Dynamical Ambiguities in Singular Gravitational Field

George Jorjadze

Department of Theoretical Physics, Razmadze Mathematical Institute, Tbilisi, Georgia.

Włodzimierz Piechocki

Field Theory Group, Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland. (September 6, 2018)

Abstract

We consider particle dynamics in singular gravitational field. In 2d spacetime the system splits into two independent gravitational systems without singularity. Dynamical integrals of each system define sl(2, R) algebra, but the corresponding symmetry transformations are not defined globally. Quantization leads to ambiguity. By including singularity one can get the global SO(2.1) symmetry. Quantization in this case leads to unique quantum theory.

11.30.Na, 02.40.Ky, 02.20.Sv

Typeset using REVT_{EX}

In the Einstein theory of gravity singularities play a fundamental role. Since the four dimensional quantum gravity is still under construction, it makes sence to investigate spacetime singularities at the level of 2-dimensional theory to get some insight.

Let us consider a relativistic particle of mass m_0 moving in the gravitational field $g_{\mu\nu}(X)$ $(X := (x^0, x^1); (\mu, \nu = 0, 1))$. The action describing such a system is proportional to the length of a particle world-line and reads

$$S = -m_0 \int d\tau \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu}(X(\tau))\dot{x}^{\mu}(\tau)\dot{x}^{\nu}(\tau)},\tag{1}$$

where τ is an evolution parameter along the trajectory $x^{\mu}(\tau)$, and $\dot{x}^{\mu} := dx^{\mu}/d\tau$.

One can always choose such local coordinates on a 2-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold that $g_{\mu\nu}(X)$ takes the form [1]

$$g_{\mu\nu}(X) = \exp\phi(X) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where $\phi(X)$ is a field.

It is known that the Einstein-Hilbert action in 2-dimensions does not lead to dynamical equations for the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ (i.e., for the field $\phi(X)$). The Liouville field equation

$$(\partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2) \phi(x^0, x^1) + R_0 \exp \phi(x^0, x^1) = 0,$$
(3)

(where R_0 is a non-zero constant) is usually considered as a model of 2*d* gravity [1,2] and it describes a spacetime manifold with a constant curvature R_0 . One can show that the equation $R_{\mu\nu} - (1/2)R_0 g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ (where $R_{\mu\nu}$ is a Ricci tensor) in the conformal gauge (2) is equivalent to (3).

In recent paper [3] we have investigated the mathematical aspects of particle dynamics in an arbitrary Liouville field. We have shown that the particle dynamics for different Liouville fields looks locally the same due to the local SO(2.1) symmetry. The aim of this Letter is to investigate the dynamical ambiguities for a particle motion in a singular Liouville field

$$\phi(t,x) = -2\ln(m|t|), \quad \text{with} \quad m := \sqrt{-R_0/2} \quad (R_0 < 0),$$
(4)

given on the plane (t, x), where $t := x^0$ and $x := x^1$.

We interpret t and x as time and space coordinates, respectively. Particle trajectories x = x(t) are time-like $(|\dot{x}| < 1)$. In our units, the 'velocity of light' is 1 and $\hbar = 1$.

The Liouville field (4) leads to the singularity of the spacetime metric (2). The proper time of the particle from t_0 to t ($t_0 < t < 0$)

$$\int_{t_0}^t dt' \,\sqrt{g_{00}(t', x(t'))} = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{dt'}{m|t'|}$$

divergies for $t \to 0$, i.e., particle needs infinite (proper) time to reach the singularity. The same situation is for the interval (t, t_0) , with $(0 < t < t_0)$ and $t \to 0$. Therefore, the dynamics of the particle can be considered for t < 0 and t > 0 separately. In such interpretation we deal with two independent dynamical systems without singularities. The proper time for each system goes from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. The coordinate t can be considered as a time coordinate

of some 'outside observer' in three (or higher) dimensional spacetime. Since the dynamics does not depend on the choice of coordinates we can use t as the time coordinate for each system. We denote these systems by S_+ (for t > 0) and S_- (for t < 0).

The Lagrangian of (1) with the Liouville field (4) in the gauge $t - \tau = 0$ reads

$$L = -\frac{a}{|t|}\sqrt{1 - \dot{x}^2}, \qquad (a := \frac{m_0}{m}).$$
(5)

The dynamics for both systems S_{\pm} is similar and we use the same notations. In the case of differences we specify the corresponding formulae using the parameter $\epsilon := t/|t|$.

Since L is homogeneous in space, particle momentum $P = \partial L / \partial \dot{x}$ is conserved. There are two other dynamical integrals

$$K = Px - Et, \qquad M = P(t^2 + x^2) - 2txE,$$
 (6)

where $E = \sqrt{P^2 + (a/t)^2}$ is the energy of the particle. *E* is not conserved since *L* depends on time. The conservation of *K* is connected with the dilatation symmetry $(t \to \lambda t, x \to \lambda x, \lambda > 0)$ of our systems.

The infinitesimal symmetry transformations of the particle trajectories for the dynamical integrals P, K and M are

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) \to x(t) + \varepsilon_1, \qquad x(t) \to x(t) + \varepsilon_2[x(t) - t\dot{x}(t)], \\ x(t) \to x(t) + \varepsilon_3[t^2 + x^2(t) - 2tx(t)\dot{x}(t)], \end{aligned}$$

respectively. It is clear that the first two transformations can be defined globally for each system S_{\pm} , while the third transformation needs further investigation.

The dynamical integrals (6) define trajectories of the particle. For P = 0 we get

$$K = -\epsilon a, \qquad x = -M\epsilon/2a \qquad (\epsilon = t/|t|), \qquad (7)$$

which describes a rest particle. For $P \neq 0$ the trajectories are hyperbolas

$$x = \frac{K + \epsilon \sqrt{P^2 t^2 + a^2}}{P},\tag{8}$$

with the light-cone asymptotics (when $t \to \pm \infty$) and with zero velocity when $t \to 0$.

In Hamiltonian formulation P and x are canonically conjugated coordinates, $\{P, x\} = 1$. The commutation relations of the dynamical integrals P, K and M define the algebra sl(2, R)

$$\{P, K\} = P,$$
 $\{K, M\} = M,$ $\{P, M\} = 2K.$ (9)

Using (6) we get the following relation

$$K^2 - PM = a^2. aga{10}$$

The hyperboloid (10) in (P, K, M) space is the coadjoint orbit of SL(2, R) group [4]. The Poisson brackets (9) define the symplectic form on the hyperboloid (10), which has the global SO(2.1) symmetry generated by (9). According to (7) and (8) each point (P, K, M) of the hyperboloid (10) specifies the trajectory uniquely (for each system separately). Thus, we can associate the set of trajectories with the corresponding set of points on the hyperboloid. Let us check whether all points of the hyperboloid (10) specify the dynamics. For a given t, Eq.(6) defines the map from the (P, x) plane to the hyperboloid (10). For t < 0 this map covers the whole hyperboloid except the line given by (P = 0, K = -a). Similarly, for t > 0 the line (P = 0, K = a) is not available. Therefore, none of the systems separately have the global SO(2.1) symmetry.

Here it is convenient to introduce a new time independent coordinate Q_{ϵ} (canonically conjugated to P)

$$Q_{\epsilon} = (K + \epsilon a)/P. \tag{11}$$

The plane (P, Q_{-}) is isomorphic to the hyperboloid (10) without the line (P = 0, K = -a)and it describes the space of all trajectories for t < 0. Similarly, the space of trajectories for the system S_{+} is given by the plane (P, Q_{+}) . The dynamical integrals P and K generate global symmetry transformations on each (P, Q_{ϵ}) plane, while the transformations generated by M are defined only locally.

Now we quantize our system. The coordinates (P, Q_{ϵ}) are convenient for the canonical quantization since the dynamical integrals are linear in P

$$K = PQ_{\epsilon} - \epsilon a, \qquad M = PQ_{\epsilon}^2 + 2\epsilon a Q_{\epsilon}$$
 (12)

and the operator ordering problem can be easily solved [3]. For the corresponding operators we get

$$\hat{P} = -i\partial_{Q_{\epsilon}}, \qquad \hat{K} = -iQ_{\epsilon}\partial_{Q_{\epsilon}} - \epsilon a - i/2, \qquad \hat{M} = -iQ_{\epsilon}^2\partial_{Q_{\epsilon}} - (i+2\epsilon a)Q_{\epsilon}.$$
(13)

These operators are Hermitian on $L_2(R)$ and give the representation of sl(2, R) algebra. However, according to the quantization principle quantum observables should be represented by self-adjoint operators [5]. The operators \hat{P} and \hat{K} have unique self-adjoint extensions, while the self-adjoint extension of \hat{M} is non-unique [3]. This ambiguity is parametrized by a complex number z of unit norm (|z|=1). Therefore, we have a continuous set of unitary non-equivalent quantum systems which describe unitary non-equivalent representations of the universal covering group $\overline{SL(2, R)}$ [6]. Note that for z = 1 we have the unitary irreducible representation of SO(2.1) group. The quantum ambiguity here is connected with a lack of the global SO(2.1) symmetry of both systems S_{\pm} .

Now, we consider another aproach. For the outside observer both systems S_{\pm} are two parts of a one system with t (time) going continuously from negative to positive values. Such a system has singularity at t = 0 and we should specify the way of 'glueing' trajectories for t < 0 and t > 0.

Using (8) and (11) we get

$$\lim_{t \to \pm 0} x(t) = Q_{\pm}, \qquad \lim_{t \to \pm 0} \dot{x}(t) = 0.$$

Thus, the velocity is continous at $t \to 0$ and continous trajectory implies $Q_+ = Q_-$. On the other hand, if the dynamical integrals P, K and M are conserved (when the particle 'passes' the singularity) we have (see (11))

$$Q_{+} - Q_{-} = \frac{2a}{P}.$$
 (14)

Therefore, conservation of P, K and M numbers and continuity of trajectories are incompatible. In the case of continuous trajectories only one integral can be conserved. For example, if P is conserved and trajectory is continuous we have

$$x(t) = \frac{K_{-} - \sqrt{P^2 t^2 + a^2}}{P}, \quad \text{for } t < 0; \qquad x(t) = \frac{K_{+} + \sqrt{P^2 t^2 + a^2}}{P}, \quad \text{for } t > 0; \quad (15)$$

with $K_- - K_+ = 2a$. Note that such trajectory is not smooth since $\ddot{x}(t)$ has discontinuity at t = 0. The smooth trajectories correspond to change of sign of all integrals P, K and Mwhen the particle passes the singularity (see (8)). There are many different ways of glueing trajectories. Each way corresponds to some identification of the points on (P_+, Q_+) and (P_-, Q_-) planes and defines the set of trajectories x(t) ($-\infty < t < +\infty$). Choosing some definite rule of identification we obtain the set of all trajectories for the outside observer. For example, the identification $(P_+ = -P_-, Q_+ = Q_-)$ leads to the smooth trajectories, while the trajectories (15) correspond to $(P_+ = P_-, Q_+ = Q_-)$.

Let us choose the set of trajectories in such a way that all dynamical integrals P, K and M are conserved (when the particle passes the singularity) and the set of all trajectories for the whole system has the global SO(2.1) symmetry. As it was mentioned above, the conservation of all dynamical integrals leads to the discontinuity of trajectories at t = 0. We interpret these discontinuities in the following way: At t = 0 the particle with the momentum $P \neq 0$ is 'annihilated' at x = (K-a)/P and 'created' at x = (K+a)/P by the 'spacetime singularity'. For P = 0 and t < 0 we have K = a. Such a particle is annihilated and it cannot appear for t > 0, since for t > 0 there are no trajectories with P = 0 and K = a. For P = 0 and t > 0 there are trajectories with only K = -a and there are no 'corresponding' trajectories for t < 0. Such a particle is created by the singularity.

Thus, we get the following set of 'trajectories':

- (i) $P \neq 0$, K is arbitrary, trajectories (8) with discontinuity 2a/P at t = 0 (see (14));
- (ii) P = 0, K = a, M is arbitrary, x = M/2a, for t < 0 and there is no trajectory for t > 0;
- (iii) P = 0, K = -a, M is arbitrary, trajectories start at t = 0 and x = -M/2a for t > 0.

The set of trajectories defined by (i) and (ii) corresponds to the (P, Q_{-}) plane, which is isomorphic to the hyperboloid (10) without the line (P = 0, K = -a). Completeing this set of trajectories by (iii) we cover the entire hyperboloid (10). In this way we arrive at the global SO(2.1) symmetry in the space of all trajectories with the conservation of all dynamical integrals.

To quantize the system we use the following parametrization of the hyperboloid (10)

$$P = J(1 - \cos\varphi) - a\sin\varphi, \quad K = -J\sin\varphi + a\cos\varphi, \quad M = J(1 + \cos\varphi) + a\sin\varphi, \quad (16)$$

where (J, φ) are the cylindrical coordinates $(J \in R, \varphi \in S^1)$. One can show that (16) gives the unique parametrization of the hyperboloid and the Poisson brackets (9) are equivalent to the canonical commutation relations $\{J, \varphi\} = 1$. The dynamical integrals (16) are linear in J and it again simplifies the operator ordering problem. Applying the canonical quantization rule $\hat{J} = -i\partial_{\varphi}$, we get the following operators

$$\hat{P} = -i(1 - \cos\varphi)\partial_{\varphi} - (a + i/2)\sin\varphi, \qquad \hat{K} = i\sin\varphi\partial_{\varphi} + (a + i/2)\cos\varphi,$$
$$\hat{M} = -i(1 + \cos\varphi)\partial_{\varphi} + (a + i/2)\sin\varphi.$$

These operators are self-adjoint on $L_2(S^1)$ and define the unitary irreducible representation of SO(2.1) group. This representation is unitarily equivalent to the above mensioned representation of $\overline{SL(2, R)}$ group for z = 1.

Thus, taking the spacetime manifold to be $R^2 = \{(t, x) \mid t \in R, x \in R\}$ we can get the global SO(2.1) symmetry of the system. However, the spacetime has now the singularity. This results in a strange phenomena at the classical level (particle creation and annihilation by spacetime singularity), but gives the unique quantum theory.

Note that one can also join both systems S_+ and S_- into a one system with the global SO(2.1) symmetry and without spacetime singularity, but with different spacetime topology. It can be achieved by a map from the half planes (t, x; t < 0) and (t, x; t > 0) to the hyperboloid $y_1^2 + y_2^2 - y_0^2 = r^2$ (r := 1/m). This hyperboloid has a constant curvature in three dimensional Minkowski space and is invariant under the corresponding SO(2.1) transformations. The map is defined by

$$y_0 = \frac{t^2 - x^2 - r^2}{2t}, \qquad y_1 = \frac{t^2 - x^2 + r^2}{2t}, \qquad y_2 = \frac{rx}{t},$$

where y_0 is time coordinate. This map covers the entire hyperboloid except two lines: $(y_1 = y_0, y_2 = r)$ and $(y_1 = y_0, y_2 = -r)$. Thus, the range of this map should be completed by these two lines to get the entire hyperboloid.

It is clear that such description corresponds to the choice of spacetime manifold to be a hyperboloid.

This work was supported by the grants from: INTAS, RFBR (96-01-00344), the Georgian Academy of Sciences, the Polish Academy of Sciences, and the Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies.

REFERENCES

- R. Jackiw, *Progress in Quantum Field Theory*, edited by H. Ezawa and S. Kamefuchi (Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1986), pp. 83-98;
- [2] N. Seiberg, Rundom Surfaces and Quantum Gravity, edited by O. Alvarez et al. (Plenum Press, New York, 1991) pp. 363-395.
- [3] G. Jorjadze and W. Piechocki, hep-th/9709059.
- [4] A. A. Kirillov, *Elements of the Theory of Representations* (Springer, Berlin, 1976).
- [5] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics (Academic Press, New York, London, 1972).
- [6] D.P. Zhelobenko and A.I. Stern, *Representations of Lie Groups* (Nauka, Moscow, 1983).