

A new analytical method for self-force regularization I. scalar charged particle in Schwarzschild spacetime

Wataru H ikida¹, San'jay Jhingan², Hiroyuki Nakano³, Norichika Sago^{4;5}, M isao Sasaki¹, Takahiro Tanaka⁴

¹Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

²Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad del País Vasco, Apdo. 644, 48080, Bilbao, Spain

³Department of Mathematics and Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, Osaka 558-8585, Japan

⁴Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

⁵Department of Earth and Space Science, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka 560-0043, Japan

We formulate a new analytical method for regularizing the self-force acting on a particle of small mass orbiting a black hole of mass M , where $M \gg m$. At first order in m/M , the geometry is perturbed and the motion of the particle is affected by its self-force. The self-force, however, diverges at the location of the particle, and hence should be regularized. It is known that the properly regularized self-force is given by the tail part (or the R -part) of the self-field, obtained by subtracting the direct part (or the S -part) from the full self-field. The most successful method of regularization proposed so far relies on the spherical harmonic decomposition of the self-force, the so-called mode-sum regularization or mode decomposition regularization. However, except for some special orbits, no systematic analytical method for computing the regularized self-force has been given. In this paper, utilizing a new decomposition of the retarded Green function in the frequency domain, we formulate a systematic method for the computation of the self-force. Our method relies on the post-Newtonian (PN) expansion but the order of the expansion can be arbitrarily high. To demonstrate the essence of our method, in this paper, we focus on a scalar charged particle on the Schwarzschild background. The generalization to the gravitational case is straightforward, except for some subtle issues related with the choice of gauge (which exists irrespective of regularization methods).

I. INTRODUCTION

We are now at the dawn of gravitational wave astronomy/astrophysics. The interferometric gravitational wave detectors, LIGO [1], TAMA300 [2] and GEO 600 [3] are currently in the early stage of their operations, and VIRGO [4] is expected to be in operation soon. Furthermore, R&D studies of a space-based interferometer project, LISA [5], are in rapid progress. These interferometers, after their nominal sensitivity goals are achieved, are expected to detect gravitational waves from compact star binaries or compact stars orbiting supermassive black holes.

To fully utilize the information contained in the observed gravitational wave data, particularly for the purpose of a precision test of general relativity, it is essential to have accurate theoretical predictions of the waveforms [6]. For nearly equal mass binaries, the standard PN approximation is a powerful tool to compute the waveforms [7]. An alternative method of computing the waveforms is the black hole perturbation approach [8-13]. This approach is very effective, in particular, when the mass ratio of the objects composing a binary is extremely large. In this paper, concerned with the cases of such extreme mass ratios, we propose a new method for calculating the corrections to the force acting on the small mass body (which is treated as a point-particle) that are induced by the field generated by the particle itself, the so-called self-force corrections.

In the black hole perturbation approach, one may appeal to the energy-angular momentum balance argument to evaluate the radiation reaction to the orbit of a particle, namely, by equating the rates of change of the energy and angular momentum of a particle with those carried away by the gravitational waves emitted by the particle. However, the balance argument will not be sufficient. First, the radiation reaction to the Carter constant is not calculable by this method. The Carter constant is the third constant of motion of a test particle in the Kerr spacetime. The other two constants of motion, the energy and angular momentum with respect to the symmetry axis, are associated with the Killing vectors of the background spacetime, and their rates of change may be evaluated by the gravitational waves emitted to infinity or absorbed to the black hole horizon. In contrast, there is no correspondence to any such Killing vector in the case of the Carter constant. Hence, its rate of change is not directly related to the waves emitted. Second, and most importantly, the balance argument can give only the time-averaged rates of change of the two constants of motion, while there are many situations in which the knowledge of the actual radiation reaction force as well as the so-called conservative part of the self-force at each instant of the orbital motion becomes necessary.

Consider a particle having either a scalar, electromagnetic or gravitational charge. The orbital motion of the particle will induce a field at first order in its charge, and the motion will be affected by its self-field. The self-field is, however, divergent at the location of the particle. Hence, the force due to this self-field is apparently ill-defined. It is known that the self-force in the vicinity of the particle may be decomposed into the so-called direct part and the tail part, and that the correctly regularized self-force is given by the tail part. The justification of this prescription is given in [14] for the scalar and electro-magnetic cases, and in [15, 16] for the gravitational case.

In the scalar case, the Klein-Gordon equation is hyperbolic from the very beginning. In the electromagnetic and gravitational cases, the field equations can be put in the hyperbolic form by choosing the Lorenz gauge (it is often called the harmonic gauge in the gravitational case). In general, for a hyperbolic equation, the retarded Green function $G^{\text{ret}}(x; x^0)$ can be split into two distinct pieces (at least locally when the two points, namely, the field point x , and the source point x^0 , are close enough), which is called the Hadamard form. One part has support only on the future light-cone of x^0 , and the other part is supported in the interior of the future light-cone of x^0 . The former gives the direct part and the latter the tail part. Recently an equivalent but more elegant decomposition of the Green function was proposed [17], in which the direct part is replaced by the S-part and the tail part by the R-part. The S-part is defined by adding a piece that has support outside the light-cone in such a way that it does not contribute to the self-force when it is subtracted from the full field. The remaining part is called the R-part, which now has support outside the light-cone as well. The advantage of this new decomposition is that the S-part is symmetric with respect to x and x^0 , and it satisfies the same equation as the retarded Green function does. This implies that the R-part now satisfies the source-free, homogeneous equation.

Thus our task is to evaluate the tail or R-part of the field and the self-force due to this part. However, we do not have any systematic method to compute the R-part directly. In contrast, there exist several schemes to compute the full retarded field in Schwarzschild or Kerr spacetime [13, 18, 21]. Therefore, what one can do is to subtract the direct or S-part from the full field to compute the regularized self-force, and there have been many discussions on this method [22, 28].

Since both the full field and the S-part diverge at the location of the particle, it is necessary to develop a regularization scheme to compute the difference between the two. The most successful scheme of regularization that has emerged is the mode decomposition (or mode-sum) regularization [22, 23]. The full field can be decomposed into partial waves by using the spherical harmonics $Y_m(\cdot)$. Then, the contribution to the force from each l -mode does not diverge in the coincidence limit of the field point with the location of the particle. If we subtract the S-part from the full field before taking summation over l , the divergence disappears. Hence, we can perform summation over l to obtain the expression for the regularized force.

There still remain several problems to be solved in actual computations. Since the S-part can be calculated only in the form of a local expansion, that is, at field points sufficiently close to a point on the orbit, it is necessary to extend it over a sphere containing the orbital point to obtain the spherical harmonic coefficients. On the other hand, the full field is calculated with either Regge-Weeler-Zerilli or Teukolsky formalism, which heavily relies on the Fourier decomposition of the time-dependence by taking full advantage of the stationarity of the background spacetime, in addition to the spherical (or spheroidal in the Kerr case) harmonic decomposition. Thus, at least in analytic computations, the full field may be calculated in the frequency domain. Therefore, the descriptions of the full field and the S-part are very different, and taking the difference between the two is not at all straightforward.

As for the S-part, a method of its harmonic decomposition was developed in the Schwarzschild case [22, 27], and it was recently extended to the case of the Kerr background [28]. But there is still a mismatch: The full field is given in the frequency domain, while the S-part is in the time domain. If the orbit is specified a priori, it is in principle possible to obtain the full field in the time domain by explicitly performing the integration (or summation) over the frequency ω . But, in practice, the explicit integration over ω is possible only in some special cases such as the case of circular orbits [29, 30], though, as an alternative approach, one may numerically work in the time domain from the beginning [31].

In this paper, we propose a new method to perform the integration over ω . Though we employ the PN expansion, the order of the expansion can be arbitrarily high as long as it is finite, assuming the convergence of the PN expansion. For simplicity, we also restrict our analysis to the case of a geodesic orbit on the background spacetime, but removing this restriction is straightforward (of course, the equations become much more lengthy). Furthermore, we focus on the case of a scalar charge in order to avoid the gauge problem. There is a subtle problem associated with the choice of gauge in the gravitational case [32, 33], but our method for integration over ω is equally applicable to the gravitational case irrespective of this problem.

The key idea of the new approach developed in this paper is to separate the retarded Green function in the frequency domain into two distinct pieces, in analogy with the S-R decomposition in the space-time domain. We call them the S-part and the R-part. The former contains all the singular terms to be subtracted, while the latter satisfies the source-free, homogeneous equation. In particular, once the PN order is specified, contribution from only a finite number of l is necessary to evaluate the R-part. The most important point of this new decomposition is that the S-part in the frequency domain is given in the form of a simple Taylor series with respect to ω multiplied by $\exp[-i\omega(t-t^0)]$. Therefore, the integration over ω can be performed easily for such terms. They just produce $(t-t^0)$ and its derivatives. Using this technique we may obtain the S-part in the time domain relatively easily. Then, the regularization is done by subtracting the S-part from thus obtained S-part in the time domain.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe our new decomposition of the retarded Green function in the frequency domain and show some of the formulas explicitly to 3PN order in the scalar field case. In Sec. III,

focusing on the scalar case, we demonstrate our regularization method. Namely, we first integrate the force due to the S -part over ℓ to obtain the harmonic modes of the force in the time domain, subtract the S -part mode by mode, and sum over ℓ to obtain the force due to the $(S - S)$ -part. We will not discuss the R -part since it is finite from the beginning. Final section IV is devoted to conclusion and discussions. Some formulas to 3PN order and proofs of several propositions used in the text are given in Appendices. For readers' convenience, formulas for 4PN order calculations are placed at the web page: <http://www2.yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~misao/BHPC/>.

II. NEW DECOMPOSITION OF THE GREEN FUNCTION IN FREQUENCY DOMAIN

We consider a point scalar charge q moving in the Schwarzschild background,

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2); \quad (2.1)$$

where $x^\mu = (t; r; \theta; \phi)$; $g_{\mu\nu}$ are the Schwarzschild coordinates, and M is the black hole mass. The full scalar field induced by this charged particle is given, using the retarded Green function, as

$$\hat{h}^{\text{full}}(x) = q \int_{\mathcal{Z}} G^{\text{full}}(x; z(\ell)); \quad (2.2)$$

where ℓ is the proper time of the particle, and $G^{\text{full}}(x; x^0)$ satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation

$$\square_r G^{\text{full}}(x; x^0) = -\frac{\delta^{(4)}(x - x^0)}{g}; \quad (2.3)$$

with the retarded boundary condition. The full Green function is represented in terms of the Fourier-harmonic decomposition as

$$G^{\text{full}}(x; x^0) = \sum_{\ell} \frac{d^\ell}{2} e^{-i\ell(t-t^0)} \int_{\mathcal{X}} g_{\ell}^{\text{full}}(r; r^0) Y_\ell(\theta; \phi) Y_\ell(\theta^0; \phi^0); \quad (2.4)$$

Here $Y_\ell(\theta; \phi)$ are ordinary spherical harmonics. Then, Eq. (2.3) reduces to an ordinary differential equation for the radial Green function as

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2(r-M)}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} - \frac{2M}{r} \right] g_{\ell}^{\text{full}}(r; r^0) = \frac{1}{r^2} \delta(r - r^0); \quad (2.5)$$

The radial part of the full Green function is expressed in terms of homogeneous solutions of Eq. (2.5), which can be obtained by a systematic analytic method developed in Ref. [18], as

$$g_{\ell}^{\text{full}}(r; r^0) = \frac{1}{W_{\ell}(\ell; \text{in}; \text{up})} \left[\text{in}(r) \text{up}(r^0) (r^0 < r) + \text{up}(r) \text{in}(r^0) (r < r^0) \right];$$

$$W_{\ell}(\ell; \text{in}; \text{up}) = r^2 \left[\frac{d}{dr} \text{up}(r) \text{in}(r) - \frac{d}{dr} \text{in}(r) \text{up}(r) \right]; \quad (2.6)$$

Here the in-going and up-going homogeneous solutions are denoted, respectively, by in and up , and ℓ is called the renormalized angular momentum [18, 19], which is equal to ℓ in the limit $M \rightarrow 0$.

We express the homogeneous solutions in and up in terms of the solutions c_+ and c_-^{-1} , which are expressed in terms of a series of the Coulomb wave functions [13, 18], as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{in} &= c_+ + c_-^{-1}; \\ \text{up} &= c_+ - c_-^{-1}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The properties and the relations of the coefficients $f_{\ell}^{\pm}; g_{\ell}^{\pm}; h_{\ell}^{\pm}$ have been discussed extensively in Ref. [18, 19] (function c_{\pm} is denoted by R_{\pm} in Ref. [18]). Here we need to stress a remarkable property of the wave function c_+ , which becomes manifest when we consider the PN expansion, i.e., when c_+ is expanded in terms of $z := r$ and $\ell := 2M\ell$ assuming they are small, respectively, of $O(v)$ and $O(v^2)$. In the expression of its PN expansion,

$\mathcal{G}_c = (2z)$ contains only terms which are in integer powers of z and ν , and there are no terms like $\log z$. In fact, we find that this condition, that $\log z$ is absent, uniquely specifies a single solution of the radial homogeneous equation. As is explained in Appendix A, this fact can be used to compute simultaneously determining the eigenvalue ν . Furthermore, this PN expansion turns out to be a double Taylor series expansion in z^2 and $\nu = z$. That is, there appears only positive powers of ν^2 in the expansion. With the normalization that $\nu = 1$ in the leading order, the result up to 3PN order is

$$\begin{aligned} &= 1 - \frac{z^2}{2(2\nu+3)} - \frac{\nu}{2z} + \frac{z^4}{8(2\nu+3)(2\nu+5)} + \frac{(\nu^2 - 5\nu - 10)z}{4(2\nu+3)(\nu+1)} + \frac{\nu(\nu-1)^2}{4(2\nu-1)z^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{z^6}{48(2\nu+3)(2\nu+5)(2\nu+7)} - \frac{(3\nu^3 - 27\nu^2 - 142\nu - 136)z^3}{48(2\nu+3)(2\nu+5)(\nu+1)(\nu+2)} - \frac{\nu(\nu-1)(\nu-2)^2}{24(2\nu-1)z^3}; \\ &= \nu - \frac{15\nu^2 + 15\nu - 11}{2(2\nu-1)(2\nu+1)(2\nu+3)} \nu^2; \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

The solution \mathcal{G}_c^{-1} can be obtained by the replacement $\nu \rightarrow -\nu - 1$. The Wronskian of \mathcal{G}_c and \mathcal{G}_c^{-1} becomes

$$W_{\nu}(\mathcal{G}_c; \mathcal{G}_c^{-1}) = \frac{2\nu+1}{2} + \frac{496\nu^6 + 1488\nu^5 + 1336\nu^4 + 192\nu^3 - 757\nu^2 - 605\nu + 338}{16(2\nu-1)^2(2\nu+1)(2\nu+3)^2} + O(\nu^{12}); \quad (2.9)$$

We note, however, that the general expression for the PN expansion of \mathcal{G}_c^{-1} with this method (i.e., by requiring absence of the $\log z$ terms) becomes invalid at the $(\nu-1)$ th PN order. For small ν , the computation must be done following the original method given in Ref. [18]. The results up to $\nu = 4$, which is necessary to keep the terms up to 3PN order, are given in Appendix E.

We now divide the Green function into two parts,

$$g_{\nu}^{\text{full}}(r; r^0) = g_{\nu}^S(r; r^0) + g_{\nu}^R(r; r^0); \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$g_{\nu}^S(r; r^0) = \frac{1}{W_{\nu}(\mathcal{G}_c; \mathcal{G}_c^{-1})} \mathcal{G}_c(r) \mathcal{G}_c^{-1}(r^0) - \mathcal{G}_c^{-1}(r) \mathcal{G}_c(r^0); \quad (2.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\nu}^R(r; r^0) &= \frac{1}{(1 - \dots) W_{\nu}(\mathcal{G}_c; \mathcal{G}_c^{-1})} \sim \mathcal{G}_c(r) \mathcal{G}_c^{-1}(r^0) + \mathcal{G}_c^{-1}(r) \mathcal{G}_c(r^0) \\ &\quad + \dots \mathcal{G}_c(r) \mathcal{G}_c(r^0) + \dots \mathcal{G}_c^{-1}(r) \mathcal{G}_c^{-1}(r^0); \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Here we have assumed that $\nu \ll 0$ and $\nu \ll 0$, and also introduced the coefficients $f_{\sim}; \sim g = f = ; = g$. Using the result obtained in Ref. [18], we find that the coefficients $f_{\sim}; \sim g$ behave under PN expansion as

$$\langle f_{\sim} \rangle = O(\nu^{6+3}); \quad \langle \sim g \rangle = O(\nu^6); \quad \langle f = \rangle = O(\nu^6); \quad \langle = g \rangle = (1)^{\nu+1}; \quad (2.13)$$

The functions \mathcal{G}_c and \mathcal{G}_c^{-1} are, respectively, of $O(\nu^6)$ and $O(\nu^{-1})$ (except for $\nu = 0$; see Appendix E). Therefore, the three terms in the R-part of the Green function become, respectively, of $O(\nu^6)$, $O(\nu^{2+1})$ and $O(\nu^{4+1})$ relative to the S-part.

The part that we need to take care in the regularization of the force is just the S-part. There is no divergence associated with the remaining R-part. The R-part terminates at finite ν as far as a finite PN order is concerned. Moreover, the R-part satisfies the homogeneous radial equation. This fact will be an additional advantage of the present method when we consider extension to the case of gravity. Since the R-part is a homogeneous solution, we can apply Chrzanowski's method [20] to reconstruct the metric perturbations even in the frequency domain. We will discuss this point in more detail in a separate paper.

III. COMPUTATION OF THE S-PART

We compute now the force due to the S-part for a general orbit. When expanded in terms of the spherical harmonics, the force corresponding to the S-part (the S-force) is known to take the form [23]

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow z_0} F^S = A L + B + D \nu; \quad (3.1)$$

where F^S is the ℓ -mode of the S -force, $L = \ell + 1 = 2$, and A and B are independent of L . When summed over ℓ , the A -term gives rise to a quadratic divergence and the B -term diverges linearly. For large ℓ , $D^{-\ell}$ is at most of $O(L^{-2})$, hence contains no divergence. Since the S -part is calculable only locally, its extension to the whole sphere has some ambiguity. As a result, the coefficient of each ℓ -mode, $D^{-\ell}$, depends on the way of extension, but the result after summation over ℓ , which is determined by the local behavior of the field near the source location, does not. It is known that

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} D^{-\ell} = 0; \quad (3.2)$$

The difference between the S -force and the S' -force should be finite because the R -force is finite. Thus, in general, the S' -force must take the same form as the S -force

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow z_0} F^{S'} = A L + B + D^{-\ell}; \quad (3.3)$$

Below we confirm explicitly that both A and B for the S' -force coincide with those for the S -force. Then the force due to the S' -part minus the S -part, which is finite, is given by

$$F^{S'-S} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow z_0} F^{S'} - F^S = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} D^{-\ell}; \quad (3.4)$$

A. Force in the time domain

To obtain an expression for the S' -force in the form of Eq. (3.3), it is necessary to perform the ℓ integration explicitly. Here the key fact is that there appears no fractional power of ℓ in the S' -part. This is because we have chosen c_{ℓ} and $c_{\ell-1}$ as the two independent basis functions. As noted before, except for the overall fractional powers z and z^{-1} , they contain only the terms with positive integer powers of ℓ^2 . When we consider a product of these two functions, ℓ contained in the overall factors z and z^{-1} just produces ℓ^{-1} which is canceled by ℓ from the inverse of the Wronskian. Namely, $g_{\ell}^S(r; r^0)$ is expanded as

$$g_{\ell}^S(r; r^0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \ell^{2k} G_{\ell k}(r; r^0); \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore, the integration over ℓ can be performed easily, as we shall demonstrate below explicitly. The Fourier transform of ℓ^{2n} simply produces

$$\int d\ell \ell^{2n} e^{i\ell(t-t^0)} = 2(-1)^n \partial_t^{2n} \delta(t-t^0);$$

Differentiation of the delta function in the expression above can be integrated by parts to act on the source term. Thus, we can express the S' -force in the time domain as

$$F^{S'} = c^2 P_{\ell} \sum_{m,jk} \lim_{x \rightarrow z(t)} (1)^k (\partial_t)^{2k} \frac{d}{dt} G_{\ell k}(r; z^r(t)) Y_{\ell m}(\hat{r}; \hat{r}') Y_{\ell m}(z(t); z'(t)); \quad (3.6)$$

Here we have inserted a projection tensor $P_{\ell} = \delta_{\ell\ell} + u_{\ell} u_{\ell}$ with u_{ℓ} being the four velocity so that the normalization $u_{\ell} u_{\ell} = 1$ is maintained. Now that we have the S' -force given in the time domain, the meaning of the coincidence limit $r \rightarrow z^r(t)$ is transparent.

Here one can set $z(t) = z^r(t)$ without loss of generality. Noting that $\partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{r}; \hat{r}') = \partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{r}; \hat{r}')$, and $\partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{r}; \hat{r}') = \partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{r}; \hat{r}')$, summation over m can be done by using the formulas

$$\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{r}; \hat{r}')^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\ell+1} \binom{\ell}{j} & \text{for } j = \text{even;} \\ 0 & \text{for } j = \text{odd;} \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{r}; \hat{r}') = \partial_{\ell} Y_{\ell 0}(\hat{r}; \hat{r}') = 0; \quad (3.7)$$

where $g_{(n)}^{(+)}$ is a polynomial function of r of order $n + 1$ defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{2n} = \frac{2^{l+1}}{4} e^{2z} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{l}{2}; l+1; 1 - e^{-2z}\right); \quad (3.8)$$

B. Separation of the A-term

Before performing the operation discussed in the preceding subsection, we separate the A-term from the other contributions. This can be easily done by using the fact that only the A-term has a jump in its values at the coincidence limit, depending on the direction from which one approaches the source point. We divide the S-part Green function into the symmetric and anti-symmetric parts as

$$g_{\text{sym}}^S(x; r^0) = g_{\text{sym}}^{S(+)}(x; r^0) + \text{sgn}(x - r^0) g_{\text{sym}}^{S(-)}(x; r^0); \quad (3.9)$$

where $\text{sgn}(y) = \pm 1$ for $y \gtrless 0$, and

$$g_{\text{sym}}^{S(+)}(x; r^0) = \frac{1}{2W_{\text{sym}}(c; c^{-1})} \omega_c(x) \omega_c^{-1}(r^0) \omega_c^{-1}(x) \omega_c(r^0); \quad (3.10)$$

Then the force due to the anti-symmetric part must coincide exactly with the A-term. We know that the A-term for the S-part has a simple form proportional to L , while the expression for the S-force given in terms of the Green function looks more complicated. The reason why such a simple result for the A-term is recovered is explained in Appendix B. We concentrate now on the symmetric part, which is responsible for the B and D-terms.

C. The (S-S)-part of the force

Up to 3PN order, the results of computation of the S-part of the force are given explicitly in Appendix D. To obtain the expressions presented there, we used the first integrals of the geodesic equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dz^r(t)}{dt} &= \pm \frac{2M}{z^r(t)} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{E^2} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{z^r(t)} \pm \frac{L^2}{z^r(t)^2}\right)}; \\ \frac{dz'(t)}{dt} &= \frac{L}{E} \frac{1}{z^r(t)^2} \pm \frac{2M}{z^r(t)}; \quad \frac{d}{dt} = \frac{E}{1 - 2M/z^r(t)}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where E and L are, respectively, the energy and the angular momentum of the particle, and we reduced higher derivatives with respect to t by using the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 z^r(t)}{dt^2} &= \frac{2M}{z^r(t)^2 (1 - 2M/z^r(t))} \frac{dz^r(t)}{dt} \pm \frac{2M}{z^r(t)^2} \frac{M}{z^r(t)^2 E^2} + (z^r(t) - 3M) \frac{dz'(t)}{dt}; \\ \frac{d^2 z'(t)}{dt^2} &= \frac{2M}{z^r(t)^2 (1 - 2M/z^r(t))} \frac{2}{z^r(t)} \frac{dz^r(t)}{dt} \frac{dz'(t)}{dt}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

As long as we neglect corrections which are higher order in λ , we can assume that the orbit is monotonically geodesic. Here we find that the S-part force is solely written in terms of the orbit at the location of the particle (that is, no tails!). Hence, for the correction at the lowest order in λ , the force coming from the (S-S)-part is also written in terms of the position and the velocity of the particle. We do not have any terms with a positive power of λ , as is expected. However, this cancellation looks rather miraculous in the present formulation. In Appendix C, we give a brief explanation why the terms in positive powers of λ are absent. From the asymptotic behavior for large λ we can read off the coefficients B_n . These coefficients agree with the results obtained previously. As mentioned earlier, a separate treatment is necessary for small λ . The radial functions for $\lambda \ll 4$ are given in Appendix E. The S-part of the force from these lower λ modes is also explicitly shown there.

The summation over λ is performed by using the formulas

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n} = 2^{2n-1} \frac{B_n}{(2n)!}; \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)2n} = 2^{2n-1} (2^{2n}-1) \frac{B_n}{(2n)!}; \quad (3.13)$$

where n is a positive integer, and B_n is the Bernoulli number defined by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} + \frac{x}{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{(2n)!} x^{2n} \quad (3.14)$$

The reason why odd powers of x do not arise is as follows. If we have a term like

$$\frac{x^k}{(\lambda + k - 2)^n};$$

then, from the symmetry under $\lambda \rightarrow (\lambda + 1)$, we also have a term

$$\frac{x^k}{(\lambda - 1 + k - 2)^n};$$

where k is an integer. If n is odd, these two contributions are combined to leave a summation of finite terms. Hence, there remains no infinite summation of odd power terms in the final expression.

After performing summation over λ , we finally obtain

$$F_t^{S^2} = \frac{q^2 u^r}{4 r_0^2} X^3 C_t^{(n)} (E)^n; \quad F_t^{S^1} = 0; \quad F_t^{S^0} = \frac{q^2 u^r L}{4 r_0^2} X^2 C_t^{(n)} (E)^n; \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$F_r^{S^2} = \frac{E}{u^r (1 - 2M/r_0)} F_t^{S^2} - \frac{L}{u^r r_0^2} F_t^{S^1}; \quad (3.16)$$

where $r_0 = z^r(t_0)$, $E = 1 - E^2$ and we have used the relation $u F_t^{S^1} = 0$. The coefficients $C_t^{(n)}$ and $C_t^{(n)}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_t^{(0)} &= \frac{73}{133} + \frac{59590}{31521} U + \frac{18112}{10507} U^2 + \frac{1707952144915294}{25009456280445} + \frac{7}{4} U^3 + \frac{282}{1501} + \frac{59116}{253669} U \\ &+ \frac{122513312775814}{1667297085363} + \frac{105}{64} U^2 \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} + \frac{5579893}{1775683} \frac{291581166}{32479265} U \frac{L^4}{r_0^4} + \frac{16156048}{1301367} \frac{L^6}{r_0^6}; \\ C_t^{(1)} &= \frac{610}{31521} + \frac{20571064}{26635245} U + \frac{244692415685084}{8336485426815} + \frac{21}{32} U^2 + \frac{14127898}{8878415} + \frac{508295808}{2565861935} U \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} \\ &+ \frac{22584903396}{2565861935} \frac{L^4}{r_0^4}; \\ C_t^{(2)} &= \frac{2296958}{8878415} + \frac{48448379368}{89805167725} U + \frac{43471970326}{17961033545} \frac{L^2}{r_0^2}; \quad C_t^{(3)} = \frac{115291414894}{269415503175}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C_t^{(0)} &= \frac{960}{10507} + \frac{2403368}{761007} U + \frac{231720301397372}{8336485426815} + \frac{21}{32} U^2 + \frac{33594}{1775683} + \frac{965295376}{513172387} U \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} \\ &+ \frac{86499760}{39474799} \frac{L^4}{r_0^4}; \\ C_t^{(1)} &= \frac{23145656}{26635245} + \frac{1182191716}{2565861935} U + \frac{94186639}{2565861935} \frac{L^2}{r_0^2}; \quad C_t^{(2)} = \frac{46189522292}{53883100635}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$U = \frac{M}{r_0}; \quad (3.19)$$

Once we obtain the general expression for the (S^S) -part of the force, computation of the remaining R -part is rather easy, since only terms up to a finite ℓ contribute to the force for a given PN order.

Now we discuss a technical but important property of the S -part of the Green function. The two independent radial functions at the leading order in M are given by the spherical Bessel functions $j_\ell(z)$ and $n_\ell(z)$. Both $h_{\ell c}^{-1}$ and $h_{\ell c}^{-1}$ are given by linear combinations of these two independent solutions. Up to $O(M^0)$, we have $h_{\ell c}^{-1} / j_\ell(z)$ while $h_{\ell c}^{-1} / n_\ell(z) = C_\ell + O(M^1)$, where C_ℓ is a constant of order unity. Here, in passing, we note that the leading term of $h_{\ell c}^{-1}$ in the PN expansion comes not from the term $j_\ell(z)$ but from $n_\ell(z)$ for $\ell \geq 2$. This is because the ratio of the two terms is $j_\ell(z) = (n_\ell(z)) / z^{2\ell+2} = O(v^{2\ell+2})$ in the PN expansion. At first glance, the existence of the term $j_\ell(z)$ seems problematic, since it would naively lead to a term in the Green function that behaves as $1/M$. Collecting the leading terms in M , we find that the Green function has a term proportional to $1/M$ of the form,

$$\frac{1}{M} \sum_{\ell} \sum_{m} \int dt e^{i\ell(t-t^0)} j_\ell(r) j_\ell(r^0) Y_{\ell m}(\theta) Y_{\ell m}^*(\theta^0); \quad (3.20)$$

This expression is nothing but the radiative Green function in Minkowski space except for an additional multiplicative factor $1/M$. Therefore, after summation over ℓ and m , and integration over t , we find that this part is

$$\int dt \frac{(t-t^0)^{\ell} j_\ell(x^0)}{j_\ell(x^0)} \frac{(t-t^0)^{\ell} j_\ell(x^0)}{j_\ell(x^0)} = \frac{(t-t^0)^{\ell} j_\ell(x^0)}{j_\ell(x^0)} \frac{(t-t^0)^{\ell} j_\ell(x^0)}{j_\ell(x^0)}.$$

At the lowest order in M , the trajectory of a particle is a straight line in the Minkowski background. Since the above expression for the leading part of the Green function is Lorentz invariant, we can choose the straight line to be a static one without loss of generality. Then it is easy to see that the component of the field proportional to $1/M$ is constant. Hence, this part does not contribute to the force.

Another important property of the force due to the (S^S) -part is that it contains only the conservative part of the force. To show this, we use the fact that the equations of motion take the form of $u^r =$ (even in u^r) and $E = L = 0$ at leading order in M ; see Eqs. (3.11) and (3.12). Here an over-dot represents differentiation with respect to t . Recalling the general expression for the S -force in Eq. (3.6), we see that the t -component contains an odd number of time derivatives $\partial^{2k+1} = \partial t^{2k+1}$, the r -component an even number of time derivatives plus one radial derivative $\partial^{2k+1} = (\partial t^{2k} \partial r)$, and the θ -component an even number of time derivatives plus one θ derivative $\partial^{2k+1} = (\partial t^{2k} \partial \theta)$. Now, using the equations of motion, the t derivative may be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} &= \underline{z}^r(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^r} + \dot{z}^r(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^r} + \underline{z}'(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z'} \\ &= \underline{z}^r(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^r} + \dot{z}^r(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^r} \quad \text{im } \underline{z}'(t); \end{aligned}$$

and the θ derivative by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = + \text{im } :$$

Notice that one differentiation with respect to t or θ changes the total power of u^r and m by an odd number, while a differentiation with respect to r does not. Notice also that only the terms even in m remain after summation over m . Therefore the ℓ -mode of the S -force takes the form

$$F_t^S = F_t(r; E; L) u^r; \quad F_r^S = F_r(r; E; L); \quad F_\theta^S = F_\theta(r; E; L) u^r; \quad (3.21)$$

The S -part of the force is known to have the exactly same form. This implies that the (S^S) -part of the force also takes the same form. Thus, after summation over ℓ , we conclude that the final form of the (S^S) -part of the force is

$$F_t^{S^S} = F_t(r; E; L) u^r; \quad F_r^{S^S} = F_r(r; E; L); \quad F_\theta^{S^S} = F_\theta(r; E; L) u^r; \quad (3.22)$$

We can now explicitly show that the above form of the force implies absence of dissipative reaction effect. Namely, the force is conservative. The equations of motion to $O(M^2)$ are given by

$$\frac{D}{d} \alpha = F; \quad (3.23)$$

where α is the perturbed four velocity and $D = d$ is the covariant derivative. Then, we have the evolution equation for the perturbed energy $E = \hat{t} \alpha$ as

$$\frac{dE}{d} = \frac{D}{d} (\hat{t} \alpha) = \hat{t} F = F_t(r) \frac{dr}{d}; \quad (3.24)$$

where $\hat{t} = (\partial_t)$ is the timelike Killing vector. This equation is integrated to give

$$E = E + \int_r F_t(r) dr; \quad (3.25)$$

Here E is an integration constant, which we can interpret as the unperturbed energy. In the same manner, for the perturbed angular momentum L , we obtain

$$L = L + \int_r F_r(r) dr; \quad (3.26)$$

Thus we find that there is no cumulative effect on the evolution of the energy and angular momentum of the particle. In other words, the force of the form (3.22) preserves presence of constants of motion E and L . Concerning the radial motion, α^r may be expressed in terms of $\alpha_t = E$ and $\alpha_r = L$ by using the normalization condition of the four velocity,

$$\alpha^r = \frac{h}{E^2} (1 - 2M/r) (1 + L^2/r^2)^{1/2}; \quad (3.27)$$

Thus, α^r is written as a function of r .

IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSIONS

The present work is an attempt towards a more realistic (calculation effective) analytic scheme for constructing orbits, taking into account the radiation reaction effects. The key idea proposed in this paper is a new decomposition of the Green function into the S and R -parts, given in Eq. (2.10). This new decomposition relies on the systematic analytic approach to the black hole perturbation developed in Refs. [13, 18, 19]. The new decomposition is not identical to the usual S and R decomposition [17], but has common properties. The S -part is singular and symmetric, and it satisfies the same inhomogeneous equation as the Green function. The R -part is regular, and it satisfies the source free equation. Considering a scalar charged particle, we showed that the S -part of the self-force can be evaluated analytically in the time domain, and that it yields the same regularization parameters A and B in the mode-decomposition regularization as the usual S -part. This means that the S -part contains all the singular behavior of the original S -part. Also, we showed that the self-force due to the $(S - S)$ -part is conservative. Moreover, we found that the R -part of the force valid up to the ($\ell + 0.5$)th Post-Newtonian (PN) order can be obtained by taking account of only the spherical harmonics modes up to the ℓ -th order.

The analysis here was restricted to the self-force due to a scalar field for its simplicity. The extension to the electromagnetic case is straightforward, although the computation of the force from the master variable of perturbations [11] becomes more tedious. The gravitational case, however, is more complicated since the self-force is gauge dependent. In the scalar case, as is manifest from our calculation, the computation of the S -part was in fact unnecessary if we made use of the known fact that the non-singular part of the regularization parameter D_ℓ for the S -part vanishes after summing over ℓ modes. By virtue of this fact, the simple prescription of subtracting A and B terms is valid in this case. In the case of gravity, before subtracting the S -part from the S -part of the force, we need to adjust the gauges which are originally different. A natural prescription for this is to transform the S -part, originally given in Lorenz gauge, to the gauge in which the S -part is computed. Due to this gauge transformation, the parameter D_ℓ , as well as the other regularization parameters will be altered. Then the contribution to the self-force from D_ℓ will not vanish in general. This needs to be studied in more details.

Related to the gauge dependence of the gravitational self-force, there arises a conceptual question. What kind of gauge-independent notions are contained in this, otherwise gauge-dependent, quantity? There are several constants of motion for geodesics on the background black hole spacetime. These constants of motion evolve after we incorporate the self-force. However, the secular change of "the constants of motion" has a gauge-invariant meaning. It may be evaluated by merely taking account of the force due to the R -part, or we can simply use the radiative Green function [34]. In addition to the information on the constants of motion, the self-force may contain other gauge-invariant information. This question needs to be answered, although it is not a problem specific to our present

method. We may find that the physical information contained in the gauge-dependent self-force by itself is very limited. Even if it is the case, calculation of the self-force will be a necessary step to develop a black hole perturbation theory to second order in mass of the orbiting particle.

One of the main advantages in our method is the successful implementation of a systematic post-Newtonian expansion technique in the black hole perturbation. Although we gave results up to 3PN order (4PN is available at link <http://www2.yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~misao/BHPC/>), the method can be easily extended to any desired PN order, depending upon computational resources.

There could be a criticism to our approach in regard to the limitation of PN expansion itself. The black hole perturbation is considered to be a method complementary to the standard post-Newtonian approximation. In this sense, one might think that there is no point in using PN expansion in the black hole perturbation approach. However, we should stress the point that the PN expansion of the perturbation in the black hole spacetime can be systematically extended to an arbitrarily high order without any conceptual difficulties. Hence, if we can make use of this advantage, problems far beyond the validity of the finite order standard post-Newtonian approximation can be investigated.

In an actual computation, the achievable PN order will be limited. Then the question will be the speed of convergence of PN expansion. In this respect, there are a couple of encouraging evidences. First, we mention the issue of the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO). At present, calculation of the orbital frequency at ISCO up to 3PN order is available [35]. The result is in rather good agreement with numerical estimation for an equal-mass binary [36]. Second, we mention the energy loss rate of a particle in a circular orbit in the Schwarzschild black hole evaluated from the asymptotic waveform [12]. Although the convergence of PN expansion gets slower and slower for a smaller orbital radius, there is no evidence of failure of convergence even at ISCO [37]. Hence, if we can develop a systematic method of evaluating the gravitational self-force in PN expansion, its range of validity will be very wide.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Y. Mino and T. Nakamura for useful discussions. We also thank all the participants of the 6th Capra meeting and the Post Capra meeting at Yukawa Institute, Kyoto University (YITP-W-03-02). HN is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists, No. 5919. SJ acknowledges support under a Basque govt postdoctoral fellowship. This work was supported in part by Monbukagakusho Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Nos. 14047212, 14047214 and 12640269, and by the Center for Gravitational Wave Physics, PSU, which is funded by NSF under Cooperative Agreement PHY 0114375.

APPENDIX A: EASY WAY TO FIND ℓ FOR SUFFICIENTLY LARGE ℓ

Consider a radial function $\psi(r)$ which solves

$$\frac{d^2 \psi}{dr^2} + \frac{2(r-M)}{r^2} \frac{d\psi}{dr} + \left[\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} - \frac{2M}{r} \right] \psi = 0. \quad (\text{A1})$$

As long as we consider sufficiently large ℓ , this radial wave function can be completely specified up to an overall normalization by the requirement that $\psi = (2z)^{-\ell}$ does not contain $\log z$ in its PN power series expansion with respect to z^2 and z . The same condition simultaneously determines the renormalized angular momentum ℓ . In fact, the equation for ψ becomes

$$z^2 \partial_z^2 \psi + 2z(\ell+1) \partial_z \psi = -\frac{2}{z} \left(2 - \frac{z}{z} \right) z^2 \partial_z^2 \psi + \frac{n}{z} (4 + 3) \frac{z}{z} (2 + 1) z \partial_z \psi + (\ell - 1)(\ell + 1) \frac{1}{z} z^2 + \frac{1}{z} \frac{1}{z} z^2 : \quad (\text{A2})$$

The right-hand side is of higher order in the PN expansion. Substituting Taylor expansion of ψ with respect to z and z^2 to the above equation, the coefficients are determined order by order. However, the z -independent terms in ψ vanish on the left-hand side. Therefore, the terms which becomes zeroth order in z should also vanish on the right-hand side. This condition determines ℓ order by order. If, however, ℓ is not sufficiently large, the terms proportional to $z^{-2\ell-1}$ arise. For such terms the left-hand side is suppressed by a factor of $O(z^{-2})$. Hence, the iteration scheme is invalidated for small ℓ , and we need to go back to the original method given in Ref [18].

APPENDIX B: A-TERM

We consider here the A-term extracted from the S-part. As we have explained in the main text, the A-term corresponds to a jump of the field. Therefore, it is given by the antisymmetrized Green function

$$g_{m!}^{S(\cdot)}(r; r^0) = \frac{1}{2W_{m!}(\cdot)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right)^m V(r) \Big|_{r=r^0} \quad (B1)$$

We introduce a new function $\tilde{r} = r - 2M$, which satisfies

$$\partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{\tilde{r}} \partial_r V(\tilde{r}) = 0; \quad (B2)$$

$$V(\tilde{r}) = 1 - \frac{2M}{\tilde{r}} - \frac{(\tilde{r} + 1)}{\tilde{r}^2} + \frac{2(r - M)}{\tilde{r}^3}; \quad (B3)$$

where $r = \tilde{r} + 2M \ln(\tilde{r} + 2M - 1)$. The Wronskian is written in terms of \tilde{r} and \tilde{r}^0 as

$$W_{m!}(\cdot) = \frac{d}{d\tilde{r}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}} \right)^m V(\tilde{r}) \Big|_{\tilde{r}=\tilde{r}^0} - \frac{d}{d\tilde{r}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}} \right)^m V(\tilde{r}^0) \Big|_{\tilde{r}=\tilde{r}^0} \quad (B4)$$

We expand the function $g_{m!}^{S(\cdot)}(r; r^0)$ in a power series with respect to $\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}^0$ as

$$g_{m!}^{S(\cdot)}(r; r^0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(r^0) (\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}^0)^n; \quad (B5)$$

$$g_n(r^0) = \frac{1}{n!} \left. \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \tilde{r}^n} g_{m!}^{S(\cdot)}(r; r^0) \right|_{\tilde{r}=\tilde{r}^0} \quad (B6)$$

The higher derivatives of ∂_r in $g_n(r^0)$ can be reduced by using Eq. (B2). Hence, either one or zero \tilde{r} -derivative remains in the end. Setting $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}^0$, the terms with no \tilde{r} -derivative vanish while the terms with single derivative yield the Wronskian, which cancel the denominator. As a result, we obtain a rather simple expression for g_n . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \frac{1}{2r^{02}}; \\ g_2 &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r^0} - \frac{1}{4r^{03}}; \\ g_3 &= \frac{1}{12r^{02}} \left(\frac{1}{r^0} + V(r^0) \right) - 1 - \frac{2M}{r^0} - \frac{r^0 - 3M}{r^{03}}; \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Note that only even positive integer powers of $!$ appear. So, let us consider terms proportional to $!^{2N}$ for a given N . Since the factor $!^{2N}$ arises only from elimination of the $2N$ derivatives, and only a single derivative can remain at the end of the calculation, $!^{2N}$ may be contained only in $g_n(r^0)$ with $n = 2N + 1$. Conversely, this means

$$\begin{aligned} g_{2N}(r^0) (\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}^0)^{2N} &= \sum_{n=0}^{2N-1} !^{2n} a_n(r^0) (\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}^0)^{2N}; \\ g_{2N+1}(r^0) (\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}^0)^{2N+1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{2N} !^{2n} b_n(r^0) (\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}^0)^{2N+1}; \end{aligned} \quad (B7)$$

where $a_n(r^0)$ and $b_n(r^0)$ are independent of $!$. We replace $!$ with a time derivative, which acts on $r^0 = z^x(t)$. The force is calculated by once differentiating the potential. Hence, the term proportional to g_{2N} vanishes in the coincidence limit because it contains $2N - 1$ derivatives at most. As for the term g_{2N+1} , which contains $2N + 1$ derivatives, each derivative must act on each factor of $\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}^0$ in $(\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}^0)^{2N+1}$ to give a finite result. Therefore, in $g_{2N+1}(r^0)$, we only need to keep b_N in the coincidence limit. Namely, we can simplify the coefficients g_n as

$$g_{2N} = 0; \quad g_{2N+1} = \frac{1}{2r^{02} (2N + 1)!} (!^2)^N; \quad (B8)$$

Substituting this expression into Eq. (3.6), and summing over the modes, the anti-symmetric part of the force can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{,}^{S(-)} &= \frac{q^2 (2\ell + 1)}{4} \left[\int_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2E z^r(t)^2} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{z^r(t)} \right)^2 \frac{dz^r(t)=dt}{1 - 2M/z^r(t)} \right]^{2n} \\ &= \frac{q^2 L E \int_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^r(t) (z^r(t) - 2M)^2 (1 + L^2 = z^r(t)^2)}; \end{aligned} \quad (B 9)$$

where we have used the equation of motion, Eq.(3.11).

APPENDIX C : ABSENCE OF LARGE POWERS OF ℓ

We have $z = \ell r$ and $\ell = 2M/\ell$ which contain ℓ implicitly. This ℓ is replaced with a time differentiation, and produces m . Therefore, ℓ becomes naturally $O(\ell)$. In other words, we should regard $z = O(\ell)$. Therefore, by looking at the radial function given in Eq. (2.8), one might think that the final expression for the force would have terms with large positive powers of ℓ . We shall explain here why this is actually NOT the case.

Let us introduce \mathcal{Z} by

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_z dz = \int_r dr \ell \quad ; \quad (C 1)$$

Then the equation for \mathcal{Z} gives

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\ell^2}{z(z-\ell)} = \frac{z^2}{(z-\ell)^2} + \frac{(\ell)(\ell+1)}{z(z-\ell)} = \frac{2z}{z(z-\ell)} \quad \mathcal{Z} \ell^{1=2} \quad ; \quad (C 2)$$

From this expression, it is easily verified that

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_0 + \dots; \quad \mathcal{Z}_0 = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\ell^2}{z(z-\ell)} = \frac{z^2}{(z-\ell)^2} + \frac{(\ell)(\ell+1)}{z(z-\ell)} \quad ; \quad (C 3)$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_0 = O(\ell^0)$ and $\mathcal{Z}_z = O(\ell^{-1})$.

One can see that both $\int dz$ and $\int dz \ell^{-1}$ start with terms of $O(\ell)$, but these leading terms of $O(\ell)$ are independent of ℓ , because \mathcal{Z}_0 is independent of ℓ . Let us denote this ℓ -independent function by $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_0$; $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_0(r) = \mathcal{Z}_0(z)$. Then, the product of $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_0(r)$ and $\ell^{-1}(r^0)$, which appears in the Green function, becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (r; \ell) &= \int_z \mathcal{Z}_z \ell^{-1}(r^0; \ell) \\ &= \exp \int dz \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_0(r; \ell) + \int dz \ell^{-1}(r^0; \ell) \exp \int dr \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_0(r) + \int dr \ell^{-1}(r^0) \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_0(r) \quad ; \end{aligned}$$

Since the first exponential term on the right-hand side contains ℓ , it must be expanded in a Taylor series with respect to ℓ to perform the ℓ integration. The exponents, however, are at most of $O(\ell^0)$. Hence, the Taylor expansion does not produce any terms with large positive powers of ℓ . Since the second exponential term does not contain ℓ , we can keep it unexpanded. As a result of the ℓ integration, ℓ is replaced by a time derivative and r^0 by $z^r(t)$. Then, a time derivative acting on the second exponential term just produces a factor $(dz^r=dt) \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_0^{-1}(z^r)$, which is at most of $O(\ell^0)$. In the end, we will take the coincidence limit. We find that the two exponents in the second exponential term cancel with each other. Therefore, there remains no term with large positive powers of ℓ .

APPENDIX D : $S^{(+)}$ -PART OF THE FORCE FOR GENERAL ℓ

Here, we present formulas for the $S^{(+)}$ -part of the force up to 3PN order, for $\ell \leq 5$. The force takes the form

$$F_{t^i}^{S^{(+)}}(\ell \leq 5) = \frac{q^2 u^r X^3}{4 r_0^2} K_t^{(n)}(E)^n; \quad F_{,}^{S^{(+)}} = 0; \quad F_{,}^{S^{(+)}}(\ell \leq 5) = \frac{q^2 u^r L X^2}{4 r_0^2} K_{,}^{(n)}(E)^n; \quad (D 1)$$

and

$$F_{r, \nu}^{S^{(+)}} = \frac{E}{u^r (1 - 2M/r_0)} F_{t, \nu}^{S^{(+)}} - \frac{L}{u^r r_0^2} F_{, \nu}^{S^{(+)}}; \quad (D 2)$$

where $E = 1 - 1/E^2$. The coefficients $K_t^{(n)}$ and $K_{, \nu}^{(n)}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_t^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{U}{1} + 36(5584 \nu^6 + 16752 \nu^5 + 18584 \nu^4 + 9248 \nu^3 - 10343 \nu^2 - 12175 \nu + 5425) \frac{U^3}{4} \\ &+ \frac{3}{2} (\nu^2 + \nu - 1) \frac{1}{1} + 6(\nu + 6)(\nu - 5) \frac{U}{2} \\ &+ 45(2368 \nu^8 + 9472 \nu^7 + 7600 \nu^6 - 10352 \nu^5 - 26916 \nu^4 - 25528 \nu^3 + 4033 \nu^2 + 13493 \nu - 2520) \frac{U^2}{4} \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} \\ &+ \frac{15}{8} (9 \nu^4 + 18 \nu^3 - 29 \nu^2 - 38 \nu + 12) \frac{1}{2} - \frac{9}{4} (55 \nu^4 + 110 \nu^3 + 2423 \nu^2 + 2368 \nu + 9030) \frac{U}{3} \frac{L^4}{r_0^4} \\ &+ \frac{35}{8} (25 \nu^6 + 75 \nu^5 - 230 \nu^4 - 585 \nu^3 + 457 \nu^2 + 762 \nu - 180) \frac{L^6}{3 r_0^6}; \\ K_t^{(1)} &= (\nu^2 + \nu - 1) \frac{1}{1} - 2(\nu^2 + \nu + 3) \frac{U}{2 r_0} \\ &+ 18(5168 \nu^6 + 15504 \nu^5 + 15688 \nu^4 + 5536 \nu^3 - 8781 \nu^2 - 8965 \nu + 4200) \frac{U^2}{4 r_0^2} \\ &+ 3 \nu (\nu + 1) (\nu^2 + \nu - 3) \frac{1}{2} + 12(\nu^4 + 2 \nu^3 + 212 \nu^2 + 211 \nu + 735) \frac{U}{3 r_0} \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} \\ &+ \frac{45}{4} \nu (\nu - 1) (\nu + 2) (\nu + 1) (3 \nu^2 + 3 \nu - 25) \frac{L^4}{3 r_0^4}; \\ K_t^{(2)} &= 3(\nu^4 + 2 \nu^3 - 4 \nu^2 - 5 \nu + 3) \frac{1}{2} - 6(\nu^4 + 2 \nu^3 + 2 \nu^2 + \nu - 15) \frac{U}{3} + 9 \nu (\nu + 1) (\nu^2 + \nu - 5)^2 \frac{L^2}{3 r_0^2}; \\ K_t^{(3)} &= 5(2 \nu^6 + 6 \nu^5 - 22 \nu^4 - 54 \nu^3 + 65 \nu^2 + 93 \nu - 45) \frac{1}{3}; \end{aligned} \quad (D 3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K_{, \nu}^{(0)} &= 3(\nu^2 + \nu - 1) \frac{1}{1} + 2(\nu^2 + \nu + 75) \frac{U}{2} \\ &+ 18(5168 \nu^6 + 15504 \nu^5 + 15688 \nu^4 + 5536 \nu^3 - 8781 \nu^2 - 8965 \nu + 4200) \frac{U^2}{4} \\ &+ \frac{3}{2} \nu (\nu + 1) (5 \nu^2 + 5 \nu - 24) \frac{1}{2} + 18(\nu^4 + 2 \nu^3 + 121 \nu^2 + 120 \nu + 350) \frac{U}{3} \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} \\ &+ \frac{15}{4} \nu (\nu - 1) (\nu + 2) (\nu + 1) (7 \nu^2 + 7 \nu - 75) \frac{L^4}{3 r_0^4}; \\ K_{, \nu}^{(1)} &= \frac{5}{2} (\nu^2 + \nu + 3) \frac{1}{2} + 27(19 \nu^2 + 19 \nu - 35) \frac{U}{3} - \frac{9}{4} (\nu + 1) (\nu^2 + \nu + 160) \frac{L^2}{4}; \\ K_{, \nu}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{8} (80 \nu^4 + 160 \nu^3 + 187 \nu^2 + 107 \nu - 1155) \frac{1}{3}; \end{aligned} \quad (D 4)$$

where the constants a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 are

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 1; \\ a_n &= a_{n-1} (2 \nu - 2n + 1) (2 \nu + 2n + 1); \quad n \geq 1; \\ a_4 &= a_{1-3} (\nu - 1) (\nu + 2) (2 \nu + 1)^2; \end{aligned} \quad (D 5)$$

APPENDIX E: LOWER ν MODES

1. wave functions and eigenvalues

For small ν , we must compute the wave functions $\psi = (2z)^{-\nu} c$ and $\psi^{(1)} = (2z)^{-\nu+1} c^{(1)}$, and the eigenvalue λ , following the original method given in Ref. [18]. We write down ψ ; $\psi^{(1)}$; and the Wronskian of c and $c^{(1)}$ for $\nu = 4$ up to 3PN, except for $\nu = 0$. This is because the S-part of the Green function contains the term multiplied by $\psi^{(1)}$ and, for $\nu = 0$, $\psi^{(1)}$ has the term of order $1-\nu^2$ so 4PN terms are necessary in order to keep 3PN order for the Green function.

For $\nu = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{7}{9} \frac{7z^2}{54} + \frac{7}{27z} + \frac{7z^4}{1080} + \frac{14z}{27} + \frac{7z^2}{54z^2} + \frac{z^6}{6480} + \frac{203z^3}{3240} + \frac{7601z^2}{11340} + \frac{7z^3}{81z^3} + \frac{z^8}{466560} \\
 &= \frac{48600}{2z} + 1 + \frac{45360}{z^3} + \frac{34020z}{z^5} + \frac{108z^4}{z^2} + \frac{23z^4}{7560} + \frac{2243z}{1080} + \frac{z^2}{3780} + \frac{z^9}{544320} \\
 &+ \frac{239z^6}{22680} + \frac{2419z^3}{9072} + \frac{z^2}{3} + \frac{z^3}{4z^3}; \\
 &= \frac{7}{6} z^2; \quad !W_{0m}!(c; c^{(1)}) = \frac{49}{162} + \frac{23263}{29160} z^2;
 \end{aligned} \tag{E1}$$

For $\nu = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 1 \frac{z^2}{10} + \frac{z^4}{2z} + \frac{7z}{280} + \frac{z^6}{15120} + \frac{151z^3}{5040} + \frac{5z^3}{76z^3} + \frac{168z^2}{361}; \\
 &= 1 \frac{10z^3}{19} + \frac{z^5}{19} + \frac{29z^2}{38} + \frac{z}{z} + \frac{z^6}{532} + \frac{9z^4}{152} + z + \frac{9z^2}{10z^2} + \frac{z^9}{28728} + \frac{169z^6}{19152} + \frac{14511743z^2}{17284680} \\
 &+ \frac{4z^3}{5z^3} + \frac{59z^2}{100}; \\
 &= 1 \frac{19}{30} z^2; \quad !W_{1m}!(c; c^{(1)}) = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{117443}{216600} z^2;
 \end{aligned} \tag{E2}$$

For $\nu = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 1 \frac{z^2}{14} + \frac{z^4}{504} + \frac{4z}{21} + \frac{z^2}{6z^2} + \frac{z^6}{33264} + \frac{z^3}{72} + \frac{17z^2}{36}; \\
 &= 1 \frac{14z^5}{237} + \frac{z^2}{6} + \frac{3}{2z} + \frac{z^7}{237} + \frac{191z^4}{1896} + \frac{7z}{12} + \frac{12z^2}{7z^2} + \frac{z^9}{8532} + \frac{49z^6}{11376} + \frac{1231z^3}{11376} + \frac{61z^2}{98} + \frac{25z^3}{14z^3}; \\
 &= 2 \frac{79}{210} z^2; \quad !W_{2m}!(c; c^{(1)}) = \frac{5}{2} + \frac{911}{17640} z^2;
 \end{aligned} \tag{E3}$$

For $\nu = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 1 \frac{z^2}{18} + \frac{3}{2z} + \frac{z^4}{792} + \frac{z}{9} + \frac{3z^2}{5z^2} + \frac{z^6}{61776} + \frac{181z^3}{23760} + \frac{z^3}{20z^3} + \frac{11z^2}{25}; \\
 &= 1 + \frac{10}{z^2} + \frac{5}{z} + \frac{z^4}{845} + \frac{z^2}{120} + \frac{13z}{30} + \frac{z^6}{9z^2} + \frac{7605z^9}{20197} + \frac{z^6}{121680} + \frac{2z^3}{45} + \frac{53z^2}{81} + \frac{10z^3}{3z^3}; \\
 &= 3 \frac{169}{630} z^2; \quad !W_{3m}!(c; c^{(1)}) = \frac{7}{2} + \frac{20197}{113400} z^2;
 \end{aligned} \tag{E4}$$

For $\nu = 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 1 \frac{z^2}{22} + \frac{2}{z} + \frac{z^4}{1144} + \frac{7z}{110} + \frac{9z^2}{7z^2} + \frac{z^6}{102960} + \frac{59z^3}{12870} + \frac{2z^3}{7z^3} + \frac{20z^2}{49}; \\
 &= 1 + \frac{14}{z^2} + \frac{5}{2z} + \frac{z^4}{280} + \frac{5z}{14} + \frac{z^2}{45z^2} + \frac{455175z^9}{22z^9} + \frac{5040z^6}{z^6} + \frac{17z^3}{720} + \frac{245z^3}{44z^3} + \frac{83z^2}{121}; \\
 &= 4 \frac{289}{1386} z^2; \quad !W_{4m}!(c; c^{(1)}) = \frac{9}{2} + \frac{154069}{426888} z^2;
 \end{aligned} \tag{E5}$$

2. The $S^{(+)}$ -part of the force

Here we write down the $S^{(+)}$ -part of the force for $\lambda = 4$. The force can be formally written as

$$F_t^{S^{(+)}} = \frac{q^2 u^r X^3}{4 r_0^2} K_t^{(n)} (E)^n; \quad F_r^{S^{(+)}} = 0; \quad F_r^{S^{(+)}} = \frac{q^2 u^r L X^2}{4 r_0^2} K_r^{(n)} (E)^n; \quad (E 6)$$

and

$$F_r^{S^{(+)}} = \frac{E}{u^r (1 - 2M/r_0)} F_t^{S^{(+)}} - \frac{L}{u^r r_0^2} F_r^{S^{(+)}}; \quad (E 7)$$

The coefficients $K_t^{(n)}$ and $K_r^{(n)}$ are given as follows.

For $\lambda = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_t^{(0)} &= \frac{11}{14} - \frac{2}{21}U - \frac{8}{7}U^2 - \frac{32}{7}U^3 + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{58}{7}U^2 \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} + \frac{61}{14} - 12U \frac{L^4}{r_0^4} + \frac{177}{14} \frac{L^6}{r_0^6}; \\ K_t^{(1)} &= \frac{16}{21} - \frac{4}{21}U - \frac{116}{35}U^2 + \frac{32}{35} + \frac{32}{7}U \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} - \frac{43}{7} \frac{L^4}{r_0^4}; \\ K_t^{(2)} &= \frac{4}{7} - \frac{386}{245}U + \frac{10}{7} \frac{L^2}{r_0^2}; \quad K_t^{(3)} = \frac{8}{35}; \\ K_r^{(0)} &= \frac{16}{7} + \frac{4}{3}U + \frac{8}{7}U^2 + \frac{12}{7} + \frac{24}{7}U \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} - \frac{29}{7} \frac{L^4}{r_0^4}; \\ K_r^{(1)} &= \frac{4}{105} - \frac{6}{35}U + \frac{6}{7} \frac{L^2}{r_0^2}; \quad K_r^{(2)} = \frac{52}{735}; \end{aligned} \quad (E 8)$$

For $\lambda = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_t^{(0)} &= \frac{29}{38} - \frac{214}{95}U - \frac{12}{19}U^2 - \frac{2054073004}{32408775}U^3 + \frac{333}{190} + \frac{1016}{95}U + \frac{191198579}{2160585}U^2 \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{243}{38} - \frac{72}{665}U \frac{L^4}{r_0^4} - \frac{1705}{38} \frac{L^6}{r_0^6}; \\ K_t^{(1)} &= \frac{46}{95} + \frac{88}{95}U - \frac{137836046}{3600975}U^2 + \frac{30}{19} + \frac{4280}{133}U \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} + \frac{393}{19} \frac{L^4}{r_0^4}; \\ K_t^{(2)} &= \frac{6}{133} - \frac{962}{133}U + \frac{1376}{665} \frac{L^2}{r_0^2}; \quad K_t^{(3)} = \frac{2162}{1995}; \\ K_r^{(0)} &= \frac{158}{95} - \frac{1268}{95}U - \frac{32324554}{1543275}U^2 + \frac{126}{95} - \frac{2048}{665}U \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} + \frac{2589}{133} \frac{L^4}{r_0^4}; \\ K_r^{(1)} &= \frac{121}{95} - \frac{846}{665}U - \frac{567}{95} \frac{L^2}{r_0^2}; \quad K_r^{(2)} = \frac{2117}{2660}; \end{aligned} \quad (E 9)$$

For $\lambda = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_t^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{901}{1659}U + \frac{4}{79}U^2 - \frac{602567809}{9580725}U^3 + \frac{1767}{1106} - \frac{16888}{1659}U - \frac{57295309}{425810}U^2 \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{15605}{1106} + \frac{1631797}{12166}U \frac{L^4}{r_0^4} + \frac{15115}{158} \frac{L^6}{r_0^6}; \\ K_t^{(1)} &= \frac{787}{1659} - \frac{2902}{1659}U - \frac{38991091}{1064525}U^2 + \frac{2160}{553} - \frac{318412}{2607}U \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} - \frac{23252}{869} \frac{L^4}{r_0^4}; \\ K_t^{(2)} &= \frac{173}{553} - \frac{39566}{6083}U - \frac{49416}{6083} \frac{L^2}{r_0^2}; \quad K_t^{(3)} = \frac{3697}{2607}; \\ K_r^{(0)} &= \frac{885}{553} + \frac{28946}{1659}U - \frac{79027673}{3193575}U^2 + \frac{2090}{553} - \frac{68788}{2607}U \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} - \frac{29700}{553} \frac{L^4}{r_0^4}; \end{aligned}$$

$$K',^{(1)} = \frac{8725}{3318} \frac{28263}{6083} U + \frac{109891 L^2}{6083 r_0^2}; \quad K',^{(2)} = \frac{58313}{145992}; \quad (\text{E } 10)$$

For $\nu = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_t^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{45} U + \frac{1683662482}{61486425} U^3 + \frac{11}{30} \frac{7276}{9295} U + \frac{547187138}{4099095} U^2 \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{53341}{11154} \frac{2536333}{18590} U \frac{L^4}{r_0^4} + \frac{622265 L^6}{11154 r_0^6}; \\ K_t^{(1)} &= \frac{11}{45} + \frac{23258}{83655} U + \frac{233826584}{20495475} U^2 + \frac{1812}{1859} + \frac{172004}{1859} U \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} + \frac{170 L^4}{169 r_0^4}; \\ K_t^{(2)} &= \frac{1693}{5577} \frac{890}{429} U + \frac{87292 L^2}{9295 r_0^2}; \quad K_t^{(3)} = \frac{83}{195}; \\ K',^{(0)} &= \frac{11}{15} \frac{198098}{83655} U + \frac{226453064}{20495475} U^2 + \frac{20694}{9295} + \frac{714772}{27885} U \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} + \frac{6966 L^4}{143 r_0^4}; \\ K',^{(1)} &= \frac{95567}{167310} + \frac{70423}{9295} U + \frac{150703 L^2}{9295 r_0^2}; \quad K',^{(2)} = \frac{87533}{60840}; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E } 11)$$

For $\nu = 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_t^{(0)} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{77} U + \frac{401482}{6243237} U^3 + \frac{57}{154} \frac{12}{1001} U + \frac{833553610}{601431831} U^2 \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{2139}{2002} \frac{580827}{222530} U \frac{L^4}{r_0^4} + \frac{119975 L^6}{7514 r_0^6}; \\ K_t^{(1)} &= \frac{19}{77} \frac{46}{5005} U + \frac{4700}{160083} U^2 + \frac{204}{1001} + \frac{285468}{206635} U \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} + \frac{809586 L^4}{206635 r_0^4}; \\ K_t^{(2)} &= \frac{909}{5005} \frac{54}{5005} U + \frac{713124 L^2}{1446445 r_0^2}; \quad K_t^{(3)} = \frac{21}{143}; \\ K',^{(0)} &= \frac{57}{77} + \frac{38}{1001} U + \frac{36207844}{46263987} U^2 + \frac{456}{1001} + \frac{85908}{41327} U \frac{L^2}{r_0^2} + \frac{323754 L^4}{22253 r_0^4}; \\ K',^{(1)} &= \frac{23}{2002} \frac{1525761}{1446445} U + \frac{4704732 L^2}{1446445 r_0^2}; \quad K',^{(2)} = \frac{682471}{2314312}; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E } 12)$$

-
- [1] LIGO web page: <http://www.ligo.caltech.edu/>
[2] TAM A 300 web page: <http://tam.ago.mtk.nao.ac.jp/>
[3] GEO 600 web page: <http://www.geo600.uni-hannover.de/>
[4] VIRGO web page: <http://www.virgo.infn.it/>
[5] LISA web page: <http://lisa.jpl.nasa.gov/>
[6] C. Cutler et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2984 (1993) [[arXiv:astro-ph/9208005](#)].
[7] L. Blanchet, Living Rev. Rel. 5, 3 (2002) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0202016](#)].
[8] T. Regge and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Rev. 108, 1063 (1957).
[9] F. J. Zerilli, Phys. Rev. D 2, 2141 (1970).
[10] S. Chandrasekhar, Mathematical Theory of Black Holes (Oxford University Press, 1983).
[11] S. A. Teukolsky, Astrophys. J. 185, 635 (1973).
[12] Y. Mino, M. Sasaki, M. Shibata, H. Tagoshi and T. Tanaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 128, 1 (1997) [[arXiv:gr-qc/9712057](#)].
[13] M. Sasaki and H. Tagoshi, Living Rev. Rel. (2003), to appear. [[arXiv:gr-qc/0306120](#)].
[14] B. S. Dewitt and R. W. Neuhoff, Annals Phys. 9, 220 (1960).
[15] Y. Mino, M. Sasaki and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D 55, 3457 (1997) [[arXiv:gr-qc/9606018](#)];
Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 128, 373 (1997) [[arXiv:gr-qc/9712056](#)].
[16] T. C. Quinn and R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D 56, 3381 (1997) [[arXiv:gr-qc/9610053](#)].
[17] S. Detweiler and B. F. Whiting, Phys. Rev. D 67, 024025 (2003) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0202086](#)].

- [18] S. M. ano, H. Suzuki and E. Takasugi, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 95, 1079 (1996) [[arXiv:gr-qc/9603020](#)]; *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 96, 549 (1996) [[arXiv:gr-qc/9605057](#)].
- [19] S. M. ano and E. Takasugi, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 97, 213 (1997) [[arXiv:gr-qc/9611014](#)].
- [20] P. L. Chrzanowski, *Phys. Rev. D* 11, 2042 (1975).
- [21] A. Ori, *Phys. Rev. D* 67, 124010 (2003) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0207045](#)].
- [22] Y. M. ino, H. Nakano and M. Sasaki, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 108, 1039 (2003) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0111074](#)].
- [23] L. Barack and A. Ori, *Phys. Rev. D* 61, 061502 (R) (2000). [[arXiv:gr-qc/9912010](#)].
- [24] H. Nakano, Y. M. ino and M. Sasaki, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 106, 339 (2001) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0104012](#)].
- [25] L. Barack, Y. M. ino, H. Nakano, A. Ori and M. Sasaki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 091101 (2002) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0111001](#)].
- [26] L. Barack and A. Ori, *Phys. Rev. D* 66, 084022 (2002) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0204093](#)].
- [27] L. Barack and A. Ori, *Phys. Rev. D* 67, 024029 (2003) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0209072](#)].
- [28] L. Barack and A. Ori, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 90, 111101 (2003) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0212103](#)].
- [29] S. Detweiler, E. Messaritaki and B. F. Whiting, *Phys. Rev. D* 67, 104016 (2003) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0205079](#)].
- [30] L. M. Burko, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84, 4529 (2000) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0003074](#)].
- [31] L. Barack and L. M. Burko, *Phys. Rev. D* 62, 084040 (2000) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0007033](#)];
L. Barack and C. O. Lousto, *Phys. Rev. D* 66, 061502 (2002) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0205043](#)].
- [32] N. Sago, H. Nakano and M. Sasaki, *Phys. Rev. D* 67, 104017 (2003). [[arXiv:gr-qc/0208060](#)].
- [33] H. Nakano, N. Sago and M. Sasaki, [arXiv:gr-qc/0308027](#).
- [34] Y. M. ino, *Phys. Rev. D* 67, 084027 (2003) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0302075](#)].
- [35] P. Jaranowski and G. Schafer, *Phys. Rev. D* 57, 7274 (1998) [Erratum *ibid.* D 63, 029902 (2001)] [[arXiv:gr-qc/9712075](#)].
- [36] P. Grandclément, E. Gourgoulhon and S. Bonazzola, *Phys. Rev. D* 65, 044021 (2002) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0106016](#)].
- [37] T. Damour, B. R. Iyer and B. S. Sathyaprakash, *Phys. Rev. D* 57, 885 (1998) [[arXiv:gr-qc/9708034](#)].