

# Quadrupole moments of rotating neutron stars

William G. Laarakkers and Eric Poisson

*Department of Physics, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, N1G 2W1, Canada*

(Revised version, February 23, 1998)

Numerical models of rotating neutron stars are constructed for four equations of state using the computer code `rns` written by Stergioulas. For five selected values of the star's gravitational mass (in the interval between 1.0 and 1.8 solar masses) and for each equation of state, the star's angular momentum is varied from  $J = 0$  to the Keplerian limit  $J = J_{\max}$ . For each neutron-star configuration we compute  $Q$ , the quadrupole moment of the mass distribution. We show that for given values of  $M$  and  $J$ ,  $|Q|$  increases with the stiffness of the equation of state. For fixed mass and equation of state, the dependence on  $J$  is well reproduced with a simple quadratic fit,  $Q \simeq -aJ^2/Mc^2$ , where  $c$  is the speed of light, and  $a$  is a parameter of order unity depending on the mass and the equation of state.

Subject headings: stars: neutron — stars: rotation

## I. INTRODUCTION

A rapidly rotating neutron star is not spherically symmetric, because the rotation creates a deformation in the stellar mass distribution. In turn, the star's oblateness creates a distortion in the gravitational field outside the star, which is measured by  $Q_{ab}$ , the quadrupole-moment tensor. Our purpose in this paper is to compute the quadrupole moments of rotating neutron stars, under the assumptions of rigid rotation, axial symmetry, and reflection symmetry about the equatorial plane.

In Newtonian theory, the gravitational field outside any nonspherical body is given by (Jackson 1975)

$$\Phi(\vec{x}) = -G \frac{M}{r} - \frac{3G}{2} \frac{Q_{ab}x_a x_b}{r^5} + O(1/r^4), \quad (1)$$

where  $M$  is the body's mass and  $r = |\vec{x}| = (\delta_{ab}x_a x_b)^{1/2}$  is the distance from the center of mass; summation over repeated indices is understood. In terms of  $\varepsilon$ , the mass density inside the body, the quadrupole-moment tensor is given by

$$Q_{ab} = \int \varepsilon(\vec{x}') (x'_a x'_b - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ab} r'^2) d^3 x'. \quad (2)$$

Thus, in Newtonian theory it is possible to define the quadrupole-moment tensor either in terms of the falloff behavior of the gravitational potential, or in terms of an integral over the mass density.

If the body is axially symmetric about the  $z$  direction, then Eqs. (1) and (2) reduce to

$$\Phi(r, \theta) = -G \frac{M}{r} - G \frac{Q P_2(\cos \theta)}{r^3} + O(1/r^4) \quad (3)$$

and

$$Q_{ab} = \text{diag}(-\frac{1}{3}Q, -\frac{1}{3}Q, \frac{2}{3}Q), \quad (4)$$

where  $\cos \theta = z/r$ ,  $P_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}(3x^2 - 1)$ , and

$$Q = \int \varepsilon(r', \theta') r'^2 P_2(\cos \theta') d^3 x'. \quad (5)$$

If the body is also reflection symmetric about the equatorial plane ( $\theta = \pi/2$ ), then the  $O(1/r^4)$  term vanishes identically and Eq. (3) is valid up to terms of order  $1/r^5$ .

It is convenient to introduce the dimensionless quantity  $q$ , related to  $Q$  and  $M$  by

$$q = \frac{c^4}{G^2} \frac{Q}{M^3}. \quad (6)$$

If we also introduce a dimensionless potential  $\Phi^* \equiv \Phi/c^2$  and a characteristic length  $M^* \equiv GM/c^2$ , then Eq. (3) becomes  $\Phi^*(r, \theta) = -M^*/r - q(M^*/r)^3 P_2(\cos \theta) + O(1/r^4)$ . This equation resembles more closely the relativistic analogue displayed in the following section.

Because neutron stars are compact objects with strong internal gravity, their gravitational fields must be described within the framework of general relativity. Equations (1)–(5) are therefore not valid for neutron stars. In Sec. 2 of this paper we describe an operational way, due mostly to Fintan Ryan (1995), of defining the quadrupole moment of an axially symmetric body in general relativity\*. In Sec. 3 we describe a method for computing the quadrupole moment of a rotating neutron star, under the assumptions of rigid rotation, axial symmetry, and reflection symmetry about the equatorial plane. Our computations rely on a numerical integration of the hydrostatic and Einstein field equations for selected equations

---

\*In general relativity, multipole moments are defined for both the mass density  $\varepsilon$  and the current density  $\vec{j} = \varepsilon \vec{v}$ , where  $\vec{v}$  is the fluid velocity. Throughout this paper, the term “quadrupole moment” will refer specifically to the quadrupole moment of the mass distribution.

of state, carried out with a numerical code written and made publicly available by Nikolaos Stergioulas (1995). In Sec. 4 we present and discuss our results.

Computations of quadrupole moments of rotating neutron stars were presented before in the literature: Datta (1988) has carried out such computations for a wide selection of equations of states, but his results are restricted by an assumption of slow rotation; on the other hand, Salgado *et al.* (1994a and 1994b) have computed, also for many equations of state, quadrupole moments for maximum-mass configurations of rapidly-rotating neutron stars. While our own analysis does not incorporate a very large selection of equations of state, it explores more thoroughly the relationship between quadrupole moment and angular momentum, both for slow and rapid rotations.

The motivation for this paper comes from the realization that the gravitational waves emitted by a binary system of rotating neutron stars will be affected by the stars' quadrupole moments. Although this effect is small, it is comparable in magnitude to that due to the general relativistic spin-spin interaction (Kidder, Will, & Wiseman, 1993; Apostolatos *et al.* 1994). This application of our results is discussed in a separate paper (Poisson 1998).

## II. QUADRUPOLE MOMENTS OF AXIALLY SYMMETRIC BODIES IN GENERAL RELATIVITY

Equation (3) states that the quadrupole moment  $Q$  is the coefficient of the “ $P_2(\cos\theta)/r^3$ ” part of the Newtonian potential. As we shall see, a similar statement holds in general relativity. However, because of the nonlinearities of the Einstein field equations, it is more difficult to express the quadrupole moment in terms of an integral over the source. We shall come back to this point in Sec. 3.

The metric of a stationary, axially symmetric body can be written in the form (Bardeen & Wagoner 1971)

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\nu} dt^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta B^2 e^{-2\nu} (d\phi - \omega dt)^2 + e^{2\alpha} (dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2), \quad (7)$$

where the potentials  $\nu$ ,  $B$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\alpha$  are functions of  $r$  and  $\theta$ . Butterworth and Ipser (1976) have calculated the asymptotic behavior of these potentials for large  $r$ . Apart from a slight change in notation, they find

$$\nu = -M/r + \frac{1}{3}b(M/r)^3 - q(M/r)^3 P_2(\cos\theta) + O(1/r^4), \quad (8)$$

$$B = 1 + b(M/r)^2 + O(1/r^4), \quad (9)$$

$$M\omega = 2\chi(M/r)^3 + O(1/r^4). \quad (10)$$

An expression for  $\alpha$  will not be needed. Here,  $M \equiv GM/c^2$  is the mass of the body, and  $\chi$  is a dimensionless measure of its angular momentum:

$$\chi = \frac{J}{M^2} \equiv \frac{c}{G} \frac{J}{M^2}, \quad (11)$$

where  $J$  is the body's angular momentum; as these equations indicate, we work with units such that  $G = c = 1$ . The factors of  $G$  and  $c$  will be re-inserted in Sec. 4.

Equations (8)–(10) involve two additional (dimensionless) parameters,  $b$  and  $q$ . The parameter  $b$  was left undetermined by Butterworth and Ipser (1976). However, it is not a free parameter, and it is possible to calculate it by taking the spherically symmetric limit of the metric (7)–(10) and comparing with the Schwarzschild solution in isotropic coordinates (Schutz 1985). Since these must be identical, we find

$$b = -\frac{1}{4}. \quad (12)$$

On the other hand,  $q$  is a free parameter. Because  $qM^3$  is the coefficient of the “ $P_2(\cos\theta)/r^3$ ” part of  $\nu$  (which may be identified as the Newtonian potential), this is the quantity that should be interpreted as the quadrupole moment. The parameter  $q$ , then, would be identified with the dimensionless quantity introduced in Eq. (6).

The difficulty with this argument is that it is based upon the specific coordinate system of Eq. (7), and the question arises as to how it would be affected by a coordinate transformation. As we shall see, the statement that  $qM^3$  is the quadrupole moment of the body is true irrespective of the coordinate system. The rest of this section is devoted to a proof of this important statement.

There exists a well developed literature on coordinate-invariant characterizations of multipole moments in general relativity. Such work was pioneered by Geroch (1970) and pursued by Hansen (1974) and Thorne (1980). Here we follow the simple prescription due to Ryan (1995), which is built on previous work by Fodor, Hoense-laers, and Perjés (1989). Ryan's prescription relies on the existence of a general relationship between the set of coordinate-invariant multipole moments defined by Hansen (1979), and a coordinate-invariant quantity  $\Delta\tilde{E}$  defined as follows.

Let a test particle move, in the absence of any external force, around a central body of mass  $M$  and angular momentum  $J$ , in such a way that  $\Omega$ , its angular velocity as measured at infinity, is uniform. (Such orbits will be termed “circular”.) The central body is assumed to be stationary, axially symmetric, and reflection symmetric about the equatorial plane. We assume also that the motion of the test particle is confined to the equatorial plane, and that the particle moves in the same direction as the body's rotation. [If  $\phi$  denotes the angle of rotation about the symmetry axis (which coincides with the body's rotation axis) and  $t$  is the time measured at infinity, then  $\Omega = d\phi/dt > 0$ . Such coordinates are used in Eq. (7).]

The orbital energy per unit test-particle mass, denoted  $\tilde{E}$ , is a coordinate-invariant quantity which depends on the orbital motion of the particle. Because each circular

orbit can be labeled with the value of its angular velocity  $\Omega$  (also a coordinate-invariant quantity), we have that  $\tilde{E}$  can be expressed as a function of  $\Omega$ . The quantity considered by Ryan (1995) is

$$\Delta\tilde{E} \equiv -\Omega \frac{d\tilde{E}}{d\Omega}. \quad (13)$$

This evidently is a coordinate-invariant quantity, and Ryan derives the following expression for it:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\tilde{E} &= \frac{1}{3}v^2 - \frac{1}{2}v^4 + \frac{20}{9}\frac{J}{M^2}v^5 \\ &+ \left(\frac{Q}{M^3} - \frac{27}{8}\right)v^6 + O(v^7), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where  $Q$  (denoted  $M_2$  by Ryan) is the coordinate-invariant quadrupole moment, and

$$v \equiv (M\Omega)^{1/3} \quad (15)$$

is the orbital velocity. Ryan's formula is valid for any spacetime satisfying the assumptions listed above. The quadrupole moment of a selected spacetime is therefore determined by computing  $\Delta\tilde{E}$  explicitly for this spacetime, and comparing with Eq. (14). Because all involved quantities are known to be invariant under a coordinate transformation, this calculation can be carried out in any coordinate system.

We now go through the steps of calculating  $\Delta\tilde{E}$  for the specific spacetime of Eqs. (7)–(10).

Starting from the  $r$  component of the geodesic equation, using the fact that  $r$  and  $\theta$  are both constant on circular, equatorial orbits, we easily derive the following expression for the angular velocity:

$$\Omega = \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{-g_{t\phi,r} + \sqrt{(g_{t\phi,r})^2 - g_{tt,r}g_{\phi\phi,r}}}{g_{\phi\phi,r}}, \quad (16)$$

where a comma indicates partial differentiation, and all metric functions are evaluated at  $\theta = \pi/2$ . Reading off the metric components from Eq. (7), substituting the asymptotic relations (8)–(10), taking a cubic root, and finally, expanding in powers of  $x \equiv (M/r)^{1/2}$ , yields

$$v = x - \frac{1}{2}x^3 - \frac{1}{3}\chi x^4 + \frac{1-q}{4}x^5 + O(x^6). \quad (17)$$

This series can be inverted to give

$$x = v + \frac{1}{2}v^3 + \frac{1}{3}\chi v^4 + \frac{2+q}{4}v^5 + O(v^6). \quad (18)$$

An expression for  $\tilde{E}$  follows from the fact that in the coordinates of Eq. (7),  $\tilde{E} = -g_{t\alpha}u^\alpha$ , where  $u^\alpha = (u^t, 0, 0, u^\phi) = u^t(1, 0, 0, \Omega)$  is the particle's four-velocity. Using also the normalization condition  $g_{\alpha\beta}u^\alpha u^\beta = -1$ , we arrive at

$$\tilde{E} = \frac{-g_{tt} - g_{t\phi}\Omega}{\sqrt{-g_{tt} - 2g_{t\phi}\Omega - g_{\phi\phi}\Omega^2}}. \quad (19)$$

Going through the same steps as before, we obtain

$$\tilde{E} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{7}{8}x^4 - \chi x^5 + \frac{9-4q}{16}x^6 + O(x^7), \quad (20)$$

or, after substituting Eq. (18),

$$\tilde{E} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{3}{8}v^4 - \frac{4}{3}\chi v^5 + \frac{27-8q}{16}v^6 + O(v^7). \quad (21)$$

Finally, Eqs. (13) and (15) give  $\Delta\tilde{E} = -(v/3)d\tilde{E}/dv$ , and substituting Eq. (21), we arrive at

$$\Delta\tilde{E} = \frac{1}{3}v^2 - \frac{1}{2}v^4 + \frac{20}{9}\chi v^5 + \left(q - \frac{27}{8}\right)v^6 + O(v^7). \quad (22)$$

Comparing with the general relation (14) confirms that  $J = \chi M^2$ , as was first stated in Eq. (11), and establishes that the quadrupole moment is given by  $Q = qM^3$ , in accordance with our original guess.

We conclude that the dimensionless parameter  $q$  appearing in Eq. (8) is related to the coordinate invariant multipole moment  $Q$  by the relation

$$Q = qM^3, \quad (23)$$

which is formally identical to Eq. (6). We see that the quadrupole moment is indeed determined by isolating the “ $P_2(\cos\theta)/r^3$ ” part of  $\nu$ , the relativistic analogue of the Newtonian potential.

### III. COMPUTATION OF THE QUADRUPOLE MOMENT

The computation of the quadrupole moment of a neutron star requires a computer code capable of solving the hydrostatic and Einstein field equations for uniformly rotating mass distributions, under the assumptions of stationarity, axial symmetry about the rotation axis, and reflection symmetry about the equatorial plane. Along with the density profile  $\varepsilon(r, \theta)$  and other matter variables, the code must compute the metric functions  $\nu$ ,  $B$ ,  $\omega$ , and  $\alpha$ . Such a code was recently written and made publicly available by Stergioulas (1995). [See Stergioulas & Friedman (1995) for a comparison with other codes.] The code, named `rns`, uses tabulated equations of state for neutron-star matter, and is based upon the numerical methods of Komatsu, Eriguchi, and Hachisu (1989). As a technical point, we may remark that `rns` uses the metric variables  $\rho = 2\nu - \ln B$  and  $\gamma = \ln B$  instead of  $\nu$  and  $B$ .

Once  $\nu(r, \theta)$  has been obtained for a given equation of state and for selected values of stellar parameters, such as gravitational mass  $M$  and angular momentum  $J$ , the computation of  $q$  is in principle straightforward. Indeed, Eq. (8) immediately implies

$$q = -\frac{5}{2} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (r/M)^3 \int_{-1}^1 \nu(r, \theta) P_2(\cos \theta) d \cos \theta, \quad (24)$$

and the integral to the right-hand side can easily be evaluated numerically. The difficulty with implementing this method is that when  $r$  is much larger than  $M$ , the “ $P_2(\cos \theta)/r^3$ ” part of  $\nu$  is extremely small compared with its spherically symmetric part. The method therefore lacks numerical accuracy. Nevertheless, we have found Eq. (24) useful as a check on the results of another method of computing  $q$ , which we now describe.

This method was first devised by Salgado *et al.* (1994a), and then independently by Ryan (1997), who shows that the quadrupole moment can be straightforwardly computed as an integral over  $S_\rho(r', \theta')$ , a complicated function involving matter variables and metric components. This function is defined in Eq. (10) of Komatsu, Eriguchi, and Hachisu (1989). Ryan’s expression is

$$Q = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int S_\rho(r', \theta') r'^2 P_2(\cos \theta') d^3 x'. \quad (25)$$

This is the general relativistic analogue of Eq. (5). Although Eq. (25) looks quite simple, many complications associated with the nonlinearities of general relativity are hidden in the function  $S_\rho$  which, unlike the mass density  $\varepsilon$ , has support both inside and outside the star. It is only in the Newtonian limit that  $S_\rho = 8\pi\varepsilon$ . Nevertheless, this method is easy to implement because **rns** computes  $S_\rho$  and performs similar integrations in order to solve the Einstein field equations. It was therefore straightforward to make the necessary modifications to the code, and compute  $q = Q/M^3$  for selected configurations of rotating neutron stars.

#### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

With **rns** we have constructed numerical models of rotating neutron stars for four different tabulated equations of state, those labeled G, FPS, C, and L. [See Cook, Shapiro, and Teukolsky (1994) for a detailed description of these equations of state.] For five selected values of the gravitational mass (in the interval between  $1.0 M_\odot$  and  $1.8 M_\odot$ ) and for each equation of state, we have constructed models of varying angular momentum  $J$ , from  $J = 0$  to the Keplerian limit  $J = J_{\max}$ , at which the star’s angular velocity exceeds that of a test particle in circular, equatorial motion just outside the stellar surface. [Of the five selected values for the mass,  $M = 1.4 M_\odot$  is probably the most relevant, because it is the most commonly observed for neutron stars in binary systems (Finn 1994).] For each of these neutron-star models we have computed the quadrupole moment  $Q$  using the two methods described in the previous section.

Table 1 displays some properties of the selected equations of state. The first column lists the equations of

TABLE I. Properties of equations of state. The entries for  $M_{\max}$  are taken from Cook, Shapiro, and Teukolsky (1994).

EOS	$M_{\max}/M_\odot$ for $J = 0$	$\chi_{\max}$ for $M = 1.4 M_\odot$
G	1.36	0.616
FPS	1.80	0.669
C	1.86	0.654
L	2.70	0.731

TABLE II. Quadrupole moment:  $M = 1.0 M_\odot$

EOS G		EOS FPS		EOS C		EOS L	
$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$
0.173	-0.158	0.182	-0.289	0.166	-0.279	0.172	-0.396
0.232	-0.275	0.245	-0.514	0.257	-0.637	0.269	-0.960
0.279	-0.391	0.293	-0.709	0.325	-1.028	0.340	-1.513
0.320	-0.515	0.336	-0.921	0.382	-1.404	0.400	-2.053
0.358	-0.642	0.373	-1.125	0.432	-1.786	0.452	-2.578
0.393	-0.774	0.408	-1.329	0.477	-2.164	0.500	-3.097
0.425	-0.909	0.440	-1.540	0.519	-2.541	0.543	-3.608
0.460	-1.067	0.469	-1.744	0.559	-2.928	0.584	-4.118
0.495	-1.239	0.497	-1.955	0.596	-3.319	0.622	-4.625
0.531	-1.434	0.525	-2.167	0.631	-3.713	0.658	-5.126
0.566	-1.635	0.551	-2.375	0.632	-3.720	0.693	-5.629
0.599	-1.844	0.644	-3.217				

state, in order of increasing stiffness. The second column lists  $M_{\max}$  (in solar masses) for  $J = 0$ , the maximum value of the gravitational mass for a nonrotating configuration. The third column lists  $\chi_{\max} = cJ_{\max}/GM^2$  for  $M = 1.4 M_\odot$ . The first row of Table 1 indicates that EOS G is extremely soft, with a maximum mass (in the absence of rotation) *lower* than  $1.4 M_\odot$ . The models constructed for this equation of state therefore belong to the supramassive class (Cook, Shapiro, & Teukolsky 1994), and are probably not realistic. Furthermore, even rotation cannot support such a star if its mass is larger than 1.56 solar masses (Cook, Shapiro, & Teukolsky 1994).

Our results are presented in Tables 2–6. For each of the equations of state, the first column lists  $\chi = cJ/GM^2$ , the dimensionless angular-momentum parameter, and the second column lists the corresponding value of  $q = c^4 Q/G^2 M^3$ , the dimensionless quadrupole-moment parameter. The last entry corresponds to a configuration of maximum rotation. We recall that neutron stars of 1.6 and 1.8 solar masses cannot be constructed with EOS G. As the number of digits quoted in the tables indicates, we believe our computations to be accurate at least to one part in  $10^3$ .

The fact that the quadrupole moments are all negative reflects the oblateness of the mass distribution; the rotation produces a bulge at the equator. For given values of  $M$  and  $\chi$ , we find that  $|q|$  increases with the stiffness of the equation of state. Thus,  $|q(\text{G})| < |q(\text{FPS})| < |q(\text{C})| < |q(\text{L})|$ . This was to be expected, because a stiffer equation of state produces a larger star, and the quadrupole moment scales with the square of the star’s




FIG. 1. Plots of  $q$  as a function of  $\chi$  for four equations of state, for neutron stars of mass  $M = 1.0 M_{\odot}$ . The data of Table 2 is shown with circles, and the solid curves represent the fits of Eq. (26).

TABLE III. Quadrupole moment:  $M = 1.2 M_{\odot}$

EOS G		EOS FPS		EOS C		EOS L	
$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$
0.203	-0.124	0.191	-0.209	0.175	-0.213	0.146	-0.246
0.283	-0.241	0.276	-0.448	0.222	-0.351	0.258	-0.683
0.345	-0.363	0.341	-0.676	0.259	-0.475	0.334	-1.120
0.398	-0.491	0.398	-0.920	0.292	-0.596	0.396	-1.546
0.445	-0.625	0.448	-1.156	0.322	-0.719	0.450	-1.975
0.488	-0.765	0.494	-1.394	0.349	-0.845	0.499	-2.395
0.528	-0.910	0.536	-1.639	0.376	-0.969	0.544	-2.809
0.563	-1.054	0.577	-1.892	0.399	-1.088	0.586	-3.218
0.596	-1.206	0.615	-2.148	0.422	-1.216	0.625	-3.623
		0.651	-2.412	0.444	-1.343	0.663	-4.032
				0.515	-1.805	0.698	-4.439
				0.579	-2.275		
				0.638	-2.768		




FIG. 2. Same as Fig. 1 but with  $M = 1.2 M_{\odot}$ . The circles represent the data of Table 3.

TABLE IV. Quadrupole moment:  $M = 1.4 M_{\odot}$

EOS G		EOS FPS		EOS C		EOS L	
$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$
0.337	-0.173	0.119	-0.074	0.200	-0.199	0.124	-0.134
0.354	-0.196	0.224	-0.216	0.269	-0.356	0.234	-0.454
0.372	-0.223	0.292	-0.355	0.325	-0.523	0.308	-0.764
0.393	-0.256	0.347	-0.501	0.373	-0.690	0.368	-1.070
0.416	-0.295	0.396	-0.655	0.417	-0.861	0.420	-1.373
0.440	-0.341	0.440	-0.808	0.457	-1.036	0.467	-1.672
0.466	-0.394	0.481	-0.970	0.494	-1.211	0.510	-1.975
0.493	-0.456	0.519	-1.134	0.529	-1.392	0.551	-2.279
0.521	-0.526	0.556	-1.302	0.562	-1.578	0.589	-2.587
0.549	-0.605	0.591	-1.476	0.593	-1.768	0.626	-2.894
0.578	-0.696	0.625	-1.659	0.623	-1.962	0.661	-3.199
0.606	-0.795	0.658	-1.847	0.653	-2.166	0.694	-3.507




FIG. 3. Same as Fig. 1 but with  $M = 1.4 M_{\odot}$ . The circles represent the data of Table 4.

TABLE V. Quadrupole moment:  $M = 1.6 M_{\odot}$

EOS FPS		EOS C		EOS L	
$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$
0.111	-0.037	0.130	-0.066	0.131	-0.120
0.225	-0.158	0.223	-0.184	0.246	-0.388
0.299	-0.267	0.286	-0.289	0.324	-0.672
0.361	-0.390	0.340	-0.409	0.387	-0.944
0.415	-0.517	0.386	-0.528	0.442	-1.216
0.464	-0.652	0.429	-0.658	0.491	-1.488
0.509	-0.797	0.468	-0.793	0.537	-1.760
0.552	-0.953	0.506	-0.937	0.580	-2.033
0.594	-1.120	0.543	-1.089	0.620	-2.310
0.635	-1.297	0.578	-1.248	0.658	-2.589
		0.612	-1.417	0.695	-2.872
		0.645	-1.596	0.731	-3.162




FIG. 4. Same as Fig. 1 but with  $M = 1.6 M_{\odot}$ . The circles represent the data of Table 5.

TABLE VI. Quadrupole moment:  $M = 1.8 M_{\odot}$

EOS FPS		EOS C		EOS L	
$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$	$\chi$	$q$
0.113	-0.024	0.112	-0.030	0.136	-0.109
0.169	-0.052	0.191	-0.081	0.250	-0.326
0.222	-0.091	0.253	-0.152	0.326	-0.549
0.274	-0.143	0.304	-0.222	0.388	-0.768
0.323	-0.203	0.353	-0.306	0.443	-0.990
0.372	-0.278	0.399	-0.396	0.491	-1.214
0.420	-0.365	0.442	-0.496	0.537	-1.438
0.466	-0.464	0.483	-0.607	0.579	-1.664
0.513	-0.579	0.524	-0.732	0.619	-1.893
0.559	-0.711	0.564	-0.871	0.657	-2.128
0.605	-0.863	0.604	-1.026	0.694	-2.367
0.654	-1.046	0.645	-1.202	0.729	-2.612




FIG. 5. Same as Fig. 1 but with  $M = 1.8 M_{\odot}$ . The circles represent the data of Table 6.

TABLE VII. Fit parameter

$M/M_{\odot}$	$a$			
	EOS G	EOS FPS	EOS C	EOS L
1.0	5.1	7.8	9.4	12.1
1.2	3.3	5.7	6.9	9.3
1.4	2.0	4.3	5.0	7.4
1.6		3.1	3.7	6.0
1.8		2.2	2.7	4.9

radius. For fixed mass and equation of state, the dependence on  $\chi$  is well reproduced with a simple quadratic fit,

$$q \simeq -a(M, \text{EOS}) \chi^2 \quad (26)$$

or

$$Q \simeq -\frac{a(M, \text{EOS})}{c^2} \frac{J^2}{M}, \quad (27)$$

with the parameter  $a$  depending on the mass and the equation of state. The best-fit values are presented in Table 7, which shows that for a fixed equation of state,  $a$  decreases with increasing mass. (The relation is not well reproduced by simple fitting formulas, such as linear and power-law relationships.) The accuracy of these fits is demonstrated in Figs. 1–5, which display  $q$  as a function of  $\chi$  for each of the selected equations of state. The figures show the data points of Table 2–6 as well as the fits. We see that Eq. (26) reproduces the data quite well.

The high degree of accuracy of the formula  $q \simeq -a\chi^2$  is intriguing, because it holds not only for slow rotations, where such a quadratic relation is to be expected, but also for fast rotations, where such a relation is expected to fail. Here, our expectation is based on the theory of uniformly-rotating, constant-density fluid configurations in Newtonian gravity (Chandrasekhar 1969). For such configurations, called Maclaurin spheroids, the relation between  $q$  and  $\chi$  is known exactly. For slow rotation, the relation is quadratic:  $q \simeq -(25/8)(c^2 s_p / GM) \chi^2$ , where  $s_p$  is the polar radius. For fast rotations, however, the relation deviates strongly from a quadratic. For typical neutron-star parameters ( $M = 1.4 M_{\odot}$  and  $s_p = 15$  km), the deviation becomes significant when  $\chi \sim 0.4$ , which is *smaller* than the maximum value ( $\chi_{\text{max}} > 0.6$ ). Therefore, the reliability of the relation (26) in the complete interval  $0 < \chi < \chi_{\text{max}}$  is quite remarkable. In this regard, we may note that the slow-rotation approximation was shown by Weber and Glendenning (1992) to give rather accurate results in numerical models of rotating neutron stars. We also note that the relation  $q = -\chi^2$  is known to hold *exactly* for rotating black holes (Thorne 1980).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. It is a pleasure

to thank Fintan Ryan for a very helpful exchange of e-mails regarding the computation of multipole moments in general relativity, and Curt Cutler for explaining why stiffer equations of state produce larger quadrupole moments. Our gratitude is also directed toward Sharon Morsink for a guided tour of `rns`, and Nikolaos Stergioulas for making his code available. Finally, we thank an anonymous referee for pointing out key references and suggesting ways to improve the manuscript.

---

Apostolatos, T.A., Cutler, C., Sussman, G.J., & Thorne, K.S. 1994, *Phys. Rev. D* 49, 6274  
Bardeen, J.M., & Wagoner, R.V. 1971, *Astrophys. J.* 167, 359  
Butterworth, E.M., & Ipser, J.R. 1976, *Astrophys. J.* 204, 200  
Chandrasekhar, S. 1969, *Ellipsoidal Figures of Equilibrium* (New Haven: Yale University Press)

Cook, G.B., Shapiro, S.L., & Teukolsky, S.A. 1994, *Astrophys. J.* 424, 823  
Datta, B. 1988, *Fundamentals of Cosmic Physics*, 12, 151  
Finn, L.S. 1994, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 73, 1878  
Fodor, G., Hoenselaers, C., & Perjés, Z. 1989, *J. Math. Phys.* 30, 2252  
Geroch, R. 1970, *J. Math. Phys.* 11, 2580  
Hansen, R.O. 1974, *J. Math. Phys.* 15, 46  
Jackson, J.D. 1975, *Classical Electrodynamics* (New York: Wiley)  
Kidder, L.E., Will, C.M., & Wiseman, A.G. 1993, *Phys. Rev. D* 47, R4183  
Komatsu, H., Eriguchi, Y., & Hachisu, I. 1989, *Mon. Not. R. Soc.* 237, 355  
Poisson, E. 1998, *Phys. Rev. D* 57, April 15, in press  
Ryan, F.D. 1995, *Phys. Rev. D* 52, 5707  
Ryan, F.D. 1997, *Phys. Rev. D* 55, 6081  
Salgado, M., Bonazzola, S., Gourgoulhon, E., & Haensel, P. 1994a, *Astron. Astrophys.* 291, 155  
Salgado, M., Bonazzola, S., Gourgoulhon, E., & Haensel, P. 1994b, *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.* 108, 455  
Schutz, B.F. 1985, *A First Course in General Relativity* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)  
Stergioulas, N. 1995. The `rns` code is available as a public domain program and can be downloaded by anonymous ftp from `alpha2.csf.uwm.edu` in the directory `/pub/niksterg/rns`.  
Stergioulas, N., & Friedman, J.L. 1995, *Astrophys. J.* 444, 306  
Thorne, K.S. 1980, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 52, 299  
Weber, F., & Glendenning, N.K. 1992, *Astrophys. J.* 390, 541