

Nucleosynthesis Constraints on Scalar-Tensor Theories of Gravity

D. I. Santiago*

Department of Physics, Stanford University

D. Kalligas

Physics Department, University of Athens

R. V. Wagoner[†]

Department of Physics, Stanford University

(July 2, 2018)

We study the cosmological evolution of massless single-field scalar-tensor theories of gravitation from the time before the onset of e^+e^- annihilation and nucleosynthesis up to the present. The cosmological evolution together with the observational bounds on the abundances of the lightest elements (those mostly produced in the early universe) place constraints on the coefficients of the Taylor series expansion of $a(\varphi)$, which specifies the coupling of the scalar field to matter and is the only free function in the theory. In the case when $a(\varphi)$ has a minimum (i.e., when the theory evolves towards general relativity) these constraints translate into a stronger limit on the Post-Newtonian parameters γ and β than any other observational test. Moreover, our bounds imply that, even at the epoch of annihilation and nucleosynthesis, the evolution of the universe must be very close to that predicted by general relativity if we do not want to over- or underproduce ${}^4\text{He}$. Thus the amount of scalar field contribution to gravity is very small even at such an early epoch.

I. INTRODUCTION

Scalar-tensor (ST) theories of gravitation have a long history. The first ones to appear were those of Jordan [1], Fierz [2], and Brans-Dicke [3]. These are the simplest theories in the sense that they consist of just one massless scalar field and its coupling strength to matter is constant. Later Bergmann [4], Nordtvedt [5], and Wagoner [6] generalized the theory. In their versions the scalar field has a dynamic (scalar field dependant) coupling to matter and/or an arbitrary self-interaction. More recently, in a very comprehensive work, Damour and Esposito-Farèse [7] considered the theory with multiple scalar fields.

One could say that scalar-tensor theories of gravity are the simplest alternative to Einstein's general theory of relativity (GR). Most recent attempts at unified models of fundamental interactions, i.e., string theories [8], predict the existence of scalar partners to the tensor gravity of GR, and would have ST gravity as their low energy limit. In addition, these theories are important in inflationary cosmology where they provide a way of exiting the inflationary epoch in a non-fine-tuned way [9]. Scalar-tensor theories provide an important framework for comparison with results of gravitational experiments that are going to take place in the near future, e.g Gravity Probe-B [10], LIGOS [11], Geo [12], and VIRGO [13].

In the present paper we study the cosmological evolution of the metric and scalar gravitational fields in ST gravity. We consider the theories where the scalar field is massless, i.e., no self interaction. Although it seems natural that without a gauge invariance the scalar would acquire a mass, certain results in string theory suggest that massless scalars arise naturally [14,15]. Furthermore, it has been shown [16] that when the scalar field is massless, the cosmological evolution drives the scalar field to a value that minimizes the coupling function, towards making the contribution of the scalar field very small. This effect makes the theory practically indistinguishable from GR at the present epoch.

Solar system tests can put limits on the Post-Newtonian parameters γ and β [17], which in ST gravity translate into limits on a_1 and a_2 : the first two coefficients of the Taylor series expansion about today of $a(\varphi)$, the coupling function of the scalar field φ to matter. We have the relations [5,7]:

*david@spacetime.stanford.edu

[†]wagoner@leland.stanford.edu

$$|\gamma - 1| \approx 2a_1^2, \quad \beta - 1 \approx \frac{1}{2}a_1^2 a_2. \quad (1.1)$$

From analysis of the Shapiro time delay in the Viking Mars data [18], and from VLBI radio wave deflection [19] we have

$$a_1^2 < 1 \times 10^{-3}, \quad (1.2)$$

while Lunar Laser Ranging [20] gives the limit

$$|1 + a_2|a_1^2 < 5 \times 10^{-4}. \quad (1.3)$$

These limits (the most restrictive known to the authors) imply that at the present epoch in the weak field regime gravity's behavior does not differ much from that predicted by GR. We will show that the cosmological constraint places stronger limits on a_1 if a_2 is positive. In addition it implies that if there is a scalar field contribution to gravity, it must be very small from the epochs of e^+e^- annihilation and nucleosynthesis up to the present. The cosmological constraint has been analyzed less generally by Casas *et. al.* [21], Kalligas *et. al.* [22], and Serna and Alimi [23]. A preliminary version of our results appears in [24].

Throughout this paper we follow the conventions of MTW [25] ($G_o = c = 1$).

II. SCALAR-TENSOR THEORIES OF GRAVITY

We consider a theory of gravitation in which the gravitational interaction is carried by the usual spin-2 field, the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, plus a spin-0 field, a scalar field φ . We work in the Einstein conformal frame of [7,16], which we call the spin-frame, because the spin-2 and spin-0 excitations are then decoupled from each other. The main advantage of the spin-frame is that, in general, calculations of the behavior of the fields (as opposed to the motion of matter) are more readily manageable. Another advantage of the spin-frame is that the Cauchy problem is well posed [7].

The field equations for our theory follow from extremizing the action

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [R - 2g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\nu \varphi] + S_m. \quad (2.1)$$

Here $g \equiv \det g_{\mu\nu}$, $R \equiv g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$ is the Ricci scalar, and S_m is the matter action, which includes all the matter fields and their interactions including those with the gravitational fields. The matter fields couple to the gravitational fields through the physical metric

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = A^2(\varphi) g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.2)$$

From the previous equation we see that the scalar field couples conformally to matter via the function $A(\varphi)$. A priori $A(\varphi)$ is a completely unspecified function of the scalar field. Solar system experiments constrain this function somewhat, and we will show that cosmology can give additional strong restrictions on this function.

The three functions

$$a(\varphi) \equiv \ln A(\varphi) \quad (2.3)$$

$$\alpha(\varphi) \equiv \frac{\partial a(\varphi)}{\partial \varphi} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\kappa(\varphi) \equiv \frac{\partial \alpha(\varphi)}{\partial \varphi}, \quad (2.5)$$

will be very useful because they are related to observable quantities. It will be convenient to express these functions as their Taylor series expansion about the asymptotic cosmological value of the scalar field in the present epoch, φ_o :

$$a(\varphi) = a_1(\varphi - \varphi_o) + \frac{a_2}{2}(\varphi - \varphi_o)^2 + \dots \quad (2.6)$$

$$\alpha(\varphi) = a_1 + a_2(\varphi - \varphi_o) + \dots \quad (2.7)$$

$$\kappa(\varphi) = a_2 + \dots, \quad (2.8)$$

where we scale our coordinates so that $a(\varphi_o) = 0$.

When we vary the action with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ and φ , we obtain the field equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu} + 2(\varphi_{,\mu}\varphi_{,\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\varphi^{,\sigma}\varphi_{,\sigma}) \quad (2.9)$$

$$\square\varphi = -4\pi\alpha(\varphi)T, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_m}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \quad (2.11)$$

$$T = g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.12)$$

$$\square\varphi = \varphi^{;\mu}{}_{;\mu} \quad (2.13)$$

are the spin-frame stress-energy tensor, its trace, and the divergence of the gradient of φ . The Bianchi identity leads to the equations of motion

$$T_{\mu}{}^{\nu}{}_{;\nu} = \alpha(\varphi)T\varphi_{,\mu}. \quad (2.14)$$

In all these formulae we follow the usual convention that comas represent partial derivatives and semi-colons represent covariant derivatives.

From the field equations we see that if we set $\varphi = K$, $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(GR)}$ with K a constant such that $\alpha(K) = 0$ and $g_{\mu\nu}^{(GR)}$ a solution to Einstein's equations of GR, we have a solution to our field equations. It follows that all general relativistic solutions are also solutions in ST gravity with an appropriate choice of constant scalar field. Moreover since $\alpha(\varphi) \equiv \frac{\partial a}{\partial \varphi}$, K locates an extremum of our coupling function $a(\varphi)$. When K produces a minimum of $a(\varphi)$, the GR solutions are stable solutions of ST gravity, while if K produces a maximum or an inflection point the solutions are unstable. It has been demonstrated [16] that if $a(\varphi)$ has a minimum or approaches one asymptotically, then the cosmological evolution during the matter era drives the scalar field towards the minimum value of a , and therefore the universe evolves towards general relativity.

We can express [7,17] the measured gravitational constant far from all matter, \tilde{G} , and the PPN parameters γ and β in terms of the Taylor expansion coefficients of $a(\varphi)$

$$\tilde{G} = 1 + a_1^2 \quad (2.15)$$

$$\gamma - 1 = \frac{-2a_1^2}{1 + a_1^2} \quad (2.16)$$

$$\beta - 1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_1^2 a_2}{(1 + a_1^2)^2}. \quad (2.17)$$

From these last formulae we see how solar system tests give us information about the coupling function $A(\varphi)$, i.e., by constraining the possible values of a_1 and a_2 . Our cosmological constraint will give us information on these same two Taylor series coefficients which, in turn, can be translated into a restriction on γ .

III. SCALAR-TENSOR COSMOLOGIES

We now turn to the study of the evolution of the universe as a whole in ST gravity. We assume the universe is homogeneous and isotropic, so that the spacetime has a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + R^2(t) \left[\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right], \quad (3.1)$$

with $d\Omega$ being the element of solid angle and $k = -1, 0, \text{ or } 1$ according to whether the universe is open, flat, or closed. In order to compare with observations we need to express all the physically measurable quantities (proper time, expansion rate, density, pressure, etc.) in terms of spin-frame quantities (the ones we use for our analysis). Because of the relation (2.2) between the physical metric and the spin-frame metric, the proper time measured by ideal clocks is not $d\tau = \sqrt{-ds^2}$; it is

$$d\tilde{\tau} = A(\varphi)\sqrt{-ds^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

In particular, for comoving observers $d\tilde{\tau} = A(\varphi)dt$. We shall call τ the spin-frame ‘‘proper’’ time, but remember that it is not the time measured by ideal comoving clocks. The physical scale factor of the universe is

$$\tilde{R}(t) = A(\varphi)R(t) \quad (3.3)$$

instead of $R(t)$, the spin-frame scale factor. The condition of homogeneity implies that our scalar field is only a function of the the spin-frame time coordinate, $\varphi = \varphi(t)$.

The matter in the universe behaves to a large degree like a perfect fluid

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u^\mu u^\nu + pg^{\mu\nu}. \quad (3.4)$$

In the previous equation

$$u^\mu \equiv \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \quad (3.5)$$

is the spin-frame 4-velocity normalized to $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu = -1$ for massive particles. Since $d\tilde{\tau} = A(\varphi)d\tau$, we have $u^\mu = A(\varphi)\tilde{u}^\mu$ with

$$\tilde{u}^\mu \equiv \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tilde{\tau}} \quad (3.6)$$

being the physical 4-velocity, i.e., the actual 4-velocity particles have. The physical stress-energy tensor is defined by

$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}} \frac{\delta S_m}{\delta \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}}, \quad (3.7)$$

analogous to equation (2.11) for the spin-frame stress-energy tensor. Using the relation (2.2) between the physical metric and the spin-frame metric, we get

$$T_{\mu\nu} = A^2(\varphi)\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.8)$$

which leads us to the following expressions for the spin-frame density and pressure of the matter in terms of the physical quantities,

$$\rho = A^4(\varphi)\tilde{\rho}, \quad p = A^4(\varphi)\tilde{p}. \quad (3.9)$$

We remind readers that quantities with a tilde are the physical ones, which are the usual measured values.

Substituting the metric for our isotropic and homogeneous cosmological model and the perfect fluid spin-frame stress-energy tensor into our field equations (2.9) and (2.10), we obtain

$$-3\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} = 4\pi(\rho + 3p) + 2(\dot{\varphi})^2, \quad (3.10)$$

$$3\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 + 3\frac{k}{R^2} = 8\pi\rho + (\dot{\varphi})^2 \quad (3.11)$$

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\dot{\varphi} = -4\pi\alpha(\varphi)(\rho - 3p), \quad (3.12)$$

where the overdots denote derivatives with respect to spin-frame coordinate time, t . From the Bianchi identity (2.14) we obtain the ‘‘conservation’’ equation

$$d(\rho R^3) + pd(R^3) = (\rho - 3p)R^3 da(\varphi), \quad (3.13)$$

which, of course, is a consequence of the field equations.

Just as is the case in GR, in ST gravity we need an equation of state in order to solve our field equations. In general, equations of state relating density and pressure are valid only for physical quantities, $\tilde{p} = \tilde{p}(\tilde{\rho})$, and not spin-frame quantities. All the cosmological epochs we consider, except the e^+e^- era, have simple equations of state of the type $\tilde{p} \propto \tilde{\rho}$. We will have a similar type of relation between the spin-frame quantities because of equation (3.9) and the simple form of the equation of state. The e^+e^- era is handled by applying first order perturbation theory in the effect of the scalar field (arguing why it is not necessary to go to higher orders). To first order the spin-frame density and pressure are equal to the physical ones, enabling us to use the same equation of state for the spin-frame quantities.

IV. COSMOLOGICAL EVOLUTION AND NUCLEOSYNTHESIS

We now turn our attention to the question of what cosmology says about ST gravity. As expected, because of the presence of the scalar field, the expansion rate of the universe will be different than the one predicted from GR. Nucleosynthesis is sensitive to the speed-up factor ξ_n (the ratio of the expansion rate at nucleosynthesis to that predicted by GR). Thus we see that the production of primordial light elements, especially ${}^4\text{He}$, will provide us with limits on ST gravity. In order to obtain these constraints we will integrate semi-analytically (in perturbation theory) our cosmological equations from the present era back to the epoch of e^+e^- annihilation. This last era is handled numerically, performing the appropriate matching at the transitions between eras.

The next step is to establish the relation between the speed-up factor, the scalar field, and the other quantities of our theory. The following relation between the speed-up factor, ξ_n , and the conformal factor, $A(\varphi)$, has been derived [16] from quite general considerations,

$$\xi_n = \frac{A(\varphi_n)}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha^2(\varphi_o)}}, \quad (4.1)$$

with φ_n being the value of the scalar field at the time of nucleosynthesis and φ_o its value today. Since ξ_n cannot differ from unity by more than $\sim 15\%$ without over- or under producing ${}^4\text{He}$ [26,27] we can Taylor expand our coupling function about φ_o and consider terms beyond the second order as unimportant for all times between the present and nucleosynthesis:

$$a(\varphi) = a_1(\varphi - \varphi_o) + \frac{a_2}{2}(\varphi - \varphi_o)^2. \quad (4.2)$$

Combining the two previous equations with equation (2.3) we arrive at

$$\ln \xi_n = a_1(\varphi_n - \varphi_o) + \frac{a_2}{2}(\varphi_n - \varphi_o)^2 - \frac{1}{2}a_1^2, \quad (4.3)$$

to the desired order.

The equations of motion can be integrated to yield $\varphi_n - \varphi_o$. When this quantity is substituted in our last equation we will have the speed-up factor as a function of the Taylor coefficients a_1 and a_2 . Then we can use the abundances of primordial light elements (functions of ξ_n) to obtain the allowed values of a_1 as a function of a_2 .

We will restrict ourselves to the study of those ST cosmologies that evolve towards GR. This is equivalent to considering only coupling functions $a(\varphi)$ that at least have a minimum or approach one asymptotically. In terms of the Taylor expansion coefficients of the coupling function, this implies $a_2 > 0$. Excluded is the Brans-Dicke case [$a(\varphi)$ linear in φ], which is not that interesting because the deviations from GR are fixed, i.e., α does not depend on the scalar field. Excluded also are the cases where the coupling function has no minimum, has points of inflection and at most one maximum. In this case solar system constraints place us very near a point of inflection or the maximum. In either case the coupling function will evolve away from such a point and, in the future, the deviations from general relativity will be more pronounced. We will consider both flat and open universes. For each universe we will have the following eras: e^+e^- annihilation, radiation dominated, and matter dominated. For the open universe we also have a curvature dominated epoch. We approximate the transitions between eras as sudden.

A. Scalar Field Perturbation Theory

Scalar-tensor theories are very general because the coupling function $a(\varphi)$ could be any function of the scalar field. This generality prevents one from performing any calculation unless one chooses a particular coupling function. Thus it seems that any calculations will be dependent on the choice among the uncountably many coupling functions. This appears quite unnatural especially since the coupling function $a(\varphi)$ should be a fundamental property of nature (a constant corresponding to GR). Therefore $a(\varphi)$ should be derived from fundamental principles or somehow be obtained from experimental facts. We try to do the latter in the present work using the light element abundances.

The function $\alpha(\varphi) = \frac{da}{d\varphi}$ acts as a measure of the deviations from GR. When $|\alpha| \ll 1$ the scalar contribution to gravity is small and the theory is very close to GR, while if $\alpha \gtrsim 1$ there will be large deviations from GR. The solar systems limits on the PPN parameters γ and β mentioned in equations (1.2) and (1.3) imply that the value of α today, a_1 , is small. So at the present time the universe has small deviations from a general relativistic behavior. This suggests that we can treat the effects of the scalar contribution as a first order perturbation on GR. Since we are very near a minimum of $a(\varphi)$, a_1 acts as a ‘‘measure’’ of how far we are from that minimum, i.e., the strength of the

scalar contribution to gravity. For $a_1 = 0$, we have no scalar contribution (i.e., GR) while for $|a_1| \ll 1$ we have small scalar contributions. At present, since the effect of the scalar field is small, we expect $|a_1| \ll 1$ and the cosmological field today, φ_o , to be different by order a_1 from a value that minimizes $a(\varphi)$, i.e., $\alpha(\varphi) = 0$. Moreover, as long as we can apply first order perturbation theory we expect the deviations of the scalar field from its value today to also be proportional to a_1 :

$$\varphi = \varphi_o + a_1\varphi_1 + O(a_1^2). \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore we can consider a_1 as the small parameter for a first order perturbation theory expansion about general relativity. Besides φ itself, α is the only other scalar field dependent quantity to appear in the field equations (2.9) and (2.10). Hence to do first order perturbation theory in the effect of the scalar field we need α to linear order in φ :

$$\alpha(\varphi) = a_1 + a_2(\varphi - \varphi_o) + O(2) = a_1(1 + a_2\varphi_1) + O(a_1^2). \quad (4.5)$$

Since this is the derivative with respect to φ of equation (4.2), we see that we need $a(\varphi)$, or equivalently $A(\varphi)$, to second order. But if higher order deviations are important, we will over- or underproduce ${}^4\text{He}$. Therefore our first order perturbation theory approach is valid at least up to the time of nucleosynthesis. If we look at the field equations (2.9) for the metric, we see that they depend on derivatives of φ squared. Since the physical metric as given by equation (2.2) differs from the spin-frame metric by quantities of second order (a_1^2), it must differ from the GR metric by quantities of at least second order. Moreover, the matter lagrangian, and hence, the stress-energy tensor, will be equal to the GR quantities to at least zeroth and first order. Therefore the metric will be unaffected to first order while to zeroth order we just have a GR solution, $g_{\mu\nu}^{(GR)}$:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(GR)} + O(a_1^2). \quad (4.6)$$

In general, the physical metric is of more interest. The first order correction in the scalar field affects the physical metric to second order. The second order correction to the metric affects ideal clocks and measuring sticks to the same order as the first order scalar field correction. Therefore we would need to find this metric correction to understand the full physics of a problem to the desired order. For the nucleosynthesis constraint we are fortunate because we have formula (4.1) or (4.3) which relates the speed-up factor to the coupling function independently of the metric. We see that in this particular case we can neglect the back reaction of the metric in the presence of the scalar field. There will also be corrections to the spin-frame stress-energy tensor, but as we previously argued they will be second order:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^{(GR)} + O(a_1^2), \quad (4.7)$$

with $T_{\mu\nu}^{(GR)}$ the general relativistic stress-energy tensor. To this order the spin-frame stress-energy tensor is equal to the physical one.

Now we consider the ST cosmological and ‘‘conservation’’ equations (3.10-3.13) in perturbation theory. We note that these equations are not independent (they are related through the Bianchi identity). Hence we do not use equation (3.10). For the spin-frame expansion factor, scalar field, density, and pressure we have the following relations to the desired order:

$$R(t) = R^{(GR)}(t) + O(a_1^2) \quad (4.8)$$

$$\varphi = \varphi_o + a_1\varphi_1 + O(a_1^2) \quad (4.9)$$

$$\rho(t) = \rho^{(GR)}(t) + O(a_1^2) \quad (4.10)$$

$$p(t) = p^{(GR)}(t) + O(a_1^2). \quad (4.11)$$

When we substitute these in the cosmological equations we obtain to zeroth (GR) order:

$$3 \left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right)^2 + 3 \frac{k}{R^2} = 8\pi\rho \quad (4.12)$$

$$d(\rho R^3) + pd(R^3) = 0, \quad (4.13)$$

where we have dropped the (GR) superscripts. To first order scalar field equation becomes

$$\ddot{\varphi}_1 + 3 \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \dot{\varphi}_1 + 4\pi(1 + a_2\varphi_1)(\rho - 3p) = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

For later use we define

$$\psi \equiv 1 + a_2 \varphi_1, \quad (4.15)$$

so that ψ satisfies

$$\ddot{\psi} + 3 \frac{\dot{R}}{R} \dot{\psi} + 4\pi a_2 (\rho - 3p) \psi = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

For the nucleosynthesis constraint we will need the speed-up factor. Substituting the perturbation expansion (4.9) of φ into the relation (4.3) for the speed-up factor we get

$$\ln \xi_n = a_1^2 \left[-\frac{1}{2} + \varphi_1(t_n) + \frac{a_2}{2} \varphi_1^2(t_n) \right] = a_1^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\psi^2(t_n) - 1}{2a_2} \right), \quad (4.17)$$

where t_n is the time at nucleosynthesis, and we used equation (4.15) to get the last equality.

Next we find solutions to the field equations in the different epochs that occur in the two types of universe we consider: flat and open.

B. Curvature Dominated Epoch

We consider an era in which the evolution of the universe is mainly driven by the curvature term, i.e., the curvature term is large compared to the density term. This describes to a fairly good approximation the present epoch for a sufficiently open universe ($k = -1$).

When we neglect the density term in our zeroth order field equation (4.12) we have

$$\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{R^2} = 0, \quad (4.18)$$

which trivially integrates to

$$R(t) = t + C_1, \quad (4.19)$$

with C_1 a constant of integration.

We must consider how the matter density depends on the scale factor since we should include the matter density term in equation (4.16) for the scalar field because although it is small at each time it builds up during the evolution. Taking $p = 0$ in the zeroth order conservation equation (4.13) gives us the well known simple result

$$\rho_m = \frac{M_o}{R^3}, \quad (4.20)$$

where M_o is a constant of normalization and the subscript m on ρ is to specify that it is the matter density.

To first order we use the auxiliary scalar field ψ and its corresponding equation (4.16). Using our last result the ψ equation becomes

$$\ddot{\psi} + \frac{3}{t + C_1} \dot{\psi} + \frac{4\pi a_2 M_o}{(t + C_1)^3} \psi = 0. \quad (4.21)$$

This is a transformed Bessel's equation [28], which has solution

$$\psi(t) = \frac{C_2}{t + C_1} J_2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{16\pi M_o a_2}{t + C_1}} \right) + \frac{C_3}{t + C_1} Y_2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{16\pi M_o a_2}{t + C_1}} \right), \quad (4.22)$$

where the C_k are constants of integration, and $J_2(x)$ and $Y_2(x)$ are Bessel functions of the first and second kind respectively.

It is interesting that ψ in equation (4.22) does not converge to zero for large times, rather it approaches a constant. To first order $\psi = 0$ corresponds to the value of φ for which $\alpha(\varphi) = 0$. Therefore the universe does not evolve towards a state in which the deviations from GR disappear, but as it evolves the deviation from GR converges to a finite, though small, value. The attractor mechanism of [16] is not too effective because for large times the Hubble damping makes the scalar field “stop” before reaching the value which produces $\alpha = 0$. The full attractor mechanism is present only for flat scalar-tensor cosmologies because those will have GR as a limit for large times.

C. Matter Dominated Epoch

In a matter dominated epoch the evolution of the universe is driven by the density of the matter (described as a fluid of nonrelativistic particles). Hence the matter density is much larger than either the curvature term or the density and pressure of radiation. Such an epoch corresponds to the present for a flat universe ($k = 0$), or to the epoch previous to the curvature dominated one in an open universe ($k = -1$).

The zeroth order field equation (4.12) becomes

$$3 \left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right)^2 = 8\pi \frac{M_o}{R^3}. \quad (4.23)$$

This equation is easily integrated to yield

$$R(t) = (6\pi M_o)^{\frac{1}{3}} (t + D_1)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (4.24)$$

with D_1 a constant of integration.

We need the scalar field evolution to first order. Just as we did in the previous section (IV B), we use the auxiliary field ψ . When the results (4.20) and (4.24) are substituted in the ψ equation (4.16) we have

$$\ddot{\psi} + \frac{2}{t + D_1} \dot{\psi} + \frac{2a_2}{3(t + D_1)^2} \psi = 0. \quad (4.25)$$

By making the variable change $x = \ln(t + D_1)$ we end up with an equation for a damped harmonic oscillator:

$$\psi'' + \psi' + \frac{2}{3}a_2\psi = 0, \quad (4.26)$$

where primes denote derivatives with respect to x . This equation has three types of solution (under, over, or critically-damped), according to the value of a_2 .

Case 1 (underdamped: $a_2 > \frac{3}{8}$):

$$\psi(t) = \frac{D_2}{\sqrt{t + D_1}} \sin \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}a_2 - \frac{1}{4}} \ln \left(\frac{t + D_1}{D_3} \right) \right]. \quad (4.27)$$

Case 2 (criticallydamped: $a_2 = \frac{3}{8}$):

$$\psi(t) = \frac{D_2}{\sqrt{t + D_1}} \ln \left(\frac{t + D_1}{D_3} \right). \quad (4.28)$$

Case 3 (overdamped: $a_2 < \frac{3}{8}$):

$$\psi(t) = \frac{D_2}{\sqrt{t + D_1}} \sinh \left[\sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{3}a_2} \ln \left(\frac{t + D_1}{D_3} \right) \right]. \quad (4.29)$$

In all three cases D_k ($k = 2$ and 3) are constants of integration.

D. Radiation Dominated Epoch

Previous to the matter era, there is an epoch in which the density and pressure of relativistic particles (radiation) is large compared to the density of the matter (nonrelativistic fluid) as well as the curvature term. This epoch describes the universe fairly well prior to matter-radiation equality.

Remembering that the equation of state for radiation is $p_r = \rho_r/3$, our zeroth order conservation equation (4.13) becomes

$$0 = d(\rho_r R^3) + \frac{\rho_r}{3} d(R^3) = \frac{d(\rho_r R^4)}{R}, \quad (4.30)$$

which trivially gives

$$\rho_r = \frac{N_o}{R^4}, \quad p_r = \frac{N_o}{3R^4}, \quad (4.31)$$

with N_o a integration constant. The subscript r specifies that these are the density and pressure of radiation.

Taking into account this last result, the expansion factor equation (4.12) to zeroth order is

$$3 \left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right)^2 = 8\pi \frac{N_o}{R^4}. \quad (4.32)$$

We solve this equation to find

$$R(t) = \left(\frac{32\pi N_o}{3} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} (t + B_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.33)$$

To first order we must solve equation (4.16) for ψ . The last term in this equation is proportional to $\rho - 3p$, which vanishes if we consider radiation. On the other hand the matter density ($\rho_m = \frac{M_o}{R^3}$) also contributes to the total density. This is a small correction at any particular time in the radiation epoch, but it builds up as we integrate the ψ equation over the whole radiation era. Therefore we must include the effect of the matter density in the scalar field equation. We find

$$\ddot{\psi} + \frac{3}{2(t + B_1)} \dot{\psi} + \frac{a_2 \chi}{(t + B_1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \psi = 0, \quad (4.34)$$

where we have introduced

$$\chi \equiv \frac{3M_o}{4N_o} \left(\frac{2\pi N_o}{3} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (4.35)$$

This is a transformed Bessel's equation [28], which has solution

$$\psi(t) = \frac{B_2}{(t + B_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} J_1[4(a_2 \chi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t + B_1)^{\frac{1}{4}}] + \frac{B_3}{(t + B_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} Y_1[4(a_2 \chi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t + B_1)^{\frac{1}{4}}], \quad (4.36)$$

where $J_1(x)$ is a Bessel function of the first kind and $Y_1(x)$ is a Bessel function of the second kind. In addition B_k ($k = 2$ and 3) are constants of integration. Without loss of generality we can set $B_3 = 0$. At the time when we match the radiation era solution for ψ to the matter epoch, the argument of the Bessel functions in equation (4.36) is large. For $x \gg 1$ we have $Y_1(x) \ll J_1(x)$ so setting $B_3 = 0$ is consistent at the time of matching. To see whether our assumption is correct we note that the radiation era starts at a time a lot smaller that when it ends. Therefore the matching at the other end might be troublesome because for $x \ll 1$ we have $Y_1(x) \gg J_1(x)$. To see that we do not run into problems we first let $x = 4(a_2 \chi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t + B_1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ so that (redefining B_k , $k = 2$ and 3 , by multiplication by an appropriate constant) we get

$$\psi(x) = \frac{B_2}{x^2} J_1(x) + \frac{B_3}{x^2} Y_1(x). \quad (4.37)$$

If we let ψ_* and ψ'_* be the values of $\psi(x_*)$ and $\frac{d\psi}{dx}(x_*)$ at the point of matching (call it x_*) to the epoch previous to the radiation era, we have

$$\frac{B_3}{B_2} = - \frac{\psi_* J_2(x_*) + \psi'_* J_1(x_*)}{\psi_* Y_2(x_*) + \psi'_* Y_1(x_*)}. \quad (4.38)$$

Therefore even if the matching is done at $x_* \ll 1$ we have

$$\left| \frac{B_3}{B_2} \right| \sim O(x_*^3) \ll 1 \quad (4.39)$$

at worst. Hence we are justified in taking $B_3 = 0$ throughout the radiation epoch. Finally we obtain

$$\psi(t) = \frac{B_2}{(t + B_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} J_1[4(a_2 \chi)^{\frac{1}{2}}(t + B_1)^{\frac{1}{4}}]. \quad (4.40)$$

E. Epoch of e^+e^- Annihilation

The last era we consider is the one when the electron-positron pairs annihilate. During the early evolution of the universe, as we have mentioned, the cosmological fluid can be approximated as a perfect fluid with a radiation equation of state. Consider some species i of particles and antiparticles with mass m_i . When the temperature of the universe passes through the threshold $kT \sim m_i c^2$, the pairs of i particles and antiparticles annihilate, creating important though small deviations from the radiation equation of state. These deviations act as a source for our scalar field, giving it an extra kick. In particular, we are interested in the threshold for e^+e^- annihilation, $T \simeq 5 \times 10^9$ K, because it is the only annihilation that occurs after the neutron-proton ratio has frozen out of equilibrium, occurring around the same time that we have synthesis of primordial elements.

We will start the e^+e^- epoch at the time of neutrino decoupling, $T \simeq 3 \times 10^{10}$ K. Around such time the universe can be safely described as radiation including the behavior of the e^+e^- pairs because they are still relativistic. We will terminate it when the temperature drops to $T \simeq 3 \times 10^8$. At this point we can once again approximate the cosmological fluid as radiation since, even though the leftover electrons are not relativistic their density and pressure are very small. Therefore we match with the radiation era solution at this time.

As derived in Appendix A we have the following densities and pressures as functions of temperature:

$$\rho_\gamma(T) = \frac{\pi^2 (kT)^4}{15\hbar^3} \quad (4.41)$$

$$p_\gamma(T) = \frac{\rho_\gamma(T)}{3} \quad (4.42)$$

$$\rho_\nu(T_\nu) = \frac{21}{8} \rho_\gamma(T_\nu) \quad (4.43)$$

$$p_\nu(T_\nu) = \frac{\rho_\nu(T_\nu)}{3} \quad (4.44)$$

$$p_e(T) \simeq \frac{2m_e^4}{\pi^4 \hbar^3} \times \left[\left(\frac{kT}{m_e} \right)^2 K_2 \left(\frac{m_e}{kT} \right) - \left(\frac{kT}{2m_e} \right)^2 K_2 \left(\frac{2m_e}{kT} \right) \right] \quad (4.45)$$

$$\rho_e(T) \simeq 3p_e(T) + \frac{2m_e^4}{\pi^4 \hbar^3} \times \left[\left(\frac{kT}{m_e} \right) K_1 \left(\frac{m_e}{kT} \right) - \left(\frac{kT}{2m_e} \right) K_1 \left(\frac{2m_e}{kT} \right) \right]. \quad (4.46)$$

Here \hbar is Planck's constant divided by 2π , k is Boltzmann's constant, and m_e is the electron rest mass. The subscripts γ , ν , and e refer to photons, neutrino-antineutrino pairs, and electron-positron pairs respectively. The functions K_i ($i = 1$ and 2) are hyperbolic Bessel functions.

In Appendix A we obtain the following differential equations for the scale factor and time as functions of temperature:

$$\frac{d}{dT} \left(\frac{R^3(T) [\rho_1(T) + p_1(T)]}{T} \right) = 0 \quad (4.47)$$

$$\frac{dt}{dT} = \frac{\frac{d}{dT} \ln \left[\frac{T}{\rho_1(T) + p_1(T)} \right]}{\sqrt{24\pi [\rho_1(T) + \rho_\nu(T)]}}, \quad (4.48)$$

where $\rho_1(T) = \rho_e(T) + \rho_\gamma(T)$, $p_1(T) = p_e(T) + p_\gamma(T)$.

Writing equation (4.16) for ψ using the temperature as the independent variable, we have

$$\frac{d^2\psi}{dT^2} + f_1(T) \frac{d\psi}{dT} + 4\pi a_2 f_2(T) \psi = 0, \quad (4.49)$$

where $f_1(T)$ and $f_2(T)$ are defined by:

$$f_1(T) \equiv \frac{\frac{d}{dT} \left[\frac{dT}{dt} + \ln \left(\frac{T}{\rho_1 + p_1} \right) \right]}{\frac{dT}{dt}} \quad (4.50)$$

$$f_2(T) \equiv \frac{\rho_1 - 3p_1}{\left(\frac{dT}{dt} \right)^2}. \quad (4.51)$$

The ψ equation was integrated numerically using a fourth order Runge-Kutta method with adaptive stepsize obtained from [29]. The initial conditions for the integration were obtained by matching to the radiation epoch solution at the lower temperature ($T \simeq 3 \times 10^8$). There was no need to solve for the scale factor in the e^+e^- era because the speed-up factor depends only on the scalar field and everywhere the scale factor appears in the differential equation for ψ , it can be replaced by functions of the densities and temperature.

F. Nucleosynthesis

The production of light elements in the early universe depends on the baryon density, the number of light ($m \lesssim 1$ MeV) neutrinos N_ν , the neutrino lifetime τ_ν , and the speed-up factor ξ_n in such a way that upper limits on the mass fractions $X(^4\text{He})$ and $X(^2\text{H} + ^3\text{He})$ provide an upper limit on ξ_n . To be more specific, the primordial ^4He mass fraction, $X(^4\text{He})$, is given by [21,30]:

$$X(^4\text{He}) = 0.228 + 0.010 \ln(\eta_{10}) + 0.012(N_\nu - 3) + 0.185 \left(\frac{\tau_\nu - 889.8}{889.8} \right) + 0.327 \log \xi_n, \quad (4.52)$$

with $\eta_{10} \equiv 10^{10} n(\text{baryon})/n(\text{photon})$. For the primordial mass fraction of $^2\text{H} + ^3\text{He}$ we adopt the conservative upper limit [30] $X(^2\text{H} + ^3\text{He}) < 1.7 \times 10^{-4}$. This limit implies the inequality [21]:

$$\ln(\eta_{10}) \geq \ln(2.60) + 0.085(N_\nu - 3) + 3.7 \log \xi_n. \quad (4.53)$$

Combining equations(4.52) and (4.53), we find

$$X(^4\text{He})_{\text{obs.}} \geq X(^4\text{He}) \geq 0.2375 + 0.013(N_\nu - 3) + 0.185 \left(\frac{\tau_\nu - 889.8}{889.8} \right) + 0.364 \log \xi_n. \quad (4.54)$$

We use the values $N_\nu = 3$ and $\tau_\nu < 885$ sec., which are consistent with experimental constraints. We take the reasonable limit $X(^4\text{He}) \leq 0.250$, which implies

$$\ln \xi_n \leq 0.0797. \quad (4.55)$$

G. Flat and Open Universes

We now turn our attention to a flat universe (zero spatial curvature, $k = 0$). For each of these universes we calculated the speed-up factor, which from equation (4.17) we know depends only on the value of the scalar field at nucleosynthesis.

In a flat universe, the present epoch can be approximated very well as a matter dominated era. So our solutions for the scale factor $R(t)$ and the auxiliary scalar field ψ are the ones given in subsection IV C. To evaluate some of the constants of integration we impose the appropriate boundary conditions:

$$\frac{\dot{R}}{R}|_{t=t_o} = H_o = 75 \text{ km/sec/Mpc} \quad (4.56)$$

$$\psi(t_o) = 1. \quad (4.57)$$

These conditions determine two of the constants D_k ($k = 2$ and 3) in terms of the remaining one.

Moving back in time we arrive at the radiation dominated epoch, which we analyzed in subsection IV D. We can take our radiation era scale factor, equation (4.33), to describe the behavior of the universe up to much higher densities, i.e., $B_1 = 0$, because deviations from radiation dominance (such as annihilation epochs) are short lived and only contribute very small corrections. Once again to determine the constants of integration we do the appropriate matching at the matter epoch radiation era interface, i.e., continuity of R , ψ , and $\dot{\psi}$.

Going farther into the past we get to the era of e^+e^- annihilation. We have the auxiliary field ψ as a function of a_2 from the solution in the radiation era. Therefore for different values of a_2 , we have different values of ψ at nucleosynthesis after the appropriate matching with the radiation era solution. Combining equation (4.17), which expresses $\ln \xi_n$ in terms of $\psi(t_n)$, a_1 , and a_2 , with the nucleosynthesis constraint equation (4.55) for the speed-up factor we obtain allowed values of $|a_1|$, for a given a_2 which we plot in Figure 1 along with the solar system constraint (dotted line). The allowed ranges are the regions under the curves. We see from equation (4.17) that for a given a_2 , $|a_1| \propto \sqrt{\ln \xi_n}$.

The first thing to note is that throughout most of the range the constraint from nucleosynthesis is more restrictive than the solar system constraint. One thing that catches the eye are the peaks at $\log(a_2) \simeq -0.55, 1.74, 2.14, \text{ and } 2.43$. Although they appear finite in the graph, they are infinite; meaning that at the

peaks a_1 could have any value (no constraint at all). This is so because, for these values of a_2 , the speed-up factor at nucleosynthesis is unity (the expansion rate at nucleosynthesis is the same in GR and in ST gravity with these values of a_2). Hence at the peaks the abundances of primordial light elements do not place any restrictions on a_1 . There will be very small ranges around the peaks where the nucleosynthesis constraint is less restrictive the solar system one (the first peak is in the region where the solar system constraint is more restrictive anyway). There are values of a_2 that produce zeros of a_1 . If there are any deviations (no matter how small) from GR at these values in the present, the bounds on primordial light elements will be violated. Most values of a_2 are consistent with an upper limit of $|a_1| \sim 10^{-5}$ which implies an upper limit on the PPN parameter γ of $|1 - \gamma| \sim 10^{-10}$.

The analysis of the open universe (negative spatial curvature, $k = -1$) is very similar to that of the flat universe. The difference between the two is that the present epoch in the open universe is a curvature dominated one, which was analyzed in subsection IV B. The epochs previous to the present one are matter dominated, radiation dominated, and a e^+e^- annihilation era, in that order. We take our open universe to have 0.1 of the critical density, i.e., $\Omega_o = 0.1$. For the open universe we plot in Figure 2 the a_1 vs a_2 constraints. The same discussion as for the flat case applies here. We have the upper limits $|a_1| \sim 10^{-3}$ and $|1 - \gamma| \sim 10^{-7}$. The main difference with the flat universe results is that the limits on a_1 are 1 to 2 orders of magnitude higher, but still more restrictive than solar system limits over most of the range of a_2 .

V. CONCLUSION

As mentioned in the Introduction, there is motivation to study scalar-tensor theories of gravity from the theoretical point of view as well as a means for comparison with experimental gravity.

After noting the difficulty posed by the arbitrariness in the scalar coupling function $a(\varphi)$, we noted that its slope must be small at present in order to satisfy solar system limits on PPN parameters. In order to remove the freedom in the choice of $a(\varphi)$, we used perturbation theory about the value of the the scalar field today (φ_o). As long as φ_o is close to a value that minimizes $a(\varphi)$, the universe will have general relativistic behavior at present and perturbation theory will be equivalent to perturbing about GR, having the slope of $a(\varphi)$ as the perturbation parameter. In order to find nucleosynthesis constraints on a_1 and a_2 we used first order perturbation theory because, if higher order correction are important, they would lead to violation of present bounds on primordial light elements. Moreover, because of equation (4.3) for the speed-up factor $\xi \simeq 1$ indicates that $\varphi_n \simeq \varphi_o$, we can neglect the back reaction on the metric.

We analyzed the scalar field evolution in flat ($\Omega_o = 0$) and open universes ($\Omega_o = 0.1$) to obtain the speed-up factor at nucleosynthesis as a function of a_1 and a_2 . Using the constraint that the abundances of light elements place on ξ , allowed values of a_1 as a function of a_2 were obtained. In both universes the constraint was more restrictive (for $a_2 \geq 1/3$) than the one from solar system experiments, the most restrictive one coming from a flat universe. This means that if ST gravity is the true theory of gravity, it must be practically indistinguishable from GR through a significant period of the universe's history at least for these cosmologies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

D. I. Santiago was supported by NASA grant NAS8-39225 to Gravity Probe B. D. Kalligas was partially supported by the 1995 PENNED program (no. 512). Very special thanks to the Friday Gravity sewing circle at GP-B.

APPENDIX A: THERMODYNAMICS OF THE EARLY UNIVERSE

The purpose of the present appendix is to review and rederive some of the well known results [31,32] on the densities and pressures of different species as functions of temperature. Differential equations for the temperature and the expansion factor are also obtained.

In order to calculate thermodynamic quantities for the different species we need the number density of particles in a energy range dE . This quantity is given by

$$n(E)dE = \frac{g_s}{2\pi^2\hbar^3} \times \frac{PEdE}{\exp(\frac{E+\mu}{kT}) \pm 1}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where g_s is the number of spin states, μ is the chemical potential, P is the momentum, E is the energy, and the $+(-)$ sign corresponds to fermions(bosons). For photons and for neutrinos (assuming no neutrino degeneracy) we

have $\mu = 0$. For electrons and positrons we assume that the difference between their numbers is small compared to their sum, so that we can set $\mu = 0$ for them too (this approximation breaks down when the density and pressure of electrons and positrons is negligible).

The densities and pressures of the different species are given by

$$\rho = \int_m^\infty E n(E) dE \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$p = \int_m^\infty \frac{P^2}{E} n(E) dE, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where m is the mass of the particle species.

For photons and neutrino-antineutrino pairs (assuming three neutrino species) we obtain

$$\rho_\gamma = \frac{\pi^2 (kT)^4}{15 \hbar^3} \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$p_\gamma = \frac{\rho_\gamma}{3} \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\rho_\nu = \frac{21}{8} \rho_\gamma (T_\nu) \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$p_\nu = \frac{\rho_\nu}{3}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

where the subscripts γ and ν refer to photons and neutrino-antineutrino pairs respectively. For the combined densities of electrons and positrons we have

$$p_e = \frac{2m_e^4}{\pi^4 \hbar^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{kT}{nm_e} \right)^2 K_2 \left(\frac{nm_e}{kT} \right) \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$\rho_e = 3p_e + \frac{2m_e^4}{\pi^4 \hbar^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \left(\frac{kT}{nm_e} \right) K_1 \left(\frac{nm_e}{kT} \right), \quad (\text{A9})$$

where K_i ($i = 1$ and 2) are hyperbolic Bessel functions. The following will prove useful in some of our next derivations:

$$\frac{dp_a}{dT} = \frac{\rho_a + p_a}{T}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

with $a = \gamma, \nu$, or e .

In the epoch of e^+e^- annihilation the neutrinos have just decoupled, hence they do not participate in the thermodynamic equilibrium, but are separately conserved. The very small baryon contribution is also separately conserved because at these times they have long been decoupled from the equilibrium. Photons and e^+e^- pairs interact and are in thermodynamic equilibrium. We have the conservation equation (4.13) (which is valid to first order in the perturbation expansion of the present paper):

$$\frac{d(\rho R^3)}{dT} + p \frac{d(R^3)}{dT} = 0. \quad (\text{A11})$$

For neutrinos and for baryons (which we take to be pressureless) the conservation equation leads to

$$\frac{d(\rho_\nu R^4)}{dT} = 0 \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$\frac{d(\rho_b R^3)}{dT} = 0, \quad (\text{A13})$$

with the subscripts ν and b referring to neutrinos and baryons respectively. For the interacting species, e^+e^- pairs and photons, we define the following densities and pressures:

$$\rho_1 = \rho_e + \rho_\gamma \quad (\text{A14})$$

$$p_1 = p_e = p_\gamma. \quad (\text{A15})$$

Substituting these last expressions into the conservation equation and using the equality

$$\frac{d\rho_1}{dT} = \frac{d(\rho_1 + p_1)}{dT} - \frac{dp_1}{dT} = \frac{d(\rho_1 + p_1)}{dT} - \frac{\rho_1 + p_1}{T}, \quad (\text{A16})$$

we obtain

$$\frac{3}{R} \frac{dR}{dT} = \frac{d}{dT} \ln \left(\frac{T}{\rho_1 + p_1} \right). \quad (\text{A17})$$

The last equation can be written in the much more compact form

$$\frac{d}{dT} \left(\frac{R^3[\rho_1 + p_1]}{T} \right). \quad (\text{A18})$$

If we combine this result with the field equation (4.12) for the scale factor we end up with

$$\frac{dt}{dT} = \frac{\frac{d}{dT} \ln \left[\frac{T}{\rho_1 + p_1} \right]}{\sqrt{24\pi\rho}}, \quad (\text{A19})$$

where

$$\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_\nu + \rho_b \simeq \rho_1 + \rho_\nu \quad (\text{A20})$$

is the total density.

- [1] P. Jordan, *Nature* **164**, 637 (1949); *Z. Phys.* **157**, 112 (1959).
- [2] M. Fierz, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **29**, 128 (1956).
- [3] C. Brans and R. H. Dicke, *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 925 (1961).
- [4] P. G. Bergmann, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **1**, 25 (1968).
- [5] K. Nordtvedt, *Astrophys. J.* **161**, 1059 (1970).
- [6] R. V. Wagoner, *Phys. Rev. D* **1**, 3209 (1970).
- [7] T. Damour and Esposito-Farèse, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9**, 2093 (1992).
- [8] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz, and E. Witten, *Superstring theory Vol.1 and 2* (Cambridge, 1987)
- [9] P. J. Steinhardt and F. S. Acceta, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 2740 (1990).
- [10] S. Buchmann, *et al.*, in *Proceedings of the Seventh Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity* (World Scientific, 1996).
- [11] A. Abramovici, *et al.*, *Science* **256**, 325 (1992).
- [12] J. Hough, in *Proceedings of the Sixth Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity* (World Scientific, 1992).
- [13] C. Bradaschia, *et al.*, *Nucl. Instrum. and Methods A* **289**, 518 (1990).
- [14] C. Callan *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **262**, 593 (1985).
- [15] T. Damour and A. M. Polyakov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **423**, 532 (1994); *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **26**, 1171 (1994).
- [16] T. Damour and K. Nordtvedt, *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, 3436 (1993).
- [17] C. M. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics*, revised edition (Cambridge, 1993).
- [18] R. D. Rosenberg *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **234**, L209 (1979).
- [19] E. B. Fomalot and R. A. Sramek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **36**, 1475 (1976).
- [20] K. Nordtvedt, in *Proceedings of the Seventh Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity* (World Scientific, 1996).
- [21] J. A. Casas, J. García-Bellido, and M. Quiros, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **7**, 447 (1985)
- [22] D. Kalligas, K. Nordtvedt, and R. V. Wagoner, in *Proceedings of the Seventh Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity* (World Scientific, 1996).
- [23] A. Serna and J. M. Alimi, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 7304 (1996).
- [24] R. V. Wagoner and D. Kalligas, in *Gravitation and Gravitational Radiation, Les Houches 1995* (Cambridge, 1996).
- [25] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, 1973).
- [26] R. V. Wagoner, *Astrophys. J.* **179**, 343 (1973).
- [27] R. V. Wagoner in *Experimental Gravitational Physics* (World Scientific, 1988).
- [28] W. H. Beyer *Standard Mathematical Tables*, 25th Edition (CRC Press, 1978).
- [29] W. H. Press *et al.*, *Numerical Recipes in Fortran* second edition (Cambridge, 1992).
- [30] K. A. Olive *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **36**, 454 (1990).

[31] R. A. Alpher, J. W. Follin Jr., and R. C. Herman, Phys. Rev. **92**, 1347 (1953).

[32] R. V. Wagoner, W. A. Fowler, and F. Hoyle, Astrophys. J. **148**, 3 (1967).

FIG. 1. $\log(|a_1|)$ vs. $\log(a_2)$ for a $k = 0$ $\Omega_o = 1$ universe. Dashed curve is the solar system constraint and the solid curve is the nucleosynthesis constraint

FIG. 2. $\log(|a_1|)$ vs. $\log(a_2)$ for a $k = -1$ $\Omega_o = 0.1$ universe. Dashed curve is the solar system constraint and the solid curve is the nucleosynthesis constraint



