

THE INERTIA OF HEAT AND ITS ROLE IN THE DYNAMICS OF DISSIPATIVE COLLAPSE

L. Herrera*

Escuela de Física, Facultad de Ciencias,
Universidad Central de Venezuela,
Caracas, Venezuela.

Abstract

The decreasing of the inertial mass density, established in the past for dissipative fluids, is found to be produced by the “inertial” term of the transport equation. Once the transport equation is coupled to the dynamical equation one finds that the contribution of the inertial term diminishes the effective inertial mass and the “gravitational” force term, by the same factor. An intuitive picture, and prospective applications of this result to astrophysical scenarios are discussed.

*Postal address: Apartado 80793, Caracas 1080 A, Venezuela; e-mail address: laherrera@cantv.net.ve

1 Introduction

In 1930 Tolman [1] published a paper with the suggestive title “On the weight of heat and thermal equilibrium in general relativity”. The very idea underlying Tolman’s work is very simple indeed, namely: since according to special relativity all forms of energy have inertia, this should also apply to heat. Therefore, because of the equivalence principle, there should be also some weight associated to heat, and one should expect that thermal energy tends to displace to regions of lower gravitational potential. This in turn implies that the condition of thermal equilibrium in the presence of a gravitational field must change with respect to its form in absence of gravity. Thus a temperature gradient is necessary in thermal equilibrium in order to prevent the flow of heat from regions of higher to lower gravitational potential. This result was confirmed some years later by Eckart and Landau and Lifshitz [2]. Indeed, in the transport equation derived by these authors, the “inertial” term deduced by Tolman appears explicitly.

However, we know that for systems out of equilibrium or quasi-equilibrium (thermal and dynamic), the Landau-Eckart approach leads to difficulties [3], which can be solved only in the context of a hyperbolic theory of dissipation [4]. Accordingly in order to bring out the role of the inertia of heat in a dynamical situation we have to consider a transport equation derived from the Israel-Stewart theory.

In a recent paper [5] we have derived the influence of dissipative processes in the collapse of a self-gravitating sphere. As a main result of that study it appears that the effective inertial mass density of a fluid element reduces by a factor which depends on dissipative variables. This result was already known (see [6] and references therein), but considered to be valid only, just after leaving the equilibrium, on a time scale of the order of relaxation time. The novelty in [5] is, on the one hand, that such reduction of the effective inertial mass density is shown to be valid all along the evolution, and on the other, that the “gravitational force” term in the dynamical equation is also reduced by the same factor, as expected from the equivalence principle (this is absent in [6] due to the fact that in that reference, equations are evaluated in a locally Minkowski frame, where local gravitational effects vanish).

However, the very origin of this effect has remained obscure until now. Here we shall show that such decreasing of the effective inertial mass term is directly related to the inertial term in the transport equation. We shall

further provide an intuitive picture on how such decreasing comes about.

2 The dynamical equation of the dissipative fluid

We consider a spherically symmetric distribution of collapsing fluid, which, for sake of completeness, we assume to be locally anisotropic, undergoing dissipation in the form of heat flow, bounded by a spherical surface Σ . We assume the interior metric to Σ to be comoving, shear free for simplicity, and spherically symmetric, accordingly it may be written as

$$ds^2 = -A^2(t, r)dt^2 + B^2(t, r)(dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2 + r^2\sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (1)$$

and hence we have for the four velocity V^α and the heat flux vector q^α

$$V^\alpha = A^{-1}\delta_0^\alpha, \quad q^\alpha = q\delta_1^\alpha, \quad , \quad (2)$$

Then it can be shown [5] that the following equation can be found from Bianchi identities (since we are considering here dissipation only at diffusion approximation, we put $\epsilon = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu + P_r)D_t U &= -(\mu + P_r) \left[m + 4\pi P_r R^3 \right] \frac{1}{R^2} \\ &\quad - E^2 \left[D_R P_r + 2 \frac{P_r - P_\perp}{R} \right] \\ &\quad - E \left[5qB \frac{U}{R} + BD_t q \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Where μ is the energy density, P_r the radial pressure, P_\perp is the tangential pressure,

$$D_t = \frac{1}{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \quad (4)$$

and the velocity U of the collapsing fluid is defined as

$$U = rD_t B < 0 \quad (\text{in the case of collapse}). \quad (5)$$

Also, the mass function $m(t, r)$ of Cahill and McVittie [7] is obtained from the Riemann tensor component $R_{23}{}^{23}$ and is for metric (1)

$$m(t, r) = \frac{(rB)^3}{2} R_{23}{}^{23} = \frac{r^3}{2} \frac{B\dot{B}^2}{A^2} - \frac{r^3}{2} \frac{B'^2}{B} - r^2 B', \quad (6)$$

E is defined as

$$E = \frac{(rB)'}{B} = \left[1 + U^2 - \frac{2m(t,r)}{rB} \right]^{1/2}, \quad (7)$$

and the proper radial derivative D_R , constructed from the radius of a spherical surface, as measured from its perimeter inside Σ , being

$$D_R = \frac{1}{R'} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}. \quad (8)$$

where

$$R = rB, \quad (9)$$

and where dots and primes denote derivatives with respect to t and r respectively.

Next, the corresponding transport equation for the heat flux reads [4]

$$\tau h^{\alpha\beta} V^\gamma q_{\beta;\gamma} + q^\alpha = -\kappa h^{\alpha\beta} (T_{;\beta} + T a_\beta) - \frac{1}{2} \kappa T^2 \left(\frac{\tau V^\beta}{\kappa T^2} \right)_{;\beta} q^\alpha, \quad (10)$$

where $h^{\mu\nu}$ is the projector onto the three space orthogonal to V^μ , κ denotes the thermal conductivity, and T and τ denote temperature and relaxation time respectively. Observe that due to the symmetry of the problem, equation (10) only has one independent component, which may be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} BD_t q = & -\frac{\kappa T}{\tau E} D_t U - \frac{\kappa T'}{\tau B} - \frac{qB}{\tau} \left(1 + \frac{\tau U}{R} \right) - \\ & -\frac{\kappa T}{\tau E} \left[m + 4\pi P_r R^3 \right] R^{-2} - \frac{\kappa T^2 q B}{2A\tau} \left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa T^2} \right) \cdot - \frac{3UBq}{2R}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the equation

$$D_t U = - \left[m + 4\pi P_r R^3 \right] (R)^{-2} + \frac{A' (rB)'}{A B^2}, \quad (12)$$

has been used.

Then coupling (3) to (11) one obtains (some misprints in eq.(39) in [5] has been corrected here)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu + P_r)(1 - \alpha) D_t U = & F_{grav}(1 - \alpha) + F_{hyd} + \\ & + \frac{E\kappa T'}{\tau B} + \frac{EqB}{\tau} - \frac{5qBEU}{2R} + \frac{\kappa ET^2 q B}{2A\tau} \left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa T^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Where F_{grav} and F_{hyd} are defined by

$$F_{grav} = -(\mu + P_r) \left[m + 4\pi P_r R^3 \right] \frac{1}{R^2}, \quad (14)$$

and

$$F_{hyd} = -E^2 \left[D_R P_r + 2 \frac{P_r - P_\perp}{R} \right], \quad (15)$$

where α is given by

$$\alpha = \frac{\kappa T}{\tau(\mu + P_r)}. \quad (16)$$

Now, interpreting (13) as a ‘‘Newtonian’’ equation of the form

$$Force = Mass\ density \times Acceleration \quad (17)$$

it appears that

$$(\mu + P_r)(1 - \alpha) \quad (18)$$

plays the role of the effective inertial mass density. Thus as α tends to 1, the effective inertial mass density of the fluid element tends to zero. Furthermore observe that F_{grav} is also multiplied by the factor $(1 - \alpha)$. Indicating that the effective gravitational attraction on any fluid element decreases by the same factor as the effective inertial mass (density). Which of course is to be expected, from the equivalence principle. It is also worth mentioning that F_{hyd} is in principle independent (at least explicitly) on this factor.

We shall next find the origin of such decreasing in the inertial mass term.

3 Inertia of heat in the dynamic regime

Let us now track the origin of the α terms in (13). With this purpose in mind observe that the Ta_β term on the right of (10) represents the Tolman inertial term. This in turn yields, using (12), the first and fourth terms on the right of (11). The sign of the former depends on the sign of $D_t U$, whereas the sign of the latter is always negative, reflecting the fact that thermal energy, due to its inertia, will tend to displace to the center, decreasing thereby any outwardly directed heat flow. But these are the terms producing the α terms in (13). Thus any decreasing in the inertial mass term in the dynamic equation, produced by an increasing of α , is due to the inertia of heat.

However, it does not appear physically clear yet, why the inertia of heat induces a decreasing of the inertial mass term, in the dynamic regime. In order to understand this point, observe that as an element of fluid contracts ($D_t U < 0$), the mere existence of the inertia of heat will induce a $D_t q > 0$ (directed outward) as shown in (11). Furthermore, it appears that the inertial term in the expression for $D_t q$ produces a term proportional to $D_t U$ and a term proportional to the gravitational force term. From (3) we see that a positive $D_t q$ produces an inwardly directed force, which as we have just said is proportional to $D_t U$. Thus, passing this term to the left hand side of (13), such a term implies a decreasing of the total inertial mass.

At a more intuitive level, one might say that the inertia of heat produces a “laging behind” of the thermal energy with respect to the contracting fluid element which induces a $D_t q > 0$, and thereby a decreasing in the inertial mass of the fluid element. The decreasing in the gravitational force term is a direct consequence of the equivalence principle.

4 Conclusions

We have seen that the inertia of heat, which attracted the attention of Tolman many years ago in relation with the thermal equilibrium condition, may play an important role in the dynamics of a self-gravitating object, by decreasing the inertial mass and the gravitational force term.

Indeed, as far as the right hand side of (13) is negative, the system keeps collapsing. However, if the collapsing sphere evolves in such a way that the value of α increases and approaches the critical value of 1. Then, as the collapse proceeds, the ensuing decreasing of the gravitational force term would eventually lead to a change of the sign of the right hand side of (13). Since that would happen for small values of the effective inertial mass density, that would imply a strong bouncing of the sphere, even for a small absolute value of the right hand side of (13).

Obviously for this effect to be of some relevance in the dynamics of the system, evolution should proceed in such a way that α approaches the critical value of 1. At present we may speculate that α may increase substantially (for non-negligible values of τ) in a pre-supernovae event.

Indeed, at the last stages of massive star evolution, the decreasing of the opacity of the fluid, from very high values preventing the propagation of

photons and neutrinos (trapping [8]), to smaller values, gives rise to neutrino radiative heat conduction. Under these conditions both κ and T could be sufficiently large as to imply a substantial increase of α . In fact, the values suggested in [9] ($[\kappa] \approx 10^{37}$; $[T] \approx 10^{13}$; $[\tau] \approx 10^{-4}$; $[\rho] \approx 10^{12}$, in c.g.s. units and Kelvin) lead to $\alpha \approx 1$.

If it happens that α gets sufficiently close to 1 as to change the sign of the total “force” term in (13) from negative to positive, then the efficiency of whatever expansion mechanism of the central core at place, would be significantly enhanced. A numerical model illustrating this effect has recently been presented [10]

References

- [1] R. Tolman, *Phys. Rev.* **35** 904 (1930).
- [2] C. Eckart, *Phys. Rev.*, **58**, 919 (1940); L. Landau L. and E. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics* (Pergamon Press, London) (1959).
- [3] D. Joseph and L. Preziosi, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **61**, 41 (1989); D. Jou, J. Casas-Vázquez J. and G. Lebon, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **51**, 1105 (1988); R. Maartens, *Preprint astro-ph 9609119*; L. Herrera and D. Pavón, *Physica A*, **307**, 121 (2002).
- [4] I. Müller, *Z. Physik*, **198**, 329 (1967); W. Israel, *Ann. Phys., NY*, **100**, 310 (1976); W. Israel and J. Stewart, *Phys. Lett. A*, **58**, 2131 (1976); *Ann. Phys. (NY)*, **118**, 341 (1979)
- [5] L. Herrera and N.O. Santos, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 084004 (2004).
- [6] L. Herrera, *Phys. Lett. A*, **300**, 157 (2002).
- [7] M.E. Cahill and G.C. McVittie *J. Math. Phys.* **11**, 1382 (1970).
- [8] W. Arnett *Astrophys. J.*, **218**, 815 (1977).
- [9] J. Martínez *Phys. Rev. D*, **53**, 6921 (1996).
- [10] L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco and W. Barreto *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 024008 (2006).