

What role pressures play to determine the final end-state of gravitational collapse?

Rituparno Goswami* and Pankaj S Joshi†
Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005, India

We examine here in what way the pressures affect the final fate of a continual gravitational collapse. It is shown that the presence of a non-vanishing pressure gradient in the collapsing cloud can determine directly the epoch of formation of trapped surfaces and the apparent horizon, thus changing the causal structure in the vicinity of singularity.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Dw, 04.70.-s, 04.70.Bw

Can non-zero pressures within a collapsing matter cloud avoid the naked singularity forming as end-state of a continual gravitational collapse? There have been speculations over past decades that when the effects of pressure within a collapsing cloud are carefully taken into account, may be only a black hole will form as the end product of collapse (see e.g. [1], for an early mention to role of pressure in collapse, and [2] and [3] for further details and references). It is clear that in the final stages of an endless collapse pressures will certainly become quite important. Though many gravitational collapse models are known currently with non-vanishing pressures present, which end up in the formation of a black hole or a naked singularity (see e.g. [4],[5] for a recent discussion), the actual role the pressures play to determine the end state of a continual collapse is however not clearly understood.

We analyze this issue here in some detail in order to bring out the role pressures can play towards determining the end state of collapse. A spherically symmetric collapse model is considered where the initial density and radial pressure distributions are chosen to be homogeneous, but the tangential pressure is allowed to have a non-vanishing gradient, in order to see in a transparent manner the effects it can cause on the evolution of the cloud, and eventually towards determining the final state of collapse. It turns out that this by itself can cause inhomogeneities in the density evolution as the collapse develops, thus deforming the trapped surface formation within the cloud. Hence it is seen that the presence of a non-vanishing pressure can create either of the naked singularity or a black hole as the final state for the cloud. Further, the pressure diverges along various families of non-spacelike curves terminating into the naked singularity. This is relevant because if a naked singularity developed but if the pressures remained finite in the limit of approach to the same, this may not be regarded as a physically interesting situation.

The spherically symmetric metric in a general form can be written as,

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\nu(t,r)} dt^2 + e^{2\psi(t,r)} dr^2 + R^2(t,r) d\Omega^2 \quad (1)$$

where $d\Omega^2$ is the line element on a two-sphere. Choosing the frame to be comoving, the stress-energy tensor for a general (type I) matter field is given in a diagonal form [6],

$$T_t^t = -\rho; T_r^r = p_r; T_\theta^\theta = T_\phi^\phi = p_\theta \quad (2)$$

The quantities ρ , p_r and p_θ are the density, radial and tangential pressures respectively. We take the matter field to satisfy the *weak energy condition*, that is, the energy density as measured by any local observer be non-negative, and for any timelike vector V^i , we have,

$$T_{ik} V^i V^k \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

which amounts to,

$$\rho \geq 0; \rho + p_r \geq 0; \rho + p_\theta \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

The initial data consists of values of three metric functions and the density and pressures at the initial time $t = t_i$, in terms of the six arbitrary functions of the radial coordinate, $\nu(t_i, r) = \nu_0(r)$, $\psi(t_i, r) = \psi_0(r)$, $R(t_i, r) = r$, $\rho(t_i, r) = \rho_0(r)$, $p_r(t_i, r) = p_{r0}(r)$, $p_\theta(t_i, r) = p_{\theta0}$, where, using the scaling freedom for the radial co-ordinate r we have chosen

*Electronic address: goswami@tifr.res.in

†Electronic address: psj@tifr.res.in

$R(t_i, r) = r$ at the initial epoch. The dynamic evolution of the initial data is then determined by the Einstein equations, which for the metric (1) become ($8\pi G = c = 1$),

$$\rho = \frac{F'}{R^2 R'}; \quad p_r = \frac{-\dot{F}}{R^2 \dot{R}} \quad (5)$$

$$\nu' = \frac{2(p_\theta - p_r)}{\rho + p_r} \frac{R'}{R} - \frac{p'_r}{\rho + p_r} \quad (6)$$

$$-2\dot{R}' + R' \frac{\dot{G}}{G} + \dot{R} \frac{H'}{H} = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$G - H = 1 - \frac{F}{R} \quad (8)$$

Here $F = F(t, r)$ is an arbitrary function, and in spherically symmetric spacetimes it has the interpretation of the mass function, with $F \geq 0$. In order to preserve the regularity of the initial data, $F(t_i, 0) = 0$, i.e. the mass function should vanish at the center of the cloud. The functions G and H are defined as $G(t, r) = e^{-2\psi(R')^2}$ and $H(t, r) = e^{-2\nu(\dot{R})^2}$.

All the initial data above are not mutually independent, as from equation (6) we find that the function $\nu_0(r)$ is determined in terms of rest of the initial data. Also, by rescaling of the radial coordinate r we have reduced the number of independent initial data functions to four. We then have a total of five field equations with seven unknowns, ρ , p_r , p_θ , ψ , ν , R , and F , giving us the freedom of choice of two free functions. Selection of these functions, subject to the given initial data and weak energy condition, determines the matter distribution and metric of the space-time and thus leads to a particular collapse evolution of the initial data.

Consider, for the sake of clarity, the following choice of the allowed free functions, $F(t, r)$ and $\nu(t, r)$ (see also [7]),

$$F(t, r) = \frac{2}{3}r^3 - \frac{1}{3}R^3 \quad (9)$$

and,

$$\nu(t, r) = c(t) + \nu_0(R) \quad (10)$$

Also we write,

$$R(t, r) = rv(t, r) \quad (11)$$

where,

$$v(t_i, r) = 1; \quad v(t_s(r), r) = 0; \quad \dot{v} < 0 \quad (12)$$

Using equation (9) in equation (5), we get,

$$\rho = \frac{2}{v^2(v + rv')} - 1; \quad p_r = 1 \quad (13)$$

It is clear that $\rho(t_i, r) = \rho_0(r) = 1$, i.e. at the initial epoch the density is homogeneous, and as $v \rightarrow 0$, $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, at the singularity ρ becomes infinite. Also, we note that the radial pressure remains constant throughout the collapse. However, this need not be the case for the tangential pressure, which depends via the Einstein equation (8) on the choice of the function ν . Then using equation(13) we have

$$\nu_0(r) = \int_0^r \left(\frac{p_{\theta\theta} - 1}{r} \right) dr \quad (14)$$

Assuming that pressure gradients vanish at the center of the cloud, we can take the form of $\nu_0(r)$ as,

$$\nu_0(r) = r^2 g(r) \quad (15)$$

where, $g(r)$ is a suitably differentiable function of r . In that case we can write $p_{\theta 0}$ in the following form,

$$p_{\theta 0} = 1 + r^2 p_{\theta 2} + r^3 p_{\theta 3} + \dots \quad (16)$$

where, $p_{\theta n}$ is proportional to the n^{th} derivative of the initial tangential pressure at the center. We note that choosing the form of ν_0 as given by (15) automatically fixes $p_{\theta 1} = 0$. Also using equation(10) in equation(7), we get,

$$G(t, r) = b(r)e^{2\nu_0(R)} \quad (17)$$

Here $b(r)$ is another arbitrary function of r . In corresponding dust models, we can write, $b(r) = 1 + f(r)$, where $f(r)$ is the velocity distribution function of the collapsing shells. In the marginally bound case, $f(r) = 0$. We choose the similar analog here and henceforth consider $b(r) = 1$.

The reason for the choice such as above for the mass function, and the function $b(r)$, is to bring out the role of pressure towards determining the final fate of collapse in a transparent manner. For example, in the present situation, if the tangential pressure were vanishing identically, the density evolution would be necessarily homogeneous throughout, and the collapse will necessarily end in a back hole, just as the Oppenheimer-Snyder homogeneous dust cloud collapse. On the other hand, a non-vanishing pressure gradient gives rise to either one of a naked singularity or a black hole as we show below. One can match the cloud to an exterior by introducing an in between shell, wherein p_r tends to zero at the outer boundary of the shell.

Using equation(17) in equation(8), we get,

$$\sqrt{R}\dot{R} = -a(t)e^{2\nu_0(R)}\sqrt{R^3h(R) + \frac{2}{3}r^3 - \frac{1}{3}R^3} \quad (18)$$

Here $a(t)$ is a function of time. By a suitable scaling of the time coordinate, we can always take $a(t) = 1$. The negative sign is due to the fact that $\dot{R} < 0$ which is the collapse condition. The function $h(R) = h(rv)$ is defined as,

$$h(rv) = \frac{e^{2\nu_0(rv)} - 1}{r^2 v^2} \quad (19)$$

Substituting the value of ν_0 , the above equation can be written as,

$$h(rv) = p_{\theta 2} + \frac{2}{3}rvp_{\theta 3} + \dots \quad (20)$$

Now simplifying equation(18), we get,

$$\sqrt{v}\dot{v} = -e^{2\nu_0(rv)}\sqrt{v^3\left(h(rv) - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \frac{2}{3}} \quad (21)$$

Integrating the above equation, we have,

$$t(v, r) = \int_v^1 \frac{\sqrt{v}dv}{\sqrt{e^{4\nu_0}\left[v^3\left(h(rv) - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \frac{2}{3}\right]}} \quad (22)$$

We note that the coordinate r is treated as a constant in the above equation. Expanding $t(v, r)$ around the center, we get,

$$t(v, r) = t(v, 0) + rX(v) + O(r^2) \quad (23)$$

where the function $X(v)$ is given by,

$$X(v) = -\frac{1}{3}\int_v^1 dv \frac{v^4\sqrt{v}p_{\theta 3}}{\sqrt{v^3\left(p_{\theta 2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \frac{2}{3}}} \quad (24)$$

Thus the time taken for the central shell at $r = 0$ to reach the singularity is given by,

$$t_s(0) = \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{v}dv}{\sqrt{v^3\left(p_{\theta 2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) + \frac{2}{3}}} \quad (25)$$

From the above equation it is clear that for $t_s(0)$ to be defined, $p_{\theta 2} > 1/3$. Also, the time taken for the other shells to reach the singularity can be given as,

$$t_s(r) = t_s(0) + rX(0) + O(r^2) \quad (26)$$

To see the dynamic evolution of $p_{\theta}(t, r)$, we put equation(13) in equation(6) and simplify to get,

$$p_{\theta}(r, v) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} (p_{\theta 0}(rv) - 1) (\rho(r, v) + 1) \quad (27)$$

It is evident that if at the initial epoch $p_{\theta 0} = 1$, then $p_{\theta}(r, v) = 1$ throughout the collapse, and the evolution will be exactly like dust models. In the case otherwise, we find the limiting value of $p_{\theta 0}$ at the central shell as,

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} p_{\theta}(r, v) = \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{v} \right) \quad (28)$$

It is easily seen that near the central singularity there exist ingoing families of *timelike* curves of the form,

$$t_{s_0} - t = kr^{\beta}; k > 0, \beta > 2 \quad (29)$$

along which the tangential pressure necessarily diverges as we approach the point $(t_{s_0}, 0)$ on the (t, r) plane. Hence there always exist some timelike paths along which both the tangential pressure and the density diverge in the limit of approach to the central singularity. Thus, as opposed to certain cases, where the pressures are necessarily bounded at the naked singularity (e.g. in the case of [1]), which is somewhat artificial situation, we deal here with a physically more realistic scenario where pressures diverge at the singularity and then it is to be seen if a naked singularity is allowed in such a situation.

In order to decide the final fate of collapse in terms of either a black hole or a naked singularity, we need to study the behaviour of the apparent horizon, and to examine if there are any families of outgoing nonspacelike trajectories, which terminate in the past at the singularity. The apparent horizon within the collapsing cloud is given by $R/F = 1$, which gives the boundary of the trapped surface region of the space-time. If the neighborhood of the center gets trapped earlier than the singularity, then it will be covered and a black hole will be the final state of the collapse. In the case otherwise, the singularity can be naked with non-spacelike future directed trajectories escaping from it to outside observers.

To consider the possibility of existence of such families, and to examine the nature of the central singularity occurring at $R = 0, r = 0$ in the present case, let us consider the outgoing radial null geodesics equation,

$$\frac{dt}{dr} = e^{\psi - \nu} \quad (30)$$

The central singularity occurs at $v = 0, r = 0$, which corresponds to $R = 0, r = 0$. Therefore, if we have any future directed null geodesics terminating in the past at the singularity, we must have $R \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow t_s(0)$ along the same. Now writing the geodesic equation equation(30) in terms of the variables $(u = r^{\frac{5}{3}}, R)$, we have,

$$\frac{dR}{du} = \frac{3}{5} r^{-\frac{2}{3}} R' \left[1 + \frac{\dot{R}}{R'} e^{\psi - \nu} \right] \quad (31)$$

Using equation(8) and considering $\dot{R} < 0$, we get,

$$\frac{dR}{du} = \frac{3}{5} \left(\frac{R}{u} + \frac{\sqrt{v}v'}{\sqrt{\frac{R}{u}}} \right) \left(\frac{1 - \frac{F}{R}}{\sqrt{G}(\sqrt{G} + \sqrt{H})} \right) \quad (32)$$

If the radial null geodesics terminate at the singularity in the past with a definite tangent, then at the singularity the tangent to the geodesic $\frac{dR}{du} > 0$, in the (u, R) plane, with a finite value. In the present case, all singularities for $r > 0$ are covered since $\frac{F}{R} \rightarrow \infty$ in that case, and hence $\frac{dR}{du} \rightarrow -\infty$. Therefore, only the singularity at the central shell could be naked. Now from equation(21) we get for $r \rightarrow 0$ along a constant v line,

$$\sqrt{v}v' = X(v) \sqrt{v^3 \left(p_{\theta 2} - \frac{1}{3} \right) + \frac{2}{3}} \quad (33)$$

Let us define the tangent to the null geodesics from the singularity as,

$$x_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_s} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{R}{u} = \left. \frac{dR}{du} \right|_{t \rightarrow t_s; r \rightarrow 0} \quad (34)$$

Using equation(32), we get,

$$x_0^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{5}{\sqrt{6}} X(0) \quad (35)$$

Now, if $p_{\theta 3} < 0$, then $x_0 > 0$ and hence we would have radially outgoing null geodesic coming out from the singularity, and the singularity will be naked. While if $p_{\theta 3} > 0$, we will get a black hole solution. If $p_{\theta 3} = 0$, then we will have to go to the higher order terms and do the same analysis. In the (t, r) plane, the equation for the radial null geodesic coming out from the singularity is,

$$t - t_s(0) = x_0 r^{\frac{5}{3}} \quad (36)$$

Also, we see that in case of a naked singularity, the singularity curve at the center, equation(23), is an increasing function of r , as in that case $X(v) > 0$. On the other hand, a black-hole solution gives a decreasing or constant curve for shells with increasing r . It is relevant to note here that $X(0) > 0$ implies $v' > 0$, and so from $R' = v + rv'$ we see that there are no shell-crossings, at least in a neighbourhood of the central singularity. We thus see how a non-vanishing pressure causes a naked singularity as the end state of collapse.

It is also interesting to note how the non-vanishing pressure gradient affects the spacetime shear. From equation(13), we get for small values of r along constant v line,

$$\rho(t, r) = \frac{2}{v^3 + rv\sqrt{v}X(v)\sqrt{v^3(p_{\theta 2} - \frac{1}{3}) + \frac{2}{3}}} - 1 \quad (37)$$

If $p_{\theta 3} < 0$, then $X(v) > 0$, i.e. $v' > 0$. Thus from the above equation it is evident that $\rho(t, r)$ is a decreasing function of r at any given time t . But it is known [8] that in the case of a vanishing shear, for the mass function we have considered here in equation(9), $\rho = \rho(t)$, i.e. the density is necessarily homogeneous throughout the collapse. Therefore, we conclude that in the case of a naked singularity developing as collapse end state, the collapsing cloud has non-zero shear. This shear may play the role of deforming the apparent horizon, thus exposing the singularity.

[1] H. Muller zum Hagen, P. Yodzis and H. Seifert, Commun. Math. Phys. **37**, 29 (1974).

[2] L. Herrera and N. O. Santos, Physics Reports **286**, 53 (1997).

[3] P. S. Joshi, *Global aspects in gravitation and cosmology*, Clarendon Press, OUP (1993).

[4] M. Celerier and P. Szekeres, gr-qc/0203094; R. Giambo', F. Giannoni, G. Magli, P. Piccione, gr-qc/0204030.

[5] T. Harada, H. Iguchi, and K. Nakao, Prog.Theor.Phys. **107**, 449 (2002).

[6] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of spacetime*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

[7] P. S. Joshi and I. H. Dwivedi, Class. Quantum Grav. **16**, 41 (1999).

[8] P. S. Joshi, N. Dadhich and R. Maartens, Phys. Rev. D65: 101501, 2002.