

# A novel approach to the study of quantum effects in the early universe

A. Geralico<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, G. Landolfi<sup>1,2†</sup>, G. Ruggeri<sup>1,2‡</sup> and G. Soliani<sup>1,2§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Lecce, I-73100 Lecce, Italy*

<sup>2</sup>*INFN, Sezione di Lecce, I-73100 Lecce, Italy*

<sup>3</sup>*International Center for Relativistic Astrophysics-I.C.R.A.,  
Università di Roma "La Sapienza", I-00185 Roma, Italy*

February 8, 2020

## Abstract

We develop a theoretical frame for the study of classical and quantum gravitational waves based on the properties of a nonlinear ordinary differential equation for a function  $\sigma(\eta)$  of the conformal time  $\eta$ , called auxiliary field equation. At the classical level,  $\sigma(\eta)$  can be expressed by means of two independent solutions of the "master equation" to which the perturbed Einstein equations for the gravitational waves can be reduced.

Under the hypothesis of inflationary universe relative to the de Sitter epoch where the master equation can be solved in terms of Bessel functions, an explicit formula is found for the phase of a gravitational wave beyond the primordial scenario corresponding to  $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$ . At the quantum level, we show that all the significant physical quantities can be formulated using the Bogolubov transformation which is the primary concept for the treatment of squeezing in the theory of particle creation, and the operator quadratic Hamiltonian corresponding to the classical version giving rise to a damped parametrically excited oscillator where the varying mass is replaced by the function  $a^2(\eta)$ ,  $a(\eta)$  being the cosmological scale factor.

A quantum approach to the generation of gravitational waves is proposed on the ground of the previous  $\eta$ -dependent Hamiltonian which can be exploited to dwell upon squeezing processes and particle creation mechanism in a more natural way in comparison with the operator Hamiltonian associated with the (classical) master equation.

An estimate in terms of  $\sigma(\eta)$  and  $a(\eta)$  of the destruction of quantum coherence due to the gravitational evolution is also obtained. Further-

---

\*e-mail: Andrea.Geralico@le.infn.it

†e-mail: Giulio.Landolfi@le.infn.it

‡e-mail: Giovanna.Ruggeri@le.infn.it

§e-mail: Giulio.Soliani@le.infn.it

more, some group-theoretical computations are performed in the framework of  $SU(1,1)$  dynamical group leading to an evolution operator employed in the determination of squeezed states.

We conclude to discuss a few applications to quasi-de Sitter and standard de Sitter scenarios.

PACS: 98.80.-k Cosmology

PACS: 04.30.-v Gravitational waves

PACS: 03.65.-w Quantum mechanics

## 1 Introduction

In a notable paper of Grishchuk and Sidorov [1], it was shown that relic gravitons can be created from the vacuum quantum fluctuations of the gravitational field (GF) during the cosmological expansion and can be interpreted as squeezed quantum states of the GF, in analogy to what happens for squeezed states in quantum optics [2-4]. A systematic treatment of particle creation mechanism is contained in [5]. The theory of particle creation is essentially based on the Bogolubov transformations, exploited primary in the formulation of the process of squeezing for the electromagnetic field.

An important aspect of the theory of particle creation is the possible loss of coherence in quantum gravitational theories. In a sense, the exploration of decoherence in gravitational theories has been more concerned with the quantum (gravity) effects which can manifest themselves even at scales others than the Planck one. The growing interest in this field relies, among the others, on quite a remarkable mechanism: the amplification of quantum (incidentally vacuum) fluctuations in the metric of a gravitational background (see *e.g.* [1,6-12]). In the presence of a change of regime under the cosmological evolution, the occupation number of the initial quantum state would get indeed amplified. As long as the change can be considered as adiabatic, the amplification factor approaches to one. Nevertheless, in case the change is sudden the amplification mechanism cannot be neglected. In such a case, even the vacuum state transforms into a multiparticle state in the Fock space appropriate to the new regime. The scenario clearly sounds highly attractive under the stronger conviction that, thanks to the great progresses we are witnessing in the experimental application of new technologies, the amplification mechanism may provide the possibility to detect quantum effects (*e.g.* relic gravitons) at scales considerably above the Planck one.

Due to the semiclassical approximation underlying these studies, the natural formal arena turns out to be that of coherent states. These states are generated by the displacement operator  $D(\alpha)$  (see Section 2). Squeezed states enter in the matter whenever the quadratic operators  $a^2$  and  $a^{\dagger 2}$  are involved. A squeezed state is generated by the action on a coherent state of the so-called squeeze operator defined in Section 2.

As a matter of fact, in a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) spacetime the behavior of matter scalar fields as well as of gravitational waves is governed by an equation of the time-dependent type (or time-dependent oscillator, TDO). Thus the problem of both particle creation and metric field fluctuation amplification during the cosmological evolution is reduced to that of solving the quantum TDO problem. The latter problem has been subject of several studies, mainly in connection with quantum optical arguments.

In this paper, the main idea is to make use of the machinery built up in [13] and further developed in [14]. The formalism would enable us to find at every stage informations concerning the spectrum of created modes. In the case of a de Sitter gravitational field, the prescription straightforwardly results into full determination of quantities of physical relevance, such as Bogolubov coefficients, squeezing parameters and the phase of gravitational waves for any value of the conformal time  $\eta$ . All these quantities are determined exactly. This has been possible by solving a nonlinear ordinary differential equation for an auxiliary field  $\sigma(\eta)$  which has been expressed in terms of two independent solutions of the  $\eta$ -dependent part of the D'Alembert equation for the gravitational perturbation tensor field.

Another interesting result achieved in the paper is an estimate in terms of the auxiliary field  $\sigma(\eta)$  and the scale factor  $a(\eta)$  of the destruction of quantum coherence due to gravitational time-evolution.

In our approach, the use of the (nonlinear) auxiliary equation in the linear parametrically excited oscillator equation for  $y(\eta)$  (see Eq. (4.9)) reveals therefore to be quite profitable and makes more strictly and compact the formal connection between quantum optics and the theory of gravitational waves.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the description of some basic properties pertinent to the squeeze operator (2.3). Precisely, we introduce the nonlinear equation (2.12) for the auxiliary field  $\sigma(t)$  in terms of which the position and momentum operators  $Q$  and  $P$  turn out to be expressed. The transformations (2.18) and (2.19) are considered, which characterize the squeezing properties of the matrix elements  $\langle \Psi | Q | \Psi \rangle$ ,  $\langle \Psi | P | \Psi \rangle$ , where  $|\Psi\rangle$  denotes the state of a given time-dependent system. A particular interest is the case corresponding to the condition (2.20), which minimizes the uncertainty relation. The role of the matrix element between squeezed states  $|\alpha, z\rangle$  of the operator  $D(\alpha)S(z)H(t)S^\dagger(z)D^\dagger(\alpha)$  is investigated. This matrix element results to be evaluated in terms of the auxiliary field  $\sigma(t)$ . It is worth to notice that in the expression for the matrix element three energy terms appear, one of them, formula (2.31), can be interpreted as the energy related to squeezed states which do not preserve the minimum uncertainty. In the theory of gravitational waves, Eq. (2.31) plays the role of *decoherence* energy of the waves, which vanishes whenever  $\frac{d}{dt}\sigma = \frac{M}{2}\sigma$ , where  $M = \frac{1}{m} \frac{dm}{dt}$  for a generalized oscillator and  $M \rightarrow \frac{2}{a(\eta)} \frac{da(\eta)}{d\eta}$  in the context of gravitational waves. We observe as well that by a physical point of view, the term  $\frac{d}{dt}\sigma - \frac{M}{2}\sigma$  is linked to the vacuum expectation value of the operator  $QP + PQ$  (see Eq. (2.33)).

A connection between Eq. (2.15) for a generalized oscillator with varying

mass and frequency and the auxiliary equation (2.12) is established in Subsection 2.4, while at the end of Section 2 the Bogolubov transformation is reported whose coefficients are explicitly written in terms of  $\sigma$ .

In Section 3 we write down the time-dependent Hamiltonian (2.17) of the quantum generalized oscillator as a combination of the generators  $J_0, \hat{J}_\pm$  of its dynamical group  $SU(1,1)$ . Two realizations will be exploited in Section 5 to yield the evolution operator  $U(t,0)$  (see 5.5) where the time dependent-coefficients  $c_j(t)$  in front of the generators  $J_0, J_\pm$  are obtained in an exact form. In the gravitational wave situation, the procedure followed by us is reminiscent of the method of Cheng and Fung [15] for the  $SU(2)$  group and adapted to the  $SU(1,1)$  group by Lo [16] in the context of quantum optics and, generally, for variable mass and frequency oscillators. In practice, of course, the determination of a compliant explicit form of  $U(t,0)$  depends crucially on the kind of realization of the  $su(1,1)$  algebra (3.1).

A significant example addressed in this direction is illustrated in the case of the de Sitter cosmological expansion, in which the coefficients  $c_j(\eta)$  enter the rather simple expressions (5.29)-(5.31) via the realization (3.6)-(3.8). The explicit knowledge of  $c_j(\eta)$  entails the derivation of the Bogolubov coefficients and, consequently, the uncertainty relation (2.51). From the latter it emerges that the minimum in the uncertainty relation is reached for  $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$  and the squeezing effect on the wave function  $|\Psi\rangle$  solution of the Schrödinger equation (5.2) is minimized by the exponential function  $e^{\pm c_2}$ . We point out that the evolution operator appears in the definition of an operator  $A(t) = U(t,0) \hat{a}_0 U^\dagger(t,0)$ . With respect to this operator, the wave function  $|\Phi(t)\rangle$  of the Schrödinger equation behaves as a coherent state. The operator  $A(t)$  turns out to be closely linked to the operator  $\tilde{b} = S \hat{a}_0 S^\dagger$  ( $S$  is the squeeze operator) in the sense that  $A(t) = e^{i\gamma} \tilde{b}$ , where  $\tilde{b}$  is correlated to the squeeze parameter through a Bogolubov transformation.

In Section 4 we discuss classical and quantum aspects of the generation of gravitational waves. An exact formula for the phase  $\theta(\eta)$  corresponding to  $\sigma(\eta)$  is determined. This formula may be interpreted as the phase of gravitational waves and reproduces the behavior of the amplitude  $h$  of the gravitational perturbation field for any conformal time  $\eta$  running from  $-\infty$  and 0. Furthermore, the standard quantum theory of generation of gravitational waves is reviewed and a more direct and natural approach to the problem is outlined by means of an operator Hamiltonian expressed in terms of the auxiliary field  $\sigma(\eta)$ .

In Section 6 some applications are displayed. Precisely, we evaluate the decoherence energy in the quasi-de Sitter inflationary model and standard de Sitter spacetime and the role of Bogolubov coefficients in terms of the auxiliary field in the particle creation mechanism is analyzed. Finally, in Section 7 some future perspectives are discussed.

## 2 Preliminaries on the squeezed states in generalized oscillators

Let us recall that a squeezed state of a quantum system is defined by [2]

$$|\alpha, z\rangle = D(\alpha) S(z) |0\rangle \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$D(\alpha) = e^{\alpha \hat{a}_0^\dagger - \alpha^* \hat{a}_0} \quad (2.2)$$

is the (unitary) displacement (Weyl) operator,

$$S(z) = e^{\frac{1}{2}z \hat{a}_0^{\dagger 2} - \frac{1}{2}z^* \hat{a}_0^2} \quad (2.3)$$

is the (unitary) *squeeze* operator [2,17],  $\hat{a}_0$  and  $\hat{a}_0^\dagger$  stand for  $\hat{a}(t)|_{t=t_0}$  and  $a^\dagger(t)|_{t=t_0}$ , respectively, where  $a(t)$  and  $a^\dagger(t)$  denote the annihilation and creation operators of the system. The complex functions  $\alpha(t)$  and  $z(t)$  are arbitrary, namely

$$\alpha = |\alpha| e^{i\varphi}, \quad z = r e^{i\phi}, \quad (2.4)$$

with  $\varphi, \phi$  arbitrary (real)  $c$ -numbers. Notice that for  $z = 0$ , (2.1) reproduces the coherent state  $|\alpha, 0\rangle = D(\alpha) |0\rangle$ .

### 2.1 A canonical transformation

The following relations

$$b \doteq S^\dagger \hat{a}_0 S = \hat{a}_0 \cosh r + \hat{a}_0^\dagger e^{i\phi} \sinh r \quad (2.5)$$

$$b^\dagger \doteq S^\dagger \hat{a}_0^\dagger S = \hat{a}_0^\dagger \cosh r + \hat{a}_0 e^{-i\phi} \sinh r \quad (2.6)$$

hold. This can be readily seen by applying the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

$$e^A B e^{-A} = B + [A, B] + \frac{1}{2!} [A, [A, B]] + \frac{1}{3!} [A, [A, [A, B]]] + \dots \quad (2.7)$$

where  $A, B$  are identified by the operators  $\frac{1}{2}z^* \hat{a}_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}z \hat{a}_0^{\dagger 2}$  and  $\hat{a}_0$  (or  $\hat{a}_0^\dagger$ ).

In other words, the squeeze operator  $S(z)$  induces a canonical transformation of the annihilation and creation operators, in the sense that

$$[b, b^\dagger] = \hat{1}. \quad (2.8)$$

The use of equations (2.5)-(2.6), together with the unitarity of  $D(\alpha)$  and  $S(z)$  and the expressions

$$D^\dagger(\alpha) \hat{a}_0 D(\alpha) = \hat{a}_0 + \alpha, \quad D^\dagger(\alpha) \hat{a}_0^\dagger D(\alpha) = \hat{a}_0^\dagger + \alpha^*,$$

leads to the expectation value

$$\langle \alpha, z | N(0) | \alpha, z \rangle = |\alpha|^2 + \sinh^2 r, \quad (2.9)$$

$N(0) = \hat{a}_0^\dagger \hat{a}_0$  being the Schrödinger picture fixed occupation number operator.

## 2.2 Transformation of the position and momentum variables under the squeeze operator $S(z)$

From the quantum theory of generalized oscillators, it follows that position and momentum operators  $Q$  and  $P$  can be expressed by [13,14]

$$Q = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m}} \sigma (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) , \quad P = \sqrt{\hbar m} (\xi \hat{a} + \xi^* \hat{a}^\dagger) , \quad (2.10)$$

with  $\hat{a} = \hat{a}(t)$ ,  $\hat{a}^\dagger = \hat{a}^\dagger(t)$  and

$$\xi = \frac{-i}{2\sigma} + \left( \dot{\sigma} - \frac{M}{2}\sigma \right) . \quad (2.11)$$

where  $M = M(t) \equiv \frac{\dot{m}}{m}$ , the dot means time derivative, and (the mass)  $m = m(t)$  is a given functions of time. The function (c-number)  $\sigma(t)$  satisfies the nonlinear ordinary differential equation [20,21]

$$\ddot{\sigma} + \Omega^2 \sigma = \frac{1}{4\sigma^3} , \quad (2.12)$$

( $\Omega$  is specified below, see Eq. (2.16)), called auxiliary equation associated with the classical equation of motion

$$\ddot{q} + M\dot{q} + \omega^2(t)q = 0 , \quad (2.13)$$

and  $\omega(t)$  is the time dependent frequency. Via the transformation

$$q \rightarrow e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t M(t') dt'} y \quad , \quad (2.14)$$

Equation (2.13) can be cast into the equation

$$\ddot{y} + \Omega^2(t)y = 0 \quad , \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\Omega^2(t) = \frac{1}{4} \left( 4\omega^2 - 2\dot{M} - M^2 \right) . \quad (2.16)$$

The quantum theory of the generalized oscillator (2.13) can be described by the Hamiltonian operator [18,13]

$$H(t) = \frac{P^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 Q^2 \quad (2.17)$$

where the canonical variables  $Q, P$  are given by (2.10).

Taking account of (2.5) and (2.6), and choosing  $\phi = 0$  we obtain<sup>1</sup>

$$S^\dagger QS = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m}} \sigma \left( S^\dagger \hat{a}_0 S + S^\dagger \hat{a}_0^\dagger S \right) = e^r Q , \quad (2.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S^\dagger PS &= \sqrt{\hbar m} \sigma \left( \xi S^\dagger \hat{a}_0 S + \xi^* S^\dagger \hat{a}_0^\dagger S \right) = \\ &= 2m\sigma \left( \dot{\sigma} - \frac{M}{2}\sigma \right) \sinh r Q + e^{-r} P . \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

The physical meaning of the expression  $\dot{\sigma} - \frac{M}{2}\sigma$  will be clarified later. At the present we observe only that whenever the condition

$$\dot{\sigma} - \frac{M}{2}\sigma = 0 \quad (2.20)$$

is fulfilled, then the uncertainty product  $(\Delta Q) (\Delta P)$  of the variances

$$(\Delta Q) = \sqrt{\langle Q^2 \rangle - \langle Q \rangle^2} , \quad (\Delta P) = \sqrt{\langle P^2 \rangle - \langle P \rangle^2} , \quad (2.21)$$

attains its minimum, where the expectation value  $\langle \dots \rangle$  is referred to coherent states [14].

We observe that the operators  $S^\dagger QS$  and  $S^\dagger PS$  obey the same commutation relation as  $Q$  and  $P$ , that is

$$[S^\dagger QS, S^\dagger PS] = [Q, P] = i\hbar . \quad (2.22)$$

However, in contrast to what happens for the operators  $b$  and  $b^\dagger$  (see (2.5)-(2.6)), the operators  $S^\dagger QS$  and  $S^\dagger PS$  are not Hermitian conjugate. We point out that the property of Hermitian conjugation is enjoyed by the operators  $S^\dagger QS$  and  $S^\dagger PS$  in the case in which the condition (2.20) is valid.

A possible physical interpretation of the properties (2.18) and (2.19) is the following. For a quantum system governed by a Hamiltonian preserving the minimum wave packet (*i.e.*, the condition (2.20) holds) Eqs. (2.18) and (2.19) become

$$S^\dagger QS = e^r Q , \quad (2.23)$$

$$S^\dagger PS = e^{-r} P . \quad (2.24)$$

If  $|\psi\rangle$  is the state of the system under consideration, then  $|\psi'\rangle = S(r) |\psi\rangle$  represents the same system squeezed in the space of the position  $Q$  by a factor  $e^{-r}$  and expanded in the space of the momentum  $P$  by the factor  $e^r$ . In fact, from (2.23) and (2.24) we deduce

$$e^{-r} \langle \psi' | Q | \psi' \rangle = \langle \psi | Q | \psi \rangle , \quad (2.25)$$

$$e^r \langle \psi' | P | \psi' \rangle = \langle \psi | P | \psi \rangle . \quad (2.26)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Stoler [2] saw that, generally, states of the type  $|\alpha, z\rangle$  do not describe wave packets relative to the minimum value of the product  $(\Delta Q) (\Delta P)$ , where  $\Delta$  means the variance operation (see Eq. (2.21)). The state  $|\alpha, z\rangle$  can describe a wave packet of minimum uncertainty only if  $z$  is real ( $\phi = 0$ ). In the framework of quantum generalized oscillators, this corresponds to the condition (2.20).

### 2.3 The role of the matrix element $\langle \alpha, z | D(\alpha) S(z) H(t) S^\dagger(z) D^\dagger(\alpha) | \alpha, z \rangle$

In this Subsection we shall evaluate a matrix element involving the operator  $H(t)$  (see (2.17)) in the context of squeezing of a quantum system. In doing so, let us consider the following expectation value between squeezed states:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha, z | D(\alpha) S(z) H(t) S^\dagger(z) D^\dagger(\alpha) | \alpha, z \rangle &= \\ &= \langle 0 | S^\dagger(z) D^\dagger D(\alpha) S(z) H(t) S^\dagger(z) D^\dagger(\alpha) D(\alpha) S(z) | 0 \rangle = \\ &= \langle 0 | H(t) | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2m} \langle 0 | P^2 | 0 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2(t) \langle 0 | Q^2 | 0 \rangle \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where (2.1) and (2.17) have been employed.

The expectation values at the right hand side of (2.27) can be evaluated from (2.10). They read

$$\langle 0 | P^2 | 0 \rangle = \hbar m |\xi|^2 = \hbar m \left[ \frac{1}{4\sigma^2} + \left( \frac{M}{2} \sigma - \dot{\sigma} \right)^2 \right] , \quad (2.28)$$

$$\langle 0 | Q^2 | 0 \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{m} \sigma^2 , \quad (2.29)$$

where  $\xi$  and  $\sigma$  are described by (2.11) and (2.12). With the help of (2.28) and (2.29), Equation (2.27) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha, z | D(\alpha) S(z) H(t) S^\dagger(z) D^\dagger(\alpha) | \alpha, z \rangle &= \langle 0 | H(t) | 0 \rangle = \\ &= \left[ \frac{\hbar}{8\sigma^2} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( \frac{M}{2} \sigma - \dot{\sigma} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{\hbar}{2} \omega^2(t) \sigma^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

The term in the square bracket corresponds to the vacuum expectation value of the kinetic energy of the system, while the last term is related to the vacuum expectation value of the potential energy.

The quantity

$$E_{NM} \doteq \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( \frac{M}{2} \sigma - \dot{\sigma} \right)^2 \quad (2.31)$$

(NM=non-minimum) can be interpreted as the energy associated with the squeezed states which do not satisfy the criterium of minimum uncertainty, ( $E_{NM} \neq 0$ ). When the criterium is verified, then  $E_{NM} = 0$ . In such a case Equation (2.30) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha, z | D(\alpha) S(z) H(t) S^\dagger(z) D^\dagger(\alpha) | \alpha, z \rangle &= \langle 0 | H(t) | 0 \rangle = \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{8\sigma^2} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \omega^2(t) \sigma^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Hence, in the minimum uncertainty situation the vacuum expectation values of the kinetic energy and the potential energy turn out to be proportional to  $\sigma^2$  and

$\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$ , respectively. It is noteworthy that, in general, all the energies appearing in (2.27) can be expressed in terms of the auxiliary field  $\sigma(t)$  obeying the auxiliary equation (2.12). This enhances the convenience of our approach to the study of cosmological quantum effects based on the theory of equation (2.12), which we are going to develop in Section 4.

We remark that the quantity  $(\frac{M}{2}\sigma - \dot{\sigma})$  is connected with the expectation value of the operator  $\{Q, P\} = QP + PQ$  between vacuum states, *i.e.*

$$\langle 0 | QP + PQ | 0 \rangle = 2\hbar\sigma \left( \frac{M}{2}\sigma - \dot{\sigma} \right) . \quad (2.33)$$

Thus the minimum uncertainty requirement  $\frac{M}{2}\sigma = \dot{\sigma}$  implies that the expectation value  $\langle 0 | QP + PQ | 0 \rangle$  is vanishing. As it will be outlined in Section III, the operator  $\{Q, P\}$  behaves as the compact generator of the dynamical group  $SU(1, 1)$  of the quantum system (2.17).

## 2.4 A link between Eq. (2.15) and the auxiliary equation

For later convenience (see Section 4), we shall report a result establishing a relationship involving the solutions of the (linear) equation of motion and the (nonlinear) auxiliary equation

$$\ddot{\sigma} + \Omega^2(t)\sigma = \frac{\kappa}{\sigma^3} \quad , \quad (2.34)$$

where  $\kappa$  is a constant. If  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are two independent solutions of Eq. (2.15), then the general solution of the auxiliary equation (2.34) can be written as [19]

$$\sigma = (Ay_1^2 + By_2^2 + 2Cy_1y_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad , \quad (2.35)$$

with  $A, B, C$  arbitrary constants such that

$$AB - C^2 = \frac{\kappa}{W_0^2} \quad (2.36)$$

where  $W_0 = W_0(y_1, y_2) = y_1\dot{y}_2 - \dot{y}_1y_2 = \text{const}$  is the Wronskian.

It is worth to remark that from the theory of the auxiliary equation (2.34) a phase can be introduced given by the real function  $\theta(t)$

$$\theta(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{dt'}{\sigma^2(t')} \quad . \quad (2.37)$$

(See [20,21,22]; for some applications: [18,13]). Here we shall suggest the procedure which can be used to compute the above integral in general cases. To this aim it is convenient to introduce the function

$$\psi(t) = \sqrt{A} e^{i\alpha} y_1(t) - \sqrt{B} e^{i\beta} y_2(t) \quad , \quad (2.38)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are real numbers and  $y_1, y_2$  are the two independent solutions appearing in (2.35). We have

$$|\psi(t)|^2 = Ay_1^2 + By_2^2 - 2\sqrt{AB} \cos\theta_0 y_1 y_2 \quad , \quad (2.39)$$

where  $\theta_0 = \alpha - \beta$ . Comparing (2.39) with (2.35) we get  $C = -\sqrt{AB} \cos \theta_0$ , so that the condition (2.34) becomes

$$AB \sin^2 \theta_0 = \frac{\kappa}{W_0}, \quad (2.40)$$

from which  $\kappa \neq 0$  whenever  $\sin \theta_0 \neq 0$ . Hence, the auxiliary field  $\sigma$  can be expressed by

$$\sigma(t) = |\psi(t)|^2. \quad (2.41)$$

To calculate the phase  $\theta(t)$  corresponding to the solution (2.35) of equation (2.34), we look for a function  $F(t)$  defined by

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{\kappa W_0}} \ln \frac{\psi(t)}{\psi^*(t)}, \quad (2.42)$$

so that

$$\dot{F} = \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{\kappa W_0}} \frac{\dot{\psi} \psi^* - \psi \dot{\psi}^*}{\psi \psi^*}. \quad (2.43)$$

The numerator in (2.43) can be elaborated to give

$$\dot{\psi} \psi^* - \psi \dot{\psi}^* = 2i\sqrt{AB} \sin \theta_0 W_0 = 2i\sqrt{\kappa W_0} \quad (2.44)$$

where Eq. (2.40) has been exploited. Substitution from (2.44) in (2.43) thus yields

$$\dot{F} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}. \quad (2.45)$$

Then the phase  $\theta(t)$  is determined by integrating (2.37), namely

$$\theta(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{dt'}{\sigma^2(t')} = F(t) - F(t_0), \quad (2.46)$$

where  $F(t)$  is provided by (2.42). We shall recall this general result later.

## 2.5 The Bogolubov coefficients in terms of $\sigma$

By resorting to the operators

$$Q = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_0 m_0}} (\hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_0^\dagger), \quad P = -i\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_0 m_0}{2}} (\hat{a}_0 - \hat{a}_0^\dagger), \quad (2.47)$$

where  $\hat{a}_0 = \hat{a}(t_0)$  is the (mode) annihilation operator in the Schrödinger representation, combining (2.47) and (2.10) we can derive the Bogolubov transformation

$$\hat{a}(t) = \mu(t) \hat{a}_0 + \nu(t) \hat{a}_0^\dagger \quad (2.48)$$

whose coefficients are expressed by

$$\mu(t) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\omega_0 m_0}} \left( -i\xi^* + \frac{\omega_0 m_0}{m} \sigma \right), \quad \nu(t) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\omega_0 m_0}} \left( -i\xi^* - \frac{\omega_0 m_0}{m} \sigma \right), \quad (2.49)$$

with  $m_0 = m(t_0)$ ,  $\omega_0 = \omega(t_0)$  and  $\xi$  given by (2.11).

We note that the Bogolubov transformation can be naturally embedded into the relations (2.5) and (2.6), where the operators  $b$ ,  $b^\dagger$  can be identified with  $\hat{a}(t)$  and  $\hat{a}^\dagger(t)$ .

Equations (2.49) entail

$$|\mu|^2 - |\nu|^2 = 1. \quad (2.50)$$

On the other hand, the uncertainty product can be formulated as follows [14]:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta Q) (\Delta P) &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{1 + 4\sigma^2 \left( \frac{M}{2} \sigma - \dot{\sigma} \right)^2} = \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} |\mu(t) - \nu(t)| |\mu(t) + \nu(t)| \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

The uncertainty formula (2.51) is closely related to the concept of coherent states for the generalized oscillators. Such coherent states were constructed by Hartley and Ray in 1982 [23] taking account of Lewis-Riesenfeld theory [18]. These states share all the features of the coherent states of the conventional (time-independent) oscillator except that the uncertainty formula, in the sense that the product  $(\Delta Q) (\Delta P)$  turns out to be not minimum. A few years later, Pedrosa showed that the coherent states devised by Hartley and Ray are equivalent to squeezed states [24].

## 2.6 Matrix elements of basic operators

In Section 2 we have investigated the meaning of the expectation value of the operator  $D S H(t) S^\dagger D^\dagger$  between squeezed states. This topic leads naturally to the evaluation of the matrix element  $\langle 0 | H(t) | 0 \rangle$  where  $H(t)$  is the Hamiltonian (2.17) of the quantum system under study.

In this Subsection we analyze some matrix elements of the operator  $D S H(t) S^\dagger D^\dagger$  between vacuum and coherent states.

A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | D S H(t) S^\dagger D^\dagger | 0 \rangle &= \hbar \left\{ \left[ 1 - (\alpha - \alpha^*)^2 \right] \frac{e^{-2r}}{8\sigma^2} + \right. \\ &+ \left[ 1 + (\alpha + \alpha^*)^2 \right] e^{2r} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{M}{2} \sigma - \dot{\sigma} \right)^2 \right] + \\ &\left. + \frac{i\hbar}{2\sigma} (\alpha^2 - \alpha^{*2}) \left( \frac{M}{2} \sigma - \dot{\sigma} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha | D S H(t) S^\dagger D^\dagger | \alpha \rangle &= \langle 0 | S H(t) S^\dagger | 0 \rangle = \\ &= \hbar \left\{ \frac{e^{-2r}}{8\sigma^2} + e^{2r} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{M}{2} \sigma - \dot{\sigma} \right)^2 \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

where  $r$  is the squeeze factor. For  $\alpha = 0$  we have  $D(\alpha) = \hat{1}$ , and the matrix element (2.52) coincides with (2.53).

In the case of minimum uncertainty ( $\frac{M}{2}\sigma = \dot{\sigma}$ ), we have

$$\langle 0 | S H(t) S^\dagger | 0 \rangle = \hbar \left( \frac{e^{-2r}}{8\sigma^2} + \frac{e^{2r}}{2} \omega^2 \sigma^2 \right). \quad (2.54)$$

A useful property is [25]

$$H(t) = \frac{\omega(t)}{\omega_0} S^\dagger(r) D^\dagger(\alpha) H(0) D(\alpha) S(r), \quad (2.55)$$

from which the matrix element

$$\langle 0 | H(t) | 0 \rangle = \hbar\omega(t) \left( |\alpha|^2 + \sinh^2 r + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (2.56)$$

can be determined.

Equation (2.55) provides a simple way to obtain the eigenstates of  $H(t)$ . To this aim, let us recall that for the initial Hamiltonian  $H(0)$  we have

$$H(0) |n\rangle = \hbar\omega_0 \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) |n\rangle,$$

where  $\{|n\rangle\}$  denote the eigenstates of  $H(0)$ . Then, the eigenstates  $\{|n\rangle_t\}$  of the time-dependent Hamiltonian  $H(t)$  are expressed by

$$|n\rangle_t = S^\dagger(r) D^\dagger(\alpha) |n\rangle. \quad (2.57)$$

Indeed, Eq. (2.55) yields

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) |n\rangle_t &= \frac{\omega(t)}{\omega_0} S^\dagger(r) D^\dagger(\alpha) H(0) |n\rangle = \\ &= \hbar\omega(t) \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) S^\dagger(r) D^\dagger(\alpha) |n\rangle = \hbar\omega(t) \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) |n\rangle_t, \end{aligned}$$

where the properties  $SS^\dagger = S^\dagger S = \hat{1}$  and  $DD^\dagger = D^\dagger D = \hat{1}$  have been used.

### 3 Group-theoretical considerations

Dynamical groups are fundamental tools in many branches of physics. They are non-invariance group whose generators not all commute with the Hamiltonian of the given systems. Their importance relies in the fact that they (and their algebras) yield the energy spectrum and the degeneracy of levels and can be employed to build up the transition probabilities between states.

In the case of the TDO, the Nöther invariants form an algebra of the  $su(1,1)$  type under the Poisson bracket operation. That is, the dynamical group of a time-dependent oscillator is  $SU(1,1)$ . When moving to the quantum theory, a

set of invariant operators of the Nöther type  $\hat{I}_i$  can be explicitly constructed from the classical Nöther invariants  $I_i$  by adopting a suitable procedure. Once the substitution  $\{, \} \rightarrow \frac{1}{i\hbar} [, ]$  from Poisson bracket to commutator is performed, the commutation rules thus define a noncompact Lie algebra  $su(1, 1)$  under the commutation bracket operation [13].

In [13] it was shown that the quantum system corresponding to the Hamiltonian (2.17) admits, as the dynamical group, the noncompact Lie group  $SU(1, 1)$  whose underlying Lie algebra has elements characterized by the commutation rules

$$[J_+, J_-] = -2J_0, \quad [J_0, J_+] = J_+, \quad [J_0, J_-] = -J_- . \quad (3.1)$$

Furthermore, the Hamiltonian (2.17) belongs to the class of the most general Hamiltonians preserving an arbitrary initial  $SU(1, 1)$  coherent state under time evolution, that is

$$H = \gamma_1(t) J_0 + \gamma_2(t) J_+ + \gamma_2^*(t) J_- + \gamma_3(t) \quad (3.2)$$

where  $\gamma_1(t)$ ,  $\gamma_3(t)$  are real functions and  $\gamma_2(t)$  is a complex function [26].

Two possible realizations of the  $su(1, 1)$  algebra (3.1) are

$$J_0 = \frac{i}{4\hbar} (QP + PQ) , \quad (3.3)$$

$$J_+ = \frac{i}{2\hbar} Q^2 , \quad (3.4)$$

$$J_- = \frac{i}{2\hbar} P^2 , \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$[Q^2, P^2] = 2i\hbar (QP + PQ) , \quad (3.6)$$

$$[Q^2, QP + PQ] = 4i\hbar Q^2 , \quad (3.7)$$

$$[P^2, QP + PQ] = -4i\hbar P^2 , \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$J_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) , \quad (3.9)$$

$$J_+ = \frac{1}{2} \hat{a}^{\dagger 2} , \quad (3.10)$$

$$J_- = \frac{1}{2} \hat{a}^2 . \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore, concerning the realization (3.3)-(3.5), the commutators  $[J_0, J_+]$  and  $[J_0, J_-] = -J_-$  between vacuum states are different from zero for any value of  $\sigma(t)$  (see (2.28) and (2.29)), while the expectation value between vacuum states of the commutator  $[J_+, J_-] = -2J_0$  is generally different from zero, but it takes the zero value in the case of minimum uncertainty (2.33). We would interpret this result as the vacuum should *contract* the compact generator  $J_0 \sim QP + PQ$

in the case of minimum uncertainty  $\frac{M}{2}\sigma = \dot{\sigma}$ . On the contrary, for  $\frac{M}{2}\sigma = \dot{\sigma}$  the remaining generators of  $SU(1, 1)$ ,  $J_- \sim P^2$  and  $J_+ \sim Q^2$ , give rise to vacuum expectation values for the kinetic and potential energies.

From (2.10) we get

$$Q^2 = \frac{\hbar}{m}\sigma^2 (\hat{a}^2 + \hat{a}^{\dagger 2} + 2\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a} + 1) , \quad (3.12)$$

$$P^2 = \hbar m [\xi^2 \hat{a}^2 + \xi^{*2} \hat{a}^{\dagger 2} + |\xi|^2 (2\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a} + 1)] . \quad (3.13)$$

So, the Hamiltonian (2.17) can be written as

$$H(t) = \hbar \{ [|\xi|^2 + \omega(t)^2\sigma^2] J_0 + [\xi^{*2} + \omega(t)^2\sigma^2] J_+ + [\xi + \omega(t)^2\sigma^2] J_- \} \quad (3.14)$$

where  $J_0, J_+, J_-$  are given by the  $SU(1, 1)$  realization (3.9)-(3.11) and

$$|\xi|^2 = \frac{1}{4\sigma^2} + \left( \frac{M}{2}\sigma - \dot{\sigma} \right)^2 . \quad (3.15)$$

The Hamiltonian (3.14) is a special case of (3.2) ( $\gamma_3 = 0$ ) and, thus, preserves  $SU(1, 1)$  coherent states.

The vacuum expectation values

$$\langle 0 | J_+ | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | J_- | 0 \rangle = 0 \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$\langle 0 | 2J_0 | 0 \rangle = \left[ \frac{1}{4\sigma^2} + \left( \frac{M}{2}\sigma - \dot{\sigma} \right)^2 + \omega(t)^2\sigma^2 \right] \quad (3.17)$$

can be easily evaluated.

To conclude, the Hamiltonian (3.14) provides

$$\langle 0 | H(t) | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | 2J_0 | 0 \rangle . \quad (3.18)$$

## 4 Classical view and quantum theory generation of gravitational waves

In this Section we shall develop a model of squeezing in the de Sitter phase of an inflationary universe. This model is based on the application of the auxiliary equation (2.12) for the function  $\sigma(\eta)$ , in terms of which the Bogolubov coefficients can be built up. The Bogolubov transformation is a basic concept in the theory of particle creation in external fields. The created particles do exist in squeezed quantum states [1]. According to [1], relic gravitons created from zero-point quantum fluctuations during cosmological evolution should now be in strongly squeezed states. In this context the generation of gravitational waves is of fundamental importance.

## 4.1 A brief on gravitational waves

Here we shall review some concepts on the production of gravitational waves in inflationary models. A complete treatment on this subject is contained in [11]. Following [11], let us consider the Friedman-Robertson-Walker metric

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = a^2(\eta) (d\eta^2 - \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j) \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\gamma_{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\left[1 + \frac{K}{4}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)\right]^2} \quad (4.2)$$

with (the space curvature)  $K = 0, 1, -1$  depending on whether the three-dimensional space corresponding to the hypersurface  $\eta = \text{const}$  is flat, closed or open. The variable  $\eta$  is the conformal time and  $a(\eta)$  is the scale factor (see *e.g.* [5,11]). Gravitational waves are linear tensor perturbations of the space-time metric associated with the perturbation metric field

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = a^2(\eta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -h_{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.3)$$

where  $h_{\mu\nu}$  is a symmetric, traceless and divergenceless three-tensor.

Small quantum fluctuation in the metric structure of the universe can be suitably studied in the framework known as theory of linearized gravitational perturbations. Once it is assumed that space-time deviates only by small amounts from a basic space-time geometry, it turns out to be convenient to split the metric field  $g_{\mu\nu}$  into two parts, say  $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^0 + \delta g_{\mu\nu}$ . Precisely, the metric field  $g_{\mu\nu}^0$  describes a homogeneous and isotropic idealized background space-time whereas the perturbation metric field  $\delta g_{\mu\nu}$  is supposed to enclose informations concerning the way the real space-time deviates from the idealized one. The approach is reasonable in that observational evidence tells us that on large scales the universe is nearly homogeneous and isotropic.

Starting from the classical theory of small perturbations superimposed on a given background space-time (see *e.g.* [27]), we define the field

$$\Psi_\nu^\mu = h_\nu^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \delta_\nu^\mu h \quad (4.4)$$

as customary, with  $h = h^\mu_\mu$ . By using the gauge condition  $\Psi_{\nu;\mu}^\mu = 0$ , the Einstein equations write as

$$h_{\mu\nu;\lambda}^{\lambda} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

We observe that all the operations of raising and lowering indices and covariant differentiation are performed by means of the background metric, at first order of the perturbation.

Then, by carrying out the gauge choice  $h_{0\mu} = 0$  to simplify further the perturbation function  $h_{\mu\nu}$ , the remaining components  $h_{ij}$  can be expanded into mode functions. In this way the dynamical problem reduces to the evolution of time-dependent amplitudes for each mode  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$  (a constant wave vector).

Among the six functions  $h_{ij}$ , only two are independent (polarization components). This decomposition can be made both for classical and quantized fields  $h_{ij}$ . In the quantum version, the functions  $h_{ij}$  are regarded as quantum-mechanical operators (see Subsection 4.4).

The general solution of Equation (4.5) for each polarization component  $h(\eta, \vec{x})$  of the gravity-wave field can be expressed as the sum over the independent  $n$ -functions  $h_n$ , namely

$$h(\eta, \vec{x}) = \sum_n h_n \quad (4.6)$$

with

$$h_n = \frac{1}{a} y_n(\eta) U_n(\vec{x}), \quad (4.7)$$

where the spatial function  $U_n(\vec{x})$  satisfies the Laplace equation

$$[\Delta + (n^2 - K)] U_n(\vec{x}) = 0 \quad (4.8)$$

(the symbol  $\Delta$  stands for the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated with the spatial metric  $\gamma_{ij}$ , Eq. (4.2)) and  $y(\eta)$  satisfies the equation (see later)

$$y'' + [(n^2 + K) - V(\eta)] y = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

where  $y' = \frac{dy}{d\eta}$ , and  $V(\eta) = \frac{a''}{a}$ . Equations (4.8) and (4.9) are derived from (4.5). For reader's convenience, we shall resume shortly a few steps of this derivation [11].

The theory of generation of gravitational waves in inflationary universe scenario is based on the action

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int f(R) \sqrt{-g} d^4x, \quad (4.10)$$

where  $f(R)$  is an arbitrary function of the scalar curvature  $R$ . The theory defined by the above action is conformally equivalent to a pure Einstein theory with scalar-field matter. In linear theory, the gravitational waves decouple from the matter field, so that the main problem is to fix the background model and to desume the relation between the conformal metric.

Starting from (4.10), by varying the action with respect to  $h_j^i$ , the equation of motion

$$h'' + 2\frac{\tilde{a}}{a} h' + (2K - \Delta) h = 0 \quad (4.11)$$

is obtained, where  $\tilde{a}(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{\partial f}{\partial R}} a(\eta)$  and  $h = h(\eta, \vec{x})$  denotes, for simplicity, each component of the gravitational perturbation field  $h_j^i$ . By separating in  $h(\eta, \vec{x})$  the dependence on  $\eta$  from the dependence on  $\vec{x}$ , we can write  $h \sim h_0(\eta) h_1(\vec{x})$ , so that

$$[\Delta + (n^2 - K)] h_1(\vec{x}) = 0, \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$h_0'' + 2\frac{\tilde{a}}{a} h_0' + (n^2 + K) h_0 = 0 . \quad (4.13)$$

Equation (4.13) can be applied to describe the evolution of gravitational waves in any state of the evolution of the universe, even when resorting to higher derivative theories of gravity [11]. The elimination of the first derivative in (4.13) leads to the equation (called master equation in [1])

$$y'' + [\bar{\omega}^2 - V(\eta)] y = 0 , \quad (4.14)$$

which coincides with (4.9) for  $K = 0$  (flat universe), and

$$h_0 = \frac{a(\eta_0)}{a(\eta)} y(\eta) .$$

We remark that Eq. (4.13) can be regarded, in fact, as the equation of motion of an oscillator with time-dependent mass  $m$  and constant frequency  $\bar{\omega}$ ,

$$q'' + \frac{m'}{m} q' + \bar{\omega} q = 0 , \quad (4.15)$$

which is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\bar{\omega}^2}{2} q^2 . \quad (4.16)$$

The quantum theory of gravitational waves is therefore equivalent to the quantum theory of the Kanai-Caldirola oscillator [28,29]. The formal analogy is realized upon the identification:

$$m = a^2 \quad , \quad \bar{\omega}^2 = n^2 + K \quad , \quad q \sim h_0 . \quad (4.17)$$

## 4.2 Exact solution of the parametrically excited oscillator and its associated auxiliary equation

In this paper, we are mainly interested in the period under which the universe accelerates, namely in its *inflationary* stage. Inflation is defined to be a period of accelerating expansion. During such a stage, the universe expands adiabatically and the Friedmann equations can be exploited [11]. The prototype of the models of inflationary cosmology is based on the de Sitter spacetime, which is a very interesting case concerned with the constant Hubble rate and the scale factor given by

$$a(\eta) = -\frac{1}{H_0\eta} \quad , \quad (4.18)$$

where  $\eta < 0$  and  $H_0$  denotes the Hubble constant. In the de Sitter case, Eq. (4.9) takes the form

$$y'' + \Omega^2(\eta) y = 0 , \quad (4.19)$$

where  $\Omega^2(\eta) = n^2 - \frac{2}{\eta^2}$ . Equation (4.19) admits the general solution

$$y = \sqrt{-n\eta} \left[ \kappa_1 J_{\frac{3}{2}}(-n\eta) + \kappa_2 J_{-\frac{3}{2}}(-n\eta) \right], \quad (4.20)$$

where  $J_{\frac{3}{2}}, J_{-\frac{3}{2}}$  are Bessel functions of the first kind and the arbitrary (generally complex) constants  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are determined once the initial conditions are imposed.

The auxiliary equation associated with (4.19) is (see (2.34))

$$\sigma'' + \left( n^2 - \frac{2}{\eta^2} \right) \sigma = \frac{\kappa}{\sigma^3}. \quad (4.21)$$

Then from (2.35) we infer that (2.34) is exactly solved by

$$\sigma = \sqrt{-n\eta} \left[ A J_{\frac{3}{2}}^2(-n\eta) + B J_{-\frac{3}{2}}^2(-n\eta) + 2C J_{\frac{3}{2}}(-n\eta) J_{-\frac{3}{2}}(-n\eta) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.22)$$

where constants  $A, B, C$  satisfy the condition (2.36).

#### 4.2.1 The phase of the auxiliary field $\sigma(t)$

As we have shown in Subsection 2.4, to calculate the phase  $\theta$  corresponding to the solution (4.22) of Eq. (4.21) it is convenient to introduce the function

$$\psi(-n\eta) = \sqrt{-n\eta} \left[ \sqrt{A} e^{i\alpha} J_{\frac{3}{2}}(-n\eta) - \sqrt{B} e^{i\beta} J_{-\frac{3}{2}}(-n\eta) \right], \quad (4.23)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are real numbers. Hence the auxiliary field can be expressed via  $\sigma^2 = |\psi|^2$  provided that

$$AB \sin^2 \theta_0 = \frac{\kappa}{W_0}, \quad (4.24)$$

where  $\theta_0 = \alpha - \beta$  and  $W_0 = W_0(\sqrt{-n\eta} J_{\frac{3}{2}}(-n\eta), \sqrt{-n\eta} J_{-\frac{3}{2}}(-n\eta))$ . Therefore, it is an easy matter to see that in the case under consideration the phase  $\theta$  determined by integrating (2.46) is given by ( $z = -n\eta$ )

$$\theta(\eta) = -n \int_{z_0}^z \frac{dz'}{\sigma^2(z')} = -n [F(z) - F(z_0)], \quad (4.25)$$

where the function  $F(z)$  is defined by

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{k}} \ln \frac{\psi(z)}{\psi^*(z)} \quad (4.26)$$

and  $\psi$  is given by (4.23).

### 4.3 On the phase of gravitational waves

A deep discussion on the phase of gravitational waves is contained in [30], where this topic is dwelt on both at classical and quantum level.

Here we confine ourselves to tackle the problem classically. The study of the phase of gravitational waves by a quantum point of view will be sent elsewhere.

To our purpose, first we observe that the Bessel functions  $J_{\frac{3}{2}}$  and  $J_{-\frac{3}{2}}$  can be explicitly written as follows [31]

$$J_{\frac{3}{2}}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \left( \frac{\sin z}{z} - \cos z \right) \quad , \quad J_{-\frac{3}{2}}(z) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \left( \sin z + \frac{\cos z}{z} \right) .$$

Then, the auxiliary field (2.35) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(z) = & \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left[ A \left( \frac{\sin z}{z} - \cos z \right)^2 + \right. \\ & \left. + B \left( \sin z + \frac{\cos z}{z} \right)^2 - 2C \left( \frac{\sin z}{z} - \cos z \right) \left( \sin z + \frac{\cos z}{z} \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

where  $y_1 = \sqrt{z}J_{\frac{3}{2}}$ ,  $y_2 = \sqrt{z}J_{-\frac{3}{2}}$  and the condition (2.36) is understood.

Now we shall see that the phase  $\theta_{GW}$  of the primordial gravitational waves can be obtained by (4.27) under the choice

$$A = B \neq 0 \quad , \quad C = 0 \quad , \quad (4.28)$$

and assuming large (negative) values of the conformal time  $\eta$ . In doing so, Eq. (4.27) provides

$$\sigma_{in}^2 \sim \frac{2A}{\pi} \quad , \quad (4.29)$$

which produces, from substitution in (4.25),

$$\theta_{GW} \equiv \theta_{in} = \frac{\pi}{2A} (z - z_0) \quad . \quad (4.30)$$

In order to determine the constant  $A$ , let us resort to the approximation  $n \gg |V(\eta)|$ . In this case the auxiliary equation (4.21) takes the form

$$\sigma'' + n^2 \sigma = \frac{\kappa}{\sigma^3} \quad , \quad (4.31)$$

which is satisfied by

$$\sigma = \frac{\kappa^{1/4}}{n^{1/2}} \quad . \quad (4.32)$$

Inserting (4.32) in (4.25) gives

$$\theta = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\kappa}} (z - z_0) \quad . \quad (4.33)$$

Comparing (4.32) with (4.33), we have

$$A = \frac{\pi\sqrt{\kappa}}{2n} . \quad (4.34)$$

In the case  $n^2 \gg |V(\eta)|$ , the high frequency waves, *e.g.* the solutions of the equation

$$y'' + n^2 y = 0 , \quad (4.35)$$

correspond to the following behavior of the gravitational perturbation field  $h$  (see (4.6) and (4.7)):

$$h(\eta) = \frac{1}{a} \sin(n\eta + \rho) \quad (4.36)$$

( $\rho$  is an arbitrary phase). In an expanding universe, the amplitude  $h$  of the waves decreases adiabatically for all  $\eta$ . The result represented by the calculation of the phase (4.30) of relic gravitational waves suggests one to interpret formula (4.25) as the phase of gravitational waves not only in the case of primordial cosmological scenario formally corresponding to  $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$ . Anyway, this subject, which goes beyond the scope of the present paper, should deserve further investigations. Here we recall only that the general solution of Eq. (4.19), which holds in the case of the de Sitter cosmological model, can also be written as (see the Appendix)

$$y = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sigma(\eta) \cos[\sqrt{\kappa}\theta(\eta) + \delta] \quad (4.37)$$

where  $\theta$  is given by (4.25),  $c$  is a Noether invariant of Eq. (4.19) and  $\delta$  is an arbitrary constant. Hence, for any conformal time  $\eta$  in the interval  $(-\infty, 0)$ , the amplitude  $h$  of the gravitational perturbation field can be expressed by

$$h \sim \frac{1}{a} \sigma(\eta) \sin[\sqrt{\kappa}\theta(\eta) + const] .$$

#### 4.4 Quantum gravitational waves: standard theory

Below we summarize some aspects of the quantum theory of gravitational waves. We start reminding that the perturbed gravitational field  $h_{ij}(\eta, \vec{x})$  is an operator defined by the Fourier expansion [1,10]

$$h_{ij}(\eta, \vec{x}) = C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mathbf{n} \sum_{s=1}^2 p_{ij}^{(s)}(\mathbf{n}) \left[ a_n^{(s)}(\eta) e^{i\mathbf{n}\cdot\vec{x}} + a_n^{(s)\dagger}(\eta) e^{-i\mathbf{n}\cdot\vec{x}} \right] , \quad (4.38)$$

where  $C$  is a normalization constant. The two polarization tensors  $p_{ij}^{(s)}(\mathbf{n})$  ( $s = 1, 2$ ) are given by (for gravitational waves)

$$p_{ij}^{(1)} = l_i l_j - m_j m_i , \quad p_{ij}^{(2)} = l_i m_j + l_j m_i ,$$

where the properties

$$p_{ij}^{(s')}(\mathbf{n}) p^{(s') ij}(\mathbf{n}) = 2\delta_{ij} , \quad p_{ij}^{(s)}(-\mathbf{n}) = p_{ji}^{(s)}(\mathbf{n})$$

hold. Furthermore,  $\mathbf{n}$  is a constant (time-independent) wave vector,  $n$  denotes the wave number related to  $\mathbf{n}$  by  $n = \sqrt{\mathbf{n}^2}$  and defines the wavelength  $\lambda = \frac{2\pi a}{n}$ , where  $a$  is the scale factor.

The  $q$ -numbers  $a_n^{(s)}(\eta)$ ,  $a_n^{(s)\dagger}(\eta)$  are annihilation and creation operators for waves (particles) travelling in the direction of  $\mathbf{n}$ . These are Heisenberg operators depending on the conformal time  $\eta$ . The evolution is governed by the Heisenberg equation of motion ( $\hbar = 1$ )

$$\frac{d}{d\eta} a_n^{(s)} = -i [a_n^{(s)}, H] \quad , \quad \frac{d}{d\eta} a_n^{(s)\dagger} = -i [a_n^{(s)\dagger}, H] \quad (4.39)$$

for each mode  $\mathbf{n}$  in correspondence of the polarization index  $s = 1, 2$ , where  $H$  is the Hamiltonian of the problem. Now we observe that the classical equation of motion (4.9) is the Euler-Lagrange equation derived from the Lagrangian (for  $y = y^*$ )

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \left[ y'^2 - n^2 y^2 - 2 \frac{a'}{a} y y' + \left( \frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 y^2 \right] \quad , \quad (4.40)$$

whose corresponding Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \left[ p_y^2 + n^2 y^2 + 2 \frac{a'}{a} y p_y \right] \quad , \quad (4.41)$$

where  $p_y$ , the momentum conjugate to  $y$ , is defined by

$$p_y = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y'} = y' - \frac{a'}{a} y \quad .$$

In the quantum treatment,  $y$  and  $p_y$  are operators satisfying the commutation relation  $[y, p_y] = i$ . The annihilation and creation operators  $\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{b}^\dagger$  associated with  $y$  and  $p_y$  are

$$\hat{b} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \left( y + \frac{i}{n} p_y \right) \quad , \quad \hat{b}^\dagger = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} \left( y - \frac{i}{n} p_y \right) \quad ,$$

with  $[\hat{b}, \hat{b}^\dagger] = \hat{1}$ . In terms of  $\hat{b}, \hat{b}^\dagger$ , the Hamiltonian (4.41) acquires the form

$$H = n \left( \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \lambda(\eta) \hat{b}^{\dagger 2} + \lambda^*(\eta) \hat{b}^2 \quad , \quad (4.42)$$

where the coupling function  $\lambda(\eta)$  is given by  $\lambda(\eta) = i \frac{a'}{2a}$ . So, the solution  $|\Psi(\eta)\rangle$  of the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{d}{d\eta} |\Psi(\eta)\rangle = H |\Psi(\eta)\rangle$$

with  $H$  given by (4.42), can be expressed by

$$|\Psi_{out}\rangle = S(z) R(\gamma) |\Psi_{in}\rangle \quad , \quad (4.43)$$

where  $S(z)$  is the one-mode squeeze operator (2.3) and  $R(\gamma) = e^{i\gamma \hat{b}_0^\dagger \hat{b}_0}$  is the one-mode rotation operator [4] (see also Section 5, where the meaning of the  $S(z)R(\gamma)$  as an evolution operator is discussed). One can interpret Eq. (4.43) as a relation which transforms an initial quantum state  $|\Psi_{in}\rangle$  describing a given particle into a final quantum state  $|\Psi_{out}\rangle$ . In particular, the initial vacuum state  $|0\rangle$  for a particle transforms into a one-mode squeezed state. This situation can be extended to two-mode squeezed states by dealing with complex  $y$  (see *e.g.* [1]). As it was shown in [1], complex solutions correspond to the decomposition of the gravitational field over travelling waves, whereas real solution is over standing waves.

#### 4.5 Quantum gravitational waves: theory in terms of the auxiliary field $\sigma(\eta)$

The approach to the study of gravitational waves we are going to present in the paper is developed starting from the classical Kanai-Caldirola Hamiltonian [28,29], Eq. (4.16). As we have already pointed out at the end of Subsection 4.1, Eq. (4.13) can be regarded, in fact, as the equation of motion of an oscillator with time-dependent mass  $m = a^2$  and constant frequency  $\bar{\omega} = n^2 + K$ , that is

$$q'' + \frac{m'}{m}q' + \bar{\omega}q = 0 ,$$

described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\bar{\omega}^2}{2}q^2 .$$

So, we make the fundamental identification of the whole temporal part  $h_0$  of metric fluctuation amplitude as the basic "coordinate" variable to quantize as such. (Recall that the procedure for the quantization of gravitational waves reviewed in Subsection 4.4 is based on the identification of  $y = a h_0$  as the variable to quantize). As a consequence, the quantum theory of gravitational waves turns out to be completely equivalent to the quantum theory of the Kanai-Caldirola oscillator which can be described by a Hamiltonian of the type (3.14) with  $\omega(t) = \bar{\omega}$ . On the grounds of what we learned in Section 2 and Section 3, above identification suggests us a route which can be successfully pursued whenever we are interested in the characterization of physical effects (quantum decoherence, squeezing, particle production etc.) emerging from the study of inflationary models in the early universe. Next sections will be devoted to a preliminary exploration of the effectiveness of the idea in the context of expanding universe cosmological models.

## 5 The evolution operator method and $SU(1,1)$ time-dependent Hamiltonians

Let us consider a generalized oscillator described by a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H(t) = \rho_1(t) J_0 + \rho_2(t) J_+ + \rho_3(t) J_- , \quad (5.1)$$

where  $J_0, J_+, J_-$  are the generators of the Lie group  $SU(1,1)$ , whose commutation relations are defined by (3.1), and  $\rho_1(t), \rho_2(t), \rho_3(t)$  are explicitly time-dependent functions.

The Schrödinger equation corresponding to the Hamiltonian (5.1) is

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Phi(t)\rangle = H |\Phi(t)\rangle . \quad (5.2)$$

Now let us introduce the evolution operator  $U(t,0)$  defined by

$$|\Phi(t)\rangle = U(t,0) |\Phi(0)\rangle \quad (5.3)$$

where  $|\Phi(0)\rangle$  is the wave function at time  $t = 0$ . Equation (5.2) yields

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t,0) = H U(t,0) , \quad (5.4)$$

with the initial condition  $U(0,0) = \hat{1}$ .

Since  $su(1,1)$  is a closed Lie algebra, the evolution operator  $U(t,0)$  can be written as

$$U(t,0) = e^{c_1(t) J_+} e^{c_2(t) J_+} e^{c_3(t) J_-} , \quad (5.5)$$

where the functions  $c_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ) are to be determined.

Following the technique developed in [16,15,32], we are led to the system of (ordinary) differential equations for the coefficients  $c_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ):

$$\dot{c}_1 = c_2 + \tilde{\rho}_1 c_1 + \tilde{\rho}_3 c_1^2 , \quad \dot{c}_2 = \tilde{\rho}_1 + 2\tilde{\rho}_3 c_1 , \quad \dot{c}_3 = \tilde{\rho}_3 e^{c_2} , \quad (5.6)$$

where  $\dot{c}_j = \frac{d}{dt} c_j$ ,  $\tilde{\rho}_j = \frac{\rho_j}{i\hbar}$ , and the initial conditions

$$c_1(0) = c_2(0) = c_3(0) = 0 \quad (5.7)$$

are assumed. System (5.6) can be solved to find

$$c_1 = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_3} \frac{\dot{f}}{f} , \quad c_2 = \int^t \tilde{\rho}_1(t') dt' - 2 \ln \left| \frac{f(t)}{f(0)} \right| , \quad c_3 = \int^t \tilde{\rho}_3(t') e^{c_2(t')} dt' , \quad (5.8)$$

where  $f(t)$  fulfills the constraint

$$\ddot{f} - \left( \frac{\dot{\tilde{\rho}}_3}{\tilde{\rho}_3} + \tilde{\rho}_1 \right) \dot{f} + \tilde{\rho}_2 \tilde{\rho}_3 f = 0 . \quad (5.9)$$

At this stage we shall use the realizations (3.3)-(3.5) and (3.9)-(3.11) of the noncompact Lie group  $SU(1,1)$ .

We have:

**Case 1** *The evolution operator for the realization (3.3)-(3.5).*

The Hamiltonian (5.1) takes the form

$$H = \frac{P^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2(t)Q^2, \quad (5.10)$$

where

$$\rho_1 = 0, \quad \rho_2 = -i\hbar\omega^2, \quad \rho_3 = \frac{-i\hbar}{m}, \quad (5.11)$$

and the constraint (5.9) reads

$$\ddot{f} + \frac{\dot{m}}{m}\dot{f} + \omega^2 f = 0. \quad (5.12)$$

On the other hand, coefficients  $c_j(t)$  are expressed by (see (5.8)):

$$c_1(t) = m(t)\frac{\dot{f}}{f}, \quad c_2(t) = -2\ln\left|\frac{f(t)}{f(0)}\right|, \quad c_3 = -f^2(0)\int_{t_0}^t \frac{dt'}{m(t')f^2(t')}. \quad (5.13)$$

In the gravitational context, *i.e.* for

$$m(t) \rightarrow a^2(\eta), \quad M \rightarrow 2\frac{a'(\eta)}{a(\eta)}, \quad \omega^2(t) \rightarrow \bar{\omega}^2 = n^2 + K, \quad (5.14)$$

where the prime ' means  $\frac{d}{d\eta}$ , the constraint (5.12) reads

$$f'' + 2\frac{a'}{a}f' + \bar{\omega}^2 f = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

Then, via the transformation

$$f = \frac{a(\eta_0)}{a(\eta)} y(\eta), \quad (5.16)$$

Equation (5.15) takes the form

$$y'' + \left(\bar{\omega}^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)y = 0. \quad (5.17)$$

We point out that in the case of the de Sitter universe with space curvature  $K = 0$ , Eq. (5.17) becomes just Eq. (4.19). The auxiliary equation associated with (5.17) is

$$\sigma'' + \left(\bar{\omega}^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)\sigma = \frac{\kappa}{\sigma^3}. \quad (5.18)$$

In terms of the scale factor  $a(\eta)$  and solution  $y(\eta)$  of the wave equation (5.17), coefficients (5.13) are

$$c_1 = \frac{y'}{y}a^2 - aa', \quad c_2 = \ln\left(\frac{a y_0}{a_0 y}\right)^2, \quad c_3 = -\frac{y_0^2}{a_0^2}\int_{\eta_0}^{\eta} \frac{d\eta'}{y^2}, \quad (5.19)$$

where  $c_j = c_j(\eta)$ ,  $a = a(\eta)$ ,  $y = y(\eta)$ ,  $a_0 = a(\eta_0)$  and  $y_0 = y(\eta_0)$ .

Putting the coefficients (5.19) in (5.5), where  $y$  represents the general solution of equation (5.17), we obtain the explicit form of the evolution operator  $U(\eta, 0)$ .

In the case of the de Sitter era, where

$$a = -\frac{1}{H_0\eta}, \quad H_0 = \frac{a'}{a^2} = \text{const.}, \quad (5.20)$$

$H_0$  is the Hubble parameter and  $\eta$  ranges from  $-\infty$  and 0, Equation (5.17) coincides with (4.19) whose solution  $y$  (see (4.20)) has to be replaced in (5.19).

Now, let us define the operator

$$A(t) = U(t, 0) \hat{a}_0 U^\dagger(t, 0) \quad (5.21)$$

where  $t$  is the usual time variable and  $\hat{a}_0 = \hat{a}(t)|_{t=t_0}$  is the fixed annihilation operator.

Then, if the wave function  $|\Phi(0)\rangle$  denotes a coherent state  $|\Phi(0)\rangle = |\alpha\rangle$  at  $t = 0$ , in the sense that

$$|\alpha\rangle = \hat{a}_0 |0\rangle = D(\alpha) |0\rangle, \quad (5.22)$$

where  $D(\alpha)$  is the Weyl operator (2.2) and  $\alpha = |\alpha| e^{i\varphi}$ , the wave function  $|\Phi(t)\rangle$  at any later time  $t$  is a coherent state with respect to the operator (5.21) [16,15]:

$$A(t) |\Phi(t)\rangle = \alpha |\Phi(t)\rangle. \quad (5.23)$$

One can show [16,15] that the operator (5.21) is connected by the operators  $\hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_0^\dagger$  through the Bogolubov transformation

$$A(t) = \eta_1(t) \hat{a}_0 + \eta_2(t) \hat{a}_0^\dagger, \quad (5.24)$$

where  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  (the Bogolubov coefficients) are given by

$$\eta_1(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{c_2}{2}} \left[ 1 - c_1 c_3 + e^{c_2} - \frac{ic_1}{m_0 \omega_0} - ic_3 m_0 \omega_0 \right], \quad (5.25)$$

$$\eta_2(t) = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{c_2}{2}} \left[ 1 + c_1 c_3 - e^{c_2} + \frac{ic_1}{m_0 \omega_0} - ic_3 m_0 \omega_0 \right], \quad (5.26)$$

with the property

$$|\eta_1|^2 - |\eta_2|^2 = 1 \quad (5.27)$$

In the case of the de Sitter universe, the coefficients  $c_j(t) \rightarrow c_j(\eta)$  involved in the operator  $U(t, 0)$  can be explicitly determined. Furthermore, the Bogolubov coefficients (5.25) and (5.26) can be evaluated and, consequently, the operator (5.24).

Taking account of (5.20) and recalling that in the de Sitter situation  $y$  is given by (4.20), choosing by wave of example  $\kappa_2 = 0$ , we get

$$y(\eta) = \kappa_1 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left( \frac{\sin n\eta}{n\eta} - \cos n\eta \right). \quad (5.28)$$

Hence, the quantities (5.19) read

$$c_1(\eta) = \frac{n^2}{H_0^2} \frac{\sin n\eta}{\eta(\sin n\eta - n\eta \cos n\eta)}, \quad (5.29)$$

$$c_2(\eta) = \ln \left[ \frac{\sin n\eta_0 - n\eta_0 \cos n\eta_0}{\sin n\eta - n\eta \cos n\eta} \right]^2, \quad (5.30)$$

$$c_3(\eta) = \frac{H_0^2}{n^3} (\sin n\eta_0 - n\eta_0 \cos n\eta_0)^2 \left[ \frac{n\eta \sin n\eta + \cos n\eta}{\sin n\eta - n\eta \cos n\eta} - \frac{n\eta_0 \sin n\eta_0 + \cos n\eta_0}{\sin n\eta_0 - n\eta_0 \cos n\eta_0} \right]. \quad (5.31)$$

From (5.24) we deduce

$$[A(t), A^\dagger(t)] = \hat{1}, \quad (5.32)$$

*i.e.* the transformation (5.24) leaves the commutator invariant and, therefore, it constitutes a canonical transformation, like the transformations (2.5) and (2.6).

A theorem of Von Neumann [33,34] ensures that every canonical transformation from  $(\hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_0^\dagger)$  to, say,  $(b(\hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_0^\dagger), b^\dagger(\hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_0^\dagger))$  can be represented as a unitary transformation, *i.e.*  $b(\hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_0^\dagger) = U \hat{a}_0 U^\dagger$  for a unitary operator. An example is given by (5.21).

The result (5.23) with  $A(t)$  expressed by (5.24) tells us that the wave function  $|\Phi(t)\rangle$  is a squeezed state. Indeed, the operator (5.21) has the same form as the operator exploited by Yuen [3] to settle up the theory of two-photon coherent states (or squeezed states, in the Stoler's terminology [2,17]) of the radiation field. Consequently, the wave function  $|\Phi(t)\rangle$  initially (at  $t = t_0$ ) describes a *coherent state* which evolves as a squeezed state at later times  $t$ .

In order to establish a comparison between the group framework and the approach grounded on the auxiliary field  $\sigma(t)$  first we notice that the property (5.27) allows us to put

$$\cosh r = |\eta_1|, \quad \sinh r = |\eta_2|, \quad (5.33)$$

where  $r = |z|$  is the *squeeze factor* (see (2.3) and (2.5)), and

$$|\eta_1| = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{c_2}{2}} \left[ (1 - c_1 c_3 + e^{c_2})^2 + \left( \frac{c_1}{m_0 \omega_0} + c_3 m_0 \omega_0 \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad (5.34)$$

$$|\eta_2| = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{c_2}{2}} \left[ (1 + c_1 c_3 - e^{c_2})^2 + \left( \frac{c_1}{m_0 \omega_0} - c_3 m_0 \omega_0 \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}. \quad (5.35)$$

In the  $SU(1, 1)$  group scheme, substitution from (5.19) in (5.34) and (5.35) gives rise to the following variances of  $Q$  and  $P$  between coherent states:

$$\langle \alpha | Q | \alpha \rangle \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_0 m_0}} e^{-\frac{c_2}{2}}, \quad \langle \alpha | P | \alpha \rangle \sim \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega_0 m_0}{2}} e^{\frac{c_2}{2}}, \quad (5.36)$$

for  $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$ . Since, in general,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha | Q | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | P | \alpha \rangle &= \frac{\hbar}{2} |\eta_1 + \eta_2| |\eta_1 - \eta_2| = \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} |1 - i c_3 m_0 \omega_0| \left| 1 - \left( c_1 c_3 + \frac{i c_1}{m_0 \omega_0} \right) e^{-c_2} \right| \geq \frac{\hbar}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

for  $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$  one finds the minimum value  $\langle \alpha | Q | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | P | \alpha \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ . Therefore, the squeezing in the fluctuation of the operator  $Q$  is obtained at the expense of an increase in the fluctuation of the operator  $P$ . This property reflects on a similar property for the wave function  $|\Phi(t)\rangle$  solution of the Schrödinger equation (5.2). In our approach, the uncertainty relation (5.37) corresponds to formula (2.51), where the minimum value  $\frac{\hbar}{2}$  is attained for  $\dot{\sigma} = \frac{M}{2}\sigma$ . Moreover, as we have described in Eqs. (2.23) and (2.24), the squeezed state  $|\Phi'(t)\rangle = S(r) |\Phi(t)\rangle$  of a given system, where  $S$  is defined by (2.2), is such that Eqs. (2.25) and (2.26) hold. That is,  $|\Phi'(t)\rangle$  represents the same system in the space of the position  $Q$  reduced by the factor  $e^{-r}$  and increased in the space of the omentum  $P$  by the factor  $e^r$ .

**Case 2** *The evolution operator for the realization (3.9)-(3.11).*

Taking account of the realization (3.9)-(3.11), the quantum version of the Hamiltonian (4.16) takes the form (3.14), where

$$\rho_1 = \hbar [|\xi|^2 + \omega^2(t) \sigma^2] \ , \ \rho_2 = \rho_3^* = \hbar [\xi^{*2} + \omega^2(t) \sigma^2] \ . \quad (5.38)$$

Following the same procedure outlined in Subsection 6.4 for Case 1, we arrive at the coefficients  $c_j$  involved in the evolution operator  $U(t, 0)$  (see (5.5)). They are given by

$$c_1 = -\frac{i}{\xi^2 + \omega^2 \sigma^2} \frac{g'}{g} \ , \quad (5.39)$$

$$c_2 = -i \int^t \left( |\xi|^2 + \omega^2 \sigma^2 \right) dt' - 2 \ln \left| \frac{g(t)}{g(0)} \right| \ , \quad (5.40)$$

$$c_3 = -i \int^t \left( \xi^2 + \omega^2 \sigma^2 \right) e^{c_2} dt' \ , \quad (5.41)$$

where the function  $g(t)$  has to verify the constraint

$$g'' + \Gamma_1(t) g' + \Gamma_2(t) g = 0 \ , \quad (5.42)$$

$\omega = \omega(t)$ ,  $\xi$  is given by (2.11) , and the coefficients  $\Gamma_1(t)$ ,  $\Gamma_2(t)$  are defined by

$$\Gamma_1(t) = - \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \ln (\xi^2 + \omega^2 \sigma^2) - i \left( |\xi|^2 + \omega^2 \sigma^2 \right) \right] \ , \quad (5.43)$$

$$\Gamma_2(t) = - \left| (\xi^2 + \omega^2 \sigma^2) \right|^2 \ . \quad (5.44)$$

By eliminating the first derivative  $g'$  by means of the transformation

$$g(t) = W(t) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int^t \Gamma_1(t') dt'} , \quad (5.45)$$

from (5.42) we have the second order ordinary differential equation

$$W'' + \Lambda^2(t) W = 0 , \quad (5.46)$$

where

$$\Lambda^2(t) = \frac{1}{4} [4\Gamma_2(t) - 2\Gamma_1'(t) - \Gamma_1^2(t)] . \quad (5.47)$$

By virtue of the transformation (5.45), the coefficients (5.39)-(5.41) become

$$c_1 = -\frac{i}{\xi^2 + \omega^2 \sigma^2} \left[ \frac{W'}{W} + \frac{(\xi^2 + \omega^2 \sigma^2)'}{2(\xi^2 + \omega^2 \sigma^2)} - \frac{i}{2} (|\xi|^2 + \omega^2 \sigma^2) \right] , \quad (5.48)$$

$$c_2 = -\ln \frac{g^2(0)}{W^2(\xi^2 + \omega^2 \sigma^2)} , \quad (5.49)$$

$$c_3 = -ig^2(0) \int^t \frac{dt'}{W^2(t')} , \quad (5.50)$$

where  $W(t)$  is a solution of Eq. (5.46) and the auxiliary field  $\sigma(t)$  is determined by the nonlinear equation (4.21).

The realization (3.6)-(3.8) produces slightly more complicated relations for the coefficients  $c_j(t)$ , but it is more adherent to the spirit of our work, in the sense that all the quantities of interest can be expressed in terms of the auxiliary field  $\sigma(t)$ .

Anyway, we observe that as far as the squeezing properties are concerned, it was shown in [36] that the evolution operator  $U(t, 0)$  can be obtained as the product  $U(t, 0) = S(z) R(\gamma)$ , where  $S(z)$  is the squeeze operator and  $R$  is the rotation operator,  $R(\gamma) = e^{i\gamma \hat{a}_0^\dagger \hat{a}_0}$ .

For the initial oscillating mode operator  $\hat{a}_0$  we have the transformation

$$R^\dagger(\gamma) \hat{a}_0 R(\gamma) = e^{i\gamma} \hat{a}_0 , \quad (5.51)$$

so that the operator (5.21) can be written as

$$A(t) = S(z) R(\gamma) \hat{a}_0 R^\dagger S^\dagger(z) = e^{-i\gamma} S(z) \hat{a}_0 S^\dagger(z) = e^{-i\gamma} \tilde{b} , \quad (5.52)$$

where the pair of operators  $\tilde{b}, \tilde{b}^\dagger$  constitutes the canonical transformation

$$\tilde{b} = S \hat{a}_0 S^\dagger = \hat{a}_0 \cosh r - \hat{a}_0^\dagger e^{i\phi} \sinh r , \quad (5.53)$$

$$\tilde{b}^\dagger = S \hat{a}_0^\dagger S^\dagger = \hat{a}_0^\dagger \cosh r - \hat{a}_0 e^{-i\phi} \sinh r , \quad (5.54)$$

directly related to  $b, b^\dagger$  in (2.5)-(2.6).

Formula (5.52) tells us that in solving the Schrödinger equation (5.2) and to describe the coherent state evolution (5.23), the Bogolubov transformation represents an essential milestone. On the other hand, the coefficients  $\cosh r$  and  $\sinh r$  present in  $b, b^\dagger$  (or  $\tilde{b}, \tilde{b}^\dagger$ ), where  $r$  denotes the squeeze parameter, can be identified by  $\eta_1(t), \eta_2(t)$  in the group theoretical framework (see (5.34)-(5.35)) and by  $\mu(t), \nu(t)$  in our approach (see (2.49),  $m \rightarrow a^2(\eta)$ ).

## 6 Applications

By taking full advantages of the formalism introduced in Section 2, we are in the position to study the dynamical system of the cosmological interest which are described by time-dependent oscillators. In doing so, a key point is the characterization of constants  $A, B, C$  in Eq. (2.35). It is concerned with the initial (and boundary) conditions. As we have already seen, in our realization of the Heisenberg formalism, all dynamical aspects under time evolution are enclosed into the function  $\sigma$ , which obeys the second order nonlinear differential equation (2.12). A general condition on  $A, B, C$  is provided by Eq. (2.36). It is not enough, however. Specification of the value and the first time derivative of  $\sigma$  at fixed time is thereby needed. Another condition is associated with the requirement that at the initial time  $\eta_{in}$  the time-dependent annihilation and creation operators, derived from Eq. (2.10), go into the standard Dirac-like form, Eq. (2.47). As for the final condition, it reveals to be helpful that in most cases we want the state at initial time to correspond to a vacuum state. This can be achieved easily under the minimization requirement for  $E_{NM} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( \frac{M}{2} \sigma - \dot{\sigma} \right)^2$ . Indeed, since it provides a measure of the decoherence at the time  $\eta$ , it has to be vanishing when referring to a vacuum state at the initial time  $\eta = \eta_i$ . Under these circumstances, the whole set of initial conditions for  $\sigma$  is given by

$$\begin{cases} AB - C^2 = \frac{\kappa}{W_0^2}, \\ \sigma(t_0) - (4\omega^2)^{-1/2} = 0, \\ \dot{\sigma}(t_0) - \frac{M(t_0)}{2} \sigma(t_0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6.55)$$

In a cosmological framework of the FRW type, above system is translated into

$$\begin{cases} AB - C^2 = \frac{\kappa}{W_0^2}, \\ \sigma(\eta_i) = [4(n^2 + K)]^{-1/2}, \\ \sigma'(\eta_i) - \frac{a'(\eta_i)}{\sqrt{4n^2} a(\eta_i)} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (6.56)$$

In order to proceed with concrete analysis, it is very customary to resort to the spatially flat inflationary model based on the de Sitter metric (4.18). However, a more general and realistic description of the inflation may be provided by a quasi-de Sitter spacetime (see *e.g.* [37]). In this case, the Hubble rate is not exactly constant but, rather, it weakly conformal changes with time according to  $\tilde{H}' = -\epsilon a^2 \tilde{H}^2$  (that is,  $aa'' = (2-\epsilon) a^4 \tilde{H}^2 = (2-\epsilon) a'^2$ ) where  $\epsilon$  is a constant parameter. When  $\epsilon$  vanishes one gets just the ordinary de Sitter spacetime. For small values of  $\epsilon$ , a quasi de Sitter spacetime is associated with the scale factor

$$a(\eta) = \frac{-1}{\tilde{H}(1-\epsilon)\eta} \quad (6.57)$$

( $\eta < 0$ ). In the quasi-de Sitter spatially flat scenario, Eq. (4.9) reads

$$y'' + \left[ n^2 - \frac{(2+3\epsilon)}{(1-\epsilon)^2 \eta^2} \right] y = 0 \quad (6.58)$$

and can be solved in terms of Bessel functions. Precisely, one has the two independent solutions

$$y_1 = \sqrt{-n\eta} J_\nu(-n\eta) \quad , \quad y_2 = \sqrt{-n\eta} Y_\nu(-n\eta) \quad (6.59)$$

where  $\nu = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{(2+3\epsilon)}{(\epsilon-1)^2}}$ . The procedure outlined in previous Sections can be applied and we are led to the introduction of the basic function

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= (Ay_1^2 + By_2^2 + 2Cy_1y_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \\ &= \sqrt{-n\eta} \{AJ_\nu^2(-n\eta) + BY_\nu^2(-n\eta) + 2CJ_\nu(-n\eta)Y_\nu(-n\eta)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (6.60)$$

where  $A, B, C$  are determined by means of the system (6.56),  $\eta_i$  denoting the conformal time of beginning of the inflation. Once we are interested in a situation in which the system started very far in the past in a vacuum state, the Bessel function expansions

$$\begin{aligned} J_\nu(-n\eta) &\sim \sqrt{-\frac{2}{\pi n\eta}} \left[ \cos(-n\eta - \frac{\nu}{2}\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}) + O\left(\frac{1}{n\eta}\right) \right] , \\ Y_\nu(-n\eta) &\sim \sqrt{-\frac{2}{\pi n\eta}} \left[ \sin(-n\eta - \frac{\nu}{2}\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}) + O\left(\frac{1}{n\eta}\right) \right] , \end{aligned}$$

for  $\nu$  fixed and  $n\eta \rightarrow -\infty$  assists us in finding suitable constants  $A, B, C$ . By taking arbitrary large negative initial times, the leading terms of Bessel functions  $J_\nu, Y_\nu$  give rise to the following behavior for the function

$$\sigma(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left\{ A + (B - A) \sin^2(-n\eta - \frac{\nu}{2}\pi - \frac{\pi}{4}) + C \sin(-2n\eta - \nu\pi - \frac{\pi}{2}) + O\left(\frac{1}{n\eta}\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} .$$

Once the limit  $\eta_i \ll 0$  is concerned a natural choice is given by  $A = B = \frac{\pi}{4n}$ ,  $C = 0$  (recall that we already found this result in Section 4.3 for the case  $\nu = 3/2$  associated with the standard de Sitter metric background). So we obtain

$$\sigma(\eta) = \sqrt{-\frac{\pi}{4}\eta} \{J_\nu^2(-n\eta) + Y_\nu^2(-n\eta)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{-\frac{\pi}{4}\eta} |H_\nu^1(-n\eta)| . \quad (6.61)$$

In the light of our previous results, the decoherence energy  $E_{NM}$  at the time  $\eta$  of gravitational waves in a quasi-de Sitter model of inflation can be evaluated by inserting (6.61) into formula (2.31). It then results

$$E_{NM} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \sigma' - \frac{a(\eta)'}{a(\eta)} \sigma \right]^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[ \sigma' + \frac{\sigma}{(1-\epsilon)\eta} \right]^2$$

where

$$\sigma' + \frac{\sigma}{(1-\epsilon)\eta} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left\{ \frac{n}{2} \frac{\sqrt{-\eta}}{|H_\nu^1(-n\eta)|} (H_\nu^{1*} H_{\nu+1} + c.c) - \left( \nu + \frac{3-\epsilon}{2(1-\epsilon)} \right) \frac{|H_\nu^1(-n\eta)|}{\sqrt{-\eta}} \right\} . \quad (6.62)$$

Moreover, since

$$\mu(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2(\eta)}{2n a^2(\eta_i)}} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2\sigma} + \frac{n a^2(\eta_i)}{a^2(\eta)} \sigma(\eta) \right] - i \left[ \sigma' - \frac{a'}{a} \sigma \right] \right\}, \quad (6.63)$$

$$\nu(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2(\eta)}{2n a^2(\eta_i)}} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2\sigma} - \frac{n a^2(\eta_i)}{a^2(\eta)} \sigma(\eta) \right] - i \left[ \sigma' - \frac{a'}{a} \sigma \right] \right\} \quad (6.64)$$

at an arbitrary time  $\eta$  the Bogolubov coefficients are given by

$$\mu(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \left( \frac{\eta_i}{\eta} \right)^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}}} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2\sigma} + \left( \frac{\eta}{\eta_i} \right)^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}} n\sigma \right] - i \left[ \sigma' + \frac{\sigma}{(1-\epsilon)\eta} \right] \right\}, \quad (6.65)$$

$$\nu(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \left( \frac{\eta_i}{\eta} \right)^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}}} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2\sigma} - \left( \frac{\eta}{\eta_i} \right)^{\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}} n\sigma \right] - i \left[ \sigma' + \frac{\sigma}{(1-\epsilon)\eta} \right] \right\} \quad (6.66)$$

with  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma' + \frac{\sigma}{(1-\epsilon)\eta}$  furnished by (6.61) and (6.62), respectively. Finally, the phase  $\theta$  can be evaluated. Due to Eq. (2.46), we get

$$\theta(\eta) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{i\sqrt{2n}} \ln \frac{\psi(\eta)}{\psi^*(\eta)} \Big|_{\eta_i}^{\eta}, \quad (6.67)$$

where

$$\psi(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4n}} e^{i\alpha} [y_1 + iy_2] = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4n}} e^{i\alpha} \sqrt{-n\eta} H_{\nu}^1.$$

That is,

$$\theta(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{n}} \theta_{\nu}^1 \Big|_{\eta_i}^{\eta}$$

where  $\theta_{\nu}^1$  denotes the phase of the Hankel function  $H_{\nu}^1$ .

It is now instructive to focusing on a standard Sitter inflation. In this case  $\epsilon = 0$ ,  $\nu = \frac{3}{2}$  and Eqs. (4.28), (4.34) yield

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n^2\eta^2}}. \quad (6.68)$$

So, the integration of  $\sigma^{-2}$  is straight,

$$\int \sigma^{-2} d\eta = 4n^2 \int \frac{n^2\eta^2}{1 + n^2\eta^2} d\eta = 4n^2 \left[ \eta - \frac{\tanh^{-1}(n\eta)}{n} \right]. \quad (6.69)$$

Above two formulas provide the standard exact (and normalized) solution for the quantum fluctuations of a generic massless scalar field during a de Sitter inflation (see discussion in the Appendix and Eq. (A.9)). Yet, it is interesting to

consider a very long inflation by letting make the system evolve towards  $\eta \rightarrow 0$ . In this case  $E_{NM}$  simply reads

$$E_{NM}(\eta) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \left( \dot{\sigma} - \frac{M}{2} \sigma \right)^2 = \frac{\hbar}{4} \frac{n}{1 + n^2 \eta^2} . \quad (6.70)$$

Interestingly, the decoherence energy at  $\eta = 0$  is finite. Moreover, in the standard de Sitter phase, from (6.68) we obtain the Bogolubov coefficients

$$\mu(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{n^2 \eta_i^2}}{\sqrt{1 + n^2 \eta^2}} \left\{ \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\eta_i^2 n^2} (1 + n^2 \eta^2) \right] - \frac{i}{n\eta} \right\} , \quad (6.71)$$

$$\nu(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{n^2 \eta_i^2}}{\sqrt{1 + n^2 \eta^2}} \left\{ \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\eta_i^2 n^2} (1 + n^2 \eta^2) \right] - \frac{i}{n\eta} \right\} , \quad (6.72)$$

which in turns imply that

$$|\nu(\eta)|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\eta_i}{\eta} - \frac{\eta}{\eta_i} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4n^2 \eta_i^2} \quad (6.73)$$

particles are created out the vacuum at the time  $\eta$ .

In more refined studies of cosmological effects in expanding universe, it turns out to be useful to introduce a cosmological model which allows one to take into account different evolutionary phases of the universe. Once the model has been specified and the equation (4.9) solved, one can get an insight into physical effects associated with different cosmological stages. For instance, one can consider a simple cosmological model which includes the inflationary (*i*), radiation-dominated (*e*) and matter-dominated (*m*) epochs [39]. The scale factor has the following dependence on the conformal time

$$\begin{cases} a_i(\eta) = -\frac{1}{H_0 \eta} , & \eta_i \leq \eta \leq \eta_e < 0 \\ a_e(\eta) = \frac{(\eta - 2\eta_e)}{H_0 \eta_e^2} , & \eta_e \leq \eta \leq \eta_m , \\ a_m(\eta) = \frac{(\eta + \eta_m - 4\eta_e)^2}{4H_0 \eta_e^2 (\eta_m - 2\eta_e)} , & \eta \geq \eta_m . \end{cases} \quad (6.74)$$

where  $H_0$  denotes the Hubble constant at the inflationary stage, and  $\eta_i$  represents the beginning of the expansion. In order to determine the  $\eta$ -dependent amplitude  $h_n$  for each epoch we have to solve Eq. (4.9) with the corresponding effective potential  $V(\eta) = a''/a$ . Equation (4.9) can be written as

$$y'' + \Omega^2(\eta) y = 0 \quad (6.75)$$

with the following varying frequencies:

$$\Omega_i^2 = \left( n^2 - \frac{2}{\eta^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} , \quad \Omega_e = n , \quad \Omega_m = \left[ n^2 - \frac{2}{(\eta + \eta_m - 4\eta_e)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} . \quad (6.76)$$

Notice that the frequency  $\Omega_e$  is constant, while  $\Omega_i$  and  $\Omega_m$  are varying with the same temporal dependence. The frequency  $\Omega_i$  characterizes the de Sitter era. The related equation of motion (6.75) has already been solved in Subsection 4.2. Taking care about matching data at  $\eta_e$  and  $\eta_m$  is needed for the knowledge of the complete form of  $\sigma$ . The associated  $\sigma$ 's and their derivatives have to join continuously at  $\eta_e$  and  $\eta_m$ , in fact. This step is needed to obtain all the physical informations implied in formulas for the Bogolubov coefficients, the decoherence energy, the gravitational phase and squeezing. Having in mind our previous discussion, employing the model by considering a quasi-de Sitter phase is straight.

In general, the vacuum expectation value of the number operator and the other quantities of the physical interest vary slowly with time if the expansion rate becomes arbitrarily slow. In case the expansion is stopped one should be able to recover time-independent Dirac operators. However, the circumstance does not mean that Bogolubov coefficients trivialize. This is because loss of coherence previously occurred due to the expansion dynamics. A typical situation may be that  $\dot{\sigma}$  goes to zero but  $\sigma$  does not.  $E_{NM}$  goes to zero, indicating that when expansion is stopped the time-dependent gravitational pumping stops as well and there is no further decoherence. If expansion stops from time  $\eta_a$  to time  $\eta_b$ , then  $\forall \eta \in [\eta_a, \eta_b]$  one gets

$$\mu(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2(\eta_a)}{2na^2(\eta_i)}} \left[ \frac{1}{2\sigma(\eta_a)} + n \frac{a^2(\eta_i)}{a^2(\eta_a)} \sigma(\eta_a) \right], \quad (6.77)$$

$$\nu(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{a^2(\eta_a)}{2na^2(\eta_i)}} \left( \frac{1}{2\sigma(\eta_a)} - n \frac{a^2(\eta_i)}{a^2(\eta_a)} \sigma(\eta_a) \right), \quad (6.78)$$

which implies

$$|\mu(\eta)|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{a^2(\eta_a)}{4na^2(\eta_i) \sigma^2(\eta_a)} + n \frac{a^2(\eta_i)}{a^2(\eta_a)} \sigma^2(\eta_a) \right] \quad \forall \eta \in [\eta_a, \eta_b]. \quad (6.79)$$

## 7 Concluding remarks

The main results achieved in this paper have been presented and widely discussed in the Introduction. Therefore, we shall conclude to indicate some challenging perspectives which should be dwelt upon in future developments. Concerning future developments, before to conclude we would like to make a final comment. The evolution equation of a mode with comoving wavenumber  $n$  reduces to the harmonic oscillator equation with time-dependent mass and constant frequency. The approximation behind computations leading to the result actually are applicable only to the infrared region. On general grounds, one therefore expects that predictions for observables may depend sensitively on the physics on the length scales smaller than the Planck one. In order to take into account trans-Planckian physics, it has been recently suggested to make use of

effective dispersion relations (see *e.g.* [35]). The linear dispersion relation is thus replaced by a nonlinear one,  $n_{eff}^2 = a^2(\eta) F^2(n/a)$ , where  $F(n/a)$  is an arbitrary function required to behave linearly whenever  $n/a (= k)$  is below a certain threshold. A time dependent dispersion relation thus enters in the matter. As a consequence, the underlying dynamical model turns out to be that of the harmonic oscillator with both the mass  $m (= a^2)$  and the frequency  $\omega (= n_{eff})$  depending on time. In principle, its quantization can still be pursued by resorting to the formalism of Section 2 and it will be studied in details elsewhere. Nevertheless, a comment is in order. In the light of discussion in [14], one might wonder, in fact, on whether or not in the cosmological framework the minimum uncertainty criterium can be satisfied under time evolution for some physically reasonable function  $F$ . It is straightforwardly seen that this is not the case, generically speaking. Indeed, in the cosmological framework the criterium reads as  $a^2 n_{eff} = const$  and implies a purely cubic function  $F$ , say  $F = \alpha_0 n^3 / a^3$ . As a consequence, the uncertainty relation can be minimized only approximately. It is worth noting that this happens in the large wavenumbers limit of a special case of the generalized Corley-Jacobson dispersion relation introduced in [35] (see Equation (22) in [35]).

## Appendix: some useful formulas for the auxiliary field $\sigma(\eta)$

The auxiliary equation associated with

$$y'' + \Omega^2(\eta) y = 0 \tag{A.1}$$

is

$$\sigma'' + \Omega^2 \sigma = \frac{\kappa}{\sigma^3}, \tag{A.2}$$

$\kappa$  being a constant. Here we prove the relationship

$$\sigma = \frac{y}{c} \sqrt{\kappa + \left( c^2 \int_{\eta_0}^{\eta} \frac{d\eta'}{y^2(\eta')} \right)^2}, \tag{A.3}$$

where  $y$  satisfies Eq. (A.1) and  $c$  is defined below.

One of the five Noether invariants of Eq. (A.1) is [13]

$$I \equiv c^2 = (y'\sigma - \sigma'y)^2 + \kappa \frac{y^2}{\sigma}, \tag{A.4}$$

where  $\sigma$  obeys Eq. (A.2). Performing the change of variable  $\xi = \frac{y}{\sigma}$ , Eq. (A.4) can be written as

$$\kappa \xi^6 + y^4 \xi'^2 - c^2 \xi^4 = 0, \tag{A.5}$$

which can be easily integrated to obtain just Eq. (A.3).

Now, by setting

$$\rho(\eta) = c^2 \int_{\eta_0}^{\eta} \frac{d\eta'}{y^2(\eta')}, \tag{A.6}$$

Equation (A.3) reads

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{\rho'}(\kappa + \rho^2) , \quad (\text{A.7})$$

which implies

$$\rho(\eta) = \sqrt{\kappa} \tan \left[ \sqrt{\kappa} \int_{\eta_0}^{\eta} \frac{d\eta'}{\sigma^2(\eta')} + \delta \right] , \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where  $\delta$  is a constant of integration. Finally, recalling the definition (4.25) of the phase appearing in the theory of the auxiliary equation (A.2), we get

$$y(\eta) = \pm \frac{c}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sigma(\eta) \cos \left[ \sqrt{\kappa} \theta(\eta) + \delta \right] . \quad (\text{A.9})$$

## References

1. L.P. Grishchuk and Y.V. Sidorov, Phys. Rev. **D42**, 1413 (1990) .
2. D. Stoler, Phys. Rev. **D1**, 3217 (1970); **D4**, 1925 (1971).
3. H.P. Yuen, Phys. Rev. **A13**, 2226 (1976) .
4. B.L. Schumaker, Phys. Rep. **135**, 317 (1986).
5. N.D. Birrel and P.C.W. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space*, Cambridge Univ. Press., Cambridge (1994) .
6. L.P. Grishchuk, (1993) Class. Quantum Grav., **10**, 2449.
7. L.P. Grishchuk and Y.V. Sidorov, Class. Quant. Grav. **6**, L161-L165 (1989).
8. L.P. Grishchuk and Y.V. Sidorov, Phys. Rev. **D42**, 3413-3421 (1990).
9. L.P. Grishchuk, Class. Quant. Grav. **10**, 2449-2477 (1990).
10. S. Bose and L.P. Grishchuk, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 043529 (1990).
11. V.F. Mukhanov, H.A. Feldman and R.H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rep. **215**, 203 (1992).
12. M. Maggiore, Phys. Rep. **331**, 283 (2000).
13. G. Profilo and G. Soliani, Phys. Rev. **A44**, 2057 (1991) .
14. G. Landolfi, G. Ruggeri and G. Soliani, *Remarks on the minimum uncertainty states of generalized oscillators*, preprint, quant-ph/0307109 (2003).
15. C.M. Cheng and P.C.W. Fung, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **21**, 4115 (1988).
16. C.F. Lo, Nuovo Cimento **105B**, 497 (1990).
17. J.H. Hollenhorst, Phys. Rev. **D4**, 1925 (1971).

18. H.R. Lewis, Jr. and W.B. Riesenfeld, *J. Math. Phys.* **10**, 1458 (1969).
19. C.J. Eliezer and A. Gray, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **30**, 463 (1976).
20. V.P. Ermakov, *Univ. Izv. Kiev* **20**, No. 9, 1 (1880).
21. E. Pinney, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1**, 681 (1950).
22. S. Goff and D.F. St. Mary, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **140**, 95 (1989).
23. J.G. Hartley and J.R. Ray, *Phys. Rev.* **D25**, 382 (1982) .
24. I.A. Pedrosa, *Phys. Rev.* **D36**, 1279 (1987).
25. Xin Ma and W. Rhodes, *Phys. Rev.* **A39**, 1941 (1989).
26. E.C.G. Sudarshan and C.L. Metha, *Phys. Lett.* **22**, 574 (1966).
27. L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *The Classical theory of fields*, Pergamon, Oxford (1971).
28. E. Kanai, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **3**, 440 (1948).
29. L. Caldirola, *Nuovo Cimento* **B87**, 241 (1983).
30. Kin-Wang Ng and A.D. Speliotopoulos, *Phys. Rev.* **D51**, 5636 (1995).
31. I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, New York (1965).
32. C.C. Lam and P.C. Fung, *Phys. Rev.* **A27**, 1760 (1983).
33. J. Von Neumann, *Math. Ann.* **104**, 570 (1931).
34. R. Haag, in *Lectures in Theoretical Physics*, W.E. Brithin and A.O. Barut Eds., University of Colorado Press, Denver, 1960, vol. XX, p. 353.
35. J. Martin and R.H. Brandenberger, *Phys.Rev.* **D66**, 083514 (2002).
36. R. London and P.L. Knight, *J. Mod. Opt.* **34**, 709 (1987).
37. A. Riotto, *Inflation and the theory of cosmological perturbations*, Lectures delivered at the "ICTP Summer School on Astroparticle Physics and Cosmology", Trieste, 17 June - 5 July 2002, hep-ph/0210162 (2002).
38. There is no contradiction with the no particle creation statement which can be found, for instance, in [5]. In fact, there the discussion is developed for a conformal time ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$  and the result refers to Bogolubov transformations between *in* and *out* modes defined at  $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$  and  $\eta \rightarrow \infty$  respectively. Since asymptotic modes as  $\eta \rightarrow \pm \infty$  take the standard  $e^{-in\eta}$  form, the Bogolubov transformations between these in and out modes are therefore trivial. We would get the same result if we perform in our formulas the limit  $\eta \rightarrow -\eta_i$  for initial times going asymptotically far

in the past. Similar conclusions can be obtained by looking at the energy  $E_{NM}$ . Since we are interested in a de Sitter inflation finite in time, it makes sense to provide formulas for  $E_{NM}$  and  $|\nu|^2$  at arbitrary conformal times.

39. E.W. Kolb, H.S. Turner, *The early universe*, Addison-Wisley, Reading, 1990.