An anisotropic cosmological model with isotropic background radiation

Saulo Carneiro^{1,2} and Guillermo A. Mena Marugán¹

¹ Centro de Física Miguel A. Catalán, IMAFF, CSIC, Serrano 121, 28006 Madrid, Spain ² Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal da Bahia, 40210-340, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

Abstract. We present an exact solution of Einstein equations that describes a Bianchi type III spacetime with conformal expansion. The matter content is given by an anisotropic scalar field and two perfect fluids representing dust and isotropic radiation. Based on this solution, we construct a cosmological model that respects the evolution of the scale factor predicted in standard cosmology.

A crucial question in cosmology is whether the observed isotropy of the cosmic microwave background (CMB), together with the apparent homogeneity and isotropy of clustering matter, suffices to guarantee the Cosmological Principle. An affirmative answer is strongly supported by a theorem proved by Ehlers, Geren and Sachs[[1\]](#page-3-0). The EGS theorem ensures that a spacetime region satisfying Einstein equations and containing only dust and radiation has a Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) geometry provided that the dust velocity field u^{μ} is (geodesic and) expanding, and that the distribution function of the photons is a solution to the Liouville equation which is isotropic with respect to u^{μ} . In fact, the result that the geometry is FRW depends critically on the hypotheses of the theorem, and there exist counterexamples in which all but one of the assumptions are satisfied [[2–4](#page-3-0)]. The theorem admits generalizations for matter consisting in a generic (barotropic) perfect fluid[[2\]](#page-3-0), and for an almost isotropic CMB [\[5\]](#page-3-0), case in which the geometry is approximately of the FRW form.

We will concentrate our discussion on the validity of the EGS theorem when one relaxes the assumption about the kind of matter content. This is important in modern cosmology because, in order to explain the observed acceleration detected with type Ia supernovae, scalar fields are often introduced to generate a quintessence component. It was recently shown that the conclusions of the EGS theorem remain valid in the presence of a quitessence field unless the gradient of the field is orthogonal to the dust congruence[[4](#page-3-0)]. This alternative to the FRW geometry has been neglected so far because it is believed to lead to unphysical situations. We will show, nevertheless, that it is possible to find physically acceptable systems in which the commented alternative allows one to circumvent the Cosmological Principle.

The most general line-element and Einstein tensor compatible with an isotropic radiation can be found in [\[2](#page-3-0)]. The aim of the present work is to explicitly construct a feasible solution to the Einstein equations respecting this isotropy of the CMB. This task includes determining not only the metric, but also the matter content. Furthermore, we want such a content to be of cosmological and physical interest, in the sense that it must be composed of dust, radiation, and a scalar field, and that none of the energy conditions (weak, strong or dominant) is violated. In addition, we will accept that both the perfect fluid components and the spatial sections of the spacetime are homogeneous.

Our ansatz for the metric is

$$
ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta) \left[-d\eta^{2} + dx^{2} + e^{2x} dy^{2} + dz^{2} \right].
$$
 (1)

This is a Bianchi type III metric, with Killing vectors given by $\partial_x - y \partial_y$, ∂_y , and ∂_z . It can be considered as the vanishing-rotation member of a family of line-elements with application in rotating cosmology $[6]$ that are expanding versions of a class of Gödel-like metrics $[7]$. The spatial topology

isthe direct product of a pseudosphere and a real line [[7\]](#page-3-0). The velocity field $u^{\mu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\eta}/a$ is geodesic, shear-free and vorticity-free. Its expansion is $3a'/a^2$, where the prime stands for the derivative with respectto the conformal time η . Besides, metric ([1\)](#page-0-0) possesses a conformal Killing vector (CKV) $\xi^{\mu} = a(\eta)u^{\mu}$. Actually, the existence of this CKV proportional to the velocity u^{μ} suffices to guarantee the isotropy of the CMB (for comoving radiation), as well as the absence of parallax effects[[6\]](#page-3-0).

The Einstein equations for this metric are

$$
Ea4 = 3(a')2 - a2, \t Pxa4 = Pya4 = Pza4 - 1 = (a')2 - 2aa'', \t (2)
$$

where $E \equiv -T_{\eta}^{\eta}$ is the energy density and $P_i \equiv T_i^i$ $(i = x, y, \text{ or } z)$ are the principal pressures. As for the matter content, let us start by introducing a massless, minimally coupled scalar field Φ with vanishing self-interaction potential. The dynamical equation for such a field is $\Phi_{;\mu\nu}g^{\mu\nu} = 0$, with $g^{\mu\nu}$ being the inverse of the metric and the semicolon denoting the covariant derivative. A solution is $\Phi = Cz$, where C is any constant number. It is worth remarking that, on this solution, the gradient of Φ is actually orthogonal to the four-velocity u^{μ} . Besides, the energy-momentum tensor of the scalar field $T^{\mu}_{\nu} = \Phi_{,\nu} \Phi^{,\mu} - \Phi_{,\sigma} \Phi^{,\sigma} \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}/2$ becomes diagonal, with $E^{(\Phi)} = P_z^{(\Phi)} = -P_y^{(\Phi)} = -P_y^{(\Phi)} = C^2/(2a^2)$. It is straightforward to check that all of the energy conditions are then satisfied, so that the solution is physically viable. Moreover, choosing $C = 1$ (or $C = -1$ with a flip of sign in z), one can in fact absorb in the contribution of the scalar field all the anisotropic pressures appearing in (2).

Therefore, accepting the solution $\Phi = z$ and denoting by $\rho = E - E^{(\Phi)}$ and $p = P_i - P_i^{(\Phi)}$ $e_i^{(\Psi)}$ the energy density and (isotropic) pressure of the remaining matter components, we conclude that this additional matter must satisfy the Einstein equations

$$
\varrho a^4 = 3(a')^2 - \frac{3}{2}a^2, \qquad p a^4 = (a')^2 - 2aa'' + \frac{a^2}{2},\tag{3}
$$

which are precisely those corresponding to a perfect fluid in open FRW cosmology, except for changes of scale by factors of order unity:

$$
\eta = \sqrt{2}\eta_F, \qquad \sqrt{2} a(\eta = \sqrt{2}\eta_F) = a_F(\eta_F). \tag{4}
$$

Here, η_F and a_F are the conformal time and scale factor of the standard open FRW model.

We are now in an adequate position to obtain the solution that we were seeking. We assume that the remaining matter consists of dust and radiation, which form a two-component perfect fluid with four-velocity u^{μ} . The energy density and pressure are thus given by $\rho = A^2/a^4 + D/a^3$ and $p = A^2/(3a^4)$, where A and D are two positive constants. One then arrives at the following solution of (3):

$$
a = \frac{D}{3} \left[\cosh\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - 1 \right] + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} A \sinh\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\right). \tag{5}
$$

Inverting this formula and integrating $dt = ad\eta$, one gets the time expressions

$$
\eta = \sqrt{2} \ln \left[\frac{3a + D + \sqrt{9a^2 + 6Da + 6A^2}}{D + \sqrt{6}A} \right],
$$
\n(6)

$$
t = \frac{D}{3} \left[-\eta + \sqrt{2} \sinh\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right] + \frac{2A}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\cosh\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - 1 \right]. \tag{7}
$$

Clearly, this solution leads to a cosmological model that coincides in most of its predictions with those of an open FRW scenario. In particular, the thermal history and the cosmological parameters that depend only on the evolution of the scale factor are essentially the same as in standard (open) FRW cosmology. For instance, for the Hubble parameter H and the deceleration parameter q one obtains

$$
H = \frac{a'}{a^2} = \sqrt{\frac{3a^2 + 2Da + 2A^2}{6a^4}}, \qquad q = 1 - \frac{aa''}{(a')^2} = \frac{Da + 2A^2}{3a^2 + 2Da + 2A^2} > 0.
$$
 (8)

Note that the expansion is not accelerated, so that (at least in this sense) the model cannot be considered fully realistic. Nonetheless, this problem can be overcome as in FRW cosmology, i.e., with the introduction of a cosmological constant or an additional scalar field with accelerating properties.

In spite of the similarities of the model with an open FRW universe, there exist some differences between the two cosmological scenarios. For instance, the standard epochs of radiation and dust domination are now followed by a scalar-field dominated era. During these eras, the scale factor ranges in $0 < a \leq A^2/D$ (radiation), $A^2/D \leq a \leq 2D$ (dust), and $2D \leq a$ (scalar field). We suppose that $A \ll D$, so that there exists a large epoch dominated by clustering matter. A more relevant discrepancy arises in the relative energy density. In our case, this density includes also the contribution of the scalar field. Using (8), we get

$$
\Omega = \frac{E}{3H^2} = \frac{a^2 + 2Da + 2A^2}{3a^2 + 2Da + 2A^2}.
$$
\n(9)

One can check that Ω decreases with the expansion. At the big-bang, Ω equals the unity, like in FRW cosmology. However, when the scale factor expands to infinity, Ω does not vanish, but tends to one third. Therefore, the contribution of the scalar field ensures that the energy density is of the order of the critical one during the whole evolution of the universe, leading to a quasi-flat cosmology.

To estimate the constants A and D that appear in our model, the parameters q and Ω , and the current values of a and t, we can proceed as follows. From (8) ,

$$
a_0 = \frac{1}{H_0} \sqrt{\frac{1 + z_{\text{eq}}}{2\left(1 - \Omega_0^{(\text{d})}\right)(1 + z_{\text{eq}}) - 2\Omega_0^{(\text{d})}}},\tag{10}
$$

where the subscript 0 stands for evaluation at present and $z_{\text{eq}} = -1 + \Omega_0^{\text{(d)}} / \Omega_0^{\text{(r)}}$ $_0^{(r)}$ is the redshift at equilibrium between the contributions to Ω of dust and radiation, denoted by $\Omega^{(d)}$ and $\Omega^{(r)}$. In addition, $D = 3H_0^2 a_0^3 \Omega_0^{(d)}$ and $A^2 = Da_0/(1+z_{\text{eq}})$. Using the values $H_0 = 65 \text{ km/(sMp)}$, $\Omega_0^{(d)} = 0.35$, and $z_{eq} = 5000$, we get $A = 1.6 \times 10^{24}$ m, $D = 1.0 \times 10^{26}$ m, $a_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{26}$ m, $t_0 = 12$ Gyr, $q_0 = 0.18$, and $\Omega_0 = 0.57$. Note that A is really much smaller than D, as we had assumed. Since a_0 is smaller but close to 2D, the universe would be at the end of the dust-dominated era. In addition, we notice that the age of the universe t_0 , although very close, is still beyond the lower bounds obtained from observation.

Finally, it is worth commenting that, though the redshift of the radiation emitted by dust particles depends only on the emission and reception times, the fact that the metric is anisotropic implies that the distance to astrophysical objects with identical redshift varies with the direction of observation. However, it is possible to show that these anisotropies in the measurements of distances are not large enough to conflict with observational data[[8\]](#page-3-0). Actually, one can prove that these anisotropies increase with the redshift and that, with the values estimated above for the parameters of our model, the maximum variation in the angular diameter distance of a source at unit redshift is less than five per cent, which is not currently detectable[[8\]](#page-3-0).

In conclusion, we have shown that, introducing a scalar field, one can find anisotropic solutions of the Einstein equations that are compatible with the expansion of the universe, the isotropy of the CMB, and the homogeneity and isotropy of clustering matter which follows geodesics. We have proved this fact by explicitly constructing a solution with the required properties. Such a solution leads to a cosmological model that possesses most of the desirable features of a standard FRW scenario.

- [1] J. Ehlers, P. Geren, and R.K. Sachs, J. Math. Phys. 9, 1344 (1968).
- [2] J.J. Ferrando, J.A. Morales, and M. Portilla, Phys. Rev. D 46, 578 (1992).
- [3] G.F.R. Ellis, R. Maartens, and S. D. Nel, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 184, 439 (1978).
- [4] C.A. Clarkson and R.K. Barret, Clas. Quantum Grav. 16, 3781 (1999).
- [5] W.R. Stoeger, R. Maartens, and G.F.R. Ellis, Astrophys. J. 443, 1 (1995).
- [6] V.A. Korotkiı̆ and Yu.N. Obukhov, Sov. Phys. JETP 72, 11 (1991).
- [7] M.J. Rebouças and J. Tiomno, Phys. Rev. D 28, 1251 (1983).
- [8] S. Carneiro and G.A. Mena Marugán, Phys. Rev. D 64, 083502 (2001).