Method to compute the stress-energy tensor for the massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in a general static spherically symmetric spacetime

Peter B. Groves^{*}, Paul R. Anderson[†], and Eric D. Carlson[‡] Department of Physics, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina, 27109

Abstract

A method for computing the stress-energy tensor for the quantized, massless, spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in a general static spherically symmetric spacetime is presented. The field can be in a zero temperature state or a non-zero temperature thermal state. An expression for the full renormalized stress-energy tensor is derived. It consists of a sum of two tensors both of which are conserved. One tensor is written in terms of the modes of the quantized field and has zero trace. In most cases it must be computed numerically. The other tensor does not explicitly depend on the modes and has a trace equal to the trace anomaly. It can be used as an analytic approximation for the stress-energy tensor and is equivalent to other approximations that have been made for the stress-energy tensor of the massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in static spherically symmetric spacetimes.

arXiv:gr-qc/0207066v1 18 Jul 2002

Typeset using REVT_EX

^{*}Electronic address: grovpb4@wfu.edu

[†]Electronic address: anderson@wfu.edu

[‡]Electronic address: ecarlson@wfu.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most useful tools in the study of quantum effects in curved spacetime is the stress-energy tensor $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$. The stress-energy tensor gives information about the effects the spacetime has on the quantized fields that propagate in it and also provides a source for that geometry via the semiclassical backreaction equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle . \tag{1.1}$$

Unlike alternative approaches, such as using Bogolubov transformations or particle detectors, the stress-energy tensor includes the effects of both particle production and vacuum polarization. It therefore does not depend on the distinction between these two effects which is often blurred in curved spacetime.

In four-dimensions, the computation of $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ for a quantized field in a given curved spacetime background is at best nontrivial and at worst almost impossible, given current technology. There are four types of approaches that have been used to compute the stressenergy tensor for a quantized field in a static spherically symmetric spacetime. One is to constrain the form of the stress-energy tensor by integrating the conservation equation and using the symmetry properties of the state that the field is in. If the field is conformally invariant the fact that its trace is known can also be used. This approach has been used in Schwarzschild spacetime [1] for fields in states that are static and spherically symmetric and for conformally invariant fields in such states in a global monopole spacetime [2,3].

A second approach is to derive an analytic approximation for the stress-energy tensor. This approach is sometimes combined with the previous one. Analytic approximations have been derived for conformally invariant fields of spin 0, $\frac{1}{2}$, and 1 in Schwarzschild spacetime [4–17], in Reissner-Nordström and extreme Reissner-Nordström spacetimes [18,19], and in a general static spacetime [20]. An approximation has also been derived for massless scalar fields with arbitrary curvature coupling in a general static spherically symmetric spacetime [21]. The approximations in Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström spacetimes are mostly for the Hartle-Hawking-Israel [22,23] state but in some cases are also for the Unruh [24] and Boulware [25] states. The more general approximations are for the vacuum state and a thermal state at an arbitrary temperature. For massive fields the DeWitt-Schwinger expansion [26] can often be used to obtain a good approximation for the stress-energy tensor. This approximation has been derived for massive scalar fields in Schwarzschild spacetime [27–30], in a general static spherically symmetric spacetime [21,17], and in a general spacetime [31]. It has also been derived for massive spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1 fields in Reissner-Nordström spacetimes [32]. The DeWitt-Schwinger approximation is independent of the state of the field.

A third approach is to numerically compute the stress-energy tensor. This has been done for conformally invariant spin 0 and spin 1 fields in Schwarzschild spacetime on the event horizon [33] and outside of the event horizon [34,5,35,21,36]. Numerical calculations of the stress-energy tensor for both massive and massless scalar fields with arbitrary curvature couplings in Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström spacetimes outside the event horizon have also been done [21,37]. Finally a numerical computation of the stress-energy tensor for the massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field has been done in a global monopole spacetime [38].

The fourth approach is the most accurate and the most difficult. It is to analytically compute the full renormalized stress-energy tensor. This has been done for the special case of conformally invariant fields in conformally flat spacetimes [39,40] and for scalar fields with arbitrary mass and curvature coupling in de Sitter space [41–45]. The stress-energy tensor for the massless spin 1 field has also been computed analytically on the event horizon of a Schwarzschild black hole [46]. To our knowledge no other exact analytic calculations of the stress-energy tensor in static spherically symmetric spacetimes have been published.

Clearly only a little has been done regarding the computation of the full renormalized stress-energy tensor for the massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field. In particular the only calculation of the full renormalized stress-energy tensor in a black hole spacetime is on the event horizon of the extreme Reissner-Nordström black hole [37]. This was possible because the geometry near the horizon asymptotically approaches the conformally flat Bertotti-Robinson geometry [47–49].

In this paper we present a practical method that can be used to numerically compute the stress-energy tensor for the massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in a general static spherically symmetric spacetime. The method makes use of the Euclidean Green's function for this field. Our approach closely follows that of Anderson, Hiscock, and Samuel [21] which in turn was built on that of Howard and Candelas [5,6]. As in those papers, the Euclidean Green's function is constructed using a method similar to that of Candelas [33], and point splitting is used to renormalize the stress-energy tensor. The point splitting counterterms for spin 0, $\frac{1}{2}$, and 1 fields have been computed by Christensen [50,51].

Our main result is the derivation of a renormalized expression for the stress-energy tensor of the massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in a general static spherically symmetric spacetime. The field can be either in a vacuum state or in a thermal state at an arbitrary temperature. One advantage of our expression is that one does not need to work with a vierbein or any Dirac matrices to use it. These were involved in the derivation of the expression but no longer are a part of it. In our derivation the stress-energy tensor is divided into two parts. One part depends on sums and integrals over radial mode functions. This part usually needs to be computed numerically. The other part consists of an analytic tensor with a trace equal to the trace anomaly for the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field. Since both parts are separately conserved, the analytic part can be used as an analytic approximation for the stress-energy tensor. It is equivalent to the approximation of Brown, Ottewill, and Page [7] in Schwarzschild spacetime, and to that of Frolov and Zel'nikov [20] in a general static spherically symmetric spacetime for certain values of the three arbitrary coefficients in their expressions.

In Section II, we review the formalism used to describe spinors in curved space and its application to static spherically symmetric spacetimes. In Section III we construct an expression for the Euclidean Green's function for the massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in a general static spherically symmetric spacetime. The WKB approximation for the radial mode functions is introduced in Section IV and the point splitting renormalization procedure is reviewed in Section V. In Section VI an expression for the unrenormalized stress-energy tensor is derived. The renormalized stress-energy tensor is derived in Section VII, and a part that can be used as an analytic approximation is displayed. Our results are summarized and discussed in Section VIII. Various technical results are derived in the Appendices.

Our conventions are those of Misner, Thorne, and Wheeler [52] and we use units such that $\hbar = c = G = k_B = 1$. Greek indices are used to refer to general spacetime coordinates and Latin indices refer to locally inertial coordinates.

II. REVIEW OF SPINORS IN CURVED SPACETIME

The study of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fields in curved spacetime appears to have begun with Fock and Ivanenko [53] who derived the Dirac equation in a general spacetime. Brill and Wheeler [54] adapted the formalism of spinors in curved space to static spherically symmetric spacetimes and derived the specific form of the Dirac equation in these spacetimes. Green's functions for the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field have been derived by Boulware [55] for Schwarzschild spacetime and by Bezerra de Mello, Bezerra, and Khusnutdinov [38] in a global monopole spacetime.

In this section we review the formalism most commonly used to treat spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fields in a general curved spacetime. We then derive an explicit form for the Dirac equation in Euclidean space and discuss its solutions.

A. General Results

The spin of a field ψ is defined by its behavior under a Lorentz transformation. An infinitesimal Lorentz transformation in flat space can be written in the form

$$\Lambda^a{}_b = \delta^a{}_b + \omega^a{}_b \tag{2.1}$$

with $\omega^a{}_b$ an arbitrary infinitesimal antisymmetric tensor. The transformation law for a field ψ of arbitrary spin is [56]

$$\psi \to D(\Lambda)\psi = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega_{ab}\sigma^{ab}\right)\psi$$
 (2.2)

where D denotes a representation of the Lorentz group and the matrices σ^{ab} are the generators of this representation. For an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation,

$$D(\Lambda) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ab}\sigma^{ab} . \tag{2.3}$$

The matrices σ^{ab} satisfy the commutation relations

$$\left[\sigma^{ab}, \sigma^{cd}\right] = \eta^{cb}\sigma^{ad} - \eta^{ca}\sigma^{bd} + \eta^{db}\sigma^{ca} - \eta^{da}\sigma^{cb} .$$

$$(2.4)$$

Here η_{ab} is the usual Minkowski metric in Cartesian coordinates. For spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fields [58]

$$\sigma^{ab} = -\frac{1}{4} \left[\gamma^a, \gamma^b \right] \,, \tag{2.5}$$

where the γ^a are 4×4 matrices satisfying the anticommutation relations¹

$$\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = -2\eta^{ab}\mathbf{1} . \tag{2.6}$$

For this work, we use the Dirac representation [57]:

¹Had we chosen $\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = 2\eta^{ab}\mathbf{1}$, we would have chosen $\sigma^{ab} = \frac{1}{4} \left[\gamma^a, \gamma^b\right]$.

$$\gamma^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \sigma_{i} \\ -\sigma_{i} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \qquad i = 1, 2, 3.$$
 (2.7)

Here the σ_i are the usual Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.8)

In curved spacetime, it is useful to use the vierbein or tetrad formalism [56]. In this formalism, one sets up a locally inertial frame at every point. The coordinate transformation between the general spacetime coordinates and the coordinates for the frame that is locally inertial at a given point x is given by the vierbein functions $e_a^{\mu}(x)$. As a result the relationship between the locally inertial metric η_{ab} and the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ at x is

$$\eta_{ab} = g_{\mu\nu}(x)e_a{}^{\mu}(x)e_b{}^{\nu}(x) .$$
(2.9)

Note that the locally inertial coordinate indices are raised and lowered with η_{ab} and the general coordinate indices with $g_{\mu\nu}$. It is easy to show that the vierbein functions also satisfy

$$e_{a}{}^{\mu}e_{\ \mu}^{b} = \delta_{a}^{b}$$

$$e_{a}{}^{\mu}e_{\ \nu}^{a} = \delta_{\nu}^{\mu}.$$
(2.10)

Using the vierbein formalism, one is able to maintain Lorentz covariance and general coordinate covariance through the definition of an appropriate covariant derivative [56]. One accomplishes this by requiring that the spinor covariant derivatives behave in the same manner in curved space as in flat space. In flat space the gradient of a spinor is both a spinor and a vector. This implies that under a Lorentz transformation $\partial_{\alpha}\psi \rightarrow \Lambda_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}D(\Lambda) \partial_{\beta}\psi$. In curved space, the covariant derivative is defined in such a way that this relationship is preserved [56,58]. Thus

$$\nabla_a \psi(x) \to \Lambda_a{}^b(x) D(\Lambda(x)) \nabla_b \psi(x)$$
(2.11)

where $\nabla_a = e_a{}^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}$ and Λ is a function of x, since the locally inertial frame is different, in general, at different points in the spacetime. Equation (2.11) is satisfied if we define

$$\nabla_{\mu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} + \Gamma_{\mu} \tag{2.12}$$

with

$$\Gamma_{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ab} e_a{}^{\nu} e_{b\nu;\mu} . \qquad (2.13)$$

Here and throughout the semicolon denotes the covariant derivative of a vector or tensor. It acts on the spacetime indices (denoted by Greek letters).

With the vierbein formalism, the Dirac equation for a massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in an arbitrary spacetime can be written as [58]

$$i\gamma^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}\psi = 0 , \qquad (2.14)$$

where $\gamma^{\mu} = \gamma^{a} e_{a}{}^{\mu}$.

To calculate $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ in a static spacetime, it is advantageous to work in Euclidean space instead of Lorentzian space. To compute covariant derivatives in Euclidean space, one may repeat the above steps, but this time preserving covariance under a Euclidean rotation instead of a Lorentz transformation. An infinitesimal rotation \mathcal{R}_{ab} in Euclidean space can be written as

$$\mathcal{R}_{ab} = \delta_{ab} + \omega_{ab}^E \tag{2.15}$$

where ω_{ab}^{E} is an arbitrary antisymmetric tensor. Under a rotation \mathcal{R} , a field ψ of arbitrary spin has the transformation

$$\psi \to D(\mathcal{R})\psi = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega_{ab}^E \sigma_E^{ab}\right)\psi$$
 (2.16)

For an infinitesimal rotation this becomes

$$D(\mathcal{R}) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ab}^E \sigma_E^{ab} .$$
(2.17)

The σ_E^{ab} must be generators of a representation of the Euclidean group (in four dimensions). They satisfy the commutation relations

$$\left[\sigma_E^{ab}, \sigma_E^{cd}\right] = \delta^{cb}\sigma_E^{ad} - \delta^{ca}\sigma_E^{bd} + \delta^{db}\sigma_E^{ca} - \delta^{da}\sigma_E^{cb} .$$
(2.18)

For spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fields

$$\sigma_E^{ab} = \frac{1}{4} \left[\gamma_E^a, \gamma_E^b \right] \tag{2.19}$$

with

$$\{\gamma_E^a, \gamma_E^b\} = 2\delta^{ab} . \tag{2.20}$$

It is easy to show that this anticommutation relation is satisfied if we make the identification

$$\gamma_E^0 \equiv \gamma^0 \qquad \gamma_E^i \equiv -i\gamma^i .$$
 (2.21)

Note that the relationship between the vierbein and the metric in Eq. (2.9) allows us to use the same vierbein for both the Euclidean and Lorentzian sectors of a given static spacetime. The Euclidean covariant derivative can then be defined analogously to the Lorentzian one, by requiring that the covariant derivative of a spinor in curved space behave in the same manner as in flat space:

$$\nabla^{E}_{\mu}\psi(x) \to \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}{}^{\beta}(x) D\left(\mathcal{R}(x)\right) \nabla^{E}_{\beta}\psi(x) . \qquad (2.22)$$

Eq. (2.22) is satisfied if

$$\nabla^E_\mu \equiv \partial^E_\mu + \Gamma^E_\mu \tag{2.23}$$

with

$$\Gamma^E_{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{ab}_E e_a^{\ \nu} e_{b\nu;\mu} \ . \tag{2.24}$$

Then the Dirac equation for a massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in an arbitrary Euclidean spacetime is

$$-\gamma_E^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}^E \psi = 0. \qquad (2.25)$$

B. Static Spherically Symmetric Spacetimes

For a general static spherically symmetric spacetime the metric can be written in the form

$$ds^{2} = -f(r) dt^{2} + h(r) dr^{2} + r^{2} d\theta^{2} + r^{2} \sin^{2}(\theta) d\phi^{2}$$
(2.26)

The transformation to Euclidean space is made by setting $t = -i\tau$ in Eq. (2.26). One vierbein that is appropriate for this metric is

$$e_a{}^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} f^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & h^{-1/2}\sin\theta\cos\phi & r^{-1}\cos\theta\cos\phi & -r^{-1}\csc\theta\sin\phi\\ 0 & h^{-1/2}\sin\theta\sin\phi & r^{-1}\cos\theta\sin\phi & r^{-1}\csc\theta\cos\phi\\ 0 & h^{-1/2}\cos\theta & -r^{-1}\sin\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$
(2.27)

The rows of this matrix are specified by the index a and the columns by the index μ . One may derive explicit forms for the spin connection matrices by substituting Eqs. (2.5) and (2.27) into Eq. (2.13). The spin connections for Euclidean space can be found by substituting Eqs. (2.19), (2.21), and (2.27) into Eq. (2.24). In both cases the results are

$$\Gamma_{t} = i\Gamma_{\tau} = \frac{f'\gamma^{0}\tilde{\gamma}}{4f^{1/2}h^{1/2}}$$

$$\Gamma_{r} = 0$$

$$\Gamma_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - h^{-1/2})\left(\gamma^{1}\gamma^{3}\cos\phi + \gamma^{2}\gamma^{3}\sin\phi\right)$$

$$\Gamma_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2}(h^{-1/2} - 1)\left(\gamma^{1}\gamma^{2}\sin\theta + \gamma^{1}\gamma^{3}\cos\theta\sin\phi - \gamma^{2}\gamma^{3}\cos\theta\cos\phi\right)\sin\theta \qquad (2.28)$$

with

$$\tilde{\gamma} \equiv \sin\theta \cos\phi \gamma^1 + \sin\theta \sin\phi \gamma^2 + \cos\theta \gamma^3 .$$
(2.29)

Substituting Eqs. (2.28) into Eq. (2.25) results in the following form for the Euclidean space Dirac equation:

$$-\frac{\gamma^0}{f^{1/2}}\partial_\tau\psi + \frac{i\,\tilde{\gamma}}{rf^{1/4}h^{1/2}}\partial_r\left(rf^{1/4}\psi\right) - \frac{i\,\tilde{\gamma}}{r}\left(\vec{\Sigma}\cdot\vec{L}+\mathbf{1}\right)\psi = 0\,,\qquad(2.30)$$

where in the Dirac representation,

$$\vec{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (2.31)$$

and \vec{L} is the standard angular momentum operator with components

$$L_{1} = i \sin \phi \,\partial_{\theta} + i \cot \theta \,\cos \phi \,\partial_{\phi}$$

$$L_{2} = -i \cos \phi \,\partial_{\theta} + i \cot \theta \,\sin \phi \,\partial_{\phi}$$

$$L_{3} = -i \partial_{\phi} \,. \tag{2.32}$$

Using separation of variables, one finds that, as in the Lorentzian case [54,59,60], there are two types of solutions to the Euclidean Dirac equation. When this equation is written in the form (2.30), they are

$$\psi_1(x) = \frac{e^{i\omega\tau}}{rf^{1/4}} \begin{pmatrix} F_{\omega,j}(r)\Psi_{j,+}^m(\theta,\phi) \\ G_{\omega,j}(r)\Psi_{j,-}^m(\theta,\phi) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\psi_2(x) = \frac{e^{i\omega\tau}}{rf^{1/4}} \begin{pmatrix} G_{\omega,j}(r)\Psi_{j,-}^m(\theta,\phi) \\ F_{\omega,j}(r)\Psi_{j,+}^m(\theta,\phi) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.33)

The angular functions $\Psi_{j,\pm}^m$ are eigenfunctions of the operator $\mathbf{k} = \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{L} + \mathbf{1}$, and satisfy [59,60]

$$\mathbf{k}\Psi_{j,\pm}^{m} = \pm \left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right)\Psi_{j,\pm}^{m} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\sigma}\Psi_{j,\pm}^{m} = \Psi_{j,\mp}^{m}, \tag{2.34}$$

where

$$\tilde{\sigma} \equiv \sin\theta \cos\phi \,\sigma_1 + \sin\theta \sin\phi \,\sigma_2 + \cos\theta \,\sigma_3 \,. \tag{2.35}$$

Their explicit form is

$$\Psi_{j,+}^{m} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{j+m}{2j}} Y_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{j-m}{2j}} Y_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{j,-}^{m} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{j+1-m}{2j+2}} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{j+1+m}{2j+2}} Y_{j+\frac{1}{2}}^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.36)

with $j = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$ and $m = -j, -j+1, \dots, j$. The $Y_{j\pm 1/2}^{m\pm 1/2}$ are the standard spherical harmonics. Substituting either of $\psi_1(x)$ or $\psi_2(x)$ in Eq. (2.33) into Eq. (2.30) yields the following

Substituting either of $\psi_1(x)$ or $\psi_2(x)$ in Eq. (2.33) into Eq. (2.30) yields the following coupled set of mode equations for $F_{\omega,j}$ and $G_{\omega,j}$:

$$\frac{\omega}{f^{1/2}}F_{\omega,j} = \frac{1}{h^{1/2}}\partial_r G_{\omega,j} + \frac{j + \frac{1}{2}}{r}G_{\omega,j} , \qquad (2.37a)$$

$$\frac{\omega}{f^{1/2}}G_{\omega,j} = \frac{1}{h^{1/2}}\partial_r F_{\omega,j} - \frac{j + \frac{1}{2}}{r}F_{\omega,j} .$$
(2.37b)

These may be combined to form the second order equations

$$\frac{f^{1/2}}{h^{1/2}}\partial_r \left[\frac{f^{1/2}}{h^{1/2}}\partial_r F_{\omega,j}\right] - F_{\omega,j}\frac{f^{1/2}}{h^{1/2}}\partial_r \left[\left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{f^{1/2}}{r}\right] - \left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\frac{f}{r^2}F_{\omega,j} - \omega^2 F_{\omega,j} = 0 , \quad (2.38a)$$

$$\frac{f^{1/2}}{h^{1/2}}\partial_r \left[\frac{f^{1/2}}{h^{1/2}}\partial_r G_{\omega,j}\right] + G_{\omega,j}\frac{f^{1/2}}{h^{1/2}}\partial_r \left[\left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{f^{1/2}}{r}\right] - \left(j+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\frac{f}{r^2}G_{\omega,j} - \omega^2 G_{\omega,j} = 0. \quad (2.38b)$$

Since $F_{\omega,j}$ and $G_{\omega,j}$ obey a coupled set of linear first order equations, there will be two linearly independent solutions. Boundary conditions for the radial modes depend on the physical situation and are usually specified at both large and small values of r. For example, in a black hole spacetime the modes must be finite at the event horizon and at $r = \infty$. We denote the solutions that satisfy the boundary condition at small r by the superscript p. These are $F_{\omega,j}^p$ and $G_{\omega,j}^p$. Those that satisfy the boundary condition at large r are denoted by the superscript q. These are $F_{\omega,j}^q$ and $G_{\omega,j}^q$. The normalization of these solutions is, for the moment, left unspecified.

III. THE EUCLIDEAN GREEN'S FUNCTION

The Euclidean Green's function for a massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field is required to be a solution of the equation

$$-\gamma_{E}^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}^{E}S_{E}(x,x') = -\mathbf{1}\frac{\delta^{4}(x-x')}{\sqrt{g_{E}}}$$
(3.1)

It must also satisfy the appropriate boundary conditions.

Using a method similar to that which Candelas [33] used to construct the Euclidean Green's function for a scalar field, we find that the Euclidean Green's function for the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in a zero temperature vacuum state in a static spherically symmetric spacetime can be written in the form

$$S_{E}(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}') = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega \, \omega e^{i\omega(\tau-\tau')}}{2\pi r r' f(r)^{1/4} f(r')^{1/4}} \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} \left\{ \theta(r-r') \left[\begin{pmatrix} F_{\omega,j}^{q}(r) \Psi_{j,+}^{m}(\theta, \phi) \\ G_{\omega,j}^{q}(r) \Psi_{j,-}^{m}(\theta, \phi) \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} F_{\omega,j}^{p}(r') \Psi_{j,+}^{m\dagger}(\theta', \phi') & G_{\omega,j}^{p}(r') \Psi_{j,-}^{m\dagger}(\theta', \phi') \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ \left. - \begin{pmatrix} G_{\omega,j}^{q}(r) \Psi_{j,-}^{m}(\theta, \phi) \\ F_{\omega,j}^{q}(r) \Psi_{j,+}^{m}(\theta, \phi) \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} G_{\omega,j}^{p}(r') \Psi_{j,-}^{m\dagger}(\theta', \phi') & F_{\omega,j}^{p}(r') \Psi_{j,+}^{m\dagger}(\theta', \phi') \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ \left. + \theta(r'-r) \left[p \leftrightarrow q \right] \right\}.$$

$$(3.2)$$

The notation \otimes denotes a direct product. The notation $p \leftrightarrow q$ means that the superscripts p and q on the radial mode functions $F_{\omega,j}$ and $G_{\omega,j}$ should be switched, but everything else, including the arguments of the mode functions, should be left the same as in the previous expression. Thus the explicit form of the second term in Eq. (3.2) is

$$\theta(r'-r) \left[\begin{pmatrix} F^p_{\omega,j}(r)\Psi^m_{j,+}(\theta,\phi) \\ G^p_{\omega,j}(r)\Psi^m_{j,-}(\theta,\phi) \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} F^q_{\omega,j}(r')\Psi^{m\dagger}_{j,+}(\theta',\phi') & G^q_{\omega,j}(r')\Psi^{m\dagger}_{j,-}(\theta',\phi') \end{pmatrix} \\ - \begin{pmatrix} G^p_{\omega,j}(r)\Psi^m_{j,-}(\theta,\phi) \\ F^p_{\omega,j}(r)\Psi^m_{j,+}(\theta,\phi) \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} G^q_{\omega,j}(r')\Psi^{m\dagger}_{j,-}(\theta',\phi') & F^q_{\omega,j}(r')\Psi^{m\dagger}_{j,+}(\theta',\phi') \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

For a thermal state at temperature T the integral in Eq. (3.2) is changed to a sum using the following rule:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega V(\omega) \to \kappa \sum_{n=1/2}^{\infty} \left[V(n\kappa) + V(-n\kappa) \right]$$
(3.3)

where $\kappa = 2\pi T$ and the sum over *n* runs over the half integers $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots$. This ensures that the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ Euclidean Green's function is antiperiodic in Euclidean time τ as it must be for an anticommuting field [58].

An examination of Eq. (3.2) shows that it satisfies the same boundary conditions that the radial modes do at large and small values of r. To verify that Eq. (3.2) can satisfy Eq. (3.1), first note that because Eq. (2.33) is a solution of the homogenous Dirac equation, most of the terms in $\gamma_E^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}^E S_E$ will vanish. The only non-vanishing terms will be those where the derivative acts on the radial step-functions, yielding factors of $\delta(r - r')$. Combining terms, and writing out the matrices explicitly, many of the terms will vanish. If the condition

$$\omega \left[G^q_{\omega,j}(r) F^p_{\omega,j}(r) - F^q_{\omega,j}(r) G^p_{\omega,j}(r) \right] = 1$$
(3.4)

is imposed, then the integral over ω can be computed to yield a factor of $\delta(\tau - \tau')$. However, Eq. (3.4) is just a Wronskian condition for the solutions to Eqs. (2.37a) and (2.37b), so the normalization can be chosen so that it is satisfied for all values of r. All that is left is the angular mode sums, which can be computed using the identity

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta,\phi) Y_{\ell}^{m*}(\theta',\phi') = \frac{\delta(\theta-\theta') \,\delta(\phi-\phi')}{\sin\theta} \,, \tag{3.5}$$

and the proof that Eq. (3.2) satisfies Eq. (3.1) is complete.

In spite of the fact that Eq. (3.2) is a solution to (3.1) with the correct boundary conditions, it might appear that our ansatz is not sensible. After all, in Lorentzian space, we expect expressions like $\psi(x)\overline{\psi}(x')$, and not $\psi(x)\psi^{\dagger}(x')$ to appear in the Green's function. For example, one knows that $\overline{\psi}\psi$ is invariant under Lorentz transformations. Since the trace of our S_E when the points are brought together contains $\psi^{\dagger}\psi$ instead of $\overline{\psi}\psi = \psi^{\dagger}\gamma^{0}\psi$, at first glance it may appear unreasonable.

The reason for the absence of the γ^0 is that we are working in Euclidean, not Lorentzian space. A finite rotation in Euclidean space of a field ψ will transform according to Eq. (2.16). The σ_E^{ab} 's in Eq. (2.16) are all anti-Hermitian, as can be seen easily from their definition in Eq. (2.19). It follows that

$$\psi^{\dagger} \to \psi^{\dagger} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega_{ab}^{E}\sigma_{E}^{ab\dagger}\right) = \psi^{\dagger} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\omega_{ab}^{E}\sigma_{E}^{ab}\right) ,$$
 (3.6)

so that under a local Euclidean rotation, $\psi^{\dagger}\psi \rightarrow \psi^{\dagger}\psi$.

In contrast, in Lorentzian space, the σ^{ab} 's are not all anti-Hermitian. Specifically,

$$\sigma^{0i\dagger} = \sigma^{0i}$$
 while $\sigma^{ij\dagger} = -\sigma^{ij}$. (3.7)

Here *i* and *j* are space indices. It is easy to demonstrate that $\sigma^{ab\dagger}\gamma^0 = -\gamma^0\sigma^{ab}$, so that under a Lorentz transformation,

$$\psi^{\dagger}\gamma^{0} \to \psi^{\dagger} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\omega_{ab}\sigma^{ab\dagger}\right)\gamma^{0} = \psi^{\dagger}\gamma^{0} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\omega_{ab}\sigma^{ab}\right)$$
 (3.8)

and thus $\psi^{\dagger}\gamma^{0}\psi \rightarrow \psi^{\dagger}\gamma^{0}\psi$. Therefore the overall form of our S_{E} as the direct product $\psi(x) \otimes \psi^{\dagger}(x')$ is sensible. In addition, we show in Appendix A that our Green's function is equal to the standard one in flat space.

It is possible to compute the sum over m in Eq. (3.2) by using the addition theorem for spherical harmonics [61]:

$$P_{\ell}(\cos\gamma) = \frac{4\pi}{2\ell + 1} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) Y_l^{m*}(\theta', \phi') , \qquad (3.9)$$

with

$$\cos\gamma \equiv \cos\theta \,\cos\theta' + \sin\theta \,\sin\theta' \,\cos(\phi - \phi') \,. \tag{3.10}$$

The result is

$$S_E(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}') = \int \frac{d\mu \,\omega}{rr'(f(r)f(r'))^{1/4}} \times \\ \times \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \Big[\theta(r-r') \Big\{ -\sin[\omega(\tau-\tau')] \,\gamma^0 \,A^{q,p}_{\omega,\ell} + i\cos[\omega(\tau-\tau')] \,\tilde{\gamma} \,B^{q,p}_{\omega,\ell} \Big\} \\ + \,\theta(r'-r) \Big\{ p \leftrightarrow q \Big\} \Big] P_\ell(\cos\gamma)$$
(3.11)

where $\int d\mu$ is defined such that for an arbitrary function $V(\omega)$

$$\int d\mu V(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\omega V(\omega) \quad \text{if } T = 0$$
$$\equiv \frac{\kappa}{4\pi^2} \sum_{n=1/2}^\infty V(n\kappa) \quad \text{if } T > 0 , \qquad (3.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{q,p}_{\omega,\ell} &\equiv F^{q}_{\omega,\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(r)F^{p}_{\omega,\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(r')\left[(\ell+1)\mathbf{1} + \vec{\Sigma}\cdot\vec{L}\right] - G^{q}_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}}(r)G^{p}_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}}(r')\left[\ell\mathbf{1} - \vec{\Sigma}\cdot\vec{L}\right] \\
B^{q,p}_{\omega,\ell} &\equiv -G^{q}_{\omega,\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(r)F^{p}_{\omega,\ell+\frac{1}{2}}(r')\left[(\ell+1)\mathbf{1} + \vec{\Sigma}\cdot\vec{L}\right] + F^{q}_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}}(r)G^{p}_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}}(r')\left[\ell\mathbf{1} - \vec{\Sigma}\cdot\vec{L}\right] .
\end{aligned}$$
(3.13)

Note that the subscripts $\ell \pm \frac{1}{2}$ on the radial mode functions denote the value of j in the mode equations (2.37a) and (2.37b). The operators \vec{L} are defined in Eq. (2.32), and always act on the unprimed variables θ and ϕ .

IV. WKB APPROXIMATION

The method presented in Sections VI and VII of computing the stress-energy tensor for the massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field makes use of a WKB approximation for the solutions to the mode equations (2.37a) and (2.37b). The WKB approximation can be obtained by writing

$$G_{\omega,j}^{q}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2W(r)}} \exp\left[-\int W(r) \left(h/f\right)^{1/2} dr\right]$$
(4.1a)

$$G^{p}_{\omega,j}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2W(r)}} \exp\left[\int W(r) \left(h/f\right)^{1/2} dr\right].$$
 (4.1b)

Substitution of Eqs. (4.1a) and (4.1b) into Eq. (2.37a) gives

$$F_{\omega,j}^{q}(r) = \frac{G_{\omega,j}^{q}(r)}{\omega} \left(-W(r) - \frac{f^{1/2}}{h^{1/2}} \frac{W'(r)}{2W(r)} + (j + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{f^{1/2}}{r} \right)$$
(4.2a)

$$F_{\omega,j}^{p}(r) = \frac{G_{\omega,j}^{p}(r)}{\omega} \left(W(r) - \frac{f^{1/2}}{h^{1/2}} \frac{W'(r)}{2W(r)} + (j + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{f^{1/2}}{r} \right)$$
(4.2b)

Note that Eqs. (4.1a–b) and (4.2a–b) are normalized in this form so that the Wronskian condition (3.4) is satisfied. Then substituting Eqs. (4.1a) and (4.2a) into Eq. (2.38b) or substituting Eqs. (4.1b) and (4.2b) into Eq. (2.38b) gives the following equation for W(r):

$$W^{2}(r) = \Omega^{2}(r) + \frac{fW(r)''}{2hW(r)} - \frac{3fW(r)'^{2}}{4hW(r)^{2}} - \frac{fh'W(r)'}{4h^{2}W(r)} + \frac{f'W(r)'}{4hW(r)}$$
(4.3)

with

$$\Omega^{2}(r) \equiv \omega^{2} - \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})f'}{2h^{1/2}r} + \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})f}{h^{1/2}r^{2}} + \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})^{2}f}{r^{2}}.$$
(4.4)

Eq. (4.3) can be solved iteratively. The zeroth order solution is $W(r) = \Omega(r)$. The second order solution is

$$W(r) = \Omega + \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{f}{h\Omega^2} \Omega'' + \frac{1}{2\Omega^2} \left(\frac{f'}{h} - \frac{fh'}{h^2} \right) \Omega' - \frac{3f\Omega'^2}{2h\Omega^3} \right],\tag{4.5}$$

and so forth.

V. POINT SPLITTING

As is the case for most quantities in quantum field theory, the stress-energy tensor for quantized fields is divergent, and must be renormalized. The method of renormalization which we choose is that of point splitting. In this method [26] one expands the expression for the stress-energy tensor in powers of the geodetic interval $\sigma(x, x')$ and its derivatives $\sigma^{\nu}(x, x')$. The quantity $\sigma(x, x')$ is defined as one-half of the square of the proper distance along the shortest geodesic that joins the points x and x'. It has the property

$$\sigma(x, x') = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu}) \tag{5.1}$$

with

$$\sigma^{\mu} \equiv \sigma^{;\mu} . \tag{5.2}$$

One then subtracts the results from the unrenormalized stress-energy tensor and allows the points to come together. Christensen [50,51] has calculated the renormalization counterterms for the stress-energy tensor for free quantized fields of spin 0, $\frac{1}{2}$, and 1. To actually implement the point splitting renormalization scheme, one usually chooses a set of coordinates, and chooses the way in which the points are split. The geodetic interval σ and its derivatives are then expanded in powers of $x^{\mu} - x'^{\mu}$.

As is shown in Section VI, the unrenormalized stress-energy tensor may be written in terms of covariant derivatives of the Euclidean Green's function. It is made up of terms which are bi-spinors and sometimes also bi-vectors. A bi-spinor is an object that transforms like a spinor at x and a spinor at x' under Lorentz transformations (or Euclidean rotations) and a bi-vector is an object that transforms like a vector at x and a vector at x'.

In general, a spinor at x' is parallel transported to a spinor at x through the use of the bi-spinor of parallel transport I(x, x'). A vector at x' is parallel transported to a vector at x

through use of the bi-vector of parallel transport $g^{\mu}{}_{\lambda'}$. One may show that an object which is both a vector and a spinor is parallel transported by $g^{\mu}{}_{\lambda'}I(x,x')$. For example,

$$\left(\gamma^{\mu}\psi(x)\right)_{\parallel} = g^{\mu}{}_{\lambda'}I(x,x')\gamma^{\lambda'}\psi(x') \tag{5.3}$$

is a spinor and vector at x, and a scalar at x'. We show in Appendix B that to parallel transport $\overline{\psi}(x')$ to $\overline{\psi}(x)$, one must multiply on the right hand side by I(x', x), where $I(x, x')I(x', x) = \mathbf{1}$. Then

$$\left(\overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\right)_{\parallel} = g^{\mu}{}_{\lambda'}\overline{\psi}(x')\gamma^{\lambda'}I(x',x)$$
(5.4)

is again a spinor and vector at x and a scalar at x'.

We shall split the points along the time direction so that

$$\epsilon \equiv t - t', \ r = r', \ \theta = \theta', \ \phi = \phi' .$$
(5.5)

The quantities σ^{μ} can then be expanded in powers of ϵ . The result for a general static spherically symmetric spacetime is [21]

$$\sigma^{t} = \epsilon + \frac{f'^{2}}{24fh}\epsilon^{3} - \frac{1}{120} \left(\frac{f'^{4}}{8f^{2}h^{2}} + \frac{3}{16} \frac{f'^{3}h'}{fh^{3}} - \frac{3}{8} \frac{f'^{2}f''}{fh^{2}} \right) \epsilon^{5} + O(\epsilon^{7})$$

$$\sigma^{r} = -\frac{f'}{4h}\epsilon^{2} - \frac{1}{24} \left(-\frac{f'^{2}h'}{8h^{3}} + \frac{f'f''}{4h^{2}} \right) \epsilon^{4} + O(\epsilon^{6})$$

$$\sigma^{\theta} = \sigma^{\phi} = 0.$$
(5.6)

The bi-vectors of parallel transport can also be expanded in powers of ϵ with the result [21]

$$g^{tt'} = -\frac{1}{f} - \frac{f'^2}{8f^2h}\epsilon^2 + \left(\frac{f'^4}{384f^3h^2} - \frac{f'^2f''}{96f^2h^2} + \frac{f'^3h'}{192f^2h^3}\right)\epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^6)$$

$$g^{tr'} = -g^{rt'} = -\frac{f'}{2fh}\epsilon - \left(\frac{f'^3}{96f^2h^2} + \frac{f'f''}{48fh^2} - \frac{f'^2h'}{96fh^3}\right)\epsilon^3 + O(\epsilon^5)$$

$$g^{rr'} = \frac{1}{h} + \frac{f'^2}{8fh^2}\epsilon^2 - \left(\frac{f'^4}{384f^2h^3} - \frac{f'^2f''}{96fh^3} + \frac{f'^3h'}{192fh^4}\right)\epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^6)$$

$$g^{\theta\theta'} = \frac{1}{r^2}$$

$$g^{\phi\phi'} = \frac{1}{r^2\sin^2\theta}.$$
(5.7)

All other components vanish. In Appendix B, we show that I(x', x) has the following expansion in terms of ϵ :

$$I(x',x) = I_1 \mathbf{1} + \gamma^0 \tilde{\gamma} I_2 , \qquad (5.8)$$

with

$$I_{1} = 1 + \frac{f'^{2}}{16fh}\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} + \left(-\frac{7}{256}\frac{f'^{4}}{f^{2}h^{2}} - \frac{f'^{3}h'}{32fh^{3}} + \frac{f'^{2}f''}{16fh^{2}}\right)\frac{\epsilon^{4}}{24} + O(\epsilon^{6}),$$

$$I_{2} = \frac{f'}{4f^{1/2}h^{1/2}}\epsilon + \left(-\frac{1}{64}\frac{f'^{3}}{f^{3/2}h^{3/2}} - \frac{f'^{2}h'}{32f^{1/2}h^{5/2}} + \frac{f'f''}{16f^{1/2}h^{3/2}}\right)\frac{\epsilon^{3}}{6} + O(\epsilon^{5}).$$
(5.9)

VI. AN UNRENORMALIZED EXPRESSION FOR $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$

The stress-energy tensor for the classical spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field is $[58]^2$

$$T_{\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{i}{2} \left[\overline{\psi}(x) \gamma_{(\mu} \nabla_{\nu)} \psi(x) - \left(\nabla_{(\mu} \overline{\psi}(x) \right) \gamma_{\nu)} \psi(x) \right]$$
(6.1)

where parentheses around tensor indices indicate symmetrization. For example $A_{(\mu\nu)} = \frac{1}{2} (A_{\mu\nu} + A_{\nu\mu})$. In Appendix E, we show that, for the massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in a general static spherically symmetric spacetime,

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu}(x)\rangle_{\text{unren}} = -\frac{1}{4} \lim_{x' \to x} \text{Im} \left\{ \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_{(\mu} \left(\nabla_{\nu} \left[S_E + S_E^c \right] - g_{\nu} \right)^{\lambda'} \nabla_{\lambda'} \left[S_E + S_E^c \right] \right) I(x', x) \right] \right\} , \quad (6.2)$$

where S_E is understood to stand for $S_E(x, x')$. In Appendix D the charge conjugation operation is defined for a matrix operator U as

$$U^{c} \equiv C\left(\overline{U}\right)^{T} C^{\dagger} , \qquad (6.3)$$

where ^T means transpose and C is the charge conjugation matrix, given by $C = i\gamma^2\gamma^0$ in the Dirac representation.

One can write the components of $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{unren}}$ explicitly in terms of mode functions by substituting Eq. (3.11) into Eq. (6.2). In Appendix D, it is shown that when the points are split in the time direction it is possible to write the results in terms of scalar functions multiplied by various combinations of Dirac matrices.³ This makes it possible to compute the trace in Eq. (6.2) without explicitly solving the mode equations or computing the mode sums. The nonzero components of the unrenormalized stress-energy tensor are

$$\begin{split} \langle T_t^{\ t} \rangle_{\text{unren}} &= 2 \text{Re} \Biggl\{ \int \frac{d\mu}{r^2} \Biggl[-\omega^2 \cos[\omega(\tau - \tau')] I_1 \left(g^{tt} + g^{tt'} \right) A_1 - i\omega \sin[\omega(\tau - \tau')] I_1 g^{tr'} \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} A_3 \\ &\quad -\omega^2 \cos[\omega(\tau - \tau')] I_2 g^{tr'} \frac{h^{1/2}}{f^{1/2}} A_1 - i\omega \sin[\omega(\tau - \tau')] I_2 \left(g^{tt} - g^{tt'} \right) \frac{f'}{4f^{1/2}h^{1/2}} A_1 \\ &\quad +\omega \cos[\omega(\tau - \tau')] I_2 g^{tr'} \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} A_2 + i\omega \sin[\omega(\tau - \tau')] I_1 g^{tr'} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} + \frac{f'}{4f} \right) A_1 \Biggr] \Biggr\} \\ \langle T_r^{\ r} \rangle_{\text{unren}} &= 2 \text{Re} \Biggl\{ \int \frac{d\mu}{r^2 f^{1/2}} \Biggl[-i\omega \sin[\omega(\tau - \tau')] I_2 \left(g^{rr} - g^{rr'} \right) \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} + \frac{f'}{4f} \right) A_1 \\ &\quad + i\omega \sin[\omega(\tau - \tau')] I_2 \left(g^{rr} - g^{rr'} \right) \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} A_3 - \omega^2 \cos[\omega(\tau - \tau')] I_2 g^{rt'} A_1 \end{split}$$

²Since our γ_{μ} has the opposite sign as that in Ref. [58], this introduces an apparent minus sign between our Eq. (6.1) and Eq. (3.191) of Ref. [58].

³It is actually possible to do this for an arbitrary separation of the points but the result is more complicated.

$$-\omega^{2}\cos[\omega(\tau-\tau')]I_{1}\left(g^{rr}+g^{rr'}\right)\frac{h^{1/2}}{f^{1/2}}A_{1}+\omega\cos[\omega(\tau-\tau')]I_{1}\left(g^{rr}+g^{rr'}\right)\frac{h^{1/2}}{r}A_{2}$$
$$+i\omega\sin[\omega(\tau-\tau')]I_{1}g^{rt'}\frac{f'}{4f^{1/2}h^{1/2}}A_{1}\bigg]\bigg\}$$
$$\langle T_{\theta}^{\ \theta}\rangle_{\rm unren} = \langle T_{\phi}^{\ \phi}\rangle_{\rm unren} = 2\operatorname{Re}\bigg\{\int\frac{d\mu}{r^{3}f^{1/2}}\bigg[-\omega\cos[\omega(\tau-\tau')]I_{1}A_{2}-i\omega\sin[\omega(\tau-\tau')]I_{2}A_{3}$$
$$+i\omega\sin[\omega(\tau-\tau')]I_{2}\left(\frac{1}{h^{1/2}}-1\right)A_{1}\bigg]\bigg\}$$
(6.4)

where

$$A_{1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[(\ell+1)F_{\omega,\ell+\frac{1}{2}}^{q}(r)F_{\omega,\ell+\frac{1}{2}}^{p}(r) - \ell G_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}}^{q}(r)G_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}}^{p}(r) + \frac{r}{f^{1/2}} \right]$$

$$A_{2} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[\ell(\ell+1) \left(G_{\omega,\ell+\frac{1}{2}}^{q}(r)F_{\omega,\ell+\frac{1}{2}}^{p}(r) + F_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}}^{q}(r)G_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}}^{p}(r) \right) - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{\omega} + \frac{r^{2}\omega}{2f} \right]$$

$$A_{3} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[\ell(\ell+1) \left(F_{\omega,\ell+\frac{1}{2}}^{q}(r)F_{\omega,\ell+\frac{1}{2}}^{p}(r) + G_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}}^{q}(r)G_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}}^{p}(r) \right) - \frac{r}{2f^{1/2}} + \frac{r}{2f^{1/2}h^{1/2}} - \frac{r^{2}f'}{4f^{3/2}h^{1/2}} \right].$$

$$(6.5)$$

As in the scalar field case [33,5,6,62,21], there is a superficial divergence for large ℓ , which arises because the points are split along the time direction. As discussed in [5], it cannot be physical since one knows that the Green's function is finite with the points split. Since $\delta(\tau - \tau') = 0$ if the points are split, one can add multiples of it and its derivatives to Eqs. (6.4). In particular one can add terms that will cancel the large ℓ divergences in these equations. In Eqs. (6.5), the terms that do not contain mode functions are these additional terms.

VII. RENORMALIZED EXPRESSION FOR THE STRESS-ENERGY TENSOR

As mentioned previously, the renormalized stress-energy tensor is obtained by subtracting off the point splitting counterterms and letting the points come together. Thus

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm ren} = \lim_{x' \to x} \left(\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm unren} - \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm DS} \right) .$$
 (7.1)

The DeWitt-Schwinger point splitting counterterms, $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm DS}$ have been computed by Christensen [51]. In his derivation he eliminates odd powers of σ from the point splitting counterterms. This results in the cancelation of terms with odd powers of σ^{ρ} in the numerator since there are only even powers in the denominators of all terms. However, the elimination of these terms results in the elimination of finite contributions to the stress-energy tensor, which must be included if it is to be conserved. The linearly divergent terms can be derived through the use of equations (2.19) and (3.11) in Ref. [51]. We find⁴

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm DS,lin} = \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \left[\left(-R^{\alpha}{}_{(\mu;\nu)} + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R^{;\alpha} + R^{\alpha}{}_{(\mu\nu)}{}^{\lambda}{}_{;\lambda} \right) \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}}{\sigma^{\rho}\sigma_{\rho}} - \left(R^{;\alpha} - 8\frac{\sigma^{\beta}\sigma^{\gamma}}{\sigma^{\rho}\sigma_{\rho}}R^{\alpha}{}_{;\gamma} \right) \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\nu}}{(\sigma^{\rho}\sigma_{\rho})^2} - \left(4R^{\alpha}{}_{(\mu}{}^{;\beta} - 2R^{\alpha\beta}{}_{;(\mu} + 2R^{\beta}{}_{\lambda}{}^{(\alpha)}{}_{(\mu}) \frac{\sigma_{\nu}\sigma_{\alpha}\sigma_{\beta}}{(\sigma^{\rho}\sigma_{\rho})^2} - 2\left(R^{\alpha\beta;\gamma}g_{\mu\nu} + R^{\gamma}{}_{(\mu\nu)}{}^{\alpha;\beta} \right) \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}\sigma_{\mu}\sigma_{\nu}}{(\sigma^{\rho}\sigma_{\rho})^2} \right] .$$

$$(7.2)$$

If the mode equation could be solved exactly for a general static spherically symmetric spacetime, and the mode sums and integrals in Eqs. (6.4) and (6.5) could be computed analytically, it would be straightforward to compute $\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle_{\rm ren}$. However, for most static spherically symmetric spacetimes, this is not the case. As a result, the limit in Eq. (7.1) is difficult to evaluate since, without a solution to the mode equations, one doesn't know how to expand $\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle_{\rm unren}$ in powers of $x^{\mu} - x'^{\mu}$. To get around this problem we use the WKB approximation to isolate the divergences in $\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle_{\rm unren}$. The procedure to do this is discussed in detail in Ref. [21]. We shall briefly review it here.

First one substitutes Eqs. (4.1a), (4.1b), (4.2a), and (4.2b) into Eqs.(6.4) and computes the fourth order WKB approximation for the variable W. This can be done by iterating Eq. (4.3) twice. Then the sums over ℓ are computed using the Plana sum formula [63]

$$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} g(j) = \frac{1}{2}g(k) + \int_{k}^{\infty} g(\tau)d\tau + i\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} \left[g(k+it) - g(k-it)\right]$$
(7.3)

and the results are expanded in inverse powers of ω . Only terms are retained that contribute terms of order ω^{-1} or less to the stress-energy tensor, since these are the ones that lead to ultraviolet divergences when the points come together. If the field is in a zero temperature state, a lower limit cutoff λ is used in the integral over ω for terms that are proportional to ω^{-1} . In this way the quantity we call $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBdiv}}$ is computed. We can add and subtract this quantity from the right of Eq. (7.1) with the result that

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm ren} = (\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm unren} - \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm WKBdiv}) + (\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm WKBdiv} - \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm DS}) \equiv \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm numeric} + \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm analytic} .$$

$$(7.4)$$

Because all of the divergences in $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{unren}}$ and $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{DS}}$ are contained in $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBdiv}}$, both $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{numeric}}$ and $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{analytic}}$ are finite. The tensor $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{numeric}}$ must usually be computed numerically, while $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{analytic}}$ may be computed analytically for an arbitrary static spherically symmetric spacetime.

To compute $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{analytic}}$ one can use the formulas in Appendix F to evaluate the integrals over ω in $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBdiv}}$. Then the analytic continuation discussed in Appendix D is used to analytically continue to Lorentzian space. The details of this process are discussed in

⁴Since we are working with a complex spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field rather than the real field used in Ref. [51], we effectively have twice as many fields. Thus we have multiplied the counterterms in Ref. [51] by 2.

Appendix E. The resulting terms are proportional to various powers of (t-t') or to $\ln(t-t')$. The point splitting counterterms are then also expanded in powers of (t-t'). After the subtraction the limit $t' \to t$ is computed. As mentioned above, for the zero temperature case, there is an infrared divergence in the ω^{-1} term which can be handled with the introduction of an infrared cutoff, λ . To compute $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{numeric}}$ one can simply set $\tau' = \tau$ in the mode integrals since this quantity is finite in the limit that the points come together.

Our results are:

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{analytic}} = (T_{\mu\nu})_0 + (T_{\mu\nu})_{\log} \tag{7.5}$$

with

$$(T_t^t)_0 = \frac{1}{240\pi^2} \left[-\frac{7\kappa^4}{4f^2} + \frac{5\kappa^2}{6r^2f} - \frac{5\kappa^2}{6r^2fh} + \frac{5\kappa^2f'}{3rf^2h} + \frac{5\kappa^2h'}{6rfh^2} - \frac{5\kappa^2f'h'}{12f^2h^2} - \frac{25\kappa^2f'^2}{24f^3h} + \frac{5\kappa^2f'}{6f^2h} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{r^4} - \frac{1}{r^4h^2} + \frac{43f'^2}{24r^2f^2h^2} - \frac{5f'^2}{8r^2f^2h} - \frac{19f'^3}{12rf^3h^2} + \frac{77f'^4}{192f^4h^2} - \frac{2h'}{r^3h^3} + \frac{15f'h'}{4r^2fh^3} \right] \\ - \frac{5f'h'}{12r^2fh^2} - \frac{15f'^2h'}{8rf^2h^3} + \frac{f'^3h'}{24f^3h^3} - \frac{7h'^2}{4r^2h^4} - \frac{3f'h'^2}{2rfh^4} - \frac{19f'^3}{32f^2h^4} + \frac{7h'^3}{rh^5} - \frac{7f'h'^3}{4fh^5} \right] \\ - \frac{5f''}{6r^2fh^2} + \frac{5f''}{6r^2fh} + \frac{5f'f''}{6rf^2h^2} - \frac{f'^2f''}{12f^3h^2} - \frac{2h'f''}{rfh^3} + \frac{9f'h'f''}{8f^2h^3} + \frac{19h'^2f''}{8fh^4} - \frac{3f''^2}{8fh^4} - \frac{3f''^2}{8fh^4} - \frac{3f''^2}{8fh^4} - \frac{3f''^2}{2fh^2} \right] \\ + \frac{h''}{r^2h^3} + \frac{f'h''}{2rfh^3} + \frac{f'^2h''}{4f^2h^3} - \frac{13h'h''}{2rh^4} + \frac{13f'h'h''}{8fh^4} - \frac{f'h''}{fh^3} + \frac{2f'''}{2fh^2} - \frac{f'f'''}{2f^2h^2} - \frac{3h'f'''}{2fh^2} \right]$$

$$(7.6a)$$

$$(T_r^{\ r})_0 = \frac{1}{240\pi^2} \left[\frac{7\kappa^4}{12f^2} - \frac{5\kappa^2}{6r^2f} + \frac{5\kappa^2}{6r^2fh} - \frac{5\kappa^2f'}{6rf^2h} + \frac{5\kappa^2f'^2}{24f^3h} + \frac{2f'}{r^3fh^2} - \frac{5f'^2}{8r^2f^2h^2} + \frac{5f'^2}{24r^2f^2h} - \frac{5f'^2}{24r^2f^2h} + \frac{5f'^2}{24r^2f^2h} - \frac{7f'^2}{24r^2f^2h^2} + \frac{7f'^2}{24$$

$$(T_{\theta}^{\ \theta})_{0} = (T_{\phi}^{\ \phi})_{0} = \frac{1}{240\pi^{2}} \left[\frac{7\kappa^{4}}{12f^{2}} - \frac{5\kappa^{2}f'}{12rf^{2}h} + \frac{5\kappa^{2}f'^{2}}{12f^{3}h} - \frac{5\kappa^{2}h'}{12rfh^{2}} + \frac{5\kappa^{2}f'h'}{24f^{2}h^{2}} - \frac{5\kappa^{2}f''}{12f^{2}h} \right] - \frac{5\kappa^{2}f''}{12f^{2}h} - \frac{5\kappa^{2}f''}{12f^{2}h} - \frac{5\kappa^{2}f''}{12f^{2}h} + \frac{5\kappa^{2}f'h'}{12f^{2}h^{2}} - \frac{5\kappa^{2}f''}{12f^{2}h^{2}} - \frac{5\kappa^{2}f''}{12f^{2}h^{2}} - \frac{5\kappa^{2}f''}{12rf^{2}h^{2}} - \frac{5\kappa^{2}f'}{12rf^{2}h^{2}} + \frac{73f'^{3}h'}{96f^{3}h^{3}} - \frac{3f'h'^{2}}{4rfh^{4}} + \frac{31f'^{2}h'^{2}}{32f^{2}h^{4}} + \frac{7f'h'^{3}}{4fh^{5}} + \frac{f''}{r^{2}fh^{2}} + \frac{7f'f''}{12rf^{2}h^{2}} - \frac{73f'^{2}f''}{48f^{3}h^{2}} + \frac{11h'f''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{2f'h'f''}{f^{2}h^{3}} - \frac{19h'^{2}f''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{3f'h'}{8fh^{4}} + \frac{7f'h''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{3f'^{2}h''}{8fh^{4}} + \frac{7f'h''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{3f'h''}{8fh^{4}} + \frac{7f'h''}{fh^{3}} - \frac{3f'f'''}{4fh^{2}} + \frac{3h'f'''}{4fh^{3}} - \frac{3f'h''}{2rfh^{2}} + \frac{3h'f'''}{4fh^{3}} - \frac{3f'h''}{2rfh^{2}} + \frac{3h'f'''}{4fh^{3}} - \frac{3f'h''}{2rfh^{2}} + \frac{3h'f'''}{4fh^{3}} - \frac{13f'h'h''}{2rfh^{2}} + \frac{3h'f'''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{13f'h'h''}{2rfh^{2}} + \frac{3h'f'''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{13f'h'h''}{2rfh^{2}} + \frac{3h'f'''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{13f'h'h''}{2rfh^{2}} + \frac{3h'f''''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{13f'h'h'''}{2rfh^{2}} + \frac{3h'f''''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{13f'h'h'''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{13f'h'h'''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{13f'h'h'''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{13f'h'h'''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{13f'h'h''''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{13f'h'h''''}{4rfh^{3}} - \frac{13f'h'$$

$$(T_{\mu}^{\nu})_{\log} = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} U_{\mu}^{\nu} \ln\left(\frac{\mu f^{1/2}}{2\lambda}\right) \qquad \text{if } T = 0, \tag{7.6d}$$

$$(T_{\mu}^{\nu})_{\log} = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} U_{\mu}^{\nu} \left[\ln\left(\frac{2\mu f^{1/2}}{\kappa}\right) + C \right] \qquad \text{if } T \neq 0.$$
 (7.6e)

In the final pair of expressions, μ is a renormalization parameter, λ is the previously mentioned infrared cutoff (necessary only in the zero temperature case), C is Euler's Constant, and

$$U_{\mu}^{\nu} = \frac{1}{10} \left(R_{\rho\mu\tau}^{\nu} R^{\rho\tau} - \frac{1}{4} R^{\rho\tau} R_{\rho\tau} g_{\mu}^{\nu} \right) - \frac{1}{30} R \left(R_{\mu}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{4} R g_{\mu}^{\nu} \right) + \frac{1}{20} (R_{\mu}^{\nu})_{;\rho}^{\rho} - \frac{1}{60} R_{;\mu}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{120} R_{;\rho}^{\rho} g_{\mu}^{\nu} .$$
(7.7)

The zero temperature case is obtained by setting $\kappa = 0$ in the above equations.

Our results for $\langle T_{\mu}{}^{\nu}\rangle_{\text{num}}$ are:

$$\langle T_t^{\ t} \rangle_{\text{num}} = 4 \int \frac{d\mu}{r^2 f} \left[\omega^2 A_1 - \frac{r^2 \omega^3}{f} - \omega \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{12h} + \frac{rf'}{6fh} - \frac{5r^2 f'^2}{48f^2h} + \frac{rh'}{12h^2} - \frac{r^2 f'h'}{24fh^2} + \frac{r^2 f''}{12fh} \right) \right] + \int \frac{d\mu}{\omega} U_t^{\ t}$$

$$(7.8a)$$

$$\langle T_r^{\ r} \rangle_{\text{num}} = 4 \int \frac{d\mu}{r^2 f} \left[\frac{\omega A_2 f^{1/2}}{r} - \omega^2 A_1 + \frac{r^2 \omega^3}{3f} + \omega \left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{12h} + \frac{rf'}{12fh} - \frac{r^2 f'^2}{48f^2h} \right) \right] + \int \frac{d\mu}{\omega} U_r^{\ r}$$
(7.8b)

$$\langle T_{\theta}^{\ \theta} \rangle_{\text{num}} = \langle T_{\phi}^{\ \phi} \rangle_{\text{num}} = 2 \int \frac{d\mu}{r^2 f} \left[-\frac{\omega A_2 f^{1/2}}{r} + \frac{2r^2 \omega^3}{3f} + \omega \left(\frac{rf'}{12fh} - \frac{r^2 f'^2}{12f^2h} + \frac{rh'}{12h^2} - \frac{r^2 f'h'}{24fh^2} + \frac{r^2 f''}{12fh} \right) \right] + \int \frac{d\mu}{\omega} U_{\theta}^{\ \theta} ,$$

$$(7.8c)$$

where it is understood that, in the zero temperature case, there is an infrared cutoff λ in the final integral of each equation. In these equations, the quantities A_1 and A_2 are given in Eqs. (6.5).

VIII. DISCUSSION

A method for computing the stress-energy tensor for massless spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fields in static spherically symmetric spacetimes has been presented. The full renormalized stress-energy tensor can be computed either numerically or analytically in a particular spacetime geometry by first solving the radial mode equations (2.37a–b) using the correct boundary conditions for the problem at hand. The result is then substituted into Eqs. (6.5) and (7.8a–c), and the mode sums are computed. Then the analytic approximation is computed for the given spacetime geometry and added to the result.

For an analytic computation this is all that is necessary. However for numerical computations there can be a problem with getting the mode sums to converge to a sufficient accuracy since, from a practical point of view, one must truncate both the mode sum in ℓ and the integral (or sum) over ω at large values of these quantities. Fewer modes can be used if one makes use of the full WKB approximation for those modes with large values of ℓ and/or ω . One way to do this would be simply to use it for modes beyond the cutoffs. Another is to use the full WKB approximation for the radial mode functions for all values of ω and ℓ in Eqs. (7.8a–c). We shall call the resulting quantity $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm WKBfin}$. It can be added and subtracted from the rest of the stress-energy tensor with the result that

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm ren} = \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm modes} + \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm WKBfin} + \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm analytic} \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm modes} = \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm unren} - \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm WKB} \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm WKBfin} = \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm WKB} - \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm WKBdiv} .$$

$$(8.1)$$

The quantity $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBfin}}$ can sometimes be computed analytically but often must be computed numerically. However, even if it must be computed numerically, one can go beyond the necessary numerical cutoff by expanding the WKB approximation for the modes with very large values of ℓ and ω in inverse powers of these quantities. Then for each power, the series in ℓ can be summed or the integral (or series) in ω can be computed analytically. Procedures for doing this are described in [21].

It is also possible to use $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{analytic}}$ as an analytic approximation for the stress-energy tensor. Examination of Eq. (7.6a–e) shows that our result agrees with the analytic approximation derived by Frolov and Zel'nikov [20] when their expression is evaluated for a static spherically symmetric spacetime and their undetermined coefficients have the values $q_1^{(0)} = q_2^{(0)} = 0$ and $q_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{144}$. Thus it also agrees with that of Brown, Ottewill, and Page [7] in Schwarzschild spacetime.

Work is in progress to generalize our method of computing $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$ to the case of a massive spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in a general static spherically symmetric spacetime. We also plan to use our method to numerically compute the stress-energy tensor for both massless and massive spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fields in Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström spacetimes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank S. M. Christensen and W. C. Kerr for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by grants PHY-9800971 and PHY-0070981 from the National Science Foundation.

APPENDIX A: COMPARISON WITH THE USUAL FLAT SPACE GREEN'S FUNCTION

In this appendix we show that in flat space, our zero temperature Green's function S_E is equal to one derived by letting the Euclidean Dirac operator act on the usual scalar Green's function \mathcal{G}_E multiplied by the unit matrix. We do this by defining a new Green's function G via the equation

$$S_E(x, x') = -\gamma_E^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}^E G_E(x, x') \tag{A1}$$

and showing that

$$G_E(x, x') = \mathcal{G}_E(x, x')\mathbf{1} .$$
(A2)

In flat space G_E can be written in the form

$$G_E(x,x') = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega \, e^{i\omega(\tau-\tau')}}{2\pi r r'} \sum_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} \left[\theta(r-r') \begin{pmatrix} A^{q,p}_{\omega,j,m} & 0\\ 0 & A^{q,p}_{\omega,j,m} \end{pmatrix} + \theta(r'-r) \left(p \leftrightarrow q\right) \right]$$
(A3)

with

$$A^{q,p}_{\omega,j,m} = F^{q}_{\omega,j}(r)F^{p}_{\omega,j}(r')\Psi^{m}_{j,+}(\theta,\phi)\Psi^{m\dagger}_{j,+}(\theta',\phi') - G^{q}_{\omega,j}(r)G^{p}_{\omega,j}(r')\Psi^{m}_{j,-}(\theta,\phi)\Psi^{m\dagger}_{j,-}(\theta',\phi') .$$
(A4)

To verify that this gives the correct S_E in flat space one can first substitute Eq. (A3) into Eq. (A1) with the result that

$$-\gamma_{E}^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}^{E}G_{E}(x,x') = \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_{\tau} & i\tilde{\sigma}\left(\partial_{r}-\frac{1}{r}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{L}\right) \\ -i\tilde{\sigma}\left(\partial_{r}-\frac{1}{r}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{L}\right) & \partial_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} G_{E}(x,x')$$

$$= -i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega e^{i\omega(\tau-\tau')}}{2\pi rr'} \sum_{j=1/2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} \left[\theta(r-r') \times \left(\frac{-\omega A_{\omega,j,m}^{q,p}}{2\pi rr'} \tilde{\sigma}\left(\partial_{r}-\frac{1}{r}(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{L}+\mathbf{1})\right)A_{\omega,j,m}^{q,p}\right) + \theta(r'-r)\left(p\leftrightarrow q\right)\right] A_{\omega,j,m}^{q,p} \qquad \omega A_{\omega,j,m}^{q,p} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$+\theta(r'-r)\left(p\leftrightarrow q\right) \left[A5 \right]$$

Next Eqs. (2.34) can be used to show that

$$\tilde{\sigma}\left(\partial_{r} - \frac{1}{r}(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{L} + \mathbf{1})\right) A^{q,p}_{\omega,j,m} = \left(\partial_{r} - \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})}{r}\right) F^{q}_{\omega,j}(r) F^{p}_{\omega,j}(r') \Psi^{m}_{j,-}(\theta,\phi) \Psi^{m\dagger}_{j,+}(\theta',\phi') - \left(\partial_{r} + \frac{(j + \frac{1}{2})}{r}\right) G^{q}_{\omega,j}(r) G^{p}_{\omega,j}(r') \Psi^{m}_{j,+}(\theta,\phi) \Psi^{m\dagger}_{j,-}(\theta',\phi') .$$
(A6)

Finally through the use of the first order equations (2.37a) and (2.37b) one obtains Eq. (3.2).

The usual form of the Euclidean Green's function in spherical coordinates in flat space is [33,62]

$$\mathcal{G}_{E}(x,x') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega \, e^{i\omega(\tau-\tau')}}{8\pi^{2}rr'} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \Big[\theta(r-r')u_{\omega,\ell}^{q}(r)u_{\omega,\ell}^{p}(r') + \theta(r'-r)u_{\omega,\ell}^{p}(r)u_{\omega,\ell}^{q}(r') \Big] P_{\ell}(\cos\gamma) \tag{A7}$$

where $\cos \gamma$ is defined in Eq. (3.10). The radial mode functions $u_{\omega,\ell}$ satisfy the second-order differential equations

$$\partial_r^2 u_{\omega,\ell} - \omega^2 u_{\omega,\ell} - \frac{\ell^2 + \ell}{r^2} u_{\omega,\ell} = 0.$$
(A8)

They also satisfy the Wronskian condition

$$\left(\partial_r u^p_{\omega,\ell}\right) u^q_{\omega,\ell} - \left(\partial_r u^q_{\omega,\ell}\right) u^p_{\omega,\ell} = 1 .$$
(A9)

Here $u_{\omega,\ell}^p$ is finite at r = 0 and $u_{\omega,\ell}^q$ is finite at $r = \infty$. To show that $G_E(x, x') = \mathcal{G}_E(x, x')\mathbf{1}$, first note that the mode functions $u_{\omega,\ell}$ can be chosen to satisfy the recursion relations

$$\omega u^p_{\omega,\ell} = \partial_r u^p_{\omega,\ell-1} - \frac{\ell}{r} u^p_{\omega,\ell-1} \qquad -\omega u^q_{\omega,\ell} = \partial_r u^q_{\omega,\ell-1} - \frac{\ell}{r} u^q_{\omega,\ell-1} .$$
(A10)

One can then easily show that both the differential equation and the Wronskian will be satisfied for $u_{\omega,\ell}$ if they are satisfied for $u_{\omega,\ell-1}$. If we choose

$$\begin{array}{ll}
G^{p}_{\omega,j} = u^{p}_{\omega,j+\frac{1}{2}} & G^{q}_{\omega,j} = u^{q}_{\omega,j+\frac{1}{2}} \\
F^{p}_{\omega,j} = u^{p}_{\omega,j-\frac{1}{2}} & F^{q}_{\omega,j} = -u^{q}_{\omega,j-\frac{1}{2}},
\end{array} \tag{A11}$$

then the F's and G's will also satisfy Eqs. (2.37a) and (2.37b), and the appropriate boundary conditions.

Next note that substitution of Eqs. (A11) and (2.36) into Eq. (A3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} G_{E}(x,x') &= \mathbf{1} \otimes \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega \, e^{i\omega(\tau-\tau')}}{2\pi r r'} \bigg[\theta(r-r') \bigg\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \quad \sum_{m=-\ell-\frac{1}{2}}^{\ell+\frac{1}{2}} \bigg[u_{\omega,\ell}^{q}(r) u_{\omega,\ell}^{p}(r') \times \\ &\times \left(\frac{\ell+\frac{1}{2}+m}{2\ell+1} Y_{\ell}^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta,\phi) Y_{\ell}^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta',\phi')^{*} \quad \frac{\sqrt{(\ell+\frac{1}{2})^{2}-m^{2}}}{2\ell+1} Y_{\ell}^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta,\phi) Y_{\ell}^{m+\frac{1}{2}}(\theta',\phi')^{*} \bigg) \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell+\frac{1}{2}}^{\ell-\frac{1}{2}} u_{\omega,\ell}^{q}(r) u_{\omega,\ell}^{p}(r') \times \\ &\times \left(\frac{\ell+\frac{1}{2}-m}{2\ell+1} Y_{\ell}^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta,\phi) Y_{\ell}^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta',\phi')^{*} \quad -\frac{\sqrt{(\ell+\frac{1}{2})^{2}-m^{2}}}{2\ell+1} Y_{\ell}^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta,\phi) Y_{\ell}^{m+\frac{1}{2}}(\theta',\phi')^{*} \bigg) \right\} \\ &\times \left(\frac{\ell+\frac{1}{2}-m}{2\ell+1} Y_{\ell}^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta,\phi) Y_{\ell}^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta',\phi')^{*} \quad -\frac{\sqrt{(\ell+\frac{1}{2})^{2}-m^{2}}}{2\ell+1} Y_{\ell}^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta,\phi) Y_{\ell}^{m+\frac{1}{2}}(\theta',\phi')^{*} \bigg) \right\} \\ &+ \theta(r'-r) \bigg\{ p \leftrightarrow q \bigg\} \bigg] . \end{aligned}$$
(A12)

The off-diagonal terms can be shown to cancel. Shifting the sums over m by $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ can then be shown to give

$$G_E(x,x') = \mathbf{1} \otimes \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega \, e^{i\omega(\tau-\tau')}}{2\pi r r'} \bigg[\theta(r-r') \bigg\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} u^q_{\omega,\ell}(r) u^p_{\omega,\ell}(r')$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y^m_\ell(\theta,\phi) Y^m_\ell(\theta',\phi')^* & 0\\ 0 & Y^m_\ell(\theta,\phi) Y^m_\ell(\theta',\phi')^* \bigg) \bigg\} + \theta(r'-r) \bigg\{ p \leftrightarrow q \bigg\} \bigg].$$
(A13)

Using the identity (3.9) one can rewrite this expression as

$$G_{E}(x,x') = \mathbf{1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega \, e^{i\omega(\tau-\tau')}}{8\pi^{2}rr'} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (2\ell+1) \Big[\theta(r-r')u_{\omega,\ell}^{q}(r)u_{\omega,\ell}^{p}(r') + \theta(r'-r)u_{\omega,\ell}^{p}(r)u_{\omega,\ell}^{q}(r') \Big] P_{\ell}(\cos\gamma) .$$
(A14)

Comparison of Eqs. (A14) and (A7) shows that Eq. (A2) is correct.

Since in flat space we can obtain S_E from Eq. (A1), it is clear that our expression for S_E is equal to the usual flat space Green's function obtained from the relationship

$$S_E(x, x') = -\gamma_E^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}^E \left(\mathcal{G}_E(x, x') \mathbf{1} \right) .$$
(A15)

APPENDIX B: BI-SPINOR OF PARALLEL TRANSPORT

The bi-spinor of parallel transport I(x, x') is required to parallel transport a spinor at x' to a spinor at x [26,51,64]

$$\psi(x)_{\parallel} = I(x, x')\psi(x') . \tag{B1}$$

From this definition it is clear that I(x, x) = 1. Furthermore, if you parallel transport $\psi(x')$ from x' to x, and then transport it back along the same geodesic, it should return to its original value, so

$$I(x', x)I(x, x') = 1$$
. (B2)

The bi-spinor can also be used to parallel transport $\overline{\psi}(x)$, namely,

$$\overline{\psi}(x)_{\parallel} = \overline{\psi}(x')\overline{I}(x,x') . \tag{B3}$$

Since $\overline{\psi}(x)\psi(x)$ is a scalar quantity, we expect it to be unchanged by parallel transport, so that

$$\overline{\psi}(x')\,\psi(x') = \overline{\psi}(x)_{\parallel}\,\psi(x)_{\parallel} = \overline{\psi}(x')\overline{I}(x,x')\,I(x,x')\psi(x')\;. \tag{B4}$$

Comparison with Eq. (B2) shows that

$$\overline{I}(x, x') = I(x', x) \tag{B5}$$

and therefore

$$\overline{\psi}(x)_{\parallel} = \overline{\psi}(x')I(x',x) . \tag{B6}$$

For an object of arbitrary spin to be parallel transported along a geodesic, the inner product of its covariant derivative and the tangent vector of the geodesice must be zero. The tangent vector is equal to σ^{μ} , which is defined in Eq. (5.2). Thus for a spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field

$$\sigma^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} \psi(x)_{\parallel} = 0 . \tag{B7}$$

Using Eq. (B1), one finds that [26,64]

$$\sigma^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} I(x, x') = 0 . \tag{B8}$$

In this paper we are renormalizing by splitting the points in the time direction as shown explicitly in Eq. (5.5). Thus we seek an expansion for I(x, x') in powers of $\epsilon \equiv t-t'$. However, Eq. (B8) contains derivatives of I(x, x'), so we cannot simply assume an expansion in powers of ϵ and substitute into Eq. (B8). Instead it is necessary to consider an expansion for I(x, x')that is valid for an arbitrary separation of the points. Such an expansion is of the form

$$I(x,x') = \mathbf{1} + I_{\alpha}(x)\epsilon^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2!}I_{\alpha\beta}(x)\epsilon^{\alpha}\epsilon^{\beta} + \frac{1}{3!}I_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x)\epsilon^{\alpha}\epsilon^{\beta}\epsilon^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{4!}I_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(x)\epsilon^{\alpha}\epsilon^{\beta}\epsilon^{\gamma}\epsilon^{\delta} + \cdots$$
(B9)

with $\epsilon^{\alpha} \equiv x^{\alpha} - x^{\prime \alpha}$, and each coefficient $I_{\alpha\beta...}$ symmetric in its indices. Substitution of Eq. (B9) into Eq. (B8) and use of Eq. (2.12) yields

$$\sigma^{\mu} \left[\left(\Gamma_{\mu} + I_{\mu} \right) + \left(I_{\alpha,\mu} + \Gamma_{\mu} I_{\alpha} + I_{\alpha\mu} \right) \epsilon^{\alpha} + \cdots \right] = 0 .$$
(B10)

One can next expand σ^{μ} in powers of ϵ^{α} . The procedure for doing this is described in [64] and also in Appendix B of [21], where a misprint of [64] is corrected.⁵ One finds

$$\sigma^{\mu}(x,x') = s^{\mu}_{\alpha}(r)\epsilon^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2!}s^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}(r)\epsilon^{\alpha}\epsilon^{\beta} + \frac{1}{3!}s^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(r)\epsilon^{\alpha}\epsilon^{\beta}\epsilon^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{4!}s^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(r)\epsilon^{\alpha}\epsilon^{\beta}\epsilon^{\gamma}\epsilon^{\delta} .$$
(B11)

with

$$\begin{split} s^{\mu}_{\alpha} &= g_{\alpha}^{\mu} \\ s^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= -\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \\ s^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= \Gamma^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho\gamma} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} \\ s^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= \frac{1}{3} \left[\Gamma^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta}R^{\mu}_{\ \gamma\delta\rho} + \Gamma^{\rho}_{\alpha\gamma}R^{\mu}_{\ \beta\delta\rho} + \Gamma^{\rho}_{\alpha\delta}R^{\mu}_{\ \beta\gamma\rho} + \Gamma^{\rho}_{\beta\gamma}R^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\delta\rho} + \Gamma^{\rho}_{\beta\delta}R^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\gamma\rho} + \Gamma^{\rho}_{\gamma\delta} \left(R^{\mu}_{\ \beta\rho\alpha} + R^{\mu}_{\ \alpha\rho\beta} \right) \right. \\ &\left. - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta,\delta}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho\gamma} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho\gamma,\delta} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\rho\delta} - \Gamma^{\rho}_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\tau}_{\gamma\gamma}\Gamma^{\mu}_{\tau\delta} - \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta} \right] \,. \end{split}$$

Substituting Eqs. (B9) and (B11) into Eq. (B10) results in an equation that contains terms proportional to ϵ^{α} , $\epsilon^{\alpha}\epsilon^{\beta}$, ... Setting the coefficients of these terms to zero results in a series of equations that can be solved for I_{α} , $I_{\alpha\beta}$, and so forth. Since we ultimately want the points to be split in the time direction we only need the quantities I_t , I_{tt} , I_{ttt} , and I_{tttt} in Eq. (B9). However to obtain expressions for these it is also necessary to additionally compute I_r , I_{tr} , I_{trr} , I_{ttrr} , and I_{rrr} . We find for these latter terms that

$$I_{r} = I_{rrr} = I_{rrr} = 0$$

$$I_{tr} = \frac{\gamma^{0} \tilde{\gamma}}{f^{1/2} h^{1/2}} \left(-\frac{f'^{2}}{16f} - \frac{f'h'}{16h} + \frac{f''}{8} \right)$$

$$I_{ttr} = \frac{f'^{3}}{32f^{2}h} + \frac{f'^{2}h'}{32fh^{2}} - \frac{f'f''}{16fh}$$

$$I_{trr} = \frac{\gamma^{0} \tilde{\gamma}}{f^{1/2}h^{1/2}} \left(-\frac{f'^{3}}{12f^{2}} - \frac{11f'^{2}h'}{192fh} - \frac{11f'h'^{2}}{192h^{2}} + \frac{f'f''}{6f} + \frac{7h'f''}{96h} + \frac{f'h''}{24h} - \frac{f'''}{12} \right) .$$
(B12)

 $^{^5\}mathrm{The}$ equation at the top of page 109 in Ref. [64] contains a sign error in the second term.

The terms that survive in Eq. (B9) when the points are split in the time direction are

$$I_{t} = -\frac{f'\gamma^{0}\tilde{\gamma}}{4f^{1/2}h^{1/2}}$$

$$I_{tt} = \frac{f'^{2}}{16fh}$$

$$I_{ttt} = \frac{\gamma^{0}\tilde{\gamma}}{f^{1/2}h^{1/2}} \left(\frac{f'^{3}}{64fh} + \frac{f'^{2}h'}{32h^{2}} - \frac{f'f''}{16h}\right)$$

$$I_{tttt} = -\frac{7}{256}\frac{f'^{4}}{f^{2}h^{2}} - \frac{f'^{3}h'}{32fh^{3}} + \frac{f'^{2}f''}{16fh^{2}}.$$
(B13)

Thus the bi-spinor of parallel transport, in the time direction, is given by

$$I(x, x') = \mathbf{1} + I_t \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} I_{tt} \epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{6} I_{ttt} \epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{24} I_{tttt} \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5) .$$
(B14)

Similarly,

$$I(x',x) = \mathbf{1} - I_t \epsilon + \frac{1}{2} I_{tt} \epsilon^2 - \frac{1}{6} I_{ttt} \epsilon^3 + \frac{1}{24} I_{tttt} \epsilon^4 + O(\epsilon^5) .$$
(B15)

APPENDIX C: DERIVATION OF AN EXPRESSION FOR THE UNRENORMALIZED STRESS-ENERGY TENSOR IN TERMS OF THE HADAMARD GREEN'S FUNCTION

In this appendix, we use a method similar to that followed by Christensen [51], to derive an expression for $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{unren}}$ in terms of the spinor Hadamard Green's function $S^{(1)}(x, x')$. However, we work with a complex field instead of the real one treated by Christensen, and we use different conventions for the Dirac matrices.

The action for a massless, complex spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field can be written [58]

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \, \frac{i}{2} \left[\overline{\psi}(x) \, \gamma^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} \psi(x) - \left(\nabla_{\mu} \overline{\psi}(x) \right) \, \gamma^{\mu} \psi(x) \right] \,. \tag{C1}$$

The stress-energy tensor for the classical spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field is obtained as the variation of this action with respect to the metric

$$T_{\mu\nu}(x) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g(x)}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}(x)} = -\frac{i}{2} \left\{ \overline{\psi}(x)\gamma_{(\mu}\nabla_{\nu)}\psi(x) - (\nabla_{(\mu}\overline{\psi}(x))\gamma_{\nu)}\psi(x) \right\} .$$
(C2)

Using the anticommutivity of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fields and the cyclic property of the trace, one can show that

$$T_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{i}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\gamma_{(\mu} \left[\nabla_{\nu)} \psi(x), \overline{\psi}(x) \right] + \gamma_{(\mu} \left[\nabla_{\nu)} \overline{\psi}(x), \psi(x) \right] \right) .$$
(C3)

Next the points can be split symmetrically, so that

$$T_{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{i}{8} \lim_{x' \to x} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \gamma_{(\mu} \left(\nabla_{\nu)} \left[\psi(x), \overline{\psi}(x') \right] \right) I(x', x) + \gamma_{(\mu} I(x, x') \nabla_{\nu)} \left[\overline{\psi}(x), \psi(x') \right] + \gamma_{(\mu} g_{\nu)}^{\lambda'} \left(\nabla_{\lambda'} \left[\overline{\psi}(x'), \psi(x) \right] \right) I(x', x) + \gamma_{(\mu} g_{\nu)}^{\lambda'} I(x, x') \nabla_{\lambda'} \left[\psi(x'), \overline{\psi}(x) \right] \right\} .$$
(C4)

Here we have used the bi-vector of parallel transport $g_{\mu}{}^{\nu'}$ and the bi-spinors of parallel transport I(x, x') and I(x', x) to parallel transport the part of the expressions that are spinors and vectors at the point x' to the point x along the shortest geodecie connecting these points.

When the fermion field ψ is quantized we reinterpret (C4) as an equation for the stressenergy operator. One can then compute an expectation value of $T_{\mu\nu}(x)$ in Eq. (C4) with respect to whatever state the fields are in. It is possible to write $\langle T_{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle$ in terms of the Hadamard Green's function $S^{(1)}$, which is defined as

$$S^{(1)}(x,x') \equiv \left\langle \left[\psi(x), \overline{\psi}(x') \right] \right\rangle .$$
(C5)

We find

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle = \frac{i}{8} \lim_{x' \to x} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \gamma_{(\mu} \left(\nabla_{\nu} S^{(1)}(x, x') \right) I(x', x) - \gamma_{(\mu} I(x, x') \nabla_{\nu} S^{(1)}(x', x) - \gamma_{(\mu} g_{\nu)}^{\lambda'} \left(\nabla_{\lambda'} S^{(1)}(x, x') \right) I(x', x) + \gamma_{(\mu} g_{\nu)}^{\lambda'} I(x, x') \nabla_{\lambda'} S^{(1)}(x', x) \right\} .$$
 (C6)

To simplify Eq. (C6), first note that

$$\overline{S}^{(1)}(x,x') = S^{(1)}(x',x) , \qquad (C7)$$

which can easily be seen from Eq. (C5). Since $S^{(1)}(x, x')$ is formed from the tensor product of $\psi(x)$ and $\overline{\psi}(x')$,

$$\overline{\nabla_{\nu}S^{(1)}(x,x')} = \overline{\partial_{\nu}S^{(1)}(x,x') + \Gamma_{\nu}S^{(1)}(x,x')} = \partial_{\nu}S^{(1)}(x',x) + S^{(1)}(x',x)\overline{\Gamma_{\nu}}.$$
 (C8)

Using Eq. (2.13) and Eq. (2.5), together with the identity $\overline{\gamma}_{\mu} = \gamma_{\mu}$, it is easy to show that $\overline{\Gamma}_{\nu} = -\Gamma_{\nu}$ so that

$$\overline{\nabla_{\nu} S^{(1)}(x, x')} = \partial_{\nu} S^{(1)}(x', x) - S^{(1)}(x', x) \Gamma_{\nu} .$$
(C9)

Since $S^{(1)}(x', x)$ is formed from the tensor product of $\psi(x')$ and $\overline{\psi}(x)$, and the covariant derivative of a barred spinor is

$$\nabla_{\nu}\overline{\psi}(x) = \partial_{\nu}\overline{\psi}(x) - \overline{\psi}(x)\Gamma_{\nu} , \qquad (C10)$$

we identify the right hand of Eq. (C9) as simply a covariant derivative, so that

$$\overline{\nabla_{\nu}S^{(1)}(x,x')} = \nabla_{\nu}S^{(1)}(x',x) \tag{C11}$$

Barring this equation, and swapping $x \leftrightarrow x'$, we see that

$$\overline{\nabla_{\nu'}S^{(1)}(x,x')} = \nabla_{\nu'}S^{(1)}(x',x)$$
(C12)

Substituting these two equations into Eq. (C6) we see that

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle = \frac{i}{8} \lim_{x' \to x} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \gamma_{(\mu} \left(\nabla_{\nu} S^{(1)}(x, x') I(x', x) - I(x, x') \overline{\nabla_{\nu}} S^{(1)}(x, x') - g_{\nu} \right)^{\lambda'} \nabla_{\lambda'} S^{(1)}(x, x') I(x', x) + g_{\nu} \right\}^{\lambda'} I(x, x') \overline{\nabla_{\lambda'}} S^{(1)}(x, x')$$
(C13)

Using the cyclic property of the trace, together with Eq. (B5), this can be written

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle = \frac{i}{8} \lim_{x' \to x} \left[\operatorname{Tr} \left(\gamma_{(\mu} \nabla_{\nu)} S^{(1)}(x, x') I(x', x) \right) - \operatorname{Tr} \left(\overline{\gamma_{(\mu} \nabla_{\nu)} S^{(1)}(x, x') I(x', x)} \right) - \operatorname{Tr} \left(\gamma_{(\mu} g_{\nu)}{}^{\lambda'} \nabla_{\lambda'} S^{(1)}(x, x') I(x', x) \right) + \operatorname{Tr} \left(\overline{\gamma_{(\mu} g_{\nu)}{}^{\lambda'} \nabla_{\lambda'} S^{(1)}(x, x') I(x', x)} \right) \right]$$
(C14)

One can then use the result for an arbitrary matrix A that $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\overline{A}\right] = \operatorname{Tr}\left[A\right]^*$, to write

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle = -\frac{1}{4} \lim_{x' \to x} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \operatorname{Tr} \left[\gamma_{(\mu} \left(\nabla_{\nu)} S^{(1)}(x, x') - g_{\nu} \right)^{\lambda'} \nabla_{\lambda'} S^{(1)}(x, x') \right) I(x', x) \right] \right\}$$
(C15)

APPENDIX D: RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN $S^{(1)}$ AND S_E

In this section, we establish a relationship between the spinor Hadamard Green's function $S^{(1)}$ and the Euclidean Green's function S_E . This is done by first finding the relationship between $S^{(1)}$ and the Feynman Green's function S_F and its charge conjugate S_F^c . Then a relationship is established between S_F and S_E .

To establish a relationship between $S^{(1)}$ and S_F , one may start with the identity

$$iS_F(x,x') = \frac{1}{2}S^{(1)}(x,x') + i\tilde{S}(x,x')$$
, (D1)

where

$$i\tilde{S}(x,x') = \frac{1}{2} \left[\theta(t-t') - \theta(t'-t) \right] \left\langle \left\{ \psi(x), \overline{\psi}(x') \right\} \right\rangle \,. \tag{D2}$$

It is possible to write $S^{(1)}$ in terms of S_F by taking advantage of charge conjugation symmetry. The charge conjugation operator acts on a solution $\psi(x)$ of the Dirac equation by replacing it with

$$\psi^c(x) \equiv C(\overline{\psi}(x))^T = C\gamma^{0T}\psi^*(x) , \qquad (D3)$$

where T means transpose and C is the charge conjugation matrix which satisfies the constraints

$$C\gamma_a^T C^* = \gamma_a \quad \text{and} \quad CC^* = -1$$
. (D4)

In the Dirac representation we can choose $C = i\gamma^2\gamma^0$. It is then a relatively simple matter to show that, even in curved spacetime, if $\psi(x)$ is a solution of the Dirac equation (2.14), then ψ^c is also a solution. Furthermore, it is easy to see that $(\psi^c)^c = \psi$.

Suppose that in an arbitrary static spacetime all the positive frequency solutions are labeled $\psi_k(x)$. Then the negative frequency solutions will be $\psi_k^c(x)$. Hence when $\psi(x)$ is quantized, it can be written in terms of creation and annihilation operators as

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{k} \left[\psi_k(x) a_k + \psi_k^c(x) b_k^{\dagger} \right] .$$
 (D5)

Here the operators a_k and b_k satisfy the anticommutation relations

$$\{a_k, a_{k'}^{\dagger}\} = \{b_k, b_{k'}^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{kk'} , \qquad (D6)$$

with all other anticommutators equal to zero.

If Eq. (D5) is substituted into Eq. (C5), then

$$S^{(1)}(x,x') = \sum_{k} \sum_{k'} \left\langle \left[\psi_k(x) a_k + \psi_k^c(x) b_k^{\dagger} , \ \overline{\psi_{k'}}(x') a_{k'}^{\dagger} + \overline{\psi_{k'}}(x') b_{k'} \right] \right\rangle .$$
(D7)

For noninteracting fields in the vacuum state or a thermal state, the Hamiltonian and hence the density matrix is diagonal in the occupation number representation. Therefore,

$$\langle a_{k'}^{\dagger}a_k \rangle = \delta_{kk'}f_k , \qquad \langle b_k^{\dagger}b_{k'} \rangle = \delta_{kk'}\bar{f}_k , \qquad \text{and} \qquad \langle a_k b_{k'} \rangle = \langle b_k^{\dagger}a_{k'}^{\dagger} \rangle = 0 , \qquad (D8)$$

where f_k and \bar{f}_k are the occupation functions for the particle and antiparticle states respectively. Since the thermal state will, presumably, occupy the particle and antiparticle states equally, $f_k = \bar{f}_k$. Then substituting Eq. (D6) and Eq. (D8) in Eq. (D7) gives

$$S^{(1)}(x,x') = \sum_{k} (1-2f_k) \left\{ \psi_k(x) \psi_k^{\dagger}(x') \gamma^0 - C \gamma^{0T} \psi_k^*(x) \psi_k^T(x') \gamma^{0*} C^{\dagger} \gamma^0 \right\} .$$
(D9)

The charge conjugation operator acting on a matrix operator can be defined as

$$S^{c} \equiv C(\overline{S})^{T} C^{\dagger} = -C\gamma^{0T} S^{*} C^{\dagger} \gamma^{0} .$$
 (D10)

Substituting Eq. (D10) into Eq. (D9), and using Eq. (D4) gives

$$S^{(1)c}(x,x') = \sum_{k} (1-2f_k) \left\{ -C\gamma^{0T} \psi_k^*(x) \psi_k^T(x') \gamma^{0*} C^{\dagger} \gamma^0 + \gamma^0 \gamma^{0\dagger} \psi_k(x) \psi_k^{\dagger}(x') \gamma^0 \gamma^{0\dagger} \gamma^0 \right\} .$$
(D11)

Then using $(\gamma^0)^{\dagger} = \gamma^0$ and $\gamma^0 \gamma^0 = \mathbf{1}$, one finds that $S^{(1)c}(x, x') = S^{(1)}(x, x')$. In a similar manner it can be shown that $\tilde{S}^c(x, x') = \tilde{S}(x, x')$.

Letting the charge conjugation operator act on Eq. (D1), and using the fact that both $S^{(1)}$ and \tilde{S} are unchanged, gives

$$-iS_F^c(x,x') = \frac{1}{2}S^{(1)}(x,x') - i\tilde{S}(x,x') .$$
(D12)

Combining this with Eq. (D1), gives

$$S^{(1)}(x, x') = iS_F(x, x') - iS_F^c(x, x') .$$
(D13)

To establish a relation between S_E and S_F first note some results from flat space. It is well known that the scalar Green's functions G_E and G_F are related by an analytic continuation [58], so it is plausible to look for a relation of the same type between S_E and S_F . We take this analytic continuation to be

$$(\tau - \tau')^2 \to -(t - t')^2 + i\varepsilon . \tag{D14}$$

To see that this analytic continuation is valid, consider the Euclidean Green's function G_E for the massless scalar field in flat space

$$G_E(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}') = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left[(\tau - \tau')^2 + (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')^2 \right]^{-1} .$$
(D15)

If it is analytically continued to the Lorentzian sector using Eq. (D14), one finds that

$$G_E(it, \vec{x}; it', \vec{x}') = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \mathcal{P}\left(\frac{1}{-(t-t')^2 + (\vec{x}-\vec{x}')^2}\right) - \frac{i}{4\pi} \delta\left[-(t-t')^2 + (\vec{x}-\vec{x}')^2\right] , \quad (D16)$$

where \mathcal{P} denotes the principal value and use has been made of the identity from complex analysis that $(\sigma + i\varepsilon)^{-1} = \mathcal{P}(\sigma^{-1}) - i\pi\delta(\sigma)$, with the limit $\varepsilon \to 0^+$ understood [65]. From this equation it is easily seen that the correct relationship

$$G_E(it, \vec{x}; it', \vec{x}') = iG_F(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}')$$
(D17)

is obtained [58].⁶

One may then obtain S_E from the flat space expression for G_E through the relation

$$S_E(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}') = -\gamma_E^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}^E G_E(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}')$$
(D18)

and S_F through the relation

$$S_F(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}') = i\gamma^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} G_F(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}') .$$
 (D19)

Using Eqs. (D17), (2.19), (2.21), and (2.23) it is then easy to show that in flat space

$$S_E(it, \vec{x}; it', \vec{x}') = iS_F(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}')$$
(D20)

where $S_E(it, \vec{x}; it', \vec{x}')$ is shorthand for the analytic continuation of $S_E(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}')$ through the use of Eq. (D14).

For a general static spacetime Eq. (D20) is consistent with the equations that S_E and S_F are known to satisfy. To see this first note that the equation the Feynman Green's function S_F obeys is

$$i\gamma^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}S_{F}(t,\vec{x};t',\vec{x}') = \frac{\delta^{4}(x-x')}{\sqrt{-g}},$$
 (D21)

while S_E is a solution to Eq. (3.1). If one substitutes $\tau = it$ into Eq. (3.1) and uses Eqs. (2.21) and (2.23), one finds⁷

$$i\gamma^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}S_{E}(it,\vec{x};it',\vec{x}') = i\frac{\delta^{4}(x-x')}{\sqrt{-g}}.$$
 (D22)

⁶Note that in Ref. [58] the relationship $\tau = -it$ rather than $\tau = it$ is used.

⁷One can show, using a Wick rotation of the representation $\delta(\tau - \tau') = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{i\omega(\tau - \tau')}$, that $\delta(\tau - \tau') = -i\delta(t - t')$.

Thus $S_E(it, \vec{x}; it', \vec{x}')$ satisfies the same equation as $iS_F(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x}')$ does. This provides strong evidence that the relationship (D20) holds in a general static spacetime.

Finally we obtain the required relation between $S^{(1)}$ and S_E by substituting Eq. (D20) into Eq. (D13) to obtain

$$S^{(1)}\left(t, \vec{x}; t', \vec{x'}\right) = S_E\left(it, \vec{x}; it', \vec{x}'\right) + S_E^c\left(it, \vec{x}; it', \vec{x}'\right) .$$
(D23)

APPENDIX E: STRESS-ENERGY TENSOR EXPRESSED IN TERMS OF THE EUCLIDEAN GREEN'S FUNCTION

In this appendix we derive explicit expressions for $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{unren}}$ and $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{numeric}}$. We also show that both $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{numeric}}$ and $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{analytic}}$ are real quantities when the analytic continuation (D14) is used.

The general expression for $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{unren}}$ in terms of S_E and its derivatives is obtained by substituting Eq. (D23) into Eq. (C15). The result is

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{unren}} = -\frac{1}{4} \lim_{x' \to x} \text{Im} \left\{ \text{Tr} \left[\gamma_{(\mu} \left(\nabla_{\nu} \left[S_E + S_E^c \right] - g_{\nu} \right)^{\lambda'} \nabla_{\lambda'} \left[S_E + S_E^c \right] \right) I(x', x) \right] \right\} .$$
(E1)

To derive an explicit expression for $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{unren}}$ in terms of sums and integrals over the mode functions $F_{\omega,j}$ and $G_{\omega,j}$, first substitute Eq. (3.11) into Eq. (E1) and compute the derivatives with the points split in an arbitrary direction. Next set $\vec{x} = \vec{x}'$ but leave τ and τ' separated. Then the various terms in the quantity $(\nabla_{\nu})S_E - g_{\nu}{}^{\lambda'}\nabla_{\lambda'}S_E)$ in Eq. (E1) can be written in terms of bi-scalars multiplied by Dirac matrices. Specifically we find that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\vec{x}' \to \vec{x}} S_E(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}) &= -\gamma^0 D_1 \\ \lim_{\vec{x}' \to \vec{x}} \left[S_E(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}')_{;t} \right] &= -\gamma^0 D_4 + \frac{f'}{4f^{1/2}h^{1/2}} \tilde{\gamma} D_1 \\ \lim_{\vec{x}' \to \vec{x}} \left[S_E(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}')_{;t'} \right] &= \gamma^0 D_4 + \frac{f'}{4f^{1/2}h^{1/2}} \tilde{\gamma} D_1 \\ \lim_{\vec{x}' \to \vec{x}} \left[S_E(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}')_{;r'} \right] &= -\gamma^0 \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} D_3 + \tilde{\gamma} \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} D_2 - \tilde{\gamma} \frac{h^{1/2}}{f^{1/2}} D_4 + \gamma^0 \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} + \frac{f'}{4f} \right) D_1 \\ \lim_{\vec{x}' \to \vec{x}} \left[S_E(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}')_{;r'} \right] &= -\gamma^0 \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} D_3 - \tilde{\gamma} \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} D_2 + \tilde{\gamma} \frac{h^{1/2}}{f^{1/2}} D_4 + \gamma^0 \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} + \frac{f'}{4f} \right) D_1 \\ \lim_{\vec{x}' \to \vec{x}} \left[S_E(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}')_{;r'} \right] &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\gamma^0 D_3 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{h^{1/2}} \right) \gamma^0 D_1 + \tilde{\gamma} D_2 \right] \left(\gamma^1 \cos \phi + \gamma^2 \sin \phi \right) \gamma^3 \\ \lim_{\vec{x}' \to \vec{x}} \left[S_E(\tau, \vec{x}; \tau', \vec{x}')_{;\theta'} \right] &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\gamma^0 D_3 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{h^{1/2}} \right) \gamma^0 D_1 + \tilde{\gamma} D_2 \right] \left(\gamma^1 \cos \phi + \gamma^2 \sin \phi \right) \gamma^3 \end{aligned}$$
(E2) with

$$D_{1} = \int \frac{d\mu}{r^{2}f^{1/2}}\omega\sin\left[\omega(\tau-\tau')\right]A_{1} \qquad D_{2} = \int \frac{d\mu}{r^{2}f^{1/2}}i\omega\cos\left[\omega(\tau-\tau')\right]A_{2}$$
$$D_{3} = \int \frac{d\mu}{r^{2}f^{1/2}}\omega\sin\left[\omega(\tau-\tau')\right]A_{3} \qquad D_{4} = \int \frac{d\mu}{r^{2}f^{1/2}}i\omega^{2}\cos\left[\omega(\tau-\tau')\right]A_{1}.$$
(E3)

The quantities A_1, A_2 , and A_3 are found in Eq. (6.5). In deriving these equations use has been made of the mode equations (2.37a) and (2.37b). In addition, some of the terms that occur in S_E and its derivatives take the form

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[(\ell+1)G^{q}_{\omega,\ell+\frac{1}{2}}F^{p}_{\omega,\ell+\frac{1}{2}} - \ell F^{q}_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}}G^{p}_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}} \right] .$$
(E4)

These sums are superficially divergent, but in a manner similar to that discussed in Section VI, we can add terms proportional to $\delta(\tau - \tau')$ and its derivatives to S_E and its derivatives in Eq. (E2). When the index on the first term is shifted, and the appropriate subtraction made, (E4) takes the form

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[\ell \left(G^q_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}} F^p_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}} - F^q_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}} G^p_{\omega,\ell-\frac{1}{2}} \right) - \frac{\ell}{\omega} \right] .$$
(E5)

But by the Wronskian condition, Eq. (3.4), this vanishes.

To derive an equation for $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm ren}$ one can substitute Eqs. (E2) into Eq. (E1) and then compute the trace. This is more easily accomplished if use is made of the identity $(\gamma^{\mu})^{c} = -\gamma^{\mu}$, which holds for the Dirac representation [57].⁸ The result is

$$\langle T_t^t \rangle_{\text{unren}} = f^{1/2} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ - \left(g^{tt} + g^{tt'} \right) \left(D_4 - D_4^* \right) I_1 - g^{tr'} \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} + \frac{f'}{4f} \right) \left(D_1 - D_1^* \right) I_1 \right. \\ \left. + g^{tr'} \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} \left(D_3 - D_3^* \right) I_1 + \left(g^{tt} - g^{tt'} \right) \frac{f'}{4f^{1/2}h^{1/2}} \left(D_1 - D_1^* \right) I_2 \right. \\ \left. + g^{tr'} \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} \left(D_2 - D_2^* \right) I_2 - g^{tr'} \frac{h^{1/2}}{f^{1/2}} \left(D_4 - D_4^* \right) I_2 \right\} \\ \left\langle T_r^r \rangle_{\text{unren}} = \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \left(g^{rr} - g^{rr'} \right) \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{h^{1/2}}{r} + \frac{f'}{4f} \right) h^{1/2} \left(D_1 - D_1^* \right) I_2 - g^{rt'} h^{1/2} \left(D_4 - D_4^* \right) I_2 \right. \\ \left. - \left(g^{rr} - g^{rr'} \right) \frac{h}{r} \left(D_3 - D_3^* \right) I_2 + \left(g^{rr} + g^{rr'} \right) \frac{h}{r} \left(D_2 - D_2^* \right) I_1 \right. \\ \left. - \left(g^{rr} + g^{rr'} \right) \frac{h}{f^{1/2}} \left(D_4 - D_4^* \right) I_1 - g^{rt'} \frac{f'}{4f^{1/2}} \left(D_1 - D_1^* \right) I_1 \right\} \\ \left\langle T_\theta^\theta \rangle_{\text{unren}} = \frac{1}{r} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \left(D_3 - D_3^* \right) I_2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{h^{1/2}} \right) \left(D_1 - D_1^* \right) I_2 - \left(D_2 - D_2^* \right) I_1 \right\} .$$
 (E6)

Since in each expression, we have terms of the form $(D_n - D_n^*)$, and we are taking the imaginary part, it is sufficient to replace these with $2D_n$. This gives the expressions in Eq. (6.4).

In principle one may solve the mode equations (2.37a) and (2.37b) exactly, compute the sums and integrals in Eqs. (E2), use the analytic continuation (D14), subtract off the point splitting counterterms displayed in Ref. [51] and Eq. (7.2), and take the limit $t' \to t$ to obtain a renormalized expression for the stress-energy tensor. However, it is seldom possible

⁸This identity actually holds for any representation of the Dirac matrices for which $C^{\dagger} = C^{-1}$.

to solve the mode equations analytically and to analytically compute the sums and integrals in Eqs. (E2). What can be done instead is to use the WKB approximation for the radial modes to determine the divergence structure of $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm unren}$. The procedure for doing this has been discussed in Section VII. The result is then substituted into Eq. (E6) to obtain the quantity $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm WKBdiv}$. Then

$$\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{unren}} = \{ (\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{unren}} - \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBdiv}}) + \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBdiv}} \}$$

$$= \lim_{t' \to t} \{ \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{num}} + \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBdiv}} \} .$$
(E7)

Note that since $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBdiv}}$ contains all of the divergences of $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{unren}}$, the quantity $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{num}}$ is explicitly finite in the limit $\tau' \to \tau$. As a result one may set $\tau = \tau'$ before computing the mode sums and integrals in this quantity. Our explicit expressions for $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{num}}$ are given in Eqs. (7.8a–c).

The quantity $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBdiv}}$ can be computed analytically. The resulting expression contains terms of the form $(\tau - \tau')^{-n}$ with n = 4, 3, 2, 1, 0 as well as terms proportional to $\ln(\tau - \tau')$. For even powers of *n* the analytic continuation takes the form

$$\frac{1}{\left(\tau - \tau'\right)^n} = \frac{1}{-\left(t - t'\right)^n + i\varepsilon} = -\mathcal{P}\left(\frac{1}{\left(t - t'\right)^n}\right) - i\pi\delta\left[\left(t - t'\right)^n\right] \,. \tag{E8}$$

For odd powers of n one may multiply and divide by $\tau - \tau'$:

$$\frac{1}{(\tau - \tau')^n} = \frac{\tau - \tau'}{(\tau - \tau')^{n+1}} \,. \tag{E9}$$

The numerator trivially contains no poles and therefore can be analytically continued using $\tau = it$, while the remainder of the expression is treated in exactly the same manner as in Eq. (E8). Upon subtracting the charge conjugate term, the terms in $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\rm WKBdiv}$ that are proportional to delta functions cancel. For the logarithmic terms

$$\ln(\tau - \tau') = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left[-(t - t')^2 + i\varepsilon \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\ln |(t - t')^2| + i\pi \right]$$
(E10)

so that again upon subtracting the charge conjugate, the imaginary part vanishes. As a result, we find that $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBdiv}}$ is real, and therefore that the difference $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{analytic}} = \lim_{t' \to t} (\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBdiv}} - \langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{DS}})$ is both finite and real. Our expressions for $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{analytic}}$ are given in Eq. (7.6a–e).

APPENDIX F: COMPUTATION OF THE MODE SUMS IN $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBDIV}}$

Here we compute the mode sums or integrals necessary to evaluate the quantity $\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle_{\text{WKBdiv}}$ for non-zero and zero temperature states, respectively. For the thermal case, Eq. (1.442.2) of Reference [66] gives:

$$\kappa \sum_{n=1/2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos\left(n\kappa\epsilon_{\tau}\right)}{n\kappa} = \frac{1}{2} \ln\left[\cot^2\left(\frac{\kappa\epsilon_{\tau}}{4}\right)\right] = -\frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\kappa^2\epsilon_{\tau}^2}{16}\right) - \frac{\kappa^2\epsilon_{\tau}^2}{48} - \frac{7\kappa^4\epsilon_{\tau}^4}{23040} + O(\epsilon_{\tau}^6) , \quad (F1)$$

where the sum is understood to be over half-integers $n = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \ldots$ For the sums and integrals we are interested in, $\epsilon_{\tau} = \tau - \tau'$. Using Eq. (F1) and taking derivatives with respect to ϵ_{τ} , we find that

$$\kappa \sum_{n=1/2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(n\kappa\epsilon_{\tau})}{n\kappa} = -\frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\kappa^{2}\epsilon_{\tau}^{2}}{16}\right) + O(\epsilon_{\tau}^{2})$$

$$\kappa \sum_{n=1/2}^{\infty} \sin(n\kappa\epsilon_{\tau}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\tau}} + O(\epsilon_{\tau})$$

$$\kappa \sum_{n=1/2}^{\infty} n\kappa \cos(n\kappa\epsilon_{\tau}) = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\tau}^{2}} + \frac{\kappa^{2}}{24} + O(\epsilon_{\tau}^{2})$$

$$\kappa \sum_{n=1/2}^{\infty} (n\kappa)^{2} \sin(n\kappa\epsilon_{\tau}) = -\frac{2}{\epsilon_{\tau}^{3}} + O(\epsilon_{\tau})$$

$$\kappa \sum_{n=1/2}^{\infty} (n\kappa)^{3} \cos(n\kappa\epsilon_{\tau}) = \frac{6}{\epsilon_{\tau}^{4}} - \frac{7\kappa^{4}}{960} + O(\epsilon_{\tau}^{2}).$$
(F2)

In the zero temperature case the mode sums are the same as in [21]. It is necessary to introduce an infrared cutoff λ for the first integral. Then

$$\int_{\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \cos(\omega\epsilon_{\tau}) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\lambda^{2}\epsilon_{\tau}^{2}\right) - C$$
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega \sin(\omega\epsilon_{\tau}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{\tau}}$$
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega d\omega \cos(\omega\epsilon_{\tau}) = -\frac{1}{\epsilon_{\tau}^{2}}$$
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega^{2} d\omega \sin(\omega\epsilon_{\tau}) = -\frac{2}{\epsilon_{\tau}^{3}}$$
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \omega^{3} d\omega \cos(\omega\epsilon_{\tau}) = \frac{6}{\epsilon_{\tau}^{4}}, \qquad (F3)$$

where C is Euler's constant.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. M. Christensen and S. A. Fulling, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2088 (1977).
- [2] W. A. Hiscock, Class. Quantum Grav. 7, L235-L240 (1990).
- [3] F. D. Mazzitelli and C. O. Lousto, Phys. Rev. D 43, 468 (1991).
- [4] D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. D 25, 1499 (1982).
- [5] K. W. Howard and P. Candelas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 403 (1984).
- [6] K. W. Howard, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 2532 (1984).
- [7] M. R. Brown, A. C. Ottewill, and D. N. Page, Phys. Rev. D 33, 2840 (1986).
- [8] C. Vaz, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 1776 (1989).
- [9] F. A. Barrios and C. Vaz, Phys. Rev. D 40, 1340 (1989).
- [10] R. M. Nugayev, Phys. Rev. D 43, 1195 (1991).
- [11] J. Matyjasek, Phys. Rev. D 53, 794 (1996).
- [12] J. Matyjasek, Acta Phys. Polon. B27, 2005 (1996).
- [13] J. Matyjasek, Class. Quantum Grav. 14 L15 (1997).
- [14] J. Matyjasek, Phys. Rev. D 55, 809 (1997).
- [15] M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D 56, 936 (1997).
- [16] J. Matyjasek, Phys. Rev. D 57, 7615 (1998).
- [17] J. Matyjasek, Phys. Rev. D 59, 044002 (1999).
- [18] T. Zannias, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 1161 (1984).
- [19] C. Huang, Phys. Lett. A **164**, 384 (1992).
- [20] V. P. Frolov and A. I. Zel'nikov, Phys. Rev. D 35, 3031 (1987).
- [21] P. R. Anderson, W. A. Hiscock, and D. A. Samuel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1739 (1993); Phys. Rev. D 51, 4337 (1995).
- [22] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 13, 2188 (1976).
- [23] W. Israel, Phys. Lett. **57A**, 107 (1976).
- [24] W. G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D 14, 870 (1976).
- [25] D. G. Boulware, Phys. Rev. D 11, 1404 (1975); D 12, 350 (1975).
- [26] B. S. DeWitt, in *Relativity, Groups and Topology*, edited by B. S. DeWitt and C. DeWitt (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1065); Phys. Rep. 19, 295 (1975).
- [27] V. P. Frolov, in *Quantum Gravity*, Proceedings of the Second Seminar on Quantum Gravity, Moscow, USSR, edited by M. A. Markov and P. C. West (Plenum, New York, 1984), p. 315.
- [28] V. P. Frolov and A. I. Zel'nikov, Phys. Lett. **115B**, 372 (1982).
- [29] V. P. Frolov and A. I. Zel'nikov, Phys. Lett. **123B**, 197 (1983).
- [30] V. P. Frolov and A. I. Zel'nikov, Phys. Rev. D 29, 1057 (1984).
- [31] J. Matyjasek, Phys. Rev. D 63, 084004 (2001).
- [32] J. Matyjasek, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 124019 (2000).
- [33] P. Candelas, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 2185 (1980).
- [34] M. S. Fawcett, Commun. Math. Phys 89, 103 (1983).
- [35] B. P. Jensen and A. Ottewill, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 1130 (1989).
- [36] B. P. Jensen, J. G. Mc Laughlin, and A. C. Ottewill, Phys. Rev. D 45, 3002 (1992).
- [37] P. R. Anderson, W. A. Hiscock, and D. J. Loranz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4365 (1995).
- [38] E. R. Bezerra de Mello, V. B. Bezerra, and N. R. Khusnutdinov, Phys. Rev. D 60, 063506 (1999).
- [39] L. S. Brown and J. P. Cassidy, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2810 (1977).

- [40] T. S. Bunch, J. Phys. A **12**, 517 (1979).
- [41] J. S. Dowker and R. Critchley, Phys. Rev. D 13, 3224 (1976).
- [42] T. S. Bunch and P. C. W. Davies, Proc. R. Soc. A360, 117 (1978).
- [43] B. Allen and A. Folacci, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 3771 (1987).
- [44] A. Folacci, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 2813 (1991); *ibid* **32**, 2828 (1991).
- [45] K. Kirsten and J. Garriga, Phys. Rev. D 48, 567 (1993).
- [46] T. Elster, Class. Quantum Grav. 1, 43 (1984).
- [47] B. Bertotti, Phys. Rev. **116**, 1331 (1959).
- [48] I. Robinson, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. 7, 351 (1959).
- [49] B. Carter, Black Holes, edited by C. DeWitt and B. S. DeWitt (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1973).
- [50] S. M. Christensen, Phys. Rev. D 14, 2490 (1976).
- [51] S. M. Christensen, Phys. Rev. D 17, 946 (1978).
- [52] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).
- [53] V. A. Fock and D. Ivanko, Z. Phys. 54, 798 (1929).
- [54] D. R. Brill and J. A. Wheeler, Rev. Mod. Phys. 29, 465 (1957).
- [55] D. G. Boulware, Phys. Rev. D 12, 350 (1975).
- [56] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1972).
- [57] C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, Quantum Field Theory, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980).
- [58] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1982), and references therein.
- [59] B. Thaller, *The Dirac Equation* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992).
- [60] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Fields*, (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
- [61] G. Arfken, Mathematical Methods For Physicists (Academic, Boston, 1985).
- [62] P. R. Anderson, Phys. Rev. D 41, 1152 (1990).
- [63] G. Ghika and M. Visinescu, Nuovo Cimento A 46, 25 (1978); E. T. Whitaker and G. N. Watson, A Course of Modern Analysis (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1927), p. 145, exercise 7.
- [64] S. M. Christensen, Ph.D. thesis, University of Texas at Austin, 1975.
- [65] J. Mathews and R. L. Walker, *Mathematical Methods of Physics* (Benjamin, Menlo Park, 1970).
- [66] I. S. Gradsteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products (Academic, New York, 1980).