

A simple accretion model of a rotating gas sphere onto a Schwarzschild black hole

E. A. Huerta & S. Mendoza

*Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
AP 70-264, Distrito Federal 04510, México**

(Dated: 25th May 2019)

Abstract

We construct a simple accretion model of a rotating pressureless gas sphere onto a Schwarzschild black hole. We show how to build analytic solutions in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions. This construction represents a general relativistic generalisation of the Newtonian accretion model first proposed by Ulrich [18]. In exactly the same form as it occurs for the Newtonian case, the flow naturally predicts the existence of an equatorial rotating accretion disc about the hole. However, the radius of the disc increases monotonically without limit as the flow reaches its maximum allowed angular momentum.

PACS numbers: 98.35.Mp 95.30.Sf 47.75.+f

*Electronic address: eahuerta@astroscu.unam.mx, sergio@astroscu.unam.mx

I. INTRODUCTION

Steady spherically symmetric accretion onto a central gravitational potential (e.g. a star) was first investigated by Bondi [3]. This pioneering work turned out to have many different applications to astrophysical phenomena (see e.g. Frank et al. [7]), despite the fact that it was only constructed for curiosity, rather than a realistic idea to a particular astrophysical situation [4]. A general relativistic generalisation to the work of Bondi was made by Michel [14]. Both models can be seen as astrophysical examples of transonic flows that occur in a natural way in the Universe.

Realistic models of spherical accretion require an extra ingredient that seems inevitable in many astronomical situations. This is due to the fact that gas clouds where compact objects are embedded have a certain degree of rotation. The rotation of the gas cloud predicts the formation of an equatorial accretion disc for which gas particles rotate about the central object. The first steady accretion model, in which a rotating gas sphere with infinite extent is accreted to a central object was first investigated by Ulrich [18]. In his model, Ulrich considered a gas cloud rotating as a rigid body and took no account of pressure effects in the infalling gas. In other words, his analysis was ballistic, which is approximately true if the initial specific angular momentum of an infalling particle is small and if heating by radiation and viscosity effects are negligible.

A first order general relativistic approximation of a rotating gas sphere was made by Beloborodov and Illarionov [1]. In their model, they use approximate solutions to the integration of the geodesic equation and the initial conditions of it are such that the specific angular momentum for a single particle $h \leq 2r_g$, where r_g is the Schwarzschild radius. In here and in what follows we use a system of units for which $G = c = 1$, where G is the gravitational constant and c the speed of light. In this article we show that such a model is not a general relativistic Ulrich flow, since an appropriate generalisation of it must satisfy the inequality $h \geq 2r_g$. A pseudo-Newtonian Paczynsky and Wiita [17] numerical approximation of the ultra-relativistic $h = 2r_g$ case was discussed by Lee and Ramirez-Ruiz [12]. We show that this relativistic numerical approximation differs in a significant way from the complete general relativistic solution.

In this article we develop a full general relativistic model of a rotating gas sphere of infinite extent that accretes matter onto a centrally symmetric Schwarzschild space-time.

We find velocity and particle number density fields as well as the streamlines of the flow in an exact analytic form using Jacobi Elliptic functions. In section II we state the main results from general relativity used to solve the model introduced in section III. We show in section IV that the general solution converges to the accretion model considered by Ulrich [18] and that for the case of a null value for the specific angular momentum, the solution converges to the case described by Michel [14] for a pressureless fluid. The extreme ultra-relativistic limit is calculated in section V and it is shown that the solutions can be found with the aid of simple hyperbolic functions. Finally, in section VI we discuss the physical consequences implied by the general relativistic model.

II. BACKGROUND IN CELESTIAL MECHANICS FOR GENERAL RELATIVITY

The main results from relativistic gravity to be used throughout the article are stated in this section. The reader is referred to the general relativity textbooks by Chandrasekhar [6], Landau and Lifshitz [9], Misner et al. [15], Novikov and Frolov [16] and Wald [19] for further details.

It is well known that the vacuum Schwarzschild solution describing the final product of gravitational collapse contains a singularity which is hidden by a horizon. The solution corresponding to an exterior gravitational field of static, spherically symmetric body is given by the Schwarzschild metric:

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (1)$$

where $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2$ represents the square of an angular displacement. The total mass of the Schwarzschild field is represented by M . The temporal, radial, polar and azimuthal coordinates are represented respectively by t , r , θ and φ . In equation (1), we have chosen a signature $(-, +, +, +)$ for the metric. In what follows, Greek indices such as α , β , etc., are used to denote space-time components, taking values 0, 1, 2 and 3.

Birkhoff [2] showed that it is possible to solve the vacuum Einstein field equations for a general spherically symmetric spacetime, without the static field assumption. It follows from his calculations that the Schwarzschild solution remains the only solution of this more general space-time.

The behaviour of light rays and test bodies in the exterior gravitational field of a spherical body is described by analysing both, timelike and null geodesics. In order to do that, we first note that the Schwarzschild metric has a parity reflection symmetry, i.e. the transformation $\theta \rightarrow \pi - \theta$ leaves the metric unchanged. Under these considerations it follows that if the initial position and tangent vector of a geodesic lies in the equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$, then the entire geodesic must lie in that particular plane. Every geodesic can be brought to an initially equatorial plane by a rotational isometry and so, without loss of generality, it is possible to restrict our attention to the study of equatorial geodesics only.

In what follows we denote the coordinate basis components by x^μ and the tangent vector to a curve by $u^\alpha = dx^\alpha/d\tau$. For timelike geodesics the parameter τ can be made to coincide with the proper time and for null geodesics it only represents an affine parameter. Under the above circumstances, the geodesics take the following form (cf. Wald [19]):

$$-\kappa = g_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha u^\beta = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \dot{t}^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\varphi}^2, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\kappa := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for timelike geodesics,} \\ 0 & \text{for null geodesics.} \end{cases}$$

In the derivation of the geodesic equation (2), there are two important constants of motion that must be taken into account. The first of them is

$$E := -g_{\alpha\beta} \xi^\alpha u^\beta = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \frac{dt}{d\tau}, \quad (3)$$

where ξ^α represents the static Killing vector and E is a constant of motion. For timelike geodesics E represents the specific energy of a single particle following a given geodesic, relative to a static observer at infinity.

The second constant of motion h is related to the rotational Killing field ψ^α by the following relation:

$$h := g_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\alpha u^\beta = r^2 \sin^2 \theta \frac{d\varphi}{d\tau}. \quad (4)$$

Since we have chosen $\theta = \pi/2$ without loss of generalisation, the previous equation takes

the form

$$h = r^2 \frac{d\varphi}{d\tau}. \quad (5)$$

For timelike geodesics h is the specific angular momentum. The final equation for the geodesics is found by direct substitution of equations (3) and (5) into relation (2). From now on, we restrict the analysis to timelike geodesics only and so, the final result is

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\tau}\right)^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) \left(1 + \frac{h^2}{r^2}\right) = E^2. \quad (6)$$

This equation shows that the radial motion of a geodesic is very similar to that of a unit mass particle of energy E^2 in ordinary one dimensional non-relativistic mechanics. The feature provided by the general relativity in equation (6) is that, apart from a Newtonian gravitational term $-2M/r$ and the centrifugal barrier h^2/r^2 , there is a new attractive potential term $-2Mh^2/r^3$, that dominates over the centrifugal barrier for sufficiently small r .

As it is done in the analysis of the Keplerian orbit for Newtonian gravity (see for example Landau and Lifshitz [10]), it is useful to consider r as a function of φ instead of τ . Therefore, equation (6) takes the form

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\varphi}\right)^2 = \frac{2Mr^3}{h^2} - r^2 + 2Mr + (E^2 - 1) \left(\frac{r^4}{h^2}\right). \quad (7)$$

Now, letting $E^2 - 1 := 2E_{\text{tot}}$, where E_{tot} is the total energy of the particle and $u = r^{-1}$ equation (7) takes the final form

$$\left(\frac{du}{d\varphi}\right)^2 = 2Mu^3 - u^2 + \frac{2Mu}{h^2} + \frac{2E_{\text{tot}}}{h^2}. \quad (8)$$

Let us define $u := Mv/h^2$ so that the previous equation simplifies to

$$\left(\frac{dv}{d\phi}\right)^2 = \alpha v^3 - v^2 + 2v + \epsilon, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\alpha := 2 \left(\frac{M}{h}\right)^2, \quad \epsilon := \frac{2E_{\text{tot}}h^2}{M^2}. \quad (10)$$

This equation determines the geometry of the geodesics on the invariant plane labelled by $\theta = \pi/2$. In fact, this equation governs the geometry of the orbits described on the invariant plane due to the fact that the geometry of the geodesics is determined by the roots of the cubic equation

$$f(v) = \alpha v^3 - v^2 + 2v + \epsilon. \quad (11)$$

The parameter α provides the difference between the general relativistic and the Newtonian case. In fact, $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ in the Newtonian limit. Finally, the eccentricity e of the Newtonian orbit is related to ϵ through the relation

$$e^2 = 1 + \epsilon.$$

III. ACCRETION MODEL

The model first proposed by Ulrich [18] describes a non-relativistic steady accretion flow that considers a central object for which fluid particles fall onto it due to its gravitational potential. Their initial angular momentum h_∞ at infinity is considered small in such a way that this model is a small perturbation of Bondi's [3] spherical accretion model. The specific initial conditions far away from the origin combined with the assumption that radiative processes and viscosity play no important role on the flow, imply that the streamlines have a parabolic shape. When fluid particles arrive at the equator they thermalise their velocity component normal to the equator. Since the angular momentum for a particular fluid particle is conserved, it follows that particles orbit about the central object once they reach the equator. The radius r_{dN} of the Newtonian accretion disc, where particles orbit about the central object, is given by [13, 18]

$$r_{\text{dN}} = h_\infty^2 / M. \quad (12)$$

The velocity field and the density profiles are calculated by energy and mass conservation arguments.

We consider now a general relativistic Ulrich situation in which pressureless rotating fluid particles fall onto a central object that generates a Schwarzschild space-time. The equation of motion for each fluid particle (in the ballistic approximation) is described by relation (9).

In order to get quantitative results it is important to establish the boundary conditions at infinity. The angular momentum is given by equation (5), so if a particle that falls onto the black hole has an initial velocity v_0 at an initial polar angle θ_0 and the radial distance between the particle and the black hole is r_0 , then the angular momentum is given by

$$h_{\infty*} = r_0^2 \frac{d\varphi}{d\tau} = r_0 \gamma_0 v_0 \sin \theta_0, \quad (13)$$

where $\gamma_0 := (1 - v_0^2)^{-1/2}$ is the Lorentz Factor for the velocity v_0 . At infinite distances from the hole, the angular momentum of a fluid particle is given by

$$h_{\infty*}^2 = (r_0 \gamma_0 v_0 \sin \theta_0)^2. \quad (14)$$

In addition, $h_{\infty*}$ is related to the angular momentum h perpendicular to the invariant plane through the relation

$$h = h_{\infty*} \sin \theta_0. \quad (15)$$

The specific angular momentum $h_{\infty*}$ converges to the Newtonian value calculated by Ulrich.

With the above relations it is then possible to calculate the equation for a given fluid particle falling onto the central object. First of all, equation (11) states that, if $f(v)$ is a cubic polynomial in v , then either all of its roots are real or one of them is real and the two remaining are a complex-conjugate pair. The fact that the particle's energy is insufficient to permit its escape from the black hole's gravitational field requires that $\epsilon < 0$. This implies that the roots v_1 , v_2 , and v_3 of $f(v)$ are all real and satisfy the inequality $v_1 < v_2 < v_3$. Thus, $f(v)$ can be written as

$$f(v) = \alpha (v - v_1) (v_2 - v) (v_3 - v). \quad (16)$$

Direct substitution of this relation in equation (9) yields the integration

$$-\frac{2}{[(v_2 - v_1)(v_3 - v_1)]^{1/2}} \int \frac{dw}{[(w^2 - w_1^2)(w^2 - w_2^2)]^{1/2}} = \alpha^{1/2} \varphi, \quad (17)$$

where $w_1^2 = 1/(v_2 - v_1)$, $w_2^2 = 1/(v_3 - v_1)$ and $v = v_1 + w^{-2}$. This elliptic integral can be calculated in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions (see for example Cayley [5], Hancock [8])

yielding the following result

$$\frac{1}{(v_3 - v_1)^{1/2}} \text{ns}^{-1} \left\{ w (v_2 - v_1)^{1/2} \right\} = \alpha^{1/2} \varphi. \quad (18)$$

The modulus k of the Jacobi elliptic function for this particular problem is given by

$$k^2 = \frac{v_2 - v_1}{v_3 - v_1}. \quad (19)$$

With the aid of relation (18), the equation of the orbit is now obtained:

$$v = v_1 + (v_2 - v_1) \text{sn}^2 \left\{ \frac{\varphi}{2} [\alpha (v_3 - v_1)]^{1/2} \right\}. \quad (20)$$

This is a general equation for the orbit and for the particular case we are interested it must resemble the orbit proposed by Ulrich when $\alpha = 0$. Therefore, the equation of the orbit must converge to a parabola when $\alpha = 0$. This is possible if and only if the eccentricity $e = 1$, which in turn implies $\epsilon = 0$. All these conditions mean that the roots of equation (10) are given by

$$v_1 = 0, \quad v_2 = \frac{1 - (1 - 8\alpha)^{1/2}}{2\alpha}, \quad v_3 = \frac{1 + (1 - 8\alpha)^{1/2}}{2\alpha}, \quad (21)$$

and so, the modulus k in equation (19) takes the form

$$k^2 = \frac{1 - (1 - 8\alpha)^{1/2}}{1 + (1 - 8\alpha)^{1/2}}. \quad (22)$$

Note that the previous equations restrict the value of α in such a way that

$$0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/8. \quad (23)$$

When $\alpha = 0$, Ulrich solutions are obtained and the case $\alpha = 1/8$ corresponds to the ultra-relativistic case for which the angular momentum $h = 4M = 2r_g$.

The orbit followed by a single particle falling onto a Schwarzschild black hole with the Ulrich prescription is then given by

$$v = \frac{p}{r} = v_2 \text{sn}^2 \varphi \beta, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\beta := \frac{(\alpha v_3)^{1/2}}{2} = \left(\frac{1 + (1 - 8\alpha)^{1/2}}{8} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{and} \quad p := \frac{h^2}{M} = \frac{h_{\infty*}^2}{M} \sin^2 \theta_0 = r_* \sin^2 \theta_0, \quad (25)$$

and p is the *latus rectum* of the generalised conic. Note that in the Newtonian limit, the length r_* defined by equation (25) converges to the radius of the Newtonian disc r_{dN} as shown by relation (12).

Before using the equation of the orbit to find out the velocity field and the particle number density, it is useful to mention some important properties of the Jacobi elliptic functions, such as [5, 8]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sn}^2(z, k) + \text{cn}^2(z, k) &= 1 \\ \text{sn}(z, k) &\rightarrow \sin(z), \quad \text{cn}(z, k) \rightarrow \cos(z), \quad \text{dn}(z, k) \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow 0, \\ \frac{d}{dz} \text{sn}(z, k) &= \text{cn}(z, k) \text{ dn}(z, k), \quad \frac{d}{dz} \text{cn}(z, k) = -\text{sn}(z, k) \text{ dn}(z, k) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where k is the modulus of the elliptic functions.

We can now obtain a relativistic conic equation by direct substitution of these relations onto equation (24). The final result of this calculation is

$$r = \frac{p}{v_2 (1 - \text{cn}^2 \varphi \beta)}. \quad (27)$$

This orbit lies within the invariant plane $\theta = \pi/2$. In order to obtain an equation of motion in terms of the polar coordinate θ and the initial polar angle θ_0 made by a particle when it starts falling onto the black hole, we note the fact that in order to recover the geometry of the spherical 3D space as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ it should be fulfilled that[20]

$$\text{cn}^2 \varphi \beta = \frac{\text{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta + \text{cn}^2 \theta \beta - 1}{2 \text{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - 1}. \quad (28)$$

Since the invariant plane passes through the origin of coordinates, then the radial coordinate r remains the same if another plane is taken instead of the invariant one. Therefore, the angle θ_0 is the same as the one related to the value of the angular momentum of the particle at infinity (cf. equation (13)). Thus, the equation of the orbit is found by direct substitution

of equations (13) and (28) into (27), and is given by

$$r = \frac{r_* \sin^2 \theta_0 (2 \operatorname{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - 1)}{v_2 (\operatorname{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - \operatorname{cn}^2 \theta \beta)}. \quad (29)$$

In order to work with dimensionless variables, let us make the following transformations

$$\frac{r}{r_*} \rightarrow r, \quad \frac{v_i}{v_k} \rightarrow v_i \quad (i = r, \theta, \varphi), \quad \frac{n}{n_0} \rightarrow n,$$

where

$$v_i := \frac{dx^i}{d\tau}, \quad n_0 := \frac{\dot{M}}{4\pi v_k r_*^2}, \quad v_k := \left(\frac{M}{r_*} \right)^{1/2}.$$

In the previous relations, the mass accretion rate onto the black hole is represented by \dot{M} . The velocity v_k converges to the Keplerian velocity of a single particle orbiting about the central object in a circular orbit when $\alpha = 0$. The particle number density n_0 converges to the one calculated by Bondi [3] in the Newtonian limit for the same null value of α .

Under the above considerations, the equations for the streamlines $r(\theta)$, the velocity field v_r, v_θ, v_φ and the proper particle number density n are given by

$$r = \frac{\sin^2 \theta_0 (2 \operatorname{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - 1)}{v_2 (\operatorname{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - \operatorname{cn}^2 \theta \beta)}, \quad (30)$$

$$v_r = -2r^{-1/2} \beta \frac{\operatorname{cn} \beta \theta \operatorname{sn} \beta \theta \operatorname{dn} \beta \theta}{\sin \theta} f_1^{1/2}(\theta, \theta_0, v_2, \beta), \quad (31)$$

$$v_\theta = r^{-1/2} \frac{\operatorname{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - \operatorname{cn}^2 \theta \beta}{\sin \theta} f_1^{1/2}(\theta, \theta_0, v_2, \beta), \quad (32)$$

$$v_\varphi = r^{-1/2} \frac{\sin \theta_0}{\sin \theta} \left(\frac{v_2 (\operatorname{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - \operatorname{cn}^2 \theta \beta)}{2 \operatorname{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - 1} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (33)$$

$$n = \frac{r^{-3/2} \sin \theta_0}{2 f_1^{1/2}(\theta, \theta_0, v_2, \beta) f_2(\theta, \theta_0, v_2, \beta)}, \quad (34)$$

where the functions f_1 and f_2 are defined by the following relations:

$$f_1(\theta, \theta_0, v_2, \beta) := \frac{2 \sin^2 \theta (2 \operatorname{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - 1) - v_2 \sin^2 \theta_0 (\operatorname{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - \operatorname{cn}^2 \theta \beta)}{(2 \operatorname{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - 1) \{ (\operatorname{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - \operatorname{cn}^2 \theta \beta)^2 + (2 \beta \operatorname{cn} \beta \theta \operatorname{sn} \beta \theta \operatorname{dn} \beta \theta)^2 \}},$$

$$f_2(\theta, \theta_0, v_2, \beta) := \beta \operatorname{cn} \beta \theta_0 \operatorname{sn} \beta \theta_0 \operatorname{dn} \beta \theta_0 + \{ \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_0 (2 \operatorname{cn}^2 \theta_0 \beta - 1) - 2 \beta \operatorname{cn} \beta \theta_0 \operatorname{sn} \beta \theta_0 \operatorname{dn} \beta \theta_0 \sin^2 \theta_0 \} / v_2 r.$$

Equations (30)-(34) are the solutions to the problem of a rotating gas sphere onto a Schwarzschild black hole, i.e. they represent a relativistic generalisation of the accretion model first proposed by Ulrich [18].

IV. CONVERGENCE TO KNOWN ACCRETION MODELS

We have mentioned before (cf. section III) that the analytical solution must converge to the Ulrich accretion model when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. In order to prove this, note that three very important conditions are fulfilled when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$: (a) the modulus k of the Jacobi elliptic functions vanishes, (b) the root $v_2 \rightarrow 2$, and (c) the parameter $\beta \rightarrow 1/2$. With this and taking into account equation (26), it follows that the relations (30)-(34) converge naturally to the non-relativistic Ulrich model (see for example Mendoza et al. [13]), that is:

$$r = \frac{\sin^2 \theta_0}{1 - \cos \theta \cos \theta_0}, \quad (35)$$

$$v_r = -r^{-1/2} \left(1 + \frac{\cos \theta}{\cos \theta_0} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (36)$$

$$v_\theta = r^{-1/2} \frac{\cos \theta_0 - \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \left(1 + \frac{\cos \theta}{\cos \theta_0} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (37)$$

$$v_\varphi = r^{-1/2} \frac{\sin \theta_0}{\sin \theta} \left(1 - \frac{\cos \theta}{\cos \theta_0} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (38)$$

$$\rho = r^{-3/2} \left(1 + \frac{\cos \theta}{\cos \theta_0} \right)^{-1/2} \{ 1 + 2r^{-1} P_2(\cos \theta_0) \}^{-1}, \quad (39)$$

where $P_2(\chi)$ is the Legendre second order polynomial given by $P_2(\chi) := (3 \cos^2 \chi - 1)/2$.

On the other hand, if we consider a particular case for which the angular momentum of the fluid particles is null, then equations (30)-(34) converge to

$$v_r = -(2M/r)^{1/2}, \quad v_\theta = 0, \quad v_\varphi = 0, \quad n = 2^{-1/2} r^{-3/2}. \quad (40)$$

These equations describe a radial accretion model onto a Schwarzschild black hole. They correspond to the model first constructed by Michel [14] for a pressureless fluid.

V. ULTRA-RELATIVISTIC LIMIT

As mentioned in section III, the parameter α reaches its maximum value when $\alpha = 1/8$, which corresponds to an ultra-relativistic limit. In this limit the module k of the Jacobi elliptic functions is such that $k = 1$, $v_2 = 4$ and $\beta = \sqrt{8}$ as can be seen from equations (21), (25) and (28). Also, when $k \rightarrow 1$, the following identities are valid [11]:

$$\operatorname{sn} w \rightarrow \tanh w, \quad \operatorname{cn} w \rightarrow \operatorname{sech} w, \quad \operatorname{dn} w \rightarrow \operatorname{sech} w. \quad (41)$$

Using all these relations it follows that the solutions (30)-(34) in the ultra-relativistic limit converge to

$$r = \frac{\sin^2 \theta_0 \left(2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 - 1 \right)}{4 \left(\operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 - \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta \right)}, \quad (42)$$

$$v_r = -r^{-1/2} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{\operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta \tanh \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta}{\sin \theta} f_{1U}^{1/2}(\theta, \theta_0), \quad (43)$$

$$v_\theta = r^{-1/2} \frac{\operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 - \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta}{\sin \theta} f_{1U}^{1/2}(\theta, \theta_0), \quad (44)$$

$$v_\varphi = 2r^{-1/2} \frac{\sin \theta_0}{\sin \theta} \left(\frac{\left(\operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 - \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta \right)}{2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 - 1} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (45)$$

$$n = \frac{r^{-3/2} \sin \theta_0}{2 f_{1U}^{1/2}(\theta, \theta_0) f_{2U}(\theta, \theta_0)}, \quad (46)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1U}(\theta, \theta_0) &:= \frac{2 \sin^2 \theta \left(2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 - 1 \right) - 4 \sin^2 \theta_0 \left(\operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 - \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta \right)}{\left(2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 - 1 \right) \left\{ \left(\operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 - \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta \tanh \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta \right)^2 \right\}}, \\ f_{2U}(\theta, \theta_0) &:= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 \tanh \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 + \left\{ \sin \theta_0 \cos \theta_0 \left(2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 - 1 \right) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \operatorname{sech}^2 \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 \tanh \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} \theta_0 \sin^2 \theta_0 \right\} / 4r. \end{aligned}$$

This model does not formally represent a relativistic Ulrich solution, since the orbit followed by a particular fluid particle have a hyperbolic Newtonian counterpart. The solutions described by equations (42)-(46) are the exact relativistic solutions to the numerical

problem discussed by Lee and Ramirez-Ruiz [12] who used a Paczynsky and Wiita [17] pseudo-Newtonian potential.

VI. DISCUSSION

Ulrich's Newtonian accretion model naturally predicts the existence of an accretion disc of radius r_{dN} . This is a natural property of an accreting flow with rotation and has to be valid in the relativistic case as well. In order to see the modifications that a full relativistic model imposes to the structure of the accretion disc, let us start by observing what happens to a fluid particle when it reaches the equator. First, in the Ulrich accretion model, when any particle reaches the equator $\theta = \pi/2$, it does so at a radius $r = h^2/M$ according to the dimensional form of equation (35). This corresponds to a stable circular orbit about the central object. For the relativistic model we have been discussing here, if this were the case, then particles would arrive at the equator at a radius [19]

$$r_{\text{circ}} = \frac{h^2}{2M} \left\{ 1 + (1 - 6\alpha)^{1/2} \right\}, \quad (47)$$

which corresponds to the radius of stable circular orbits. However, when $\theta = \pi/2$, equation (30) implies that the value of r is very different from the one that would appear if a stable circular orbit is expected according to equation (47). In fact, fluid particles arrive at a radius greater than r_{circ} .

We can also discuss what happens to the radius of the disc r_{d} for any α . This radius is obtained by taking a particle that arrives from a streamline just above the equator, i.e. $\theta_0 = \pi/2 - \eta$, where the positive quantity $\eta \ll 1$. Figure 1 shows how this radius varies as a function of α . As it can be seen, the radius r_{d} grows monotonically from the value r_{dN} when $\alpha = 0$ to infinity when $\alpha = 1/8$. This behaviour strongly modifies the traditional view, particularly since the disc occupies all the equatorial plane in the ultra-relativistic limit. The fact that the disc radius diverges when $\alpha = 1/8$ can be proved directly using the results obtained in section V. Indeed, evaluating equation (42) for $\theta = \pi/2$ and then taking the limit when $\theta_0 \rightarrow \pi/2$ it follows that $r \rightarrow \infty$.

The fact that the disc radius grows monotonically as α approaches the value $1/8$ means that the density of the disc should be distributed in a more homogeneous way. Figure 2 shows density profiles evaluated in the equatorial plane $\theta = \pi/2$ as a function of the distance




Figure 1: The figure shows a plot of the radius of the disc r' measured in arbitrary units, as a function of the parameter α . In the non-relativistic case, for which $\alpha = 0$, the radius of the disc is exactly the same as predicted by Ulrich [18]. For the ultra-relativistic limit, when $\alpha \rightarrow 1/8$, the radius of the disc grows without limit.

to the central object. In all cases the particle number density diverges in the origin because it represents a point of accumulated material. The case $\alpha = 0$ corresponds to the non-relativistic Ulrich model and apart from the divergence that the particle number density has at $r = 0$ it also grows without limit at the radius of the disc r_{dN} . This is generally attributed to border effects that appear because the disc has been assumed to be thin. However, as Figure 2 shows, the divergence of the particle number density at the border of the disc disappears as soon as α moves away from a null value. Furthermore, it does so in such a way that the density of the disc varies very smoothly throughout the disc as $\alpha \rightarrow 1/8$.

The results of section V can be used to compare with the pseudo-Newtonian Paczynsky and Wiita [17] approximation used by Lee and Ramirez-Ruiz [12]. Figure 3 shows a comparison between the full relativistic solution with the pseudo-Newtonian approximation. It is clear from the images that the solution differs not only at small radii, near the Schwarzschild radius, but also at large scales. This is due to the strength of the gravitational field pro-




Figure 2: The plots represent different particle number densities n measured in units of n_0 , as a function of the radial distance R (measured in units of r_*) evaluated in the equator, i.e. for which the polar angle $\theta = \pi/2$. From bottom to top the models correspond to values α of $1/8$, 10^{-1} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} , 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} . All profiles diverge at the origin because of accumulated material at that point. The particle number density diverges in the Newtonian limit (for which $\alpha \rightarrow 0$) at the border of the disc (which corresponds for that particular case to $R \rightarrow 1$) [13]. However, this singularity disappears and softens the density profile in the disc as $\alpha \rightarrow 1/8$.

duced by the central source, which makes particles approach the equator quite rapidly. For instance, near the event horizon there are fluid particles that appear to be swallowed by the hole when described by a pseudo-Newtonian potential. However, the complete relativistic solution show that for this particular case some of those particles are not swallowed directly by the hole, but are injected to the accretion disc.

The work presented in this article represents a general relativistic approach to the ballistic Newtonian accretion flow first proposed by Ulrich [18]. The main features of the accretion flow are still valid with the important consequence that, the radius of the equatorial accretion disc grows from its Newtonian value for the Ulrich case up to infinity in the extreme ultra-relativistic situation, for which the angular momentum is twice the Schwarzschild radius.




Figure 3: The figure shows a comparison between the fully relativistic solution presented in this article (continuous lines) with the Newtonian Paczynsky and Wiita [17] numerical approximations made by Lee and Ramirez-Ruiz [12] (dotted lines). Distances in the plot are measured in units of the Schwarzschild radius. The plot is a projection at an azimuthal angle $\varphi = \text{const}$ and the length R is the radial distance measured in the equator. In both cases, the streamlines were calculated in the ultra-relativistic case for which $\alpha = 1/8$, i.e. the specific angular momentum for a particular fluid particle is twice the Schwarzschild radius. Particles were considered to be uniformly rotating at a distance of 50 Schwarzschild radius measured from the origin. Both, the small and large scale panels show that the complete relativistic solution differs significantly from the Newtonian approximations. The pseudo-Newtonian Paczynsky and Wiita approximations were kindly provided by W. H. Lee.

As a consequence, the particle number density diverges on the border of the disc only for the Newtonian case described by Ulrich. This is due to the fact that, when the radius of the disc grows, the particle number density on it rearranges in such a way that it smoothly softens as the ultra-relativistic case is approached. Figures 4 and 5 show streamlines and density isocontours for different values of the parameter α .




Figure 4: Streamlines for values of the parameter $\alpha = 10^{-5}$, 0.12 from left to right are shown in the figure. Lengths are measured in units of the radius r_* . The equatorial radius is labelled by R . The case $\alpha = 10^{-5}$ is very close to the Newtonian one (see for example Mendoza et al. [13]). This particular case shows that the streamlines are accumulated at $R = 1$, which corresponds to the Newtonian radius r_{dN} . However, the right panel shows that as α approaches the value $1/8$ the streamlines are not packed together.




Figure 5: Particle number density isocontours for $\alpha = 10^{-5}$, 0.05, 0.12 are shown in each diagram. The left panel roughly corresponds to the non-relativistic case as described by Mendoza et al. [13]. All models show a density divergence at the origin. However, only the Newtonian case exhibits another divergence at the border of the disc $R = 1$. Lengths in the plot are measured in units of the radius r_* and the density isocontours correspond to values of $n/n_0 = 0.1, 0.6, 1.1, 1.6, 2.1, 2.6$.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We dedicate the present article to the vivid memory of Sir. Hermann Bondi who gave birth to the studies of spherical accretion. We would like to thank William Lee for providing his numerical Paczynsky and Wiita pseudo-Newtonian results in order to make comparisons with the exact analytic solution presented in this article. The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from DGAPA-UNAM (IN119203).

- [1] Beloborodov A M and Illarionov A F 2001 *Monthly Notices of the RAS* **323**, 167–176.
- [2] Birkhoff G 1923 *Relativity and Modern Physics* Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [3] Bondi H 1952 *Monthly Notices of the RAS* **112**, 195–204.
- [4] Bondi H 2005 The Scientific Legacy of Fred Hoyle pp. 55–57.
- [5] Cayley A 1961 *An elementary treatise on elliptic functions* New York : Dover, 1961.
- [6] Chandrasekhar S 1983 *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* Oxford University.
- [7] Frank J, King A and Raine D J 2002 *Accretion Power in Astrophysics: Third Edition* Accretion Power in Astrophysics, by Juhan Frank and Andrew King and Derek Raine, pp. 398. ISBN 0521620538. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, February 2002.
- [8] Hancock H 1917 *Elliptic Integrals* New York : Dover, 1917.
- [9] Landau L and Lifshitz E 1994a *The Classical Theory of Fields* Vol. 2 of *Course of Theoretical Physics* 4th ed. edn Pergamon.
- [10] Landau L and Lifshitz E 1994b *Mechanics* Vol. 1 of *Course of Theoretical Physics* 4th ed. edn Pergamon.
- [11] Lawden D 1989 *Elliptic Functions and Applications* Springer.
- [12] Lee W H and Ramirez-Ruiz E 2005 *astro-ph/0509307* .
- [13] Mendoza S, Cantó J and Raga A C 2004 *Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica* **40**, 147–165.
- [14] Michel F C 1972 *Astrophysics and Space Science* **15**, 153–160.
- [15] Misner C W, Thorne K S and Wheeler J A 1973 *Gravitation* San Francisco: W.H. Freeman and Co., 1973.
- [16] Novikov I and Frolov V 1990 *Black hole physics* CUP.

- [17] Paczynsky B and Wiita P J 1980 *Astronomy and Astrophysics* **88**, 23–31.
- [18] Ulrich R K 1976 *Astrophys. J.* **210**, 377–391.
- [19] Wald R 1984 *General Relativity* University of Chicago.
- [20] Ulrich [18] showed that $\cos \varphi = \cos \theta / \cos \theta_0$ using geometrical arguments. For the general relativistic limit, one is tempted to generalise this result to $\text{cn} \varphi \beta = \text{cn} \theta \beta / \text{cn} \theta_0 \beta$. However, this very simple analogy does not reproduce the velocity and particle number density fields for the Newtonian limit when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.