

Storage rings as detectors for relic gravitational-wave background ?

A. N. Ivanov,^{1,*} A. P. Kobushkin,^{2,†} and M. Wellenzohn^{1,3,‡}

¹*Atominstytut, Technische Universität Wien, Stadionallee 2, A-1020 Wien, Austria*

²*Bogoliubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, 03143,*

Kiev and Physical and Technical National University KPI, Prospect Pobedy 37, 03056, Kiev, Ukraine

³*FH Campus Wien, University of Applied Sciences, Favoritenstraße 226, 1100 Wien, Austria*

(Dated: March 31, 2021)

We argue that storage rings can be used for the detection of low-frequency gravitational-wave background. We explain the systematic shrinkage of the machine circumference of the storage ring of the SPring-8, observed by Takao and Shimada (Proceedings of EPAC 2000, Vienna, 2000, p.1572), by the influence of the relic gravitational-wave background. We show that the forces, related to the *stiffness* of the physical structures, governing the path of the beam, can be neglected for the analysis of the shrinkage of the machine circumference caused by the relic gravitational-wave background. We show that the systematic shrinkage of the machine circumference can be explained by a relic gravitational-wave background even if it is treated as a stochastic system incoming on the plane of the machine circumference from all quarters of the Universe. We show that the rate of the systematic shrinkage of the machine circumference does not depend on the radius of the storage ring and it should be universal for storage rings with any radius.

PACS numbers: 04.30.Nk, 04.80.Nn, 02.50.Ey

I. INTRODUCTION

The existence of gravitational waves has been predicted by Einstein [1, 2] within Einstein's general theory of relativity [3] (see also [4, 5]). Starting with the pioneering work by Weber [6] one of the most challenging problems of experimental physics is the detection of gravitational radiation. In the seventies of the last century the existence of gravitational waves has been confirmed indirectly in a set of accurate measurements of secular orbital period changes in the Hulse-Taylor binary pulsar [7, 8]. An attempt for the observation of the cosmic low-frequency gravitational-wave background has been undertaken by Stinebring *et al.* [9]. Recently [10], LIGO and Virgo scientific collaborations have succeeded in detecting the gravitational waves in the frequency range 100 - 300 Hz. Such a success of LIGO and Virgo scientific collaborations might provide a background for the existence of gravitational waves in very different frequency ranges.

An interesting influence of gravity on the variation of the machine circumference of the storage rings has been found in [11–13]. As has been shown by Arnaudon *et al.* [11] the machine circumference of the Large Electron Positron Collider (LEP) at CERN is sensitive to the tidal forces, induced by a motion of the Moon around the Earth. An analogous influence of a motion of the Moon around the Earth on the variations of the machine circumference of the storage ring of the SPring-8 (the Super Photon Ring of 8 GeV by RIKEN in Japan) has been observed by Daté and Kugamai [12]. In turn, Takao and Shimada [13] have found that the machine circumference of the storage ring of the SPring-8 undergoes itself not only an influence of the tidal forces, caused by a motion of the Moon around the Earth, but also the seasonal forces, induced by a rotation of the Earth around the Sun. However, Takao and Shimada [13], in addition to the seasonal variations of the machine circumference of the storage ring of the SPring-8, have detected a steady damping of the machine circumference of an unknown nature. Below we discuss only the measurements for the SPring-8 storage ring by Takao and Shimada [13], though our results should be, in principle, applicable also to any storage rings.

The paper is addressed to the analysis of the steady damping or the systematic shrinkage of the machine circumference of the SPring-8, observed by Takao and Shimada [13]. Since on the Earth the main fundamental effect of the gravitational waves is the *gravitational strain*, i.e. a fractional distortion in the lengths of the objects induced by the gravitational waves [5], we assume that the observed steady damping of the machine circumference of the storage ring of the SPring-8 is caused by an influence of the gravitational waves with sufficiently low frequencies. Thus, a total

*Electronic address: ivanov@kph.tuwien.ac.at

†Electronic address: kobushkin@bitp.kiev.ua

‡Electronic address: max.wellenzohn@gmail.com

change of the machine circumference of the SPring-8 we propose to define as follows

$$\frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} = \left(\frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} \right)_m + \left(\frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} \right)_s + \left(\frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} \right)_{gw}, \quad (1.1)$$

where first two terms are caused by the tidal (m) and seasonal (s) forces, whereas the third term describes a rate of a steady damping of the machine circumference, caused by the gravitational waves.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we estimate the rate of the shrinkage of the machine circumference due to the gravitational strain. In section III we solve the equations of motion of the storage ring in the field of the cylindrical relic gravitational wave. We show that the solution of the equations of motion gives the same result obtained within the hypothesis of the *gravitational strain*. We show that the rate of the shrinkage of the machine circumference does not depend on the radius of the storage ring and should be universal for storage rings with any radii. In section IV we discuss the influence of the *stiffness* of the physical structures of the storage ring, governing the path of the beam. We argue that the forces, induced by the *stiffness* of the physical structures of the storage ring, governing the path of the beam, can be neglected for the analysis of the shrinkage of the machine circumference caused by the relic gravitational-wave background. In Section 6 we investigate the shrinkage of the machine circumference induced by a stochastic spherical relic gravitational-wave background incoming on the plane of the machine circumference from all quarters of the Universe. We show that the stochastic relic gravitational-wave background, incoming on the plane of the machine circumference from all quarters of the Universe, does not destroy the shrinkage of the machine circumference. The former is due to the fact that the effect of the shrinkage of the machine circumference is of the second order in gravitational wave interactions. We show that the independence of the rate of the shrinkage of the machine circumference on the radius of the storage ring retains in the case of the interaction of the storage ring with the stochastic relic gravitational-wave background. In the Conclusion we discuss the obtained results.

II. GRAVITATIONAL STRAIN AND SHRINKAGE OF MACHINE CIRCUMFERENCE

According to the *gravitational strain* as a fundamental effect of the action of gravitational waves on the lengths of different objects on the Earth, we assume that the variation $\delta C_{gw}(t)$ of the machine circumference with the length C_0 is induced by low-frequency gravitational waves, which we treat as perturbations of the space-time metric with a signature $(-1, +1, +1, +1)$ [4, 5, 15]. For the calculation of $\delta C_{gw}(t)$ we define a perturbation of the metric $h_{ab}(t, z)$ ($a, b = x, y, z$) as a monochromatic plane wave traveling along the z -axis with a frequency ω and a momentum $k = \omega$ [4, 5]

$$h_{ab}(t, z) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{xx}(t, z) & h_{xy}(t, z) & 0 \\ h_{yx}(t, z) & h_{yy}(t, z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_+ & \Delta_\times & 0 \\ \Delta_\times & -\Delta_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cos(\omega t - kz + \delta), \quad (2.1)$$

where Δ_+ and Δ_\times are constant amplitudes of the diagonal and non-diagonal components of the monochromatic plane wave, $h_{tt}(t, z) = h_{ta}(t, z) = h_{at}(t, z) = 0$ [4, 5] and δ is an arbitrary phase. We define the monochromatic plane wave in the so-called *transverse traceless* gauge $h_{aa}(t, z) = h_{xx}(t, z) + h_{yy}(t, z) = 0$ (see pp.946-948 of Ref.[4]).

Placing the storage ring in the xy -plane at $z = 0$ the variation $\delta C_{gw}(t)$ can be defined by the contour integral

$$\begin{aligned} \delta C_{gw}(t) &= \oint_{C_0} \sqrt{dx^2 + dy^2 + h_{xx}(t, 0)dx^2 + h_{yy}(t, 0)dy^2 + 2h_{xy}(t, 0)dxdy} - C_0 = \\ &= \frac{C_0}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sqrt{1 - h_{xx}(t, 0) \cos 2\varphi - h_{xy}(t, 0) \sin 2\varphi} - 1 \right) d\varphi = -\frac{1}{16} C_0 (h_{xx}^2(t, 0) + h_{xy}^2(t, 0)) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{16} C_0 h_0^2 \cos^2(\omega t + \delta), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where we have used polar coordinates $x = (C_0/2\pi) \cos \varphi$ and $y = (C_0/2\pi) \sin \varphi$ and the relation $h_{yy}(t, 0) = -h_{xx}(t, 0)$ (2.1) and the amplitude h_0 is equal to $h_0 = \sqrt{\Delta_+^2 + \Delta_\times^2}$. We would like to accentuate that the amplitude h_0 of the monochromatic plane wave is not the real amplitude of the relic gravitational-wave background. The relation of the amplitude h_0 to the amplitude h_0^{gw} of the relic gravitational-wave background can be found, for example, as follows. Indeed, a real relic gravitational-wave background should be treated as a perturbation of the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric [4, 5]

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi^2) \right) + a^2(t) r^2 \cos^2 \vartheta (-h_{xx}^{gw} \cos 2\varphi - h_{xy}^{gw} \sin 2\varphi) d\varphi^2 + \dots, \quad (2.3)$$

where $a(t)$ is the expansion parameter and $k = 0, \pm 1$ stands for flat, closed and open Universe, respectively [4, 5]. Defining the locations of the material points in the FLRW space-time in the coordinate system related to the coordinate system of the storage ring of the SPring-8, for the change of the machine circumference of the storage ring $\delta C_{\text{gw}}(t)$ we get the following expression

$$\delta C_{\text{gw}}(t) = -\frac{1}{16} R_{\text{U}} (h_0^{\text{gw}})^2 \cos^2(\omega t + \delta), \quad (2.4)$$

where $R_{\text{U}} \sim a(t_{\text{U}}) = 4.4 \times 10^{26}$ m is the radius of the observable Universe at the present time $t_{\text{U}} = 13.80(2)$ Gyr after the Big Bang [14].

We would like to notice that it is rather clear that the contribution of the gravitational waves to the variation of the machine circumference, $\delta C_{\text{gw}}(t) \sim O(h_0^2)$, is of the second order. Indeed, the mass quadrupole moment of the storage ring, located in the xy -plane at $z = 0$, has only two equal components $D_{xx} = D_{yy} = D$. Due to this, the interaction of this mass quadrupole moment with gravitational waves is proportional to $D(h_{xx} + h_{yy})$, which is zero by definition for gravitational waves in the *transverse traceless gauge* $h_{xx} = -h_{yy}$ [4, 5].

The change of the storage ring of the machine circumference ΔC_{gw} induced by the gravitational waves (2.1) for the time interval $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$ is equal to

$$\Delta C_{\text{gw}} = \delta C_{\text{gw}}(t_2) - \delta C_{\text{gw}}(t_1) = \frac{1}{16} C_0 h_0^2 \sin(\omega \Delta t) \sin(2\omega t + 2\delta). \quad (2.5)$$

where $t = (t_2 + t_1)/2$. For the rate of the change of the machine circumference at $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$\frac{\Delta C_{\text{gw}}(t)}{\Delta t} = \frac{1}{16} C_0 h_0^2 \omega \sin(2\omega t + 2\delta). \quad (2.6)$$

For the comparison with the experimental rate we have to average the theoretical rate (2.6) over the data-taking period τ . This gives

$$\left\langle \frac{\Delta C_{\text{gw}}(t)}{\Delta t} \right\rangle_{\tau} = \frac{1}{16} C_0 h_0^2 \omega \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{-\tau/2}^{+\tau/2} dt \sin(2\omega t + 2\delta) = \frac{1}{16} C_0 h_0^2 \sin 2\delta \frac{\sin \omega \tau}{\tau}. \quad (2.7)$$

In the low-frequency limit $\omega \tau \ll 1$, corresponding to the case of the relic gravitational-wave background, the relation (2.5) can be transcribed into the form

$$\frac{1}{C_0} \left\langle \frac{\Delta C_{\text{gw}}(t)}{\Delta t} \right\rangle_{\tau} = \frac{1}{16} h_0^2 \omega \sin 2\delta. \quad (2.8)$$

Since the experimental rate of the change of the machine circumference is equal to

$$\frac{1}{C_0} \left(\frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} \right)_{\text{exp}} \simeq -1.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ yr}^{-1}, \quad (2.9)$$

a comparison of theoretical and experimental rates leads to the relation

$$\omega h_0^2 \sin 2\delta = \frac{16}{C_0} \left(\frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} \right)_{\text{exp}} \simeq -2.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ yr}^{-1}. \quad (2.10)$$

The experimentally observed shrinkage of the machine circumference of the storage ring [13] imposes a constraint on the phase of the gravitational wave, i.e. $-\sin 2\delta > 0$. Setting for further estimates $|\sin 2\delta| \sim 1$, we get the frequency of the gravitational waves $\omega \sim 2.2 \times 10^{-6} h_0^{-2} \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$. This corresponds to an oscillation period $T_{\text{gw}} = 2\pi/\omega \sim 3 \times 10^{-3} h_0^2 \text{ Gyr}$ of the shrinkage of the machine circumference, which is smaller compared with the age of the Universe $T_{\text{gw}} \ll T_{\text{U}} \simeq 13.8 \text{ Gyr}$ [14]. Since the oscillation period exceeds greatly any reasonable interval of experimental measurements, the rate of the shrinkage of the machine circumference, induced by the relic gravitational-wave background, should be constant in time during any data-taking period. This agrees with Eq.(2.8).

The rate of the shrinkage of the machine circumference, represented in terms of the relic gravitational-wave perturbations of the FLRW metric (2.5), reads

$$\left\langle \frac{\Delta C_{\text{gw}}(t)}{\Delta t} \right\rangle_{\tau} = \frac{\pi}{8} R_{\text{U}} (h_0^{\text{gw}})^2 \omega \sin 2\delta. \quad (2.11)$$

From the comparison Eqs.(2.8) and (2.11) we define h_0^{gw} in terms of h_0

$$h_0^{\text{gw}} = \sqrt{\frac{C_0}{2\pi R_U}} h_0 = 7.2 \times 10^{-13} h_0, \quad (2.12)$$

where $C_0 = 1436$ m is the length of the machine circumference of the storage ring of the SPring-8 [12, 13],

Another important quantity characterizing the relic gravitational-wave background is the energy-density parameter Ω_{gw} defined by

$$\Omega_{\text{gw}} = \frac{\omega^2 (h_0^{\text{gw}})^2}{24H_0^2} = \frac{C_0}{2\pi R_U} \frac{\omega^2 h_0^2}{24H_0^2} = 2.2 \times 10^{-17} h_0^{-2}, \quad (2.13)$$

calculated for $\omega h_0^2 = 2200 \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$ and $H_0 = 6.893 \times 10^{-2} \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$ [14]. The estimate of the amplitude h_0 we carry out in section IV.

III. EQUATIONS OF MOTION FOR SHRINKAGE OF MACHINE CIRCUMFERENCE

In this section we show that the analysis of the influence of the relic gravitational-wave background through the solution of equations of motion for the storage ring in the cylindrical relic gravitational-wave field gives the same result that we have obtained in section II. According to [4] (see pp.1004-1011 of Ref.[4]), the non-relativistic motion of a massive particle in the xy -plane at $z = 0$ induced by the cylindrical gravitational-wave background can be described the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} &= -R^x{}_{0x0} x - R^x{}_{0y0} y, \\ \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} &= -R^y{}_{0x0} x - R^y{}_{0y0} y, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $R^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}$ is the Riemann tensor defined by [15]

$$R^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\beta\nu} = \frac{\partial \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} - \frac{\partial \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\nu\mu}}{\partial x^{\beta}} + \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\nu\varphi} \Gamma^{\varphi}{}_{\beta\mu} - \Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\beta\varphi} \Gamma^{\varphi}{}_{\nu\mu} \quad (3.2)$$

The Christoffel symbols or differently the ‘‘covariant connection coefficients’’ $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\lambda\mu}$ are determined in terms of the metric tensor [4]

$$\Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\lambda\mu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\nu} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\lambda}} + \frac{\partial g_{\lambda\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - \frac{\partial g_{\mu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \right). \quad (3.3)$$

For the calculation of the Christoffel symbols we use the following metric tensor [4]

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}(t-z), \quad (3.4)$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ and $h_{\mu\nu}(t-z)$ is a symmetric tensor defined in the *transverse traceless gauge* with non-zero components $h_{xx}(t-z) = -h_{yy}(t-z)$ and $h_{xy}(t-z) = h_{yx}(t-z)$ [4]. In terms of $h_{\mu\nu}(t-z)$ the Christoffel symbols are given by

$$\Gamma^{\alpha}{}_{\lambda\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\alpha\nu} \left(\frac{\partial h_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\lambda}} + \frac{\partial h_{\lambda\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - \frac{\partial h_{\mu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha\nu} \left(\frac{\partial h_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^{\lambda}} + \frac{\partial h_{\lambda\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} - \frac{\partial h_{\mu\lambda}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

The components of the Riemann tensor contributing to the equations of motion (3.1) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R^x{}_{0x0} &= \frac{d\Gamma^x{}_{0x}}{dt} + \Gamma^x{}_{x0}\Gamma^x{}_{0x} + \Gamma^x{}_{y0}\Gamma^y{}_{0x}, & R^x{}_{0y0} &= \frac{d\Gamma^x{}_{0y}}{dt} + \Gamma^x{}_{x0}\Gamma^x{}_{0y} + \Gamma^x{}_{y0}\Gamma^y{}_{0y}, \\ R^y{}_{0x0} &= \frac{d\Gamma^y{}_{0x}}{dt} + \Gamma^y{}_{x0}\Gamma^x{}_{0x} + \Gamma^y{}_{y0}\Gamma^y{}_{0x}, & R^y{}_{0y0} &= \frac{d\Gamma^y{}_{0y}}{dt} + \Gamma^y{}_{x0}\Gamma^x{}_{0y} + \Gamma^y{}_{y0}\Gamma^y{}_{0y}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The Christoffel symbols read

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^x{}_{0x} &= +\frac{1}{2} \frac{dh_{xx}}{dt} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} (h_{xx}^2 + h_{xy}^2), & \Gamma^y{}_{0y} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{dh_{xx}}{dt} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} (h_{xx}^2 + h_{xy}^2), \\ \Gamma^x{}_{0y} &= +\frac{1}{2} \frac{dh_{xy}}{dt} - \frac{1}{2} h_{xx} h_{xy} \frac{d}{dt} \ln\left(\frac{h_{xy}}{h_{xx}}\right), & \Gamma^y{}_{0x} &= +\frac{1}{2} \frac{dh_{xy}}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} h_{xx} h_{xy} \frac{d}{dt} \ln\left(\frac{h_{xy}}{h_{xx}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

For the monochromatic gravitational waves the ratio $h_{xy}/h_{xx} = \Delta_{\times}/\Delta_{+}$ is constant and the Christoffel symbols Γ^x_{0y} and Γ^y_{0x} are linear in h_{ab} . For the calculation of the components of the Riemann tensor, defining the equations of motion (3.1), we keep also the terms of order $O(h_{ab}^2)$ inclusively and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R^x_{0x0} &= +\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 h_{xx}}{dt^2} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (h_{xx}^2 + h_{xy}^2) + \frac{1}{4} \left[\left(\frac{dh_{xx}}{dt} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{dh_{xy}}{dt} \right)^2 \right], \\ R^x_{0y0} &= R^y_{0x0} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 h_{xy}}{dt^2}, \\ R^y_{0y0} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 h_{xx}}{dt^2} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (h_{xx}^2 + h_{xy}^2) + \frac{1}{4} \left[\left(\frac{dh_{xx}}{dt} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{dh_{xy}}{dt} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Substituting (3.8) in the equations of motion (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} &= -\frac{1}{2} \ddot{h}_{xx}(t) x - \frac{1}{2} \ddot{h}_{xy}(t) y + \frac{1}{4} (\ddot{h}^2(t) - \dot{h}^2(t)) x, \\ \ddot{y} &= -\frac{1}{2} \ddot{h}_{xy}(t) x + \frac{1}{2} \ddot{h}_{xx}(t) y + \frac{1}{4} (\ddot{h}^2(t) - \dot{h}^2(t)) y, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where over-dots stand for the derivative with respect to time. We have denoted $h^2 = h_{xx}^2 + h_{xy}^2$ and $\dot{h}^2 = \dot{h}_{xx}^2 + \dot{h}_{xy}^2$. The equations of motion (3.9) can be treated as the Lagrange equations derived from the Lagrange function

$$L(t, x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y}) = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) + \frac{1}{8} (\ddot{h}^2(t) - \dot{h}^2(t)) (x^2 + y^2) - \frac{1}{4} \ddot{h}_{xx}(t) (x^2 - y^2) - \frac{1}{2} \ddot{h}_{xy}(t) xy. \quad (3.10)$$

In the polar coordinates $x = r \cos \Phi$ and $y = r \sin \Phi$ we get

$$L(t, r, \varphi, \dot{r}, \dot{\Phi}) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{r}^2 + \frac{1}{8} (\ddot{h}^2(t) - \dot{h}^2(t)) r^2 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 \dot{\Phi}^2 - \frac{1}{4} r^2 (\ddot{h}_{xx}(t) \cos 2\Phi + \ddot{h}_{xy}(t) \sin 2\Phi). \quad (3.11)$$

Assuming that the radius r is almost constant we can factorize radial and angular degrees of freedom.

$$L(t, r, \varphi, \dot{r}, \dot{\Phi}) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{r}^2 - \frac{1}{8} \dot{h}^2(t) r^2 + R_0^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \dot{\Phi}^2 - \frac{1}{4} (\ddot{h}_{xx}(t) \cos 2\Phi + \ddot{h}_{xy}(t) \sin 2\Phi) \right]. \quad (3.12)$$

where R_0 is the radius of the machine circumference, $R_0 = C_0/2\pi$. In such an approximation the term $(1/8) \dot{h}^2 R_0^2$ is a total derivative, which can be removed from the Lagrange function. The equations of motion for the radius $r(t)$ and the azimuthal angle $\Phi(t)$ are equal to

$$\ddot{r}(t) = -\frac{1}{4} \dot{h}^2(t) R_0, \quad \ddot{\Phi}(t) = \frac{1}{2} (\ddot{h}_{xx}(t) \sin 2\Phi(t) - \ddot{h}_{xy}(t) \cos 2\Phi(t)). \quad (3.13)$$

Since $\Phi(t) \ll 1$, the solution to Eq.(3.13) for the azimuthal motion reads

$$\Phi(t) = -\frac{1}{2} h_{xy}(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\times} \cos(\omega t + \delta), \quad \dot{\Phi}(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{h}_{xy}(t) = +\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\times} \omega \sin(\omega t + \delta). \quad (3.14)$$

For the frequencies of the gravitational wave background corresponding to the low-frequency limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$\dot{\Phi}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\times} \omega \sin \delta. \quad (3.15)$$

This predicts a very weak rotation of the machine circumference with a practically constant velocity in dependence of the polarization and phase of the gravitational wave background. From (3.13) we obtain the rate of the radius of the machine circumference

$$\frac{1}{R_0} \frac{dr(t)}{dt} = -\frac{1}{4} \int dt \dot{h}^2(t) \quad (3.16)$$

For the relic monochromatic cylindrical gravitational wave $h_{xx} = \Delta_{+} \cos(\omega t + \delta)$ and $h_{xy} = \Delta_{\times} \cos(\omega t + \delta)$ the r.h.s. of (3.15) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{R_0} \frac{dr(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{16} h_0^2 \omega \sin(2\omega t + 2\delta) - \frac{1}{8} h_0^2 \omega^2 t. \quad (3.17)$$

To leading order in the low-frequency limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$\frac{1}{C_0} \frac{dC(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{16} h_0^2 \omega \sin 2\delta, \quad (3.18)$$

where $C_0 = 2\pi R_0$ and $dC(t) = 2\pi r(t)$, respectively. This agrees fully with our result (2.8) obtained within the hypothesis of the *gravitational strain*.

IV. SHRINKAGE OF THE MACHINE CIRCUMFERENCE AND STIFFNESS OF PHYSICAL STRUCTURES, GOVERNING THE PATH OF THE BEAM

In this section we discuss the influence of the forces, related to the *stiffness* of the physical structures of the storage ring, governing the path of the beam (mounts of magnets, for instance) and estimate the amplitude h_0 . Indeed, one can imagine that the forces, induced by the *stiffness* of the physical structures of the storage ring, can prevent the machine circumference of the storage ring from the shrinkage caused by the relic gravitational-wave background. Below we show that the forces, caused by the *stiffness* of the physical structures of the storage ring, can be neglected for the analysis of the shrinkage of the machine circumference, induced by the relic gravitational-wave background.

Let \vec{F}_{stiff} be the force, caused by the *stiffness* of the physical structures of the storage ring. The observation of the fluctuations of the machine circumference, induced by the tidal and seasonal forces [12, 13], assumes that the forces, produced by the *stiffness* of the physical structures of the storage ring, are smaller compared with the tidal and seasonal forces.

Since the seasonal forces are smaller compared to the tidal forces but have been measured experimentally by the change of the machine circumference, it is obvious that the forces, induced by the *stiffness* of the physical structures of the storage ring, should be smaller compared with the seasonal forces. This can be written as follows $|\vec{F}_{\text{stiff}}| \ll |\vec{F}_s(\vec{r})|$, where $\vec{F}_s(\vec{r})$ is the seasonal force defined by [12]

$$\vec{F}_s(\vec{r}) = -\nabla U_s(\vec{r}). \quad (4.1)$$

The potential $U_s(\vec{r})$, produced by the Sun, is given by [12]

$$U_s(\vec{r}) = G_N M_\odot \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{R}_s - \vec{r}|} - \frac{1}{R_s} - \frac{\vec{r} \cdot \vec{R}_s}{R_s^3} \right), \quad (4.2)$$

where $G_N = 6.636 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-2}$ [14], $M_\odot = 1.989 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg}$ is the mass of the Sun, $R_s = 1.496 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}$ is the distance between centers of the Sun and the Earth, $|\vec{r}| = R_\oplus = 6.378 \times 10^6 \text{ m}$ is the radius of the Earth.

The rate of the change of the machine circumference, caused by the tidal and seasonal forces is of order of $|\Delta C/\Delta t| = 4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m/yr}$ [12, 13]. The the experimental rate of the steady damping of the machine circumference, $|\Delta C/\Delta t| = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m/yr}$ [13], is of the same order of magnitude. This implies that the forces, leading to the shrinkage of the machine circumference, can be of gravitational nature. Moreover, the forces, induced by the *stiffness* of the physical structures of the storage ring, governing the path of the beam, should be smaller compared to the forces responsible for the steady damping.

In order to get a quantitative confirmation of this assertion we suggest to compare the energy densities of the seasonal forces and the relic gravitational-wave background. Following [4, 5] we define the energy density of the gravitational-wave background and the seasonal forces. The energy-density $\rho_{\text{gw}} = \langle T_{00}^{\text{gw}}(t) \rangle$ is defined by

$$\rho_{\text{gw}} = \langle T_{00}^{\text{gw}}(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \langle (R^x_{0x0} + R^y_{0y0}) \rangle = \frac{1}{32\pi G_N} \langle (\dot{h}^2 - \ddot{h}^2) \rangle = \frac{\omega^2 (h_0^{\text{gw}})^2}{64\pi G_N}, \quad (4.3)$$

where the components of the Riemann tensor are defined in Eq.(3.8) with a replacement $h_{ab}(t) \rightarrow h_{ab}^{\text{gw}}(t)$. The energy density of the seasonal forces $\rho_s = \langle T_{00}^s(t) \rangle$ is defined by

$$\rho_s = \langle T_{00}^s(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \langle (R^x_{0x0} + R^y_{0y0} + R^z_{0z0}) \rangle. \quad (4.4)$$

The components of the Riemann tensor in Eq.(4.4) we calculate by taking into account that the potential $U_s(\vec{r})$ is a part of the metric tensor. Since on the surface of the Earth $\vec{r} = \vec{R}_\oplus$, we have

$$-g_{00} = 1 + 2U_s(\vec{R}_\oplus) \cos(\omega_s t + \delta_s) \quad , \quad g_{ab} = \left(1 - 2U_s(\vec{R}_\oplus) \cos(\omega_s t + \delta_s) \right) \delta_{ab}. \quad (4.5)$$

During experiments by Takao and Shimada [13] a periodicity of the seasonal force was caused by a motion of the Earth around the Sun with a period $T_s = 2\pi/\omega_s = 0.5 \text{ yr}$. We take into account such a periodicity by the function $\cos(\omega_s t + \delta_s)$ and average over time. As a result we obtain

$$\rho_s = \langle T_{00}^s(t) \rangle = \frac{3\omega_s^2}{32\pi G_N} \langle U_s^2(\vec{R}_\oplus) \rangle = \frac{3\omega_s^2 G_N M_\odot^2}{32\pi} \left\langle \left(\frac{3(\vec{R}_\oplus \cdot \vec{R}_s)^2 - R_\oplus^2 R_s^2}{2R_s^5} \right)^2 \right\rangle = \frac{3\pi}{40} \frac{G_N M_\odot^2 R_\oplus^4}{T_s^2 R_s^6}, \quad (4.6)$$

where we have integrated over directions of the vector \vec{R}_s , corresponding to a rotation of the Earth around the Sun. Assuming that $\rho^{\text{gw}} \sim \rho^s$, we obtain one more relation between h_0^{gw} and h_0

$$h_0^{\text{gw}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} \frac{G_N M_\odot R_\oplus^2 T_{\text{gw}}}{R_s^3 T_s} \simeq 10^{-9} h_0^2, \quad (4.7)$$

calculated for $T_{\text{gw}} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-3} h_0^2 \text{ Gyr}$. From the comparison of Eqs.(4.7) and (2.12) we get $h_0 \sim 7 \times 10^{-4}$ and $h_0^{\text{gw}} \sim 5 \times 10^{-16}$, respectively. The frequency ω (or the circular frequency) of the relic gravitational-wave background is of order $\omega \sim 10^{-7} \text{ Hz}$. It corresponds to the linear frequency $f = \omega/2\pi \sim 2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ Hz}$. For such an estimate the energy-density parameter Ω_{gw} of the relic gravitational-wave background, given in Eq.(2.13), is of order $\Omega_{\text{gw}} \sim 5 \times 10^{-11}$.

The obtained results should testify that the *stiffness* of the physical structures of the storage ring, governing the path of the beam, can be neglected for the analysis of the shrinkage of the machine circumference, caused by the relic gravitational-wave background.

V. STOCHASTIC RELIC GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE BACKGROUND

In this section we analyze the systematic shrinkage of the machine circumference [13] coupled to the relic gravitational-wave background treated as a stochastic system [16–18]. We show that the suggested explanation of the shrinkage of the machine circumference, measured at the SPring-8 [13], by the relic gravitational-wave background survives even if the storage ring interacts with the stochastic relic gravitational waves coming from all quarters of the Universe. This is related to the fact that the observed shrinkage of the machine circumference of the storage ring is an effect of the second order of the interaction of the gravitational waves with the machine circumference.

Below we consider spherical relic gravitational waves [19] converging to the center of the machine circumference [22]. The relic gravitational waves are polarized in the $(\varphi_s \vartheta_s)$ plane, defined by unit vectors \vec{e}_{φ_s} and \vec{e}_{ϑ_s} as it is shown in Fig.1, perpendicular to the direction of the propagation, which is anti-parallel to the unit vector \vec{e}_r . The polarization tensor, determined in the *transverse traceless gauge*, has the following non-vanishing components: $\Delta_{\varphi_s \varphi_s} = -\Delta_{\vartheta_s \vartheta_s} = \Delta_+$ and $\Delta_{\varphi_s \vartheta_s} = \Delta_{\vartheta_s \varphi_s} = \Delta_\times$.

The *gravitational strain* of the machine circumference, induced by the stochastic relic gravitational waves incoming from all quarters of the Universe, can be defined by

$$\delta C_{\text{gw}}(t) = -C_0 \frac{1}{16\pi} \int d\Omega_s \int_0^{2\pi} \langle (h_{xx}(t) \cos 2\varphi + h_{xy}(t) \sin 2\varphi)^2 \rangle d\varphi, \quad (5.1)$$

where $h_{xx}(t)$ and $h_{xy}(t)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{xx}(t) &= \cos \vartheta_s (\Delta_+ \cos 2\varphi_s + \Delta_\times \sin 2\varphi_s) \cos \left(\omega \left(t - \frac{R_0}{c} \right) + \delta \right), \\ h_{xy}(t) &= \cos \vartheta_s (-\Delta_+ \sin 2\varphi_s + \Delta_\times \cos 2\varphi_s) \cos \left(\omega \left(t - \frac{R_0}{c} \right) + \delta \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

and $h_{yy}(t) = -h_{xx}(t)$. Below we neglect $R_0/c = 7.6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}$, where $R_0 \simeq 229 \text{ m}$ is the radius of the machine circumference, relative to the data-taking period τ , which is about a few years [13]. The quantities $h_{xx}(t)$, $h_{yy}(t)$ and $h_{xy}(t)$ are the projections of the components of the polarization tensor of the spherical relic gravitational wave on the plane of the machine circumference (see Fig.1). They depend on the angles ϑ_s and φ_s , which are the angle of the slope of the polarization plane of the gravitational wave relative to the plane of the machine circumference and the azimuthal angle, respectively (see Fig.1). At $\vartheta_s = \varphi_s = 0$ we get a gravitational wave equivalent to the cylindrical gravitational wave defined by (2.1).

Integration over the angles ϑ_s and φ_s , where $d\Omega_s = \sin \vartheta_s d\vartheta_s d\varphi_s$, takes into account the contributions of the stochastic relic gravitational waves incoming on the plane of the machine circumference from all quarters of the Universe [23]. Below we assume that the stochastic relic gravitational-wave background is isotropic [20]. In Eq.(5.1) the brackets $\langle \dots \rangle$ mean

$$\langle f \rangle = \int_0^\infty d\omega S_h(\omega) f(\omega), \quad (5.3)$$

where $S_h(\omega)$ is a spectral density, caused by the averaging over stochastic degrees of freedom of the relic gravitational-wave background. We suppose that the spectral density $S_h(\omega)$ is normalized to unity. Plugging (5.2) into (5.1) we




FIG. 1: The orientation of the polarization plane of the stochastic relic gravitational waves relative to the plane of the machine circumference.

get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta C_{\text{gw}}(t) &= -\frac{C_0}{16\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega S_h(\omega) \cos^2(\omega t + \delta) \int d\Omega_s \cos^2 \vartheta_s \\ &\times \int_0^{2\pi} \left[\Delta_+ \cos 2(\varphi_s + \varphi) + \Delta_- \sin 2(\varphi_s + \varphi) \right]^2 d\varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Integrating over the angular variables we obtain the *gravitational strain*, induced by the stochastic spherical relic gravitational-wave background incoming on the plane of the machine circumference from all quarters of the Universe. It reads

$$\delta C_{\text{gw}}(t) = -\frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{1}{16} C_0 h_0^2 \int_0^\infty d\omega S_h(\omega) \cos^2(\omega t + \delta). \quad (5.5)$$

The relative rate of the shrinkage of the machine circumference can be defined by

$$\frac{1}{C_0} \frac{\Delta C_{\text{gw}}}{\Delta t} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{1}{16} h_0^2 \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega S_h(\omega) \sin(2\omega t + 2\delta). \quad (5.6)$$

For the comparison of the theoretical rate of the change of the machine circumference (5.6) with the experimental data one has to average the theoretical rate over the data-taking period τ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C_0} \left\langle \frac{\Delta C_{\text{gw}}}{\Delta t} \right\rangle_\tau &= \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{1}{16} h_0^2 \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega S_h(\omega) \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{-\tau/2}^{+\tau/2} dt \sin(2\omega t + 2\delta) = \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{1}{16} h_0^2 \sin 2\delta \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega S_h(\omega) \frac{\sin \omega \tau}{\omega \tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Of course, the result of the evaluation of the integral over ω depends on the shape of the energy density $S_h(\omega)$, which is model-dependent [16].

In turn, the rate of the shrinkage of the machine circumference, given in terms of the stochastic relic gravitational-wave perturbations of the FLRW metric (2.5), is given by

$$\left\langle \frac{\Delta C_{\text{gw}}}{\Delta t} \right\rangle_\tau = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{\pi}{8} R_U (h_0^{\text{gw}})^2 \sin 2\delta \int_0^\infty d\omega \omega S_h(\omega) \frac{\sin \omega \tau}{\omega \tau} \quad (5.8)$$

From the comparison of (5.8) with (5.8) one can conclude that the relation between the amplitudes h_0 and h_0^{gw} , given by Eq.(2.12), is preserved for the stochastic relic gravitational-wave background incoming on the plane of the machine circumference from all quarters of the Universe.

VI. CONCLUSION

The results obtained in paper should be understood as a hint that experimental analysis of fine variations of the machine circumferences of the storage rings can, in principle, contain an information about the relic gravitational-wave background on the same footing as the storage rings are sensitive to the tidal and seasonal forces [11]-[13].

We argue that if the systematic shrinkage (or a steady damping) of the machine circumference of the storage ring, observed at the SPring-8 [13], is caused by the influence of the relic gravitational-wave background, the same effect should be, in principle, observed for the machine circumference of the storage ring of any accelerator, for example, the LEP and LHC at CERN, the ELSA at University of Bonn, the DAPHNE at Frascati, the VEPP-4 at Novosibirsk and others.

We have shown that the rate of the shrinkage of the machine circumference, represented in terms of the relic gravitational-wave perturbations of the FLRW metric, does not depend on the length of the machine circumference and should be universal for any storage ring with any radius (see Eqs.(2.11) and (5.8)).

This makes very simple the experimental analysis of the validity of our hypothesis of the influence of the relic gravitational-wave background on the shrinkage of the machine circumference of the SPring-8 storage ring. Indeed, it is sufficient to measure the rates of the shrinkage of the machine circumferences of the storage rings of the LEP at CERN, the DAPHNE at Frascati, the VEPP-4 at Novosibirsk or of any other accelerators. If the rates of the shrinkage of the machine circumferences of the storage rings would have been found comeasurable with the value $(\Delta C(t)/\Delta t)_{\text{exp}} = -2 \times 10^{-4}$ m/yr, obtained for the SPring-8 storage ring [13], this should testify the detection of the relic gravitational-wave background. Any negative result should bury the hypothesis. From the comparison of the energy densities of the gravitational field, caused by the seasonal forces, and of the relic gravitational-wave background, we have estimated the parameters characterizing the relic gravitational-wave background responsible for the systematic shrinkage of the machine circumference of the storage ring of the SPring-8. At $-\sin 2\delta \sim 1$ we have got i) $h_0 \sim 7 \times 10^{-4}$, where h_0 is the amplitude of the gravitational-wave perturbation of the metric of the space, related to the storage ring, ii) $h_0^{\text{gw}} \sim 5 \times 10^{-16}$, where h_0^{gw} is the amplitude of the relic gravitational-wave background as a perturbation of the FLRW metric, iii) $\omega \sim 10^{-7}$ Hz, $T_{\text{gw}} = 2\pi/\omega \sim 2$ yr and $\Omega_{\text{gw}} \sim 5 \times 10^{-11}$, where ω , T_{gw} and Ω_{gw} are the circular frequency, period and energy-density parameter of the relic gravitational-wave background, inducing a systematic shrinkage of the machine circumference of the storage ring of the SPring-8.

Finally we have discussed the interaction of the storage ring with a stochastic relic spherical gravitational-wave background. We have shown that, since the shrinkage of the machine circumference is a phenomenon of the second order in gravitational wave interactions, it cannot be destroyed even if one takes into account the contribution of the relic gravitational waves incoming on the plane of the machine circumference from all quarters of the Universe. We have obtained an additional factor $4\pi/3$ relative to the rate of the shrinkage of the machine circumference, induced by the cylindrical relic gravitational-wave background (2.8). Of course, the estimates of the parameters of the relic gravitational-wave background, inducing a systematic shrinkage of the machine circumference, depend of the shape of the energy density $S_h(\omega)$, which is model-dependent [16–18].

One can assume that in case of the validity of our explanation of the systematic shrinkage of the machine circumference, observed by Takao and Shimada [13] for the SPring-8, by the relic gravitational-wave background, the constraints on the averaged frequencies of the relic gravitational waves can be likely used to set limits on a low-frequency cosmological spectrum [16–18]. It is also able to place some constraints on the effective-field-theory extensions of Einstein's gravitational theory [21].

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work of A. N. Ivanov was supported by the Austrian ‘‘Fonds zur F6rderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung’’ (FWF) under contracts P31702-N27 and P33279-N. The work of M. Wellenzohn was supported by the MA 23 (FH-Call 16) under the project ‘‘Photonik - Stiftungsprofessur f6ur Lehre’’. All results of this manuscript have been delivered online at ARIES WP6 Workshop: Storage Rings and Gravitational Waves ‘‘SRGW2021’’, held from 2.02.2021 to 31.03.2021 and organized by CERN, Geneva Switzerland.

-
- [1] A. Einstein, *Naherungsweise Integration der Feldgleichungen der Gravitation*, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (Math.Phys.) **1916**, 688 (1916).
 [2] A. Einstein, *Uber Gravitationswellen*, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (Math.Phys.) **1918**, 154 (1918).

- [3] A. Einstein, *Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie*, Annalen Phys. **49**, 769 (1916); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19163540702>.
- [4] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, J. A. Wheeler, in *Gravitation*, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1973.
- [5] J. A. Peacock, in *Cosmological physics*, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [6] J. Weber, *Detection and generation of gravitational waves*, Phys. Rev. **117**, 306 (1960); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.117.306>.
- [7] J. H. Taylor and J. M. Weisberg, *A new test of general relativity: Gravitational radiation and the binary pulsar PS R 1913+1*, ApJ **253**, 908 (1982); DOI: [10.1086/159690](https://doi.org/10.1086/159690).
- [8] J. H. Taylor and J. M. Weisberg, *Further experimental tests of relativistic gravity using the binary pulsar PSR 1913+16*, ApJ **345**, 434 (1989); DOI: [10.1086/167917](https://doi.org/10.1086/167917).
- [9] D. R. Stinebring, M. F. Ryba, J. H. Taylor, and R. W. Romani, *Cosmic gravitational-wave background: Limits from millisecond pulsar timing*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 285 (1990); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.285>.
- [10] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott *et al.*, *Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger* (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 061102 (2016); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102>.
- [11] L. Arnaudon, B. Dehning, A. Hofmann, P. Grosse-Wiesmann, R. Jacobsen, J. P. Koutchouk, J. Miles, R. Olsen, M. Placidi, R. Schmidt, J. Wenninger, R. Assmann, q A. Blondel, and G.E.Fischer, *Effects of terrestrial tides on the LEP beam energy*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A357**, 249 (1994); DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002\(94\)01526-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(94)01526-0).
- [12] S. Daté and N. Kumagai, *A long term observation of the DC component of the horizontal COD in the storage ring of SPring-8*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **421**, 417 (1999); DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(98\)01168-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(98)01168-1).
- [13] M. Takao and T. Shimada, *Long term variation of the circumference of the SPring-8 storage ring*, Proceedings of EPAC 2000, Vienna, 2000, p.1572; <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/accelconf/e00/PAPERS/MOP5A04.pdf>, <http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/accelconf/e00/index.html>.
- [14] P. A. Zyla *et al.*, *Review of particle physics* (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2020**, 083C01 (2020); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104>.
- [15] V. A. Kostelecký, *Gravity, Lorentz violation, and the standard model*, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 105009 (2004); DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.69.105009](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.105009).
- [16] A. Buonanno, *TASI lectures on gravitational waves from the early Universe*; arXiv: [gr-qc/0303085](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0303085) [gr-qc].
- [17] T. Regimbau, *The astrophysical gravitational wave stochastic background*, Res. Astron. Astrophys. **11**, 369 (2011); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/11/4/001>.
- [18] Ch. Caprini and D. G. Figueroa, *Cosmological backgrounds of gravitational waves*, Class. Quantum Grav. **35**, 163001 (2018); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aac608>.
- [19] V. Fock, in *The theory of space time and gravitation*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1959.
- [20] E. M. O'Shea, *Metric perturbation approach to gravitational waves in isotropic cosmologies*, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 064038 (2004); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.69.064038>.
- [21] N. Sennett, R. Brito, A. Buonanno, V. Gorbenko, and L. Senatore, *Gravitational-wave constraints on an effective-field-theory extension of general relativity*, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 044056 (2020); DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.044056>.
- [22] The spherical gravitational waves, converging to the center of the machine circumference, we define as [19]: $h_{ab}(t, |\vec{r} - \vec{R}_0|) \sim \cos(\omega(t - |\vec{r} - \vec{R}_0|/c) + \delta)/|\vec{r} - \vec{R}_0|$, where the vector \vec{R}_0 is the radius-vector of the machine circumference, located in the plane of the machine circumference $|\vec{R}_0| = R_0 = C_0/2\pi$, and \vec{r} is the radius-vector of the observer. It is zero, $\vec{r} = 0$, at the center of the machine circumference.
- [23] It is assumed that the Earth is transparent for the relic gravitational waves.