

On Doppler tracking in cosmological spacetimes

Matteo Carrera and Domenico Giulini

Physikalisches Institut, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Hermann-Herder-Straße 3,
D-79104 Freiburg i. Br., Germany

E-mail: carrera@physik.uni-freiburg.de and giulini@physik.uni-freiburg.de

Published in *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** (2006) 7483–7492

Online at <http://stacks.iop.org/CQG/23/7483>

Abstract. We give a rigorous derivation of the general-relativistic formula for the two-way Doppler tracking of a spacecraft in Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) and in McVittie spacetimes. The leading order corrections of the so-determined acceleration to the Newtonian acceleration are due to special-relativistic effects and cosmological expansion. The latter, although linear in the Hubble constant, is negligible in typical applications within the Solar System.

PACS numbers: 95.30.Sf, 98.80.-k, 04.20.Cv

1. Introduction

Doppler measurements are very well studied in the case of weak-field approximation of the gravitational field of (arbitrary moving) isolated sources [1]. However, a similarly careful analysis of the cosmological effects on Doppler measurements is, in our opinion, still lacking. Considerations in this direction are contained in [2] for FLRW universes and in [3] for the Schwarzschild-de Sitter case. The aim of our paper is to give a rigorous derivation of the two-way Doppler formula in relevant cosmological spacetimes (FLRW and McVittie).

The analysis of the radio Doppler tracking data from the Pioneer 10 and Pioneer 11 spacecrafts yield an anomalous inward pointing acceleration of magnitude $8.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m s}^{-2}$; see [4] and [5]. This magnitude is comparable to $Hc = 7 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m s}^{-2}$, where we set $H = 72 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ (see [6] for recent figures). This somewhat surprising coincidence invited speculations as to a possible cosmological origin of the ‘Pioneer Anomaly’. Whereas there seems to be no disagreement over the absence of a genuine *dynamical* influence of cosmological expansion on Solar System dynamics, opinions on possible *kinematical* effects are less unanimous. Some even seem to claim that the Pioneer Anomaly can be fully accounted for by such effects [7] (for a critical discussion, see [8]). Note that all these speculations rest on the assumption that the cosmological expansion extends into the small region occupied by our Solar System, i.e. that the expansion is not screened by local inhomogeneities of mass abundance, like that given by our Galaxy and further our Solar System. Our results here imply that even in the unlikely case that such a screening does not take place, there is no effect due to cosmological expansion of the required order of magnitude.

2. Basic kinematical definitions

In this section we recall some basic kinematical notions that can be found, for example, in [9]. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}, \nabla)$ represent spacetime, where \mathcal{M} is a four-dimensional[‡] manifold (space- and time-orientable) with Lorentzian metric \mathbf{g} and Levi-Civita connection ∇ . We adopt the ‘mostly minus’ signature convention where the restriction of \mathbf{g} to spacelike directions is negative definite. We use units in which $c = 1$. For a vector \mathbf{v} in the tangent space $T_p\mathcal{M}$ of \mathcal{M} at $p \in \mathcal{M}$ we define $\|\mathbf{v}\|_p := \sqrt{|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})_p|}$. A normalized vector is one for which $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 1$.

An *observer (worldline)* is represented by a timelike (smooth) curve in \mathcal{M} which w.l.o.g. we think of as being parameterized with respect to arc length and future-directed. By an *observer at $p \in \mathcal{M}$* we understand a timelike future-pointing normalized vector in $T_p\mathcal{M}$. An *observer field* is a timelike future-pointing normalized vector field on some open subset of \mathcal{M} . Let p be a point in \mathcal{M} and \mathbf{u} an observer at p . We define two projectors on $T_p\mathcal{M}$ by $\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^\flat$, and $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u} := \text{id} - \mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{u}$, where $\mathbf{u}^\flat := \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{u}, \cdot)$ is the one-form corresponding to \mathbf{u} via \mathbf{g} . We recall that the projection properties are as follows: \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{Q} are self-adjoint w.r.t. \mathbf{g} , $\mathbf{Q}^2 = \mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{P}$, $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{P} = 0$, and $\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q} = \text{id}$. The *local rest space of \mathbf{u} at p* is then defined as $\mathcal{R}_\mathbf{u}(p) := \mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}(T_p\mathcal{M})$. It locally represents the directions of the events that are Einstein-synchronized with the observer \mathbf{u} at p .

The above projectors can be naturally extended to general tensor fields in the standard way (e.g. for 1-forms one defines $\mathbf{P}\omega := \omega \circ \mathbf{P}$), which we will denote by the same symbols. A tensor field \mathbf{T} is called *spatial w.r.t. \mathbf{u} (at p)* iff $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}$ (at p). Given an observer \mathbf{u} at p we split \mathbf{g} into two degenerate, positive semi-definite metrics

$$\tau_\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{u}\mathbf{g}, \quad (1)$$

$$\sigma_\mathbf{u} := -\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}\mathbf{g}, \quad (2)$$

which measure eigentime- and eigenspace-intervals with respect to \mathbf{u} .

Let now \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} be two observers at p . The *relative velocity of \mathbf{v} w.r.t. \mathbf{u}* is given by

$$\beta_\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v})_p := \left. \frac{\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}\|} \right|_p, \quad (3)$$

and its modulus by

$$\beta_\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v})_p := \|\beta_\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v})\|_p = \left. \frac{\sqrt{|\sigma_\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})|}}{\sqrt{|\tau_\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})|}} \right|_p = \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})_p^2}}. \quad (4)$$

Given a unit vector \mathbf{e} in the rest space of \mathbf{u} (i.e. $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{u}\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}$ and $\|\mathbf{e}\| = 1$), the relative velocity of \mathbf{v} w.r.t. \mathbf{u} at p in direction \mathbf{e} is given by

$$\beta_\mathbf{u}^e(\mathbf{v})_p := \sigma_\mathbf{u}(\beta_\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{e})_p = -\mathbf{g}(\beta_\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{e})_p = \left. \frac{-\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{v})}{\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})} \right|_p. \quad (5)$$

Note that the modulus of the relative velocity of \mathbf{v} w.r.t. \mathbf{u} equals that of \mathbf{u} w.r.t. \mathbf{v} . (Recall that the former velocity is measured with clocks and rods at rest w.r.t. \mathbf{u} and is represented by a vector in $\mathcal{R}_\mathbf{u}(p)$, whereas the latter is measured with clocks and rods at rest w.r.t. \mathbf{v} and is represented by a vector in $\mathcal{R}_\mathbf{v}(p)$.) Clearly $0 \leq \beta_\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}) \leq 1$ and $-1 \leq \beta_\mathbf{u}^e(\mathbf{v}) \leq 1$. Moreover $\|\mathbf{Q}_\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}\| = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta_\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v})^2}$ is just the ‘gamma-factor’ familiar from Special Relativity.

[‡] Actually, all formal considerations that follow are independent of the dimension of spacetime.

The last notion we need to introduce in this section is that of relative acceleration of a worldline w.r.t. an observer field. For this purpose, given a worldline γ and an observer field \mathbf{u} along it, we define

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}} := \|\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}\dot{\gamma}\|^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}} \circ \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \circ \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}} . \quad (6)$$

$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}}$ is defined on tensor fields along γ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}}$ stands for the extension of the spatial projector on the respective tensor space (i.e. every free index is to be projected). On functions we have $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}} = \|\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}\dot{\gamma}\|^{-1} \dot{\gamma}$. Definition (6) resembles that of a rescaled (with the inverse of the ‘gamma-factor’ $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}\dot{\gamma}\|$) Fermi-derivative along γ . Notice, however, that the projection is taken w.r.t. \mathbf{u} and not w.r.t. $\dot{\gamma}$. It is easy to see that $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}}$ is a derivation on spatial (w.r.t. \mathbf{u}) tensor fields along γ . Moreover, it can be checked that $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}}$ is compatible with the spatial metric, i.e. the metricity property $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}} \sigma_{\mathbf{u}} = 0$ holds.

We can now define the *relative acceleration of a worldline γ w.r.t. an observer field \mathbf{u} along γ* as follows:

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{u}}(\gamma) := \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}} \beta_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{\gamma}) = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}\dot{\gamma}\|} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}} \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{\|\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}\dot{\gamma}\|} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}} \dot{\gamma} \right) , \quad (7)$$

which is a spatial (w.r.t. \mathbf{u}) vector field along γ . Clearly, the relative acceleration of a worldline γ w.r.t. itself vanishes identically, i.e. $\alpha_{\dot{\gamma}}(\gamma) \equiv 0$, as it should. For later use we also note that

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}} \beta_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{\gamma})^2 = 2 \sigma_{\mathbf{u}}(\beta_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{\gamma}), \alpha_{\mathbf{u}}(\gamma)) , \quad (8)$$

and

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}} \beta_{\mathbf{u}}^e(\dot{\gamma}) = \sigma_{\mathbf{u}}(\alpha_{\mathbf{u}}(\gamma), e) + \sigma_{\mathbf{u}}(\beta_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{\gamma}), \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}} e) , \quad (9)$$

for some spatial (w.r.t. \mathbf{u}) unit vector-field e along γ . Here we just used the metricity property of $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\beta_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{\gamma})^2 = \sigma_{\mathbf{u}}(\beta_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{\gamma}), \beta_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{\gamma}))$.

3. Light rays

Consider the propagation of a monochromatic electromagnetic wave. In the geometric-optics approximation (i.e. for wave-lengths negligibly small w.r.t. a typical radius of curvature of the spacetime and w.r.t. a typical length over which amplitude, polarization, and frequency vary) it propagates along a lightlike geodesic, along which the wave-vector field, \mathbf{k} , obeys $g(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) = 0$ and $\nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k} = 0$.

An observer \mathbf{u} at p who receives a light signal with wave vector \mathbf{k} measures the frequency

$$\omega_p^{(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{k}) = g(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{k})_p . \quad (10)$$

Likewise, a second observer \mathbf{v} at p will measure the frequency $\omega_p^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{k}) = g(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k})_p$. The relation between the two measurements is easily computed using (4) and (5):

$$\frac{\omega_p^{(\mathbf{v})}(\mathbf{k})}{\omega_p^{(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{k})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v})^2}} \left(1 - \beta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{v}) \right) \Big|_p , \quad (11)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{k}} := \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{k} / \|\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{k}\|$ is the normalized vector in the rest space of \mathbf{u} at p that points in the direction of the light propagation. This is nothing but the general Doppler formula.

Let the observer \mathbf{v} at p carry a mirror (meaning that the mirror is at rest w.r.t. \mathbf{v}) that is used to *reflect back* the light ray. This means that the frequency, as measured by \mathbf{v} , does not change whereas the spatial projection of the wave vector \mathbf{k} changes sign. In short, the process of reflection is given by

$$\mathbf{k}^{(\text{after})} \Big|_p = \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{k}^{(\text{before})} \Big|_p - \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{k}^{(\text{before})} \Big|_p . \quad (12)$$

Another observer, say \mathbf{u} , at p will see a frequency shift according to

$$\frac{\omega_p^{(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{k}^{(\text{after})})}{\omega_p^{(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{k}^{(\text{before})})} = 2 \frac{1 - \beta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{v})_p}{1 - \beta_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v})_p^2} - 1, \quad (13)$$

as one can compute from (12), (11), and (4). Note that here and henceforth the wave vector \mathbf{k} that defines $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ at the reflection point p refers to \mathbf{k} just *before* reflection.

4. Two-way Doppler tracking in a homogeneous and isotropic universe

We consider a FLRW cosmological model, given by the metric

$$\mathbf{g} = dt^2 - a^2(t) \mathbf{h}, \quad (14)$$

together with the geodesic cosmological observer-field

$$\mathbf{u} = \partial/\partial t. \quad (15)$$

In (14) \mathbf{h} is a homogeneous and isotropic metric (hence of constant curvature) on the slices of constant cosmological time t .

Let \mathbf{k} be the wave-vector field along a light ray (worldline). The frequency, as measured by the cosmological observers \mathbf{u} , will change along the ray according to the well-known cosmological red-shift relation (see e.g. [10])

$$\omega^{(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{k}) (a \circ t) = \text{constant along the light ray}. \quad (16)$$

The two-way Doppler tracking (see figure 1) now consists in the exchange of light (radar) signals between us, the observers on Earth who hypothetically moves along the cosmological flow of \mathbf{u} , and another observer γ (spacecraft). Schematically the tracking involves the following five processes: (i) emission of the signal at p_0 , (ii) propagation from p_0 to p_1 , (iii) reflection at p_1 , (iv) propagation from p_1 to p_2 , and, finally, (v) reception at p_2 . Accordingly, using the cosmological red-shift relation (16) between p_0 and p_1 , the reflection shift (13) at p_1 , and again the cosmological red-shift relation (16) between p_1 and p_2 , one gets

$$\frac{\omega_{p_2}^{(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{k})}{\omega_{p_0}^{(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{k})} = \frac{a(t(p_0))}{a(t(p_2))} \left(2 \frac{1 - \beta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{v})_{p_1}}}{1 - \beta_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v})_{p_1}^2} - 1 \right). \quad (17)$$

This is the formula for the two-way Doppler tracking in a FLRW spacetime. It relates the spacecraft's velocity (relative to \mathbf{u}) with the observable quantities (emitted and received frequencies, emission and reception times) if the scale function a is known. To get a better feeling of the above relation we note that to linear order in the two quantities $H\Delta t_{20}$ and β it reads

$$\frac{\omega_{p_2}^{(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{k})}{\omega_{p_0}^{(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{k})} \approx 1 - 2\beta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{v})_{p_1} - H\Delta t_{20}, \quad (18)$$

where $\Delta t_{20} := t(p_2) - t(p_0)$ is the coordinate-time interval between the emission and the reception events, which, since we are moving along $\partial/\partial t$, equals the eigentime interval measured by us between the two events. $H := \dot{a}/a$ denotes the Hubble parameter, which in (18) can be evaluated at any of the times in the interval $[t_0, t_2]$.

Now, while tracking a spacecraft by continuously emitting a radar signal with constant frequency ω_0 the whole construction is pushed forward in time. One should think of the worldlines of the spacecraft (γ) and ours as given. The latter is taken to be an integral curve of the cosmological observer-field $\mathbf{u} = \partial/\partial t$ and the former is given by some equation of motion (plus initial conditions), which we do not need to specify here. Thus, the three points




Figure 1. Sketch of the two-way Doppler tracking: The observer (us) moves on an integral curve of the cosmological vector field \mathbf{u} . At p_0 he sends an electromagnetic signal to the spacecraft which moves along the worldline γ . The signal is then reflected back from the spacecraft at p_1 and, finally, received at p_2 by the observer. $\hat{\mathbf{k}} := \mathbf{P}_u \mathbf{k} / \|\mathbf{P}_u \mathbf{k}\|$ is the normalized spatial (w.r.t. \mathbf{u}) wave vector of the infalling light ray.

(events) p_0 , p_1 , and p_2 are uniquely determined by any one of them. The same holds for the respective times $t_i := t(p_i)$. We can then choose to express the events p_i as functions of the reception time t_2 . Doing this, the reflection time t_1 and the emission time t_0 become functions of t_2 as well.

One of the major tasks for the two-way Doppler tracking is to determine the spacecraft's (spatial) acceleration. Since (17) relates the frequency shift with the velocity, differentiation of (17) w.r.t. the reception time gives a relation between the frequency-shift *rate* on one hand, and the acceleration and velocity on the other. In the differentiation w.r.t. t_2 , one has to take care of the different time dependences of the quantities in question. For example, the received frequency is to be thought of as function of the reception time t_2 and the spacecraft's velocity as a function of the reflection time t_1 , which, in turn, is to be thought of as function of t_2 . In order to differentiate (17) w.r.t. t_2 we thus need to know the dependences of the emission and reflection times, t_0 and t_1 , on the reception time t_2 . These dependences can be obtained from the fact that the pairs of events (p_0, p_1) and (p_1, p_2) are lightlike separated. For simplicity, we will specialize to the spatially flat case, which is compatible with current observational data [6]. Introducing spherical coordinates, (r, θ, φ) , on the slices of constant t , the spatial metric reads $\mathbf{h} = dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2)$. Without loss of generality we may assume our worldline to be given by $r = 0$. The spacecraft's worldline is described by some functions $(t_1, r_1, \theta_1, \varphi_1)$ of the reflection time t_1 . Hence one obtains

$$\int_{t_1(t_2)}^{t_2} \frac{dt}{a(t)} = -\frac{1}{c} \int_{r_1(t_1(t_2))}^{r_2} dr, \quad (19)$$

where the minus sign is because the light ray is ‘inward’ pointing. Differentiating (19) w.r.t. t_2 and noting that $\beta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{v})_{p_1} = a(t_1)\dot{r}_1/\dot{t}_1 = a(t_1)dr_1/dt_1$ (where $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \|\partial/\partial r\|^{-1}\partial/\partial r$ and the overdot denotes differentiation w.r.t. the eigentime of γ), one gets

$$\frac{dt_1}{dt_2} = \frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_2)} \left(1 + \beta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{v})_{p_1}\right)^{-1}. \quad (20)$$

Similarly, differentiating

$$\int_{t_0(t_2)}^{t_2} \frac{dt}{a(t)} = +\frac{1}{c} \int_{r_0}^{r_1(t_1(t_2))} dr - \frac{1}{c} \int_{r_1(t_1(t_2))}^{r_2} dr \quad (21)$$

w.r.t. t_2 and using (20) one gets

$$\frac{dt_0}{dt_2} = \frac{a(t_0)}{a(t_2)} \left(\frac{1 - \beta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{v})_{p_1}}{1 + \beta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{v})_{p_1}}\right). \quad (22)$$

In passing we remark that (22) can be used to compute the variation of the light round-trip-time Δt_{20} as measured by the receiver (Δt_{20} is just twice the radar distance between observer and spacecraft):

$$\frac{d}{dt_2} \Delta t_{20} = 1 - \frac{a(t_0)}{a(t_2)} \left(\frac{1 - \beta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{v})_{p_1}}{1 + \beta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{v})_{p_1}}\right) \approx 2\beta_{\mathbf{u}}^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}(\mathbf{v})_{p_1} + H\Delta t_{20}, \quad (23)$$

which clearly displays the expected contributions due to the spacecraft’s motion and the cosmological expansion respectively.

Returning to the derivation of the frequency-shift rate, we notice that $d/dt_1 = (dt_1/d\tau_1)^{-1}d/d\tau_1 = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}}$ on functions along the spacecraft’s worldline γ , where τ_1 is its arc-length. Taking into account (8), (9), (20), and (22), differentiation of (17) w.r.t. t_2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\omega_0} \frac{d\omega_2}{dt_2} = & -\frac{a(t_0)}{a(t_2)} \left\{ 2 \left[\sigma(\alpha, \hat{\mathbf{k}}) + \sigma(\beta, \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}} \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \right] \frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_2)} \left(1 + \beta^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \beta^2\right)^{-1} \right. \\ & - 4 \sigma(\alpha, \beta) \frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_2)} \left(\frac{1 - \beta^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}}{1 + \beta^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}}\right) \left(1 - \beta^2\right)^{-2} \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{\dot{a}(t_2)}{a(t_2)} - \frac{\dot{a}(t_0)}{a(t_2)} \left(\frac{1 - \beta^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}}{1 + \beta^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}}\right) \right) \left(\frac{1 - 2\beta^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}} + \beta^2}{1 - \beta^2}\right) \right\}. \quad (24) \end{aligned}$$

Here, we suppressed the arguments and the indices \mathbf{u} for the sake of readability and put $\omega_2 := \omega_{p_2}^{(\mathbf{u})}(\mathbf{k})$. This formula gives the exact relation between the observable frequency-shift rate (measured ‘here’) and the local kinematical properties of the spacecraft (defined ‘there’) in a spatially flat FLRW spacetime—provided the scale function a is known.

In the special, but relevant, case where the spacecraft’s motion is in direction of the line-of-sight (‘radial’ for short), meaning that both β and α are collinear to $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$, we have $\beta = \beta^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}} \hat{\mathbf{k}}$, and $\alpha = \alpha^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}} \hat{\mathbf{k}}$, where $\alpha^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}} := \sigma(\alpha, \hat{\mathbf{k}})$. Moreover, it is straightforward to check that $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^{\mathbf{u}} \hat{\mathbf{k}} = 0$. The two-way Doppler-tracking formula (24) now simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\omega_0} \frac{d\omega_2}{dt_2} = & -\frac{a(t_0)}{a(t_2)} \frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_2)} \left\{ 2 \alpha^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}} (1 + \beta^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}})^{-3} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{\dot{a}(t_2)}{a(t_1)} - \frac{\dot{a}(t_0)}{a(t_1)} \left(\frac{1 - \beta^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}}{1 + \beta^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}}\right) \right) \left(\frac{1 - \beta^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}}{1 + \beta^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}}\right) \right\}. \quad (25) \end{aligned}$$

This formula is still exact if one restricts to radially moving spacecrafts. In a linear approximation in $H\Delta t_{20}$ it becomes

$$\frac{1}{\omega_0} \frac{d\omega_2}{dt_2} \approx -2 \left(1 - 3H \frac{\Delta t_{20}}{2} \right) \left\{ \alpha^{\hat{k}} (1 + \beta^{\hat{k}})^{-3} + H \beta^{\hat{k}} \frac{1 - \beta^{\hat{k}}}{(1 + \beta^{\hat{k}})^2} \right\}. \quad (26)$$

In all cases of interest one is also interested in a slow-motion approximation involving an expansion in β . Retaining only terms linear in β and $H\Delta t$ we simply get $(d\omega_2/dt_2)/\omega_0 \approx -2\alpha^{\hat{k}}$. This is exactly the result one obtains from Newtonian physics. Leading order corrections are obtained by going to quadratic order in β and also keeping mixed terms $\beta H\Delta t$. The result is

$$\frac{1}{\omega_0} \frac{d\omega_2}{dt_2} \approx -2 \left\{ \alpha^{\hat{k}} \left(1 - 3\beta^{\hat{k}} - 3H\Delta t_{20}/2 \right) + H\beta^{\hat{k}} \right\}. \quad (27)$$

At this point it is crucial to understand how α relates to the acceleration that appears on the left-hand side of Newton's equation of motion. The latter is derived as the weak-field and slow-motion approximation of the spatial part of the geodesic equation. Recall that 'spatial part' refers to the choice of the observer field, from which the establishment of a Newtonian equation always depends.

In addition, in order to write down a Newtonian equation, we need to use spatial coordinates of direct metrical significance. This is achieved by using a rescaled radial coordinate, $r_*(r, t) := a(t)r$ such that r_* is now the proper geodesic distance to the origin (us) within each time slice of constant t . The time coordinate remains unchanged, $t_* := t$, so that

$$\partial/\partial t_* = \partial/\partial t - H(t)r \partial/\partial r. \quad (28)$$

The observer field is now the normalization of that field:

$$\mathbf{u}_* = \|\partial/\partial t_*\|^{-1} \partial/\partial t_*. \quad (29)$$

It also results from applying a (spacetime dependent) boost of parameter $-\beta_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{u}_*) = -Hr_*$ in the local planes spanned by \mathbf{u} and $\mathbf{e}_r := \|\partial/\partial r\|^{-1} \partial/\partial r$. Note also that \mathbf{u}_* is hypersurface orthogonal, though clearly not orthogonal to the slices of constant t .

The spatial projection of the geodesic equation with respect to \mathbf{u}_* up to quadratic order in $H\Delta t$ and linear order in $\beta_{\mathbf{u}_*}(\hat{\gamma})$ turns out to be

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{u}_*}(\gamma) \approx \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} r_* \right) \mathbf{e}_r \circ \gamma. \quad (30)$$

This is the form of the equation of motion in which dynamical considerations are most conveniently addressed (see [8] and references therein). Hence in the above formulae for the Doppler tracking we need to express the relative accelerations and velocities defined w.r.t. \mathbf{u} in terms of relative accelerations and velocities w.r.t. \mathbf{u}_* . In the FLRW case these transformations are given in [8]. For a general spacetime they can be found e.g. in [9]. However, for our discussion it is sufficient to consider the approximated Doppler tracking formula (27) and within its level of approximation it makes no difference whether we refer spatial acceleration and velocities w.r.t. \mathbf{u} or w.r.t. \mathbf{u}_* . Hence (27) gives the sought-after relation between the two-way Doppler measurement and the kinematical quantities that enter the Newtonian equation.

Looking at (27) we see, among other corrections, an additional acceleration term $Hc\beta^{\hat{k}}$ (reintroducing the speed of light c). Hence the sometimes alleged Hc acceleration term [7] is actually suppressed by a factor β , as already pointed out in [2], though we cannot agree with the derivation given there. In particular we conclude that, even if the universe did expand

down to scales of the Solar System, there would be no effect of the same order of magnitude as the Pioneer Anomaly. Besides, notice that the above mentioned correction points in outer direction and hence opposite to the Pioneer anomalous acceleration. One expects that this conclusion remains true in the presence of an isolated local inhomogeneity, such as a single star, since the contributions coming from the ‘gravitational’ red-shift of the star cancel out in the two-way process. Insofar as such an inhomogeneity can be modeled by the McVittie metric this is explicitly shown in the next section.

5. Two-way Doppler tracking in a McVittie spacetime

The so-called ‘flat’ McVittie model with central mass m_0 and scale-factor $a(t)$ is given by the spacetime metric

$$g = \left(\frac{1 - m_0/2a(t)r}{1 + m_0/2a(t)r} \right)^2 dt^2 - \left(1 + \frac{m_0}{2a(t)r} \right)^4 a^2(t)(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2) \quad (31)$$

together with a cosmological observer-field

$$\mathbf{u} = \|\partial/\partial t\|^{-1} \partial/\partial t \quad (32)$$

along which the cosmological matter, given by an ideal fluid with pressure, moves.

The red-shift formula for a radial light ray in a McVittie spacetime is easily computed up to linear order in $H\Delta t_{10}$ and m_0/ar to be

$$\frac{(\|\partial/\partial t\| \omega^{(\mathbf{u})})_{p_1}}{(\|\partial/\partial t\| \omega^{(\mathbf{u})})_{p_0}} \approx 1 - H\Delta t_{10}, \quad (33)$$

where $\Delta t_{10} := t(p_1) - t(p_0)$ and $H := \dot{a}/a$ as previously. In case $H = 0$ this reduces to the familiar gravitational red-shift relation in Schwarzschild spacetime, as it should. By (33) one sees that the main formula (17) for the two-way Doppler frequency-shift we derived in the FLRW case still remains valid for the McVittie case in linear order in $H\Delta t$ and m_0/ar . The reason is simply that the gravitational contribution to the red-shift (coming from the factors $\|\partial/\partial t\| \approx 1 - m_0/ar$ in (33)) vanishes in the two-way process within the considered approximation.

As in the previous section, we wish to compute the Doppler frequency-shift rate measured by an observer (us) along \mathbf{u} who exchanges light (radar) signals with a spacecraft moving along an arbitrary worldline γ (see figure 1). For this we need the generally valid relations (8) and (9) and the relations (20) and (22) which, fortunately, hold exactly also in the McVittie case, provided that central mass, observer, and spacecraft are aligned. The latter will be assumed henceforth.

A difference to the FLRW case is that here t is no longer the eigentime along the observer’s worldline. Rather, to get the measured frequency-shift rate, we have to differentiate (17) with $\mathbf{u} = d/d\tau_2 = \|\partial/\partial t\|^{-1} d/dt_2$. When differentiating the kinematical factor on the r.h.s. of (17) we proceed as before but now take into account that $d/dt_1 = (dt_1/d\tau_1)^{-1} d/d\tau_1 = \|\partial/\partial t\| \nabla_\gamma^{\mathbf{u}}$ on functions along the spacecraft’s worldline γ , where τ_1 is its arc-length. This leads to the formula

$$\frac{1}{\omega_0} \frac{d\omega_2}{d\tau_2} \approx -2 \left\{ \alpha^{\hat{k}} \left(1 - 3\beta^{\hat{k}} - 3H \frac{\Delta\tau_{20}}{2} + \frac{m_0}{R^2} \frac{\Delta\tau_{20}}{2} \right) + H\beta^{\hat{k}} \right\} \quad (34)$$

which is valid in linear order in $H\Delta t_{20}$ and m_0/ar and quadratic order in β , and differs from (27) merely in the term containing m_0 (note that in (27) $\Delta t_{20} = \Delta\tau_{20}$). Formula (34) shows that in the McVittie case, too, the acceleration correction term Hc is suppressed by a factor β .

As for the FLRW case, we may express the relative acceleration and velocity defined w.r.t. \mathbf{u} in terms of the relative acceleration and velocity w.r.t. \mathbf{u}_* . As previously, \mathbf{u}_* is the normalized future-directed vector field whose integral lines have constant proper radius r_* (i.e. radial geodesic distance[§] on the slices of constant t) and constant angular coordinates.

Up to now γ was unspecified. Let us now assume γ to be a geodesic. In leading order in $H\Delta\tau$ and m_0/r_* and linear order in $\beta_{\mathbf{u}_*}(\dot{\gamma})$ the geodesic equation then leads to the following expression for the spatial acceleration w.r.t. \mathbf{u}_* :

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{u}_*}(\gamma) \approx \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} r_* - \frac{m_0}{r_*^2} \right) \mathbf{e}_r \circ \gamma. \quad (35)$$

Here we made use of the identity $\ddot{a}/a = -qH^2$, where q is the deceleration parameter, which shows that \ddot{a}/a is of quadratic order in H , given that q is not a large number. Formula (35) is the form of the equation of motion in the next-to-Newtonian approximation in which dynamical considerations are most conveniently addressed (see again [8] and references therein).

In the special case of purely radial motion, insertion of (35) into (34) gives a formula predicting the two-way Doppler-shift rate in linear order in $H\Delta\tau_{20}$ and m_0/r_* and quadratic order in $\beta_{\mathbf{u}_*}(\dot{\gamma})$:

$$\frac{1}{\omega_0} \frac{d\omega_2}{d\tau_2} \approx -2 \left\{ -\frac{m_0}{r_*^2} (1 - 3\beta^{\hat{k}}) + H\beta^{\hat{k}} \right\}. \quad (36)$$

Hence there are two corrections to the Newtonian contribution. One is proportional to H and stems from the cosmological expansion, the other is independent of H and of purely special-relativistic origin, as one might check explicitly. Their ratio is (up to a factor $\sqrt{3}$) given by the square of the ratio of r_* to the geometric mean of the Schwarzschild radius m_0 and the Hubble radius $1/H$. The latter is of the order of 10^{23} km, so that its geometric mean with a Schwarzschild radius of 1 km is approximately given by 2400 AU. The ratio of the effects is therefore of the order 10^{-7} . Hence the cosmological contribution is negligible for any application in the Solar System as compared to the special-relativistic correction. For the Pioneer spacecrafts 10 and 11 we have a radial velocity of about 12 km s^{-1} . This amounts to a special relativistic correction of magnitude 10^{-4} times the Newtonian gravitational acceleration, in an outwardly pointing direction. This is of the same order of magnitude as the Pioneers' anomalous acceleration [4], but pointing in the opposite direction.

6. Conclusion

It is often heard that effects of cosmological expansion are at most of order H^2 . We have seen in (35) that this is true as far as deviations from the Newtonian equation of motion are concerned. However, there is a term linear in H that enters the formula for the two-way Doppler tracking, as seen in (36), even though the correction it gives is negligible as compared with the special relativistic one, due to its suppression with a factor of β .

Finally we point out that for direct comparison with actual measurements there are other corrections to our Doppler formulae that can easily be taken care of. For example, one needs to incorporate the motion of the Earth relative to the cosmological substrate with an additional Doppler factor (11) at the emission and reception points p_0 and p_2 . Also, one needs to take into account that in the actual tracking procedure signals are sent back with a fixed frequency translation factor (see e.g. formula (1) in [5]).

[§] In [8], for the McVittie case, we take the areal radius as radial coordinate for the Newtonian equation just for computational convenience. In the considered approximation, and in the region of validity of the Newtonian equation, the areal radius equals the geodesic radial distance.

References

- [1] Kopeikin S M and Schäfer G 1999 Lorentz covariant theory of light propagation in gravitational fields of arbitrary-moving bodies *Phys. Rev. D* **60**(12) 124002 (*Preprint* gr-qc/9902030)
- [2] Lämmerzahl C, Preuss O, and Dittus H 2006 Is the physics within the Solar system really understood? *Preprint* gr-qc/0604052
- [3] Kagramanova V, Kunz J, and Lämmerzahl C 2006 Solar system effects in Schwarzschild-de Sitter space-time *Phys. Lett. B* **634** 465–470
- [4] Anderson J D *et al.* 2002 Study of the anomalous acceleration of Pioneer 10 and 11 *Phys. Rev. D* **65**(8) 082004 (*Preprint* gr-qc/0104064)
- [5] Markwardt C B 2002 Independent Confirmation of the Pioneer 10 Anomalous Acceleration *Preprint* gr-qc/0208046
- [6] Spergel D *et al.* 2006 Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Three Year Results: Implications for Cosmology *Preprint* astro-ph/0603449
- [7] Rosales J and Sanchez-Gomez J 1998 The “Pioneer effect” as a manifestation of the cosmic expansion in the solar system *Preprint* gr-qc/9810085
- [8] Carrera M and Giulini D 2006 On the influence of the global cosmological expansion on the local dynamics in the Solar System *Preprint* gr-qc/0602098
- [9] Bini D, Carini P, and Jantzen R T 1995 Relative observer kinematics in general relativity *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** 2549–2563
- [10] Straumann N 2004 *General Relativity with Applications to Astrophysics* Texts and Monographs in Physics (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)