

Gravity and Duality between Coordinates and Matter Fields

I. V. Vancea*

Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista

Rua Pamplona 145, 01405-900 São Paulo - SP

and

Grupo de Física Teórica, Universidade Católica de Petrópolis (UCP)

Rua Barão do Amazonas, 124, 25.685-000, Petrópolis-RJ, Brazil

03.65 Bz, 02.40.-k, 05.70.-a, 11.30.-j

arXiv:gr-qc/9801072v3 24 Mar 2000

Typeset using REVTeX

*e-mail: ivancea@ift.unesp.br,vancea@cbpf.br

On leave from Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj, Romania

Abstract

We use the duality between the local Cartesian coordinates and the solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation to parametrize locally the spacetime in terms of wave functions and prepotentials. The components of metric, metric connection, curvature as well as the Einstein equation are given in this parametrization. We also discuss the local duality between coordinates and quantum fields and the metric in this later reparametrization.

As was recently shown in [1], the Cartesian coordinates of flat spacetime can be interpreted as functionals on solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation and some other functionals called prepotentials [2–4]. This duality between coordinates and matter fields allows, on one hand, to give a statistical interpretation to the spacetime coordinates in classical quantum mechanics [1] (see also [5,6].) On the other hand, it suggests that the measurements performed in terms of coordinates could be expressed in terms of fields, too. This idea might be useful, for example, at Planck scale where the fields represent practically the unique physical objects that can play the role of measuring devices. However, at Planck scale the effects of gravity are rather strong. Therefore, it is important to understand the duality between coordinates and fields in the presence of gravity (for recent attempts to formulate the gravity and supergravity in terms of quantum quantities see [7–11].) The conditions under which this duality can hold locally on a curved spacetime manifold were discussed in [12]. The relations that describe the duality actually give a local parametrization of spacetime in terms of solutions of the Kaluza-Klein equation and prepotentials. If one would try to express the spacetime measurements in terms of coordinate-field duality, one should also include the gravitational phenomenon in this picture. Thus, it is natural to ask what is the representation of the Einstein equations in this parametrization. This is the aim of the present letter. Also, we will discuss the case when the fields are quantized and we will analyse the local consequences of quantum coordinate-field duality on the metric of spacetime.

In order to derive the Einstein equations from coordinate-field duality we firstly note that there is a relationship between one-forms on spacetime manifold M and the differentials of the fields [1]. This relationship is induced diffeomorphism like and it allows us to write the metric, the metric connection, the curvature and the Ricci tensor in terms of fields and prepotentials.¹ A second remark is that we can always pick up a local Cartesian coordinate system, according to the principle of equivalence, and define the coordinate-fields duality in this reference frame. Any other local coordinate system can be obtained from the Cartesian one by a coordinate transformation. The advantage of choosing local Cartesian coordinates is that in this case we can use the simple duality relations given in [1]. The price to be paid for this simplicity is that the construction is purely local since, in general, a Cartesian coordinate system fails to exist globally.

Let us start with a spacetime manifold M endowed with a metric fields g and a scalar field ϕ that satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation. In an open neighbourhood U of a point $P \in M$ we pick up a local Cartesian coordinate system $\{x^\alpha\}$, $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ in which the metric is diagonal $g_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \eta_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ and the Klein-Gordon equation takes the form $(\square_x + m^2)\phi(x) = 0$. Then according to [1] the following duality relations hold in U

$$\frac{\sqrt{2m}}{\hbar}x^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\phi^{(\alpha)}\frac{\partial\mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)}[\phi^{(\alpha)}]}{\partial\phi^{(\alpha)}} - \mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)} \quad (1)$$

for $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Here, $\mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)}[\phi^{(\alpha)}]$ are the prepotentials defined by

$$\tilde{\phi}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\partial\mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)}[\phi^{(\alpha)}]}{\partial\phi^{(\alpha)}} \quad (2)$$

and $\phi^{(\alpha)}$ and $\tilde{\phi}^{(\alpha)}$ are two linearly independent solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation that depend on the coordinates x^α . The coordinates x^β with $\beta \neq \alpha$ enter $\phi^{(\alpha)}$ and $\tilde{\phi}^{(\alpha)}$ as parameters. The prepotential $\mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)}$ satisfies a nonlinear differential equation in U [1]

¹The map between one-forms on spacetime and differentials of fields is not an induced diffeomorphism since the duality involves prepotentials beside coordinates and fields. That is a consequence of the fact that in local Cartesian coordinates there are two linearly independent solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation on each direction.

$$4\mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)''''} + [V^{(\alpha)}(x^\alpha) + m^2](\phi^{(\alpha)}\mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)''} - \mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)'})^3 = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $' = \partial/\partial\phi^{(\alpha)}$ and the potential $V^{(\alpha)}(x^\alpha)$ has the following form

$$V^{(\alpha)}(x^\alpha) = \left[\frac{1}{\phi(x)} \sum_{\beta=0, \beta \neq \alpha}^{n-1} \partial^\beta \partial_\beta \phi(x) \right]_{|x^{\beta \neq \alpha} \text{ fixed}}. \quad (4)$$

As a consequence of (1) the following relations between the derivatives and differentials with respect to $\{x^\alpha\}$ and $\{\phi^{(\alpha)}\}$, respectively, hold

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{(8m)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\hbar} \frac{1}{E^{(\alpha)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^{(\alpha)}} \quad (5)$$

$$dx^\alpha = \frac{\hbar}{(8m)^{\frac{1}{2}}} E^{(\alpha)} d\phi^{(\alpha)}, \quad (6)$$

where $E^{(\alpha)} = \phi^{(\alpha)}\mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)''} - \mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)'}$. The relations (5) and (6) represent an induced parametrization on the spaces $T_P(U)$ and $T_P^*(U)$, respectively. One should keep in mind for later manipulations that there is no summation over α in the r.h.s. of (5) and (6). Using the linearity of the metric tensor field (see for example [13]) it is easy to see that the components of metric in the $\{(\phi^{(\alpha)}, \mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)})\}$ parametrization are given by

$$G_{\alpha\beta}(\phi) = \frac{\hbar^2}{8m} E^{(\alpha)} E^{(\beta)} \eta_{\alpha\beta}(x). \quad (7)$$

Now let us take a general coordinate system z^μ , $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ in U , and let us denote the coordinate transformation matrices by

$$A_\mu^\alpha = \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^\mu}, \quad (A^{-1})_\alpha^\mu = \frac{\partial z^\mu}{\partial x^\alpha}. \quad (8)$$

The components of the metric in the new coordinate system are given by

$$g_{\mu\nu}(z) = \frac{8m}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{E^{(\alpha)} E^{(\beta)}} A_\mu^\alpha A_\nu^\beta G_{\alpha\beta}(\phi), \quad (9)$$

where the summation over α and β is performed. The components of metric connection can be computed using the formula

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho(z) = \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma}(z) \sum_P \epsilon_P P \left[\frac{\partial g_{\sigma\nu}(z)}{\partial z^\mu} \right], \quad (10)$$

where P is a cyclic permutation of the ordered set of indices $\{\sigma\nu\mu\}$ and ϵ_P is the signature of P . By the coordinate transformation (8) the function $\phi^{(\alpha)}$ depends on all coordinates z^μ . It is easy to see that one can express the metric connection (10) in the $\{(\phi^{(\alpha)}, \mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)})\}$ parametrization and the result is given by the following relation

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho = \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{E^{(\rho)} E^{(\sigma)}}{E^{(\gamma)}} (A^{-1})_\tau^\rho (A^{-1})_\chi^\sigma G^{\tau\chi} \sum_P \epsilon_P P \left[A_\mu^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^{(\gamma)}} \left(\frac{1}{E^{(\alpha)} E^{(\beta)}} A_\sigma^\alpha A_\nu^\beta G_{\alpha\beta} \right) \right]. \quad (11)$$

Using the definition of the curvature tensor field [13], it is straightforward to compute the components of curvature in the $\{(\phi^{(\alpha)}, \mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)})\}$ parametrization. For the sake of clarity, let us introduce the following notation

$$C_{\mu\sigma\nu}^{(\gamma)} = \sum_P \epsilon_P P \left[A_\mu^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^{(\gamma)}} \left(\frac{1}{E^{(\alpha)} E^{(\beta)}} A_\sigma^\alpha A_\nu^\beta G_{\alpha\beta} \right) \right]. \quad (12)$$

Then a little algebra gives the components of the curvature tensor

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu\lambda}^\rho &= \frac{16m^2}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{E^{(\rho)}} A_\lambda^\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^{(\delta)}} \left[\frac{E^{(\rho)} E^{(\sigma)}}{E^{(\gamma)}} (A^{-1})_\tau^\rho (A^{-1})_\chi^\sigma G^{\tau\chi} C_{\mu\sigma\nu}^{(\gamma)} \right] \\ &+ \frac{4m^2}{\hbar^2} \frac{E^{(\rho)} E^{(\xi)} E^{(\sigma)} E^{(\xi')}}{E^{(\gamma)} E^{(\gamma')}} (A^{-1})_\tau^\rho (A^{-1})_\chi^\xi (A^{-1})_{\tau'}^\sigma (A^{-1})_{\chi'}^{\xi'} G^{\tau\chi} G^{\tau'\chi'} C_{\sigma\xi\lambda}^{(\gamma)} C_{\mu\xi'\nu}^{(\gamma')} \\ &- (\lambda \leftrightarrow \nu). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In the same way one can compute the components of the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature in terms of fields and prepotentials. To simplify the results we introduce some notations as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{(\gamma)}^{\rho\sigma} &= \frac{E^{(\rho)} E^{(\sigma)}}{E^{(\gamma)}} (A^{-1})_\tau^\rho (A^{-1})_\chi^\sigma G^{\tau\chi} \\ \Omega_{(\gamma\gamma')}^{\rho\xi\sigma\xi'} &= \frac{E^{(\rho)} E^{(\xi)} E^{(\sigma)} E^{(\xi')}}{E^{(\gamma)} E^{(\gamma')}} (A^{-1})_\tau^\rho (A^{-1})_\chi^\xi (A^{-1})_{\tau'}^\sigma (A^{-1})_{\chi'}^{\xi'} G^{\tau\chi} G^{\tau'\chi'} \\ \Lambda_{\mu\lambda}^{\mu'\lambda'} &= \frac{E^{(\alpha)} E^{(\beta)}}{E^{(\alpha')} E^{(\beta')}} A_\mu^{\alpha'} A_\lambda^{\beta'} (A^{-1})_\alpha^{\lambda'} (A^{-1})_\beta^{\mu'} G_{\alpha'\beta'} G^{\alpha\beta} \\ \Theta_{\mu\lambda} &= \frac{1}{E^{(\delta)}} A_\lambda^\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^{(\delta)}} (\Sigma_{(\gamma)}^{\rho\sigma} C_{\mu\sigma\rho}^{(\gamma)}) + \frac{1}{4} \Omega_{(\gamma\gamma')}^{\rho\xi\sigma\xi'} C_{\sigma\xi\lambda}^{(\gamma)} C_{\mu\xi'\rho}^{(\gamma')} - (\lambda' \leftrightarrow \rho) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

With (14) the local form of the Einstein equations with a vanishing energy-momentum tensor in the $\{(\phi^{(\alpha)}, \mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)})\}$ parametrization is given by

$$\Theta_{\mu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{\mu\lambda}^{\mu'\lambda'} \Theta_{\mu'\lambda'} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Note that all the quantities in (15) depend on the scalar fields $\phi^{(\alpha)}$ and on the prepotentials $\mathcal{F}^{(\alpha)}$ which were defined in local Cartesian coordinates. Moreover, using (3) one can see that the potential (4) determined by the other directions x^β also enter (15) as expected. The equation (15) is given in the general local coordinates $\{z^\alpha\}$ in which the components of various tensors were computed. One can obtain (15) in any other coordinate system by replacing the matrices (8) with the corresponding ones. Using (5) and (6), one can express any spacetime tensor in terms of fields and prepotentials locally as we did for the above tensors. Thus, if the energy-momentum tensor does not vanish, one should add in the r.h.s. of (15) the following term

$$16\pi \frac{mk}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{E^{(\alpha)}E^{(\beta)}} A_\mu^\alpha A_\nu^\beta \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (16)$$

where k is the Newton's constant in n dimensions and $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}$ are the components of the energy-momentum tensor in terms of $\phi^{(\alpha)}$.

If the Klein-Gordon field is quantized, the duality between coordinates and fields induces a parametrization of flat spacetime in terms of field operators [1,14]. In particular, we have an operatorial representation of the coordinates and of the metric. In the presence of gravity, the problem is in general more complicate. Nevertheless, one can show that the duality between Cartesian coordinates and the quantum fields holds at least locally if some constraints are imposed on the spacetime manifold [12]. Now let us examine the local parametrization of the metric in terms of quantum fields in the presence of gravity. To this end, we will take the linear independent local solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation along each x^α of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_k^{(\alpha)}(x^\alpha) &= a_k \varphi^{(\alpha)}(k, x^\alpha) \\ \tilde{\phi}_k^{(\alpha)}(x^\alpha) &= a_k^\dagger \tilde{\varphi}^{(\alpha)}(k, x^\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where a_k and a_k^\dagger are annihilation and creation operators, respectively, on the Fock space \mathcal{H}_U defined locally on $U \in M$ [15]. The functions $\varphi^{(\alpha)}(k, x)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}^{(\alpha)}(k, x)$ are linearly independent solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation. As in the classical case, they depend

on the variable x^α while x^β for $\beta \neq \alpha$ are treated as parameters. The index k stands for all the indices necessary to label an independent mode of the field [15,12]. For each local direction α and each mode k we introduce a prepotential $\mathcal{F}^{\hat{(\alpha)}}_k[\phi^{(\alpha)}]$ defined as in the classical case. In this setting, the duality between spacetime coordinates and fields (17) is given by the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sqrt{2m}}{\hbar}\hat{X}_k^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial\mathcal{F}^{\hat{(\alpha)}}_k}{\partial\phi_k^{(\alpha)}}\phi_k^{(\alpha)} - \mathcal{F}^{\hat{(\alpha)}}_k + \hat{C}_k^{(\alpha)} \\ \hat{X}_k^\alpha &= a_k^\dagger a_k x^\alpha\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

where the hat denotes operators. $\hat{C}_k^{(\alpha)}$ is an integration constant and therefore it does not depend on x^α but only on the parameters. The duality (18) induces corresponding parametrizations of the tangent and cotangent spaces to U in terms of the variations of the quantum fields as in (5) and (6), respectively. To compute these variations, we assume that the operator $\hat{E}_k^{(\alpha)} = (\mathcal{F}^{\hat{(\alpha)}}_k)''\phi_k^{(\alpha)} - (\mathcal{F}^{\hat{(\alpha)}}_k)'/2$ is invertible. Then the quantum counterparts of (5) and (6) have the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{X}_k^\alpha} &= \frac{\sqrt{2m}}{\hbar}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_k^{(\alpha)}}\hat{E}_k^{(\alpha)(-1)} \\ d\hat{X}_k^\alpha &= \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m}}\hat{E}_k^{(\alpha)}d\phi_k^{(\alpha)},\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

where we consider that the differential and the derivative of the operators \hat{X}_k^α satisfy the following relation

$$d\hat{X}_k^\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{X}_k^\alpha}\right) = a_k^\dagger a_k dx^\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\hat{X}_k^\alpha}\right) = 1.\tag{20}$$

Let us focus on a mode k and drop the corresponding index. We define the operator $d\hat{S}^2$ through the following relation

$$d\hat{S}^2 = \hat{N}^2 ds^2,\tag{21}$$

where $\hat{N} = a^\dagger a$ and ds^2 is the local Minkowski metric. It is easy to see that (21) can be cast into the following form

$$d\hat{S}^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m}\eta_{\alpha\beta}\hat{E}^{(\alpha)}d\hat{\phi}^{(\alpha)}d^*(\tilde{\varphi}^{(\beta)}\varphi^{(\beta)}) + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m}\eta_{\alpha\beta}\hat{E}^{(\alpha)}\hat{E}^{(\beta)}d\hat{\phi}^{(\alpha)}d\hat{\phi}^{(\beta)}, \quad (22)$$

where we have used the following notation in the first term

$$d^*(\tilde{\varphi}^{(\beta)}\varphi^{(\beta)}) = d\tilde{\varphi}^{(\beta)}\varphi^{(\beta)} - \tilde{\varphi}^{(\beta)}d\varphi^{(\beta)}. \quad (23)$$

Since the functions $\tilde{\varphi}^{(\beta)}$ and $\varphi^{(\beta)}$ depend on a single variable one can show that (23) is actually equal to $(-\sqrt{8m/\hbar})dx^\beta$. Using this relation in (22) one can obtain the relation defining the metric in the operator parametrization

$$\hat{N}(\hat{N} + 1)\hat{G}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\eta_{\alpha\beta}\hat{E}^{(\alpha)}\hat{E}^{(\beta)}. \quad (24)$$

The expression above corresponds to the local flat metric obtained from a local Cartesian system. If we want to pass to a general coordinate system, we have to perform a coordinate transformation (8). In general nor the vacuum of the theory neither the creation and annihilation operators are invariant under such of transformation and thus thermal particles can be created [15]. However, if we consider coordinate transformation that generate a small deformation of the metric so that the thermal effects can be disregarded on the local Fock space and on operators, we see that the deformed metric in the coordinate system $\{z^\mu\}$ is given by the following relation

$$\hat{G}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}A_\mu^\alpha A_\nu^\beta (\hat{E}^{(\alpha)}\hat{E}^{(\beta)})^{-1}\hat{N}(\hat{N} + 1)\hat{G}_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (25)$$

The relations obtained above are true for any mode k and for any direction α in the open U . Since the modes are independent from each other we see that the various representations of the coordinates given by (18) commute with each other. Therefore, the geometry that can be constructed from these representations should be commutative. The fact that it is possible to parametrize locally the spacetime in terms of field operators gave us an operatorial representation of the metric in (24) and (25). If one picks up an orthogonal basis formed by the eigenvectors of \hat{N}_k denoted by $\{|k, n\rangle\}$ by using (22) one can show that the following relation holds

$$ds^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{16m} \sqrt{n(n-1)} \langle k, n | (\mathcal{F}^{\hat{(\alpha)}}_k \phi_k^{(\alpha)} - (\mathcal{F}^{\hat{(\alpha)'}}_k) (\mathcal{F}^{\hat{(\beta)}}_k \phi_k^{(\beta)} - (\mathcal{F}^{\hat{(\beta)'}}_k) aa | k, n - 2 \rangle \eta_{\alpha\beta} d\varphi^{(\alpha)} d\varphi^{(\beta)}. \quad (26)$$

The relation (26) depends on the field operators defined in P as well as on the prepotentials. One can obtain a time-like, space-like or light-like line element in the r.h.s. of (26) depending on the action of these operators on the states of \hat{N} in the neighbourhood of P . In general, the prepotentials that enter the duality between coordinates and fields should satisfy a differential equation in both classical and quantum case [12]. However, from (26) we can see that there are further constraints on the prepotentials as a consequence of the fact that the metric can be expressed in terms of quantum field operators.

To summarize, we have obtained the local expressions of the Einstein equations in a local parametrization of space-time that involves local solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation and the prepotentials. The main result is given by (15) which is the dual form of the Einstein equation in the sense of [1]. In order to obtain this equation we have used a local Cartesian coordinate system. Also, we have investigated the local structure of the spacetime metric in this parametrization when the fields are quantized. Locally, there is an operatorial description of the Cartesian coordinates of spacetime and of the metric. In this description, the character of the line element is given by the action of the field operators and of the prepotential functionals on the field operators in the neighbourhood of a point. This result is given by (26).

The treatment of gravity within the framework of the duality proposed in [1] as was given in this paper, is completely local. It would be interesting to see if there is possible to formulate a global version of this duality. Also, in this formulation the representation of the metric in terms of quantum fields is a consequence of the quantum counterpart of the coordinate-field duality. It would be interesting to see if there is a dynamics of the metric in this context, similar to classical case. To this end one should try to understand better the quantum duality. The fact that the coordinates can be represented in terms of various solutions of field equations raises the natural question about the relations between these

representations and about the possibility of parametrizing the spacetime in terms of various fields. For the discussion of some of these problems we refer the reader to [14,16].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank to M. C. B. Abdalla, M. A. De Andrade, A. L. Gadelha, J. A. Helayël-Neto for useful discussions and to DPC-CBPF and LNCC for hospitality during the preparation of the paper and to the referee for suggesting me to study the second part of this work. I also acknowledge a FAPESP postdoc fellowship.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. E. Faraggi and M. Matone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 163 (1997).
- [2] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **426**, 19 (1994).
- [3] G. Bonelli and M. Matone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4107 (1996).
- [4] M. Matone, Phys. Lett. B **357**, 342 (1995).
- [5] A. E. Faraggi, hep-th/9910042.
- [6] G. Bertoldi, A. E. Faraggi and M. Matone, hep-th/9909201.
- [7] G. Landi and C. Rovelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3051 (1997); Mod. Phys. Lett. A **13**, 479 (1998).
- [8] G. Landi, gr-qc/9906044.
- [9] I. V. Vancea, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3121 (1997), Err. ibid. **80**, 1355 (1998); Phys. Rev. D **58**, 045005 (1998).
- [10] C. Ciuhu and I. V. Vancea, gr-qc/9807011 to be published in Mod. Phys. Lett. A.
- [11] N. Pauna and I. V. Vancea, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **13**, 3091 (1998).
- [12] M. A. De Andrade and I. V. Vancea, Phys. Lett. B. **474**(2000)46
- [13] B. Doubrovine, S. Novikov and A. Fomenko, *Géométrie Contemporaine* (Éditions MIR, Moscou, 1979)
- [14] M. A. De Andrade and I. V. Vancea, *Coordinate-Field Duality and Quantum Field Theory*, in preparation
- [15] N. D. Birrell and P. C. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge, 1989)
- [16] M. C. B. Abdalla, A. L. Gadelha and I. V. Vancea, hep-th/0002217