

$D\bar{D}$ Dark Energy in String Warped Compactification

Yun-Song Piao^{a,b}

^a*Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,*

P.O. Box 918-4, Beijing 100039, P. R. China and

^b*Interdisciplinary Center of Theoretical Studies,*

Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China

Abstract

We study the evolution of relic D3-branes in the bulk after D3/ $\bar{D}3$ -brane inflation in string warped compactification. The motion of D3-branes can be frozen under certain condition during radiation/matter domination, and will be relaxed until D3/ $\bar{D}3$ -branes potential starts to dominate the universe. These relic D3-branes will be attract and move toward to $\bar{D}3$ -branes with the role of uplifting AdS minimum to dS's near the apex of throats. This provides a rapid channel inducing to the subsiding of dS vacuum with observed value. We discuss the required parameter spaces and calculate the decay time for this process.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

The current set of cosmological data [1, 2, 3] implies that the universe might experience several separate stages of acceleration, in which the universe is in a near dS state. One earlier accelerating stage driven by inflaton began about 13 billion years ago, followed by a reheating process, in which the universe is reheated to a suitable temperature. While the recent accelerated expansion began approximately 5 billion years ago, which is driven by dark energy, which is unknown and constitutes about 2/3 of the total energy density of the present universe.

These two stages of near dS expansion of universe have been still challenges for string theory [4, 5, 6]. It might become increasingly clear that dS state could not be a stable in any theory of quantum gravity, instead it should be a metastable resonance with lifetime less than the recurrence time [7]. Recently, some progresses in finding metastable dS vacua with a positive cosmological constant have been made. Based on the warped flux compactification in type IIB string theory studied by GKP [8], KKLT [9] stabilize the volume in a supersymmetric AdS minimum by taking into account nonperturbative effects, and then uplift this minimum to a dS state by adding some positive energy density contributions from $\overline{D3}$ -branes (or D7-branes with 2-form fluxes on its world-volume [10]). The position of the dS minimum and the value of the cosmological constant depend on the quantized values of fluxes in the bulk and on the branes. In this frame, the early acceleration (inflation) has been studied [11] in details, in which D3/ $\overline{D3}$ -brane inflation [12] occurs in a warped throat [14]. The distance between D3/ $\overline{D3}$ -brane is regarded as inflaton and the small warped factor plays a significant role for supporting inflation. But the further study in Ref. [11] has shown that when the details of compactification are included, the D3-brane will obtain an additional mass which is too big to allow for the occurrence of inflation.

Generically, all dS compactification in string theory could decay and decompactify because the 10-dimension Poincare invariant string vacuum is supersymmetric and thus has vanishing energy density. But this is not the only decay mode. For example, in any compactification in which RR fluxes contribute to the potential, D-brane instantons can change the fluxes and the cosmological constant [15, 16]; in which $\overline{D3}$ -branes contribute to the potential, as in KKLT, NS5-brane instantons can reduce the NSNS flux and turn the $\overline{D3}$ -branes into supersymmetric D3-brane [17, 18], and thus change the vacuum energy.

In this note, we study the evolution of relic D3-branes in the bulk after D3/ $\overline{D3}$ -brane inflation in KKLT-like compactification, which gives an interesting model in which D3/ $\overline{D3}$ -

branes annihilation induces to the subsiding(decay) of dS vacua with observed value. We assume that the inflaton shift symmetry [19], see also [20, 21], or some degree of fine tuning is required to set off the additional mass term from compactification, which makes the potential of D3-branes and $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes enough flat to support the acceleration of observable universe. The motion of D3-branes can be frozen during radiation/matter domination, which may be realized by placing $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes in a strongly warped throat, and will be relaxed until D3/ $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes potential dominates. We discuss the required parameter spaces and calculate the decay time for this process.

Background- We firstly review the background of warped compactification in string theory, on which our work is based. The GKP compactification [8] of IIB string theory on a threefold M with 7-brane and O3-planes can be efficiently described as F theory [22] compactification on a CY fourfold X. Three-form fluxes and D3-brane are added subject to the global tadpole constraint or the global conservation of RR five-form \mathcal{F}_5 flux

$$N_{\text{D3}} - N_{\overline{\text{D3}}} + \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2 T_3} \int_{\text{M}} \mathcal{H}_3 \wedge \mathcal{F}_3 - \frac{\chi(\text{X})}{24} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\kappa_{10}^2 = (2\pi)^7(\alpha')^4 g_s^2/2$ is the 10-dimension Planck scale and $T_3 = 1/(2\pi)^3(\alpha')^2 g_s$ is the 3-brane tension. The Euler number of the CY fourfold $\chi(\text{X})$ gives the effective negative D3-brane charge in IIB of O3-planes and D7-branes wrapped on 4-cycles of M. This is must be balanced by the charge from 4-dimension space-filling D3/ $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes, the wrapped NSNS and RR 3-form fluxes \mathcal{H}_3 and \mathcal{F}_3 , which also source \mathcal{F}_5 .

Following KKLT [9], non-perturbative corrections $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_0 + \mathcal{A}e^{-a\rho}$ fix the overall Kähler modulus, and result in a stable, supersymmetric AdS minimum Λ_{AdS} for the volume modulus $\rho = \sigma + i\alpha$ at finite and moderately large values of volume. Then $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes are added to yield a uplift to a meta stable dS vacuum. This added $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes can be balanced in tadpole condition (1) by turning on more fluxes.

The $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes break supersymmetry and bring an additional term for the potential of volume modulus σ ,

$$\mathcal{V} = \Sigma_i 2p_i \beta_i^4 T_3 \quad (2)$$

In Planck unite, $T_3/m_p^4 \sim 1/V_6^2 \sim 1/\sigma^3$ [17], where V_6 is the warped volume of compactification manifold, while the redshift factor can be related to the deformation parameter z of the conifold tip and is given by $\beta^4 \sim \sigma \exp(-\frac{8\pi K}{3g_s M})$, thus Eq. (2) gives a term $\sim 1/\sigma^2$, which induces to a uplift of AdS minimum. The background fluxes M, K are respectively

given by

$$M = \frac{1}{4\pi^2\alpha'} \int_A \mathcal{F}_3, \quad K = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2\alpha'} \int_B \mathcal{H}_3 \quad (3)$$

where the A cycle is the S^3 which stays finite at the tip and the B cycle is the six-dimensional dual of A. To minimize their energy, the $\overline{D3}$ -branes have to migrate to the apex of throats, so the energy density per $\overline{D3}$ -brane depends on the fluxes. The i in Eq. (2) labels the different throats. Given the large number of 3-cycles in a typical CY manifold, each of which can carry nontrivial fluxes, the existence of many throats should be quite generic. In the backgrounds with multi throats the discreteness density of vacua will increase dramatically. By adjusting the fluxes in each individual throat, one may tune \mathcal{V} with greater accuracy. Thus for sufficiently fine-tuned parameters, the additional term (2) in the potential $\mathcal{V}(\sigma)$ might lift the AdS global minimum to a observed local dS minimum. More $\overline{D3}$ -branes can be further added for an uplift to more high energy dS states. The cosmological applications of multi throats have been considered in Ref. [23, 24, 25] for various aims.

$\overline{D3}$ Dark Energy- We start with many wandering $D3/\overline{D3}$ -branes in the bulk. $\overline{D3}$ -branes feel a net radial force proportional to the 5-form flux \mathcal{F}_5 , $F_r(r) = -2T_3\mathcal{F}_5(r)$ and will be driven to the IR ends of different throats. This force is a sum of gravitation and 5-form contributions. For $D3$ -branes, both terms cancel. Thus though during random transfer some of $D3/\overline{D3}$ -branes may annihilate each other, many $\overline{D3}$ -branes accumulate rapidly near the apex of different throats may be still expected from a generic initial distribution of $D3/\overline{D3}$ -branes. These accumulated $\overline{D3}$ -branes can further attract $D3$ -branes in the bulk, which under certain conditions results in the acceleration of observable universe.

The inflation of early universe may occur in a throat with weakly warped factor, inflation throat, in which the $D3$ -branes in the bulk will be driven and move towards to $\overline{D3}$ -branes near the IR end of inflation throat. The $D3/\overline{D3}$ -branes after $D3/\overline{D3}$ -brane inflation annihilate by the tachyon condensation and their energy is released into the Standard Model degrees of freedom of observable universe, see Ref. [25] for a warped reheating. The universe after reheating might have a KKLT-like vacuum with its value equal to observed cosmological constant and will experience successive periods of radiation, matter and dark energy domination following the evolution of standard cosmology.

The motion of $D3$ -branes during radiation/matter domination can be different from that during $D3/\overline{D3}$ -brane inflation. From the 4-dimension effective viewpoint, the rolling of a scalar field can be expected to be frozen when the Hubble parameter during radiation/matter




FIG. 1: The illustration of $D\bar{D}$ dark energy in CY space. $\bar{D}3$ -branes are placed in the apex of throats, while some D3-branes wander in the bulk. Initially D3-branes will be driven and move towards to $\bar{D}3$ -brane in inflation throat, after D3/ $\bar{D}3$ -branes annihilation, the relic D3-branes will be attracted by $\bar{D}3$ -branes in dark energy throat, and rapidly move out of the inflation throat and into the dark energy throat, after which the motion of D3-branes is frozen by the expansion of radiation/matter-dominated universe and be relaxed until $D\bar{D}$ potential starts to dominate the universe.

domination is larger than the effective mass of this scalar field. Thus similarly in this sense, the motion of D3-branes towards $\bar{D}3$ -branes can become important only after their potential starts to dominate the universe at late time. after which these D3-branes will be relaxed and driven to annihilate $\bar{D}3$ -branes near the apex of throat with more strongly warped factor, dark energy throat. This dark energy source is called as $D\bar{D}$ dark energy here. dS minimum after the annihilation of $\bar{D}3$ -branes, which plays the role of uplifting AdS minimum to dS's, will subside, see Fig.1 for an illustration.

The potential between a D3-brane and p $\bar{D}3$ -branes in a warped background takes the form

$$\mathcal{V}(r) = 2p\beta^4 T_3 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\pi^3} \frac{\kappa_{10}^2 \beta^4 T_3}{r^4}\right) \quad (4)$$

where r is the distance between D3 and $\bar{D}3$ -branes, β is the redshift factor $\sim \exp(-\frac{2\pi K}{g_s M})$ and is denoted by r_0/R in Ref. [11], and for conventions, $(1/2\pi^3)\kappa_{10}^2 T_3 = R^4/N = 4\pi g_s(\alpha')^2$ has been set.

Implementing the change $\varphi = \sqrt{T_3}r$, which makes the kinetic term canonical, the potential (4) can be written as

$$\mathcal{V}(\varphi) = 2p\beta^4 T_3 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{\beta^4 T_3}{\varphi^4}\right) \quad (5)$$

where $\kappa_{10}^2 T_3^2 = \pi$ has been used. For an uplift to the observed value of cosmological constant,

$$\Lambda(\varphi) = \mathcal{V}(\varphi) - |\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}| \simeq \Lambda_0 \quad (6)$$

is required. To make D3-brane not to have an opportunity to annihilate $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -brane up to today, the condition

$$\frac{\Lambda''}{h_0^2} \sim m_p^2 \frac{\Lambda''(\varphi)}{\Lambda_0} \sim \frac{20p}{\pi^2} \frac{\beta^8 m_p^2 T_3^2}{\Lambda_0 \varphi^6} \simeq 1 \quad (7)$$

must be satisfied, where $h_0^2 \sim \Lambda_0/m_p^2$ is the Hubble parameter at present with Λ_0 being the cosmological constant and $m_p = T_3 V_6^{1/2}/\sqrt{\pi}$ being 4-dimension Planck scale. Eq. (7) gives

$$\frac{20p}{\pi^3} \frac{\beta^8 T_3}{\Lambda_0} \simeq \frac{r^6}{V_6} < 1 \quad (8)$$

where the reason of latter inequality is that two branes can not be separated by a distance larger than the volume of compactification manifold. Further checks can give more severe constraint. For $|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}| \gg \Lambda_0$, $2p\beta^4 T_3 \simeq |\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}|$ (for $|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}| \simeq \Lambda_0$, $2p\beta^4 T_3 \simeq \Lambda_0$ is suitable for replacing) can be obtained, thus

$$\beta^4 \simeq \frac{|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}|}{2pT_3} \quad (9)$$

Instituting (9) into (8), we have

$$p > \frac{5}{\pi^3} \frac{|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}|^2}{T_3 \Lambda_0} \quad (10)$$

Thus for $5|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}|^2 \leq \pi^3 T_3 \Lambda_0$, to uplift AdS minimum only one or several $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes are enough, while for $5|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}|^2 \gg \pi^3 T_3 \Lambda_0$, a large number of $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -brane will be required, see the upper right panel of Fig.2 for a description. Noticed that the potential (4) for a D3-brane and p $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes is valid only for small p , while for enough large p , the correction to background will become important.

In small p limit, we calculate the decay time T of $\text{D}\overline{\text{D}}$ dark energy, during which D3-brane moves from the bulk to the vicinity of $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes placed in the apex of dark energy throat, and after which the tachyon appears and condenses. In principle, all D3-branes in the bulk during D3/ $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -brane inflation will be attract into inflation throat, and after which the relic D3-branes will move from inflation throat into dark energy throat. Generally the warped




FIG. 2: In the upper right panel, the x-axis is $\frac{|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}|}{\sqrt{T_3 \Lambda_0}}$, and the y-axis is p . The solid is the lower limit of p , the integral points above which are the value of p making the inequality (10) valid. In the lower left panel, the x-axis is $\frac{|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}|}{\sqrt{T_3 \Lambda_0}}$, and the y-axis is $\frac{T}{T_0}$. The solid is the upper limit of $\frac{T}{T_0}$,

factor of inflation throat is more weak than that of dark energy throat, which will make the attraction force between D3/ $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes more strong and the motion of D3-brane more fast. Thus the time of exiting from inflation throat, compared with the time of staying in dark energy throat, may be neglected. Two points may be further considered for this calculation, during radiation/matter domination, the motion of D3-brane is nearly frozen by the expansion of universe, which provides a initial value for time integral, while when the slow rolling conditions are broke down, D3-brane will move towards to $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes rapidly, thus the majority of the moving time may be expected from the slow rolling process of D3-brane, in which

$$3h\dot{\varphi} + \Lambda'(\varphi) \simeq 0 \quad (11)$$

can be satisfied, where $h \equiv \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter. Thus

$$T \simeq \int dt \simeq - \int \frac{3h}{\Lambda'(\varphi)} d\varphi \quad (12)$$

Instituting $h^2 \simeq h_0^2 = \Lambda_0/3m_p^2$ and Eq. (5) into Eq. (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T &\simeq - \int \frac{\pi^3}{4\sqrt{3}p} \frac{\sqrt{\Lambda_0}}{m_p \beta^8 T_3^2} \varphi^5 d\varphi \\ &= \frac{\pi^3}{24\sqrt{3}p} \frac{\sqrt{\Lambda_0} T_3}{m_p \beta^8} r^6 \Big|_{r_0} \\ &< \frac{\pi^3 \sqrt{\pi}}{24\sqrt{3}p} \frac{\sqrt{\Lambda_0} V_6^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\beta^8} \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \frac{\sqrt{\Lambda_0} V_6^{\frac{1}{2}}}{p \beta^8} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $T_3 V_6^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\pi} m_p$ and $r^6 < V_6$ has been used. Further β can be cancelled by Eq. (6), we obtain

$$T < \mathcal{O}(1) \frac{p T_3^2 V_6^{\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda_0^{1/2}}{|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}|^2} \quad (14)$$

For a compare, defining $T_0 \simeq 1/h_0 = \sqrt{3} m_p / \sqrt{\Lambda_0}$ as the present age of observable universe, we have

$$\frac{T}{T_0} < \frac{\pi^3}{6} \frac{p \Lambda_0 T_3}{|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}|^2} \quad (15)$$

Thus for $5|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}|^2 \leq \pi^3 T_3 \Lambda_0$, the decay time of $\text{D}\overline{\text{D}}$ dark energy will be many times larger than the age of universe, while for $5|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}|^2 > \pi^3 T_3 \Lambda_0$, $\text{D}\overline{\text{D}}$ dark energy will decay in a cosmological age. The lower left panel of Fig.2 after combining (10) and (15) is plotted, in which only lower limit of p is considered, *i.e.* for $x \geq \pi^3/6$, $p = 1$ is taken and for $x \leq \pi^3/6$, p is approximately $(5/\pi^3)x^2$. For more larger value of p , the solid line of Fig.2 will rise, and thus the decay time will more long.

Discussion- In KKLT-like compactification, many $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes are placed in different throats and required to implement the uplifts from AdS minimum to dS minimum. For a generic initial distribution, some D3-branes after D3/ $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -brane inflation may be left. These relic D3-branes may be driven to annihilate $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes with the role of uplifting AdS minimum to dS' near the apex of dark energy throat.

We study this process in this note. The main point is that the motion of D3-branes can be frozen during radiation/matter domination, which may be realized by placing $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes in a very strongly warped throat, dark energy throat, in which $\Lambda'' < h_0^2$ is ensured by a very small warped factor. But the parameter spaces for the number p of $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes and the energy scale V_{AdS} of AdS minimum are not arbitrary. We find that only for $5|\Lambda_{\text{AdS}}|^2 \leq \pi^3 T_3 \Lambda_0$, one or several $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes are suitable for uplift, while for a larger Λ_{AdS} , more $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes will be required, otherwise D3/ $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes will annihilate more early, we can not observe $\text{D}\overline{\text{D}}$ dark energy. However, in a model with multi dark energy throats, one may look for other uplifting modes of using $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes to relax above conditions, for example, firstly uplift AdS minimum to another AdS's with lower absolute value by placing some $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes in a throat with a weakly warped factor, then uplift this new AdS minimum to dS's by same step instead with a strongly warped factor. But a weakly warped factor can lead to a more strong attraction, D3-branes will be firstly driven into throats with weakly warped factors and annihilate $\overline{\text{D3}}$ -branes in these throats. Thus this uplift mode can not help for the appearance of $\text{D}\overline{\text{D}}$ dark

energy .

The universe with $D\bar{D}$ dark energy will inevitably lead to a sharp change in the value of dark energy in the future, either reducing it or leading to a catastrophic decay to AdS (a negative cosmological constant will generally induce a future Big Crunch [26], see also Ref. [27] for cycle with different AdS minima.). The decay of $D\bar{D}$ dark energy is faster than that of decompactification to 10-dimension Minkowski vacua [9] and NS5-brane mediated decay [17, 18]. For a large Λ_{AdS} , the decay time after $D\bar{D}$ dark energy domination will be smaller than the present age of universe. Thus $D\bar{D}$ dark energy provides a more rapid channel inducing to the subsiding of dS vacuum with observed value. Further, it may be more interesting to compare $D\bar{D}$ dark energy model with current observational constraints on cosmic doomsday [28].

Finally, of course one could also easily design some models which evade this decay channel, for example by simply not having relic D3-branes after D3/ $\bar{D}3$ -brane inflation, as in KKLT [9]. Then $\bar{D}3$ -branes playing the role of uplifting can not pull something down to cause an annihilation. Thus in this case one of the other decay channels to a large radius or a different flux vacuum will have to occur.

Acknowledgments The author would like to thank Andrew Frey, Shamit Kachru for helpful comments, and Henry Tye for criticisms. This work is supported in part by K.C. Wang Postdoc Foundation, also in part by NNSFC under Grant No: 10405029.

-
- [1] C.L. Bennett, et al., astro-ph/0302207.
 - [2] D.N. Spergel, et al., *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* 148, 175 (2003).
 - [3] A.G. Riess, et al., *Astrophys. J.* 607, 665 (2004).
 - [4] S. Hellerman, N. Kaloper, and L. Susskind, *JHEP* 0106 (2001) 003.
 - [5] L. Dyson, M. Kleban, and L. Susskind, *JHEP* 0210 (2002) 011.
 - [6] T. Banks, W. Fischler, and S. Paban, *JHEP* 0212 (2002) 062.
 - [7] N. Goheer, M. Kleban, and L. Susskind, hep-th/0212209.
 - [8] S.B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, *Phys. Rev. D* 66, 106006 (2002).
 - [9] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and S.P. Trivedi, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** 046005 (2003).
 - [10] C.P. Burgess, R. Kallosh and F. Quevedo, *JHEP*, 0310, 056 (2003)

- [11] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. Maldacena, L. McAllister and S.P. Trivedi, JCAP 0310 (2003) 013.
- [12] G. Dvali, S.H.H. Tye, Phys.Lett. B450 (1999) 72, see Ref. [13] for some relevant works.
- [13] C. P. Burgess, M. Majumdar, D. Nolte, F. Quevedo, G. Rajesh and R. J. Zhang, JHEP 0107 (2001) 047; G. R. Dvali, Q. Shafi and S. Solganik, hep-th/0105203; A. Mazumdar, S. Panda and A. Perez-Lorenzana, Nucl. Phys. B614 (2001) 101; J. Garcia-Bellido, R. Rabadan and F. Zamora, JHEP 0201 (2002) 036; N. Jones, H. Stoica and S. H. Tye, JHEP 0207 (2002) 051; M. Gomez-Reino and I. Zavala, JHEP 0209 (2002) 020; C. P. Burgess, P. Martineau, F. Quevedo, G. Rajesh and R. J. Zhang, JHEP 0203 (2002) 052; C. Herdeiro, S. Hirano and R. Kallosh, JHEP 0112 (2001) 027; K. Dasgupta, C. Herdeiro, S. Hirano and R. Kallosh, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 126002; Y.S. Piao, R.G. Cai, X. Zhang and Y.Z. Zhang, Phys.Rev. D66 (2002) 121301; F. Koyama, Y. Tachikawa and T. Watari, hep-th/0311191.
- [14] for inflation occurring in a warped throat, see (possible incomplete list) S. Buchan, B. Shlaer, H. Stoica and S. H. H. Tye, hep-th/0311207; L. Pilo, A. Riotto and A. Zaffaroni, hep-th/0401004; E. Halyo, hep-th/0402155; M.R. Garousi, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 043536. M. Becker, G. Curio and A. Krause, Nucl. Phys. B693 (2004) 223; O. DeWolfe, S. Kachru and H. Verlinde, JHEP 0405 (2004) 017; C. P. Burgess, J. M. Cline, H. Stoica and F. Quevedo, hep-th/0403119; J. Raeymaekers JHEP 0410 (2004) 057; P. Chingangbam, S. Panda and A. Deshamukhya, hep-th/0411210.
- [15] R. Bousso and J. Polchinski, JHEP **0006**, 006 (2000).
- [16] J.L. Feng, J. March-Russell, S. Sethi and F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. **B602**, 307 (2001).
- [17] S. Kachru, J. Pearson and H. Verlinde, JHEP 0206 (2002) 021.
- [18] A.R. Frey, M. Lippert and B. Williams, Phys. Rev. D68, (2003) 046008.
- [19] J.P. Hsu, R. Kallosh and S. Prokushkin, hep-th/0311077.
- [20] H. Firouzjahi and S.H.H. Tye, hep-th/0312020.
- [21] J.P. Hsu and R. Kallosh, hep-th/0402047.
- [22] C. Vafa, Nucl. Phys. B469, 403 (1996).
- [23] N. Iizuka and S. Trivedi, Phys. Rev. D70, 043519 (2004).
- [24] X. Chen, hep-th/0408084; hep-th/0501184.
- [25] N. Barnaby, C.P. Burgess and J.M. Cline, hep-th/0412040.
- [26] G. Felder, A. Frolov, L. Kofman and A. Linde, Phys. Rev. **D66** 023507 (2002).

[27] Y.S. Piao, Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 101302.

[28] Y. Wang, J.M. Kratochvil, A. Linde and M. Shmakova, astro-ph/0409264.