaction is not completely canceled, and there are detectable advanced gravity waves.

How can one detect these advanced gravity waves? The most unambiguous signature of advanced gravity waves in a time-symmetric theory is the detection of correlated signals separated by time intervals equal to 2T, where T is the time of travel between the source and the detector of the gravity wave. Since the distances between the detectable sources of gravity waves and the earth are expected to be billions of light years, this is clearly not a practical way to proceed.

If the location of the gravity-wave source is known, two or more detectors placed at different distances from the source can distinguish between the advanced and the retarded waves. The retarded wave, which is outgoing from the source, will reach the detector nearest to the source before it reaches the detectors farther away. The advanced wave, which is incoming, will reverse the order of the sequence of events.

Perhaps the most exciting opportunity to detect the advanced gravity waves from sources of unknown location will be at the Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory, or LIGO, where multiple detectors are expected to be operational at different locations around the globe in the first decade of the next century [10]. From the details of the signals seen by the detectors, consisting of the outputs of their photodiodes, it will be possible to deduce the location of the source and the waveforms of the gravity wave for each of the two polarizations [11]. These capabilities should make it possible to decide whether the signal corresponds to a retarded wave or an advanced wave. For instance, consider the gravity-wave signal from the coalescence of two black holes. The gravity waveforms of the initial inspiral phase and final ringdown phase of the coalescing process are understood from the solutions of the Einstein field equation (those from the middle coalescence phase are not yet understood). The waveforms from the inspiral phase are expected to oscillate with gradually growing amplitude and frequency, while those from the ringdown phase to oscillate with fixed frequency and gradually dying amplitude [11]. If the signal corresponding to the inspiral phase arrives before that from the ringdown phase, it is a retarded wave. If the order of arrival of the signals is reversed, it is an advanced wave. The point to be stressed here is that the signals from the advanced waves should be comparable in strength to those from the conventional retarded waves except for the reversed order of arrivals, therefore equally detectable by LIGO.

The experimental detection of the advanced gravity waves is a crucial test of the suggestion that the time-symmeteric system is the dynamical basis underlying quantum mechanics. We look forward to the time when the debate about the solution to the "quantum measurement" problem can be resolved experimentally rather than philosophically.

Acknowledgment: It is a great pleasure to thank Professors F.Cummings, B.R.Desai, S.Y.Fung, J.G.Layter, J.G.Nickel, B.C.Shen, G.J.Van Dalen, and C.H.Woo for many helpful discussions.

References

- 1. J.A.Wheeler and R.P.Feynman, Rev.Mod.Phys. **21**,425 (1949).
- 2. J.S.Bell, Physics, 1, 195 (1964).
- 3. A.Aspect, J.Dalibard and G. Roger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49,1804 (1982).
- 4. J.G.Cramer, Rev.Mod.Phys.**58**,647 (1986); Int.J.Theor.Phys.**27**, 227(1988). S.Y.Chu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2847 (1993).

5. The remark was quoted in R.P.Feynman, *Surely You're Joking*, *Mr. Feynman!* (Norton, 1985),p.80.

6. The development of a time-symmetric theory of gravity was not pursued in the early effort at generalization: M.Kalb and P.Ramond, Phys.Rev.**D9**,2273. For some preliminary results of this development see S.Y.Chu, gr-qc/9802070.

7. S. Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology (Wiley, 1972), Chapter 8.

8. R.A.Hulse and J.H.Taylor, Astrophys.J.195,L51 (1975). J.H.Taylor and J.M.Weisberg, Astrophys.J.345, 434 (1989).

9. R.P.Feynman, Phys.Rev. 74,939 (1948), footnote No. 6.

10. A.Abramovici et al., Science **256**, 325 (1992).

11. For detailed descriptions, see K.S.Thorne, *Black Holes and Time Warps* (Norton, 1994), pp.378-396.