

Testing Cosmic Censorship in Kerr-like Collapse Situations ^{*†}

Wiesław Rudnicki

*Institute of Physics, Pedagogical University of Rzeszów,
ul. Rejtana 16A, PL-35-310 Rzeszów, Poland
E-mail: rudnicki@univ.rzeszow.pl*

Abstract

According to the cosmic censorship hypothesis of Penrose, naked singularities should never occur in realistic collapse situations. One of the major open problems in this context is the existence of a naked singularity in the Kerr solution with $|a| > m$; this singularity can be interpreted to be the final product of collapse of a rapidly rotating object. Assuming that certain very general and physically reasonable conditions hold, we show here, using the global techniques, that a realistic gravitational collapse of any rotating object, which develops from a regular initial state, cannot lead to the formation of a final state resembling the Kerr solution with a naked singularity. This result supports the validity of the cosmic censorship hypothesis.

The cosmic censorship hypothesis put forward by Penrose [1] is perhaps the most important unsolved problem in classical general relativity. This hypothesis concerns spacetime singularities which are expected to occur, according to the famous Hawking-Penrose theorem [2], as a result of collapse of massive stars. The occurrence of these singularities implies that classical general relativity breaks down whenever too large concentrations of mass are present. The additional problem here is the question of whether or not these singularities will causally influence any regular parts of spacetime and hence give rise to breakdowns of predictability in these regions. This important issue is closely related to the cosmic censorship hypothesis which asserts that singularities arising in realistic collapse situations will always be hidden inside an event horizon and hence shielded from the external view (no naked singularities). Thus, if this hypothesis is true, the possible breakdowns of predictability due to singularities might only occur inside black holes, and so one could ignore this pathology because it will never cause any detectable effects for observers staying in the external world. Recall also here that the assumption that naked singularities do *not* occur plays an essential role in proving a number of important theorems in general relativity: black hole uniqueness theorem, black hole area theorem, and positive mass theorem.

There exist various examples of exact solutions of Einstein's equations that represent the collapse of a regular initial configuration to a *naked* singularity (see, e.g., [3] and references cited therein). All these examples, however, represent special situations that may never arise in a realistic collapse, and so they cannot, without proofs of stability, have any bearing on the hypothesis of Penrose. For instance, most of them (with the notable exception of the case explored numerically by Shapiro and Teukolsky [4]) deal only with the collapse of

^{*}This essay received an "honorable mention" from the Gravity Research Foundation for 1997.

[†]to be published in Mod. Phys. Lett. A.

nonrotating configurations of matter. But as realistic collapsing stars are expected to be generally rotating, one should attempt to test cosmic censorship in more general collapse scenarios, where angular momentum is present as well. One of the known exact solutions of Einstein's equations which might be relevant to such a situation is that of Kerr [5]. This solution depends on two parameters m and a , and represents the exterior gravitational field of a rotating body with mass m and angular momentum $L = am$, as measured from infinity in gravitational units ($G = c = 1$). From the point of view of cosmic censorship, the most interesting feature of the Kerr solution is the ringlike curvature singularity appearing in the central part of the solution whenever $m \neq 0$ and $a \neq 0$ — this singularity can be interpreted to be the final product of collapse of a rotating object.

There is, however, an essential difference between the Kerr singularity existing in the case $|a| \leq m$ and that occurring as $|a|$ exceeds the mass m . Namely, if $|a| \leq m$, then there exists an event horizon and the whole ring singularity is always hidden inside this horizon, whereas if $|a| > m$, there is *no* event horizon and the singularity is visible for all observers (see [6], pp. 161-168). Accordingly, since many stars may have an angular momentum greater than the square of their mass (for the Sun, $L \sim m^2$), it is of importance in view of cosmic censorship to know whether a physically realistic collapse of a rotating object, which starts off from a regular initial state, can ever lead to the formation of a final state resembling the Kerr solution with a naked singularity. Exactly this question has been raised by Penrose in his original article on cosmic censorship [1] as the basic open problem in the context of his hypothesis. In this letter, we shall present a theorem which provides an answer to the above question. Our approach is based on the global techniques; our notation will be the same as that of Hawking and Ellis [6].

Consider a spacetime (M, g) admitting a weakly asymptotically simple and empty conformal completion (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) ([6], p. 225). Such spacetimes are ideally suited to model the collapse of isolated objects. Let C be a partial Cauchy surface for (M, g) ; if the future null infinity \mathcal{J}^+ of the completion (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) is contained in the closure of the future Cauchy development $D^+(C, \tilde{M})$, then (M, g) is said to be *future asymptotically predictable from C* ([6], p. 310). Future asymptotic predictability is a precise statement of cosmic censorship for (M, g) , since it assures that there will be no singularities to the future of an initial data surface which are naked, i.e. visible from \mathcal{J}^+ . Now suppose that the spacetime (M, g) contains a rotating object which undergoes complete gravitational collapse. Assume also that the collapse starts off from a *regular* initial state. To make this notion precise, we shall assume that the collapse develops from initial data given on some partial Cauchy surface S of (M, g) which satisfies the following two conditions: (1) $I^-(S) = D^-(S)$; and (2) *all null geodesics generating \mathcal{J}^+ intersect $D^+(S, \tilde{M})$* . Condition (1) is an obvious requirement ensuring that all the possible pathologies of (M, g) — such as naked singularities or, say, causality violations — can only occur to the future of the surface S . Condition (2) means that (M, g) is to be *partially* future asymptotically predictable from S as defined by Tipler [7]. This requirement is needed in order to exclude the possibility of artificial breakdowns of future asymptotic predictability due to a poor choice of the initial surface. An example of such a poor choice of a partial Cauchy surface may be the surface $t = -(1 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}$ in Minkowski space.

In order to consider the question of whether or not the collapse can lead to any naked singularity, one should first impose on (M, g) a condition ruling out artificial naked singularities which can easily be created just by removing points from $J^+(S) \cap J^-(\mathcal{J}^+, \tilde{M})$. A very useful condition of this type have been proposed in [8]. The physical justification for this condition is the idea that essential singularities should always be associated with large

curvature strengths, which should in turn lead to the focusing of Jacobi fields along null geodesics approaching the singularities. Let $\lambda(t)$ be an affinely parametrized null geodesic, and let Z_1 and Z_2 be two linearly independent spacelike vorticity-free Jacobi fields along $\lambda(t)$. The exterior product of these Jacobi fields defines a spacelike area element, whose magnitude at the parameter value t we denote by $A(t)$. If we now introduce the function $z(t)$ defined by $A(t) \equiv z^2(t)$, then one can show [9] that $z(t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{d^2 z}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{2}(R_{ab}K^a K^b + 2\sigma^2)z = 0, \quad (1)$$

where K^a is the tangent vector to $\lambda(t)$ and σ^2 characterizes the shear of Jacobi fields along $\lambda(t)$ ([6], p. 88). Now consider a past (future) endless null geodesic $\eta : [0, s) \rightarrow M$ which is past (future) incomplete at the value s of its affine parameter t . Assume also that η generates an achronal set (e.g., η could be a generator of a Cauchy horizon). The geodesic η is said to satisfy the *inextendibility condition* [8] if there exist a parameter value $t_1 \in (0, s)$ and a solution $z(t)$ to Eq. (1) along $\eta(t)$ with initial conditions: $z(t_1) = 0$ and $\dot{z}(t_1) = 1$, such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow s} z(t) = 0$. One can show [8] that if η does satisfy this condition, then there is *no* reasonable extension of the spacetime M in which η could be continued beyond the value s of its affine parameter t , and this means that η should then approach a genuine singularity at s . Thus we can avoid the occurrence of artificial naked singularities in (M, g) by assuming that: (3) *every past (future) incomplete, past (future) endless null geodesic generating an achronal subset of (M, g) satisfies the above inextendibility condition.*

As a matter of fact, one cannot *a priori* exclude the existence of some “true” singularities in (M, g) , i.e. those not caused only by the removal of regular points, such that null geodesics approaching them fail to satisfy the inextendibility condition. It seems, however, likely that such singularities would not be accompanied by large curvature strengths, and so one can hope that they might be ignored on physical grounds. Indeed, it is worth noting here that null geodesics terminating at the so-called *strong curvature singularities* [9], which are often regarded to be the *only* physically reasonable singularities (see, e.g., [10, 11]), will always satisfy, just by definition, the above inextendibility condition. It should be, however, stressed that our condition will hold for a considerably larger class of singularities than only those of the strong curvature type. This is because it does not imply any serious restrictions on the behaviour of the curvature near singularities (in fact, it could be satisfied for a given geodesic η even if the curvature along η would remain bounded), whereas strong curvature singularities can exist only if the curvature in their neighbourhood diverges strong enough [12]. One can therefore expect that the condition (3) assumed above should involve no essential loss of generality of our considerations.

Now suppose that the above mentioned collapsing object was not able to dissipate enough the angular momentum to form an event horizon and the final state of collapse is a region $K \subset J^+(S) \cap J^-(\mathcal{J}^+, \tilde{M})$ resembling just the Kerr solution with a naked singularity. Clearly, the formation of the nakedly singular region K must lead to the occurrence of the future Cauchy horizon, $H^+(S)$, of the initial surface S and one can certainly assume that $K \subset I^+[H^+(S)]$. To simplify our considerations, we shall also assume that the formation of the region K is the *only* reason for occurring of the horizon $H^+(S)$. That is, we shall assume that the following condition holds: (4) *Every generator of the Cauchy horizon $H^+(S)$ intersects the boundary \dot{K} of the region K .* Of course, the above assumptions do not guarantee that the region K will be similar in any nontrivial sense just to the Kerr solution with a naked singularity. But, as is well known ([6], p. 162), the most striking feature of this Kerr solution is the presence of *closed timelike curves* passing through *every* point of the

spacetime. One can therefore ensure the existence of a clear similarity between the region K and the naked Kerr solution by imposing the requirement that the chronology condition of (M, g) fails to be satisfied everywhere in K . To make things precise, we shall thus define the naked Kerr-like region K as follows $K := \{x \in J^+(S) \cap J^-(\mathcal{J}^+, \bar{M}) \mid x \in I^+(x)\}$.

We are now in a position to state the main result.

Theorem. *Under the conditions (1) – (4) stated above, the naked Kerr-like region K can never form in the spacetime (M, g) if the following two additional conditions hold:*

- (a) $R_{ab}V^aV^b \geq 0$ for every null vector V^a of (M, g) ;
- (b) every null geodesic λ of (M, g) admits a point at which $K_{[a}R_{b]cd[e}K_{f]}K^cK^d \neq 0$, where K^a is the tangent vector to λ .

Conditions (a) and (b) are reasonable requirements for *any* physically realistic model of a classical spacetime. Since they have been discussed extensively in the literature on the singularity theorems (see, e.g., [2, 6]), an extended discussion on them will not be given here. Note only here that condition (a) may be obtained, using Einstein’s equations, from the weak energy condition: $T_{ab}K^aK^b \geq 0$ for any timelike vector K^a , which is known to be fulfilled by all the observed classical matter fields. Condition (b) essentially requires that every null geodesic should encounter some matter or randomly oriented radiation; one can therefore expect that this condition should always hold in physically realistic (generic) spacetimes. It should be, however, stressed here that most of the known exact solutions of Einstein’s equations, due to their special symmetries, *do* violate condition (b); for example, it fails to be satisfied for null geodesics generating the Cauchy horizons in the Kerr solution. Thus the above theorem does not exclude the possibility that the naked Kerr-like region K could occur in some highly symmetric models of collapse of rotating matter. This theorem shows, however, that the existence of the region K could not be a *stable* property of such models if they would be slightly perturbed just enough to satisfy condition (b). One can thus expect that the naked Kerr-like region K should never form in *realistic* collapse situations.

In this letter, we shall only give the main ideas of the proof of the above theorem; for the detailed proof we refer the reader to Ref. [13].

In very brief outline, the proof runs as follows. First, one establishes that if the assertion of the theorem were false, then there would have to exist some past endless, past incomplete generator η of the Cauchy horizon $H^+(S)$ with future endpoint on \mathcal{J}^+ . By condition (4) the generator η must intersect the boundary \dot{K} of the Kerr-like region K . This enables one to show that the causal simplicity condition of (M, g) must break down, due to the chronology violation inside K , at some point $p \in \eta \cap \dot{K}$, such that $E^-(p) \neq J^-(p)$. Using this, one then constructs a certain sequence $\{\eta_i\}$ of achronal null geodesic segments converging to the generator η . As all the geodesic segments η_i are achronal, by the well-known argument none of them can have a pair of conjugate points. This implies, in turn, that any Jacobi field along any η_i cannot be refocused. As the sequence $\{\eta_i\}$ converges to the generator η , this must then imply, by continuity, that any Jacobi field along the generator η cannot be refocused as well. But the past incomplete generator η must satisfy, by condition (3), the inextendibility condition, which requires that at least one Jacobi field along η should be refocused. In this way one obtains a contradiction, which completes the proof.

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Professor J.K. Beem for helpful comments and discussions. This work

was supported by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research (KBN) through Grant No. 2 P302 095 06.

References

- [1] R. Penrose, *Riv. Nuovo Cimento* **1**, 252 (1969).
- [2] S. W. Hawking and R. Penrose, *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A* **314**, 529 (1970).
- [3] K. Lake, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 3129 (1992).
- [4] S. L. Shapiro and S. A. Teukolsky, *Phys. Rev.* **D45**, 2006 (1992).
- [5] R. P. Kerr, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **11**, 238 (1963).
- [6] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1973).
- [7] F. J. Tipler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37**, 879 (1976).
- [8] W. Rudnicki, *Phys. Lett.* **A208**, 53 (1995).
- [9] F. J. Tipler, *Phys. Lett.* **A64**, 8 (1977).
- [10] F. J. Tipler, C. J. S. Clarke and G. F. R. Ellis, in: *General Relativity and Gravitation*, ed. A. Held (Plenum Press, 1980), Vol. 2, p. 97.
- [11] A. Królak, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **3**, 267 (1986); *J. Math. Phys.* **28**, 2685 (1987).
- [12] C. J. S. Clarke and A. Królak, *J. Geom. Phys.* **2**, 127 (1985).
- [13] W. Rudnicki, *Phys. Lett.* **A224**, 45 (1996).