

Abstract

This review paper describes certain elementary methods of group theory for studying the algebraic complexity of matrix multiplication, as measured by the exponent $2 \leq \omega \leq 3$ of matrix multiplication, which is conjectured to be 2. The seed of these methods lies in two ideas of H. Cohn, C. Umans et. al., firstly, that it is possible to "realize" a matrix product via the regular algebra of a (finite) group having a triple of subsets satisfying the so-called triple product property (TPP), and more generally, that it is possible to simultaneously realize several independent matrix products via a single group having a family of triples of subsets satisfying the so-called simultaneous triple product property (STPP), in such a way that the complexity of these several multiplications does not exceed the complexity of one multiplication in the regular algebra of the group. The STPP, in particular, has certain implications for ω which we describe. The most general result which we've obtained is that if an Abelian group H simultaneously realizes n matrix products of dimensions $m_i \times p_i$ by $p_i \times q_i$ then the wreath product group $H \wr Sym_n$ realizes some $1 \leq k = k(n) < (n!)^3$ matrix products of equal dimensions $n! \prod_{i=1}^n m_i \times n! \prod_{i=1}^n p_i$ by $n! \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \times n! \prod_{i=1}^n q_i$ such that $\omega \leq \frac{n \log |H| - \log n! - \log k}{\log \sqrt[3]{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i p_i q_i}}$.

As an application of this result, we prove that $\omega \leq \frac{2^n \log n^{3n} - \log 2^{n!} - \log k}{2^n n \log(n-1)}$ for some $1 \leq k < (2^{n!})^3$ using the Abelian group $(Cyc_n^{\times 3})^{\times n}$ and its wreath product $(Cyc_n^{\times 3})^{\times n} \wr Sym_{2^n}$. The sharpest estimate for ω using these groups occurs for $n = 25$ when $\omega < \frac{2^{25} \log 25^{75} - \log 2^{25!} - \log k}{2^{25} 25 \log 24} < 2.84$ for some $1 \leq k < (2^{25!})$.

The Simultaneous Triple Product Property and Group-theoretic Results for the Exponent ω of Matrix Multiplication

Sandeep Murthy (srajkuma@science.uva.nl)
 M. Sc. research student
 The Institute for Logic, Language and Computation (ILLC)
 Universiteit van Amsterdam
 Plantage Muidergracht 24, TV1018 Amsterdam
 The Netherlands

Submitted: 02/04/2007

Acknowledgements: Prof. T. H. Koornwinder, Universiteit van Amsterdam; Dr. H. Cohn, Microsoft Research; Dr. C. Umans, Caltech.

This review paper describes the nature and applications of certain elementary methods of group theory for studying the algebraic complexity of matrix multiplication, as measured by the exponent ω of matrix multiplication. These

methods, developed by H. Cohn, C. Umans et. al, (see [CU2003], [CU2005]), involve studying ω by "realizing" matrix multiplications via finite groups having triples of "index" subsets satisfying the so-called triple product property. This admits a generalization to the simultaneous realization of several independent matrix multiplications, via a single group having a family of triples of subsets which satisfy the so-called the simultaneous triple product property. We pursue the latter, in particular, which yields several types of general estimates for ω involving the sizes of the embedding groups and of their index triples, as well as the dimensions of their irreducible representations. These general estimates also lead to specific estimates for ω when we work with specific types of groups, especially Abelian groups, such as $Cyc_n^{\times 3}$, and wreath product groups $H \wr Sym_n$, where H is Abelian. Using these results, our main result is that $\omega < \frac{2^{25} \log 25^{75} - \log 2^{25}! - \log k}{2^{25} 25 \log 24} < 2.84$ for some $1 \leq k < (2^{25}!)^3$, using the group $(Cyc_{25}^{\times 3})^{\times 25} \wr Sym_{2^{25}}$, which also shows that ω is closer to 2.02 the closer k is to $(2^{25}!)^3$.

1. Preliminaries in Algebraic Complexity Theory

We review some fundamentals of the theory of algebraic complexity of matrix multiplication, in particular, the concept of rank of matrix multiplication, which is a bilinear measure of the multiplicative complexity of matrix multiplication, and the exponent ω of matrix multiplication, which is an asymptotic measure of complexity. We conclude by describing basic relations between these two measures.

1.1 The Rank of Matrix Multiplication Let U, V , and W be arbitrary (finite-dimensional) vector spaces over a field K . A map $\phi : U \times V \rightarrow W$ which satisfies the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(\kappa_1 u_1 + \kappa_2 v_1, \kappa_3 u_2 + \kappa_4 v_2) \\ = & \kappa_1 \kappa_3 \phi(u_1, u_2) + \kappa_1 \kappa_4 \phi(u_1, v_2) + \kappa_2 \kappa_3 \phi(v_1, u_2) + \kappa_2 \kappa_4 \phi(v_1, v_2) \end{aligned}$$

for all scalars $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4 \in K$ and vectors $u_1, u_2 \in U, v_1, v_2 \in V$, is called a K -bilinear map, or simply, a bilinear map, on U and V , [BCS1997, p. 354]. The map $K^{m \times p} \times K^{p \times q} \rightarrow K^{m \times q}$ describing multiplication of $m \times p$ by $p \times q$ matrices over K is such a bilinear map, which we denote by $\langle m, p, q \rangle_K$ and call a *matrix tensor over K* , or simply, a tensor, when the context is clear, [BCS1997, p. 356]. We call the integers m, p, q the *components* of the tensor $\langle m, p, q \rangle$.

The set $Bil_K(U, V; W)$ of all bilinear maps on U and V into a third space W also forms a K -space, e.g. $\langle m, p, q \rangle_K \in Bil_K(K^{n \times m}, K^{m \times p}; K^{n \times p})$. If $U = V = W$, we write $Bil_K(U)$ for $Bil_K(U, V; W)$. For any $\phi \in Bil_K(U, V; W)$,

there is a smallest positive integer r such that for every pair $(u, v) \in U \times V$, $\phi(u, v)$ has the bilinear representation

$$\phi(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i^*(u) g_i^*(v) w_i$$

where $f_i^* \in U^*$ (dual space of U), $g_i^* \in V^*$ (dual space of V), $w_i \in W$ uniquely correspond to ϕ , and the sequence of r triples, $f_1^*, g_1^*, w_1; f_2^*, g_2^*, w_2; \dots; f_r^*, g_r^*, w_r$ is called a *bilinear computation* for ϕ of length r , [BCS1997, p. 354]. For example, if $U = V = W = K_{Diag}^{n \times n}$, where $K_{Diag}^{n \times n}$ is the space of all $n \times n$ diagonal matrices over K with pointwise multiplication, then $f_i^* = g_i^* = e_{ii}^*$, $w_i = E_{ii}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $r = n$, where e_{ii}^* and E_{ii}^* are the dual elements to the i^{th} standard basis elements e_{ii} and E_{ii} of $K^{n \times n}$ and $K^{n \times n}$ respectively. The *bilinear complexity* or *rank* $\mathfrak{R}(\phi)$ of ϕ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{R}(\phi) := \min \left\{ r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid \phi(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i^*(u) g_i^*(v) w_i, (u, v) \in U \times V \right\}.$$

where $f_i^* \in U^*$, $g_i^* \in V^*$, $w_i \in W$ uniquely correspond to ϕ , i.e. $\mathfrak{R}(\phi)$ is length r of the shortest bilinear computation for ϕ [BCS1997, p. 354]. In the same way, the rank $\mathfrak{R}(\langle m, p, q \rangle)$ of the tensor $\langle m, p, q \rangle$, i.e. the rank of $m \times p$ by $p \times q$ matrix multiplication, is the smallest positive integer r such that every product $AB \in K^{m \times q}$ of an $m \times p$ matrix $A \in K^{m \times p}$ and an $p \times q$ matrix $B \in K^{p \times q}$ has the bilinear representation

$$\langle m, p, q \rangle(A, B) = AB = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i^*(A) g_i^*(B) C_i$$

where $f_i^* \in K^{m \times p^*}$, $g_i^* \in K^{p \times q^*}$, $C_i \in K^{m \times q}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, uniquely determine $\langle m, p, q \rangle$. From the computational point of view, $\mathfrak{R}(\langle m, p, q \rangle)$ is essentially a measure of the number of multiplications needed to perform $m \times p$ by $p \times q$ matrix multiplication. For example, $\mathfrak{R}(\phi) = n$ for any $\phi \in Bil_K(K_{Diag}^{n \times n})$, e.g. $\mathfrak{R}(\langle n, n, n \rangle_{Diag}) = n$. $\mathfrak{R}(\phi) = n$ also for any $\phi \in Bil_K(K^n)$ where K^n is the n dimensional space of all n -tuples over K , with a pointwise multiplication map $\langle n \rangle : K^n \times K^n \rightarrow K^n$, in particular, $\mathfrak{R}(\langle n \rangle) = n$. This shows that $\mathfrak{R}(\langle n, n, n \rangle) \geq n$, because $K^n \cong_K K_{Diag}^{n \times n} \leq_K K^{n \times n}$. It is easy enough to show, for example, that for positive integers $m \leq m'$, $p \leq p'$, $q \leq q'$ we have a restriction $\langle m, p, q \rangle \leq_K \langle m', p', q' \rangle$, in the sense of [BCS1997, pp. 36-362], which implies that $\mathfrak{R}(\langle m, p, q \rangle) \leq \mathfrak{R}(\langle m', p', q' \rangle)$ (the latter implication is true for all bilinear maps). Another notable property of tensors $\langle m, p, q \rangle$ is invariance of rank under permutations of their components, [BCS1997, pp. 358-359].

Proposition 1 $\mathfrak{R}(\langle m, p, q \rangle) = \mathfrak{R}(\langle \mu(m), \mu(p), \mu(q) \rangle)$, for any permutation $\mu \in \text{Sym}_3$.

A K -algebra A is a vector space A defined over a field K , together with a vector multiplication map $\phi_A : A \times A \rightarrow A$ which is bilinear on A , in the sense described above. The dimension of the algebra A is defined to be its dimension as a vector space. We denote the unit of A by 1_A . A is called *associative* iff ϕ_A is associative, and *commutative* iff ϕ_A is commutative. The rank $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ of A is defined to be the rank $\mathfrak{R}(\phi_A)$ of ϕ_A , and is a bilinear measure of the multiplicative complexity in A . $K^{n \times m}$ is a *matrix algebra* iff $n = m$, and $\langle n, n, n \rangle$ is the bilinear multiplication map $K^{n \times n} \times K^{n \times n} \rightarrow K^{n \times n}$ describing multiplication of $n \times n$ matrices in the algebra $K^{n \times n}$.

1.2 The Exponent ω of Matrix Multiplication For a given field K , the *exponent of matrix multiplication over K* is the real number $\omega(K) > 0$ defined by

$$(1) \quad \omega(K) := \inf \{ h \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid M_K(n) = O(n^h), n \rightarrow \infty \}$$

where $M_K(n)$ denotes the total number of non-division arithmetical operations $\{+, -, \times\}$ needed to multiply two $n \times n$ matrices over K , [BCS1997, p. 375]. The notation $\omega(K)$ is intended to indicate a possible dependency on the ground field K . It has been proved that $\omega(K)$ is unchanged if we replace K by any algebraic extension \overline{K} [BCS1997, p. 383]. It has also been proved that $\omega(K)$ is determined only by the characteristic $\text{Char } K$ of K , such that $\omega(K) = \omega(\mathbb{Q})$ if $\text{Char } K = 0$, and $\omega(K) = \omega(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ otherwise, where \mathbb{Z}_p is the finite field of integers modulo a prime p , of characteristic p [PAN1984]. Since $\text{Char } \mathbb{C} = \text{Char } \mathbb{R} = \text{Char } \mathbb{Q} = 0$, this means that $\omega(\mathbb{C}) = \omega(\mathbb{R}) = \omega(\mathbb{Q})$. In this paper, we denote by ω the exponent $\omega(\mathbb{C})$.

By the standard algorithm for $n \times n$ matrix multiplication, the n^2 entries C_{ik} of an $n \times n$ matrix product $C = AB$ are given by the formula $C_{ik} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} A_{ij} B_{jk}$, for all $1 \leq i, k \leq n$. In using the standard algorithm, we will be using n^3 multiplications, and $n^3 - n^2$ additions of the resulting products, which yields an upper estimate $M_K(n) = 2n^3 - n^2 < 2n^3 = O(n^3)$, i.e. $M_K(n) < C' n^3$ for the constant $C' = 2$, and implies an upper bound of 3 for ω [BCS1997, p. 375]. For the lower bound, we note that since the product of two $n \times n$ matrices consists of n^2 entries, one needs to perform a total number of operations which is *at least* some constant $C \geq 1$ multiple of the n^2 entries, which we denote by $M_K(n) = \Omega(n^2)$, and is equivalent to a lower bound of 2 for ω [BCS1997, p. 375]. The proof that $\omega = 2$ would, of course, imply that $Cn^2 < M_K(n) < C' n^2$ for all n , where $C', C > 1$ are constants independent of n , a state which we

denote by $\Omega(n^2) = M_K(n) = O(n^2)$, also, equivalently, by $M_K(n) = \Theta(n^2)$. Informally, we have proved the following elementary result.

Theorem 2 *For every field K , (1) $2 \leq \omega(K) \leq 3$, and (2) $\omega(K) = h \in [2, 3]$ iff $\Omega(n^2) = M_K(n) = O(n^h)$, where h is minimal.*

1.3 Relations between the Rank and the Exponent ω of Matrix Multiplication The connection between the exponent ω and the concept of rank of matrix multiplication is established by the following result, [BCS1997, pp. 376-377].

Proposition 3 *For every field K*

$$\omega(K) = \inf \{h \in \mathbb{R}^+ \mid \mathfrak{R}(\langle n, n, n \rangle) = O(n^h), n \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

A useful interpretation of the above result is that any given degree of precision $\varepsilon > 0$, with respect to a given field K , there exists a constant $C_{K,\varepsilon} \geq 1$, independent of n , such that $\mathfrak{R}(\langle n, n, n \rangle) \leq C_{K,\varepsilon} n^{\omega(K)+\varepsilon}$ for all n . It is conjectured that $\omega(\mathbb{C}) = 2$. Henceforth, ω shall denote $\omega(\mathbb{C})$ and in the concluding sections we shall describe some important relations between ω and the concept of tensor rank, introduced earlier, which describe the conditions for realizing estimates of ω of varying degrees of sharpness.

We briefly explain Strassen's first important estimate for ω that $\omega < 2.81$, [STR1969, p. 356]. He proved that $\mathfrak{R}(\langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle) \leq 7$, [STR1969, p. 354], (Winograd improved this to $\mathfrak{R}(\langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle) = 7$, [WIN1971, p. 381]), by which one can easily show that $\mathfrak{R}(\langle 2^n, 2^n, 2^n \rangle) \leq \mathfrak{R}(\langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle)^n \leq 7^n$, [BCS1997, pp. 377]. Since for all positive integers $n \geq 2$, $n \leq 2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil} = n + \varepsilon_n$, where $\varepsilon_n > 0$ is a residual depending on n , and $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ denotes the ceiling function for real numbers, one see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{R}(\langle n, n, n \rangle) \\ & \leq \mathfrak{R}(\langle 2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil}, 2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil}, 2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil} \rangle) \\ & \leq \mathfrak{R}(\langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle)^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil} \\ & \leq 7n^{\log_2 7} \approx 7n^{2.807} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\omega < 2.81$ by *Proposition 3*, [BCS1997, p. 378]. Two further relations are of interest.

Proposition 4 *If $\mathfrak{R}(\langle m, p, q \rangle) \leq s$, then $(mpq)^{\frac{s}{3}} \leq s$.*

Since $\mathfrak{R}(\langle m, p, q \rangle)$ is, by definition, a positive integer, and $\mathfrak{R}(\langle m, p, q \rangle) \leq \mathfrak{R}(\langle m, p, q \rangle)$, we deduce that $(mpq)^{\frac{\omega}{3}} \leq \mathfrak{R}(\langle m, p, q \rangle)$, which is equivalent to $\omega \leq \frac{\log \mathfrak{R}(\langle m, p, q \rangle)}{\log (mpq)^{1/3}}$, for any positive integers m, p, q , a fact which has a group-theoretic formulation, [CU2003, [p. 382-383]]. Informally, we can understand mpq to be "size" of $m \times p$ by $p \times q$ matrix multiplication, and $(mpq)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ to be the (geometric) mean of this size. *Proposition 4* has the following generalization.

Proposition 5 *If $\mathfrak{R}\left(\bigoplus_i \langle m_i, p_i, q_i \rangle\right) \leq s$, then $\sum_i (m_i p_i q_i)^{\frac{\omega}{3}} \leq s$.*

This is a formulation in terms of ordinary rank \mathfrak{R} of Schönhage's asymptotic direct sum inequality involving the related but approximative concept of border rank $\underline{\mathfrak{R}}$, which we shall not discuss further [BCS1997, p. 380]. Here, $\bigoplus_i \langle m_i, p_i, q_i \rangle$ is the tensor $\bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}^{m_i \times p_i} \times \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}^{p_i \times q_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathbb{C}^{m_i \times q_i}$ describing multiplication of $\sum_i m_i \times \sum_i p_i$ block diagonal matrices by $\sum_i p_i \times \sum_i q_i$ block diagonal matrices. From *Proposition 5* we can deduce that $\sum_i (m_i p_i q_i)^{\frac{\omega}{3}} \leq \mathfrak{R}\left(\bigoplus_i \langle m_i, p_i, q_i \rangle\right)$, for any finite set of positive integer triples n_i, m_i, p_i . In essence, this means that the complexity of several, simultaneous independent matrix multiplications is at least the sum of the mean sizes of the multiplications to the power ω , a fact which also has a group-theoretic formulation using the notion of simultaneous triple product property, [CUKS2005, p. 446].

2. The Simultaneous Triple Product Property and Realizing Simultaneous Matrix Multiplications via Finite Groups

This is the core part of the paper, where we introduce the basic group-theoretic approach to realizing matrix multiplication and studying its algebraic complexity.

2.1 The Triple Product Property and Realizing Matrix Multiplications via Finite Groups

A (nontrivial) finite group G which has a triple of subsets $S, T, U \subseteq G$ of sizes $|S| = n, |T| = m, |U| = p$, such that $s' s^{-1} t' t^{-1} u' u^{-1} = 1_G \iff s' s^{-1} = t' t^{-1} = u' u^{-1} = 1_G$, for all elements $s', s \in S, t', t \in T, u', u \in U$, realizes multiplication of $n \times m$ by $m \times p$ matrices over \mathbb{C} , in the sense that the entries of a given $n \times m$ complex matrix $A = (A_{i,j})$ and an $m \times p$ matrix $B = (B_{k,l})$, can be indexed by the subsets S, T, U as $A = (A_{s,t})_{s \in S, t \in T}$ and $B = (B_{t',u})_{t' \in T, u \in U}$, then injectively embedded in the regular group algebra

$\mathbb{C}G$ of G as the elements $\overline{A} = \sum_{s \in S, t \in T} A_{s,t} s^{-1} t$ and $\overline{B} = \sum_{t' \in T, u \in U} B_{t',u} t'^{-1} u$, and the matrix product AB can be computed by the rule that the s'', u'' -th entry $(AB)_{s'', u''}$ is the coefficient of the term $s''^{-1} u''$ in the group algebra product $\overline{AB} = \sum_{s \in S, t \in T} \sum_{t' \in T, u \in U} A_{s,t} B_{t',u} s^{-1} t t'^{-1} u$, [CU2003, pp. 382-383]. In this case, by definition, G is said to support $n \times m$ by $m \times p$ matrix multiplication, equivalently, to *realize* the matrix tensor $\langle m, p, q \rangle$, whose "size" we define as nmp , and the subsets S, T, U are said to have the *triple product property* (TPP) in G , [CU2003, ibid.].

2.2 The Simultaneous Triple Product Property and Realizing Simultaneous Matrix Multiplications via Finite Groups We now describe a powerful extension of the TPP. Given a group G , a collection $\{(S_i, T_i, U_i)\}_{i \in I}$ of triples of subsets $S_i, T_i, U_i \subseteq G$, of sizes $|S_i| = m_i, |T_i| = p_i, |U_i| = q_i$ respectively, is said to satisfy the *simultaneous triple product property* (STPP) in G iff for all $s_i s_j^{-1} \in S_i S_j^{-1}, t_j t_k^{-1} \in T_j T_k^{-1}, u_k u_i^{-1} \in U_k U_i^{-1}, i, j, k \in I$ it is the case that

$$s_i s_j^{-1} t_j t_k^{-1} u_k u_i^{-1} = 1_G \implies s_i = s_j, t_j = t_k, u_k = u_i$$

in which case G is said to *simultaneously realize* the corresponding collection $\{\langle m_i, p_i, q_i \rangle\}_{i \in I}$ of tensors through the collection $\{(S_i, T_i, U_i)\}_{i \in I}$, [CUKS2005, pp. 444-445]. For such collections, the TPP becomes a special case of the STPP when $|I| = 1$. The i^{th} tensor $\langle m_i, p_i, q_i \rangle$ in the collection $\{\langle m_i, p_i, q_i \rangle\}_{i \in I}$ is the bilinear map $\mathbb{C}^{m_i \times p_i} \times \mathbb{C}^{p_i \times q_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m_i \times q_i}$ describing multiplication of $m_i \times p_i$ by $p_i \times q_i$ matrices, and the significance of the STPP is that it describes the property of G realizing a collection of tensors $\{\langle m_i, p_i, q_i \rangle\}_{i \in I}$ via a corresponding collection $\{(S_i, T_i, U_i)\}_{i \in I}$ of STPP triples in such a way that $|I|$ simultaneous, independent matrix multiplications can be reduced to one multiplication in its regular group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$, with a complexity not exceeding the rank of the algebra, [CU2005, ibid.].

The following is an elementary result describing extensions of the STPP to direct product groups.

Lemma 6 *If $\{(S_i, T_i, U_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{(S'_{i'}, T'_{i'}, U'_{i'})\}_{i' \in I'}$ are collections of triples satisfying the STPP in groups G and G' , respectively, then $\{(S_i \times S'_{i'}, T_i \times T'_{i'}, U_i \times U'_{i'})\}_{1 \leq i \leq v, 1 \leq i' \leq k'}$ is a collection of $|I| |I'|$ triples satisfying the STPP in the direct product group $G \times G'$.*

Proof. For arbitrary indices $i, j, k \in I$ and $i', j', k' \in I'$, and elements $(s_i, s_{i'}) \in S_i \times S_{i'}$, $(s_j, s_{j'}) \in S_j \times S_{j'}$, $(t_j, t_{j'}) \in T_j \times T_{j'}$, $(t_k, t_{k'}) \in T_k \times T_{k'}$, $(u_k, u_{k'}) \in U_k \times U_{k'}$, $(u_i, u_{i'}) \in U_i \times U_{i'}$, and the assumption of the STPP for the collections $\{(S_i, T_i, U_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{(S_{i'}, T_{i'}, U_{i'})\}_{i' \in I'}$ in G and G' , respectively, it is the case that:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (s_i, s_{i'}) (s_j, s_{j'})^{-1} (t_j, t_{j'}) (t_k, t_{k'})^{-1} (u_k, u_{k'}) (u_i, u_{i'})^{-1} \\
&= (s_i s_j^{-1}, s_{i'} s_{j'}^{-1}) (t_j t_k^{-1}, t_{j'} t_{k'}^{-1}) (u_k u_i^{-1}, u_{k'} u_{i'}^{-1}) \\
&= (s_i s_j^{-1} t_j t_k^{-1} u_k u_i^{-1}, s_{i'} s_{j'}^{-1} t_{j'} t_{k'}^{-1} u_{k'} u_{i'}^{-1}) = (1_G, 1_{G'}) \\
&\implies s_i s_j^{-1} t_j t_k^{-1} u_k u_i^{-1} = 1_G, \quad s_{i'} s_{j'}^{-1} t_{j'} t_{k'}^{-1} u_{k'} u_{i'}^{-1} = 1_{G'} \\
&\implies s_i s_j^{-1} = t_j t_k^{-1} = u_k u_i^{-1} = 1_{G'}, \quad s_{i'} s_{j'}^{-1} = t_{j'} t_{k'}^{-1} = u_{k'} u_{i'}^{-1} = 1_{G'} \\
&(\iff i = j, j = k, k = i, i' = j', j' = k', k' = i').
\end{aligned}$$

■

This has an equivalent formulation in terms of the tensors with respect to the definition of STPP.

Corollary 7 *If groups G and G' simultaneously realize the collections of tensors $\{\langle m_i, p_i, q_i \rangle\}_{i=1}^k$ and $\{\langle m_{i'}, p_{i'}, q_{i'} \rangle\}_{i'=1}^{k'}$, respectively, their direct product $G \times G'$ simultaneously realizes the collection of kk' pointwise product tensors $\left\{ \left\langle m_i m_{i'}, p_i p_{i'}, q_i q_{i'} \right\rangle \right\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ 1 \leq i' \leq k'}}$.*

2.3. Implications for the Exponent ω One of the fundamental results in [CUKS2005] was to describe the exponent ω in terms of the sizes $m_i p_i q_i$ of matrix tensors $\langle m_i, p_i, q_i \rangle$, $i \in I$, realized by groups G and sums $D_r(G) = \sum_{\rho \in \text{Irrep}(G)} d_\rho^r$ of r^{th} powers ($r > 0$) of the dimensions d_ρ of their distinct irreducible representations ρ , [CUKS2005, p. 446].

Theorem 8 *If $\{\langle m_i, p_i, q_i \rangle\}_{i \in I}$ is a collection of $|I|$ tensors simultaneously realized by a group G then*

$$(1) \sum_{i \in I} (m_i p_i q_i)^{\frac{\omega}{3}} \leq D_\omega(G)$$

and if, in addition, G is Abelian then

$$(2) \sum_{i \in I} (m_i p_i q_i)^{\frac{\omega}{3}} \leq |G|.$$

Here, the second part (2) of *Theorem 8* follows from its part (1) by the fact that $d_\rho = 1$ for all $\rho \in \text{Irrep}(G)$ if G is Abelian, in which case $D_r(G) = |G|$ for all $r > 0$. If we take $|I| = k$, and G to be an Abelian group, then we have the following immediate consequence.

Proposition 9 *If $\{\langle n, n, n \rangle\}_{i=1}^k$ is a collection of k identical square tensors $\langle n, n, n \rangle$ simultaneously realized by an Abelian group G then*

$$\omega \leq \frac{\log |G| - \log k}{\log n}.$$

In the group-theoretic analysis of ω the paper [CUKS2005] implied the particular importance of wreath product groups $G \wr \text{Sym}_n$, where Sym_n is the symmetric group (permutation group) on n letters, as expressed by the following fundamental result, *Theorem 7.1* in [CU2005, p. 449].

Theorem 10 *If n triples $S_i, T_i, U_i \subseteq G$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ satisfy the STPP in a group G then the product triple $\prod_{i=1}^n S_i \wr \text{Sym}_n, \prod_{i=1}^n T_i \wr \text{Sym}_n, \prod_{i=1}^n U_i \wr \text{Sym}_n$, satisfies the TPP in the wreath product group $G \wr \text{Sym}_n$.*

The notation here is as follows. $\prod_{i=1}^n S_i \wr \text{Sym}_n = \left\{ s\sigma \mid s \in \prod_{i=1}^n S_i, \sigma \in \text{Sym}_n \right\}$, $\prod_{i=1}^n T_i \wr \text{Sym}_n = \left\{ t\tau \mid t \in \prod_{i=1}^n T_i, \tau \in \text{Sym}_n \right\}$ etc. such that for any $s\sigma \in \prod_{i=1}^n S_i \wr \text{Sym}_n$, $s_i \in S_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ etc. Assuming that the triples in *Theorem 10* are of sizes $|S_i| = m_i$, $|T_i| = p_i$, $|U_i| = q_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and can prove the following result for Abelian groups.

Proposition 11 *If $\{\langle m_i, p_i, q_i \rangle\}_{i=1}^n$ is a collection of n tensors simultaneously realized by an Abelian group H then the product tensor $\left\langle n! \prod_{i=1}^n m_i, n! \prod_{i=1}^n p_i, n! \prod_{i=1}^n q_i \right\rangle$ is realized by $H \wr \text{Sym}_n$ such that*

$$\omega \leq \frac{n \log |H| - \log n!}{\log \sqrt[3]{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i p_i q_i}}.$$

Proof. If n triples $S_i, T_i, U_i \subseteq H$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, of sizes $|S_i| = m_i$, $|T_i| = p_i$, $|U_i| = q_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, satisfy the STPP in a group H then by *Theorem 10* $G \wr \text{Sym}_n$ realizes the product tensor $\left\langle n! \prod_{i=1}^n m_i, n! \prod_{i=1}^n p_i, n! \prod_{i=1}^n q_i \right\rangle$ once such that by part (1) of *Theorem 8*,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(n! \prod_{i=1}^n m_i \cdot n! \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \cdot n! \prod_{i=1}^n q_i \right)^{\frac{\omega}{3}} \\ &= \left(n! \sqrt[3]{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i p_i q_i} \right)^{\omega} \\ &\leq D_{\omega}(H \wr \text{Sym}_n). \end{aligned}$$

If H is Abelian then $D_{\omega}(H \wr \text{Sym}_n) \leq (n!)^{\omega-1} |H|^n$, [CUKS2005, p. 2], which means that

$$\left(n! \sqrt[3]{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i p_i q_i} \right)^{\omega} \leq (n!)^{\omega-1} |H|^n.$$

Taking logarithms, this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \log \left(n! \sqrt[3]{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i p_i q_i} \right) &\leq (\omega - 1) \log n! + n \log |H| \iff \\ \omega \log n! + \omega \log \sqrt[3]{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i p_i q_i} &\leq \omega \log n! - \log n! + n \log |H| \iff \\ \omega &\leq \frac{n \log |H| - \log n!}{\log \sqrt[3]{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i p_i q_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

■

The bound for ω in *Proposition 11* suggests that ω is close to 2 if we could find an Abelian group H simultaneously realizing n tensors $\langle m_i, p_i, q_i \rangle$ such that $\frac{n \log |H| - \log n!}{\log \sqrt[3]{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i p_i q_i}}$ is close to 2, and the following proposition is an obvious extension.

Proposition 12 *Given n triples $S_i, T_i, U_i \subseteq H$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ satisfying the STPP in an Abelian group H , and the corresponding product triple $\prod_{i=1}^n S_i \wr \text{Sym}_n, \prod_{i=1}^n T_i \wr \text{Sym}_n, \prod_{i=1}^n U_i \wr \text{Sym}_n$ satisfying the TPP in the wreath product group $H \wr \text{Sym}_n$, if there are $1 \leq k = k(n) \leq (n!)^3$ triples of permutations, $\sigma_j, \tau_j, \nu_j \in \text{Sym}_n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, such that the k permuted product triples $\prod_{i=1}^n S_{\sigma_j(i)} \wr \text{Sym}_n, \prod_{i=1}^n T_{\tau_j(i)} \wr \text{Sym}_n, \prod_{i=1}^n U_{\nu_j(i)} \wr \text{Sym}_n$*

$Sym_n, \prod_{i=1}^n U_{v_j(i)} \wr Sym_n, 1 \leq j \leq k$, satisfy the STPP in $H \wr Sym_n$, then $H \wr Sym_n$ realizes the square product tensor $\left\langle n! \prod_{i=1}^n m_i, n! \prod_{i=1}^n p_i, n! \prod_{i=1}^n q_i \right\rangle$ k times simultaneously, such that

$$\omega \leq \frac{n \log |H| - \log n! - \log k}{\log \sqrt[3]{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i p_i q_i}}.$$

The proof of this result once again uses the result $D_\omega(G \wr Sym_n) \leq (n!)^{\omega-1} |G|^n$ for an Abelian group G , in combination with *Theorem 8*, as described in the proof of *Proposition 11*. If the $\sigma_j, \tau_j, v_j \in Sym_n, 1 \leq j \leq k$, are taken independently of each other in Sym_n , it appears that the $k = (n!)^3$ triples $\prod_{i=1}^n S_{\sigma(i)} \wr Sym_n, \prod_{i=1}^n T_{\tau(i)} \wr Sym_n, \prod_{i=1}^n U_{v(i)} \wr Sym_n, \sigma, \tau, v \in Sym_n$, will not satisfy the STPP in $H \wr Sym_n$, [UMA2007]. The question is whether there is an appropriate maximum number $k < (n!)^3$ of triples of permutations in Sym_n such that the bound $\omega \leq \frac{n \log |H| - \log n! - \log k}{\log \sqrt[3]{\prod_{i=1}^n m_i p_i q_i}}$ is as tight as possible. It so happens that *Theorem 7.1*, [CUKS2005], is a special case of *Proposition 12* for $k = 1$, except there the group is not required to be Abelian.

3. Estimates for the Exponent ω

Here, we apply the general bounds for ω derived in section 2 to derive a number of specific estimates for ω using the Abelian group $Cyc_n^{\times 3}$.

3.1 The Abelian Group $Cyc_n^{\times 3}$ We denote by $Cyc_n^{\times 3}$ the Abelian group $Cyc_n \times Cyc_n \times Cyc_n$, for which we start with a basic lemma.

Lemma 13 *The Abelian product group $Cyc_n^{\times 3}$ realizes the square tensor $\langle n-1, n-1, n-1 \rangle$ twice simultaneously through the two triples*

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 & : = Cyc_n \setminus \{1\} \times \{1\} \times \{1\}, T_1 := \{1\} \times Cyc_n \setminus \{1\} \times \{1\}, U_1 := \{1\} \times \{1\} \times Cyc_n \setminus \{1\}, \\ S_2 & : = \{1\} \times Cyc_n \setminus \{1\} \times \{1\}, T_2 := \{1\} \times \{1\} \times Cyc_n \setminus \{1\}, U_2 := Cyc_n \setminus \{1\} \times \{1\} \times \{1\}, \end{aligned}$$

which individually satisfy the TPP, and collectively satisfy the STPP.

Proof. For arbitrary elements $(s', 1, 1), (s, 1, 1) \in S_1 = Cyc_n \setminus \{1\} \times \{1\} \times \{1\}$, $(1, t', 1), (1, t, 1) \in T_1 = \{1\} \times Cyc_n \setminus \{1\} \times \{1\}$, $(1, 1, u'), (1, 1, u) \in U = \{1\} \times \{1\} \times Cyc_n \setminus \{1\}$, the condition $(s', 1, 1)(s, 1, 1)^{-1}(1, t', 1)(1, t, 1)^{-1}(1, 1, u')(1, 1, u)^{-1} =$

$(s' s^{-1}, t' t^{-1}, u' u^{-1}) = (1, 1, 1)$ can only occur if $s' = s, t' = t, u' = u$, which implies that $(s', 1, 1) = (s, 1, 1), (1, t', 1) = (1, t, 1), (1, 1, u') = (1, 1, u)$. Thus, (S_1, T_1, U_1) has the triple product property, and we can prove the same for (S_2, T_2, U_2) . Then, we take arbitrary elements $(s', 1, 1) \in S_1, (1, s, 1) \in S_2, (1, t', 1) \in T_1, (1, 1, t) \in T_2, (1, 1, u') \in U_1, (u, 1, 1) \in U_2$. The condition $(s', 1, 1)(1, s, 1)^{-1}(1, t', 1)(1, 1, t)^{-1}(1, 1, u')(u, 1, 1)^{-1} = (u^{-1}s', s^{-1}t', t^{-1}u') = (1, 1, 1)$ can only occur if $u = s', s = t', t = u'$, which implies that $s' = s, t' = t, u' = u$. This satisfies the earlier definition of STPP. ■

3.2 Estimate: $\omega < 2.82$ *via* $Cyc_{16}^{\times 3}$ By *Lemma 13* $Cyc_n^{\times 3}$ realizes the identical tensors $\langle n-1, n-1, n-1 \rangle$ and $\langle n-1, n-1, n-1 \rangle$ simultaneously, and thereby, supports two independent, simultaneous multiplications of square matrices of order $n-1$, and by *Proposition 9*

$$\omega \leq \frac{\log n^3 - \log 2}{\log(n-1)}.$$

The expression $\frac{\log n^3 - \log 2}{\log(n-1)}$ achieves a minimum 2.81553... for $n = 16$.

3.3 Estimate: $\omega < 2.93$ *via* $Cyc_{41}^{\times 3} \wr Sym_2$ By *Lemma 13* $Cyc_n^{\times 3}$ realizes the tensor $\langle n-1, n-1, n-1 \rangle$ 2 times simultaneously. By *Corollary 7* $Cyc_n^{\times 3} \wr Sym_2$ realizes the product tensor $\langle 2(n-1)^2, 2(n-1)^2, 2(n-1)^2 \rangle$ such that

$$\omega \leq \frac{6 \log n - \log 2}{2 \log(n-1)}.$$

The minimum value $\omega \leq 2.92613048...$ is attained for $n = 41$.

3.4 Estimate: $\omega < 2.84$ *via* $(Cyc_{25}^{\times 3})^{\times 25} \wr Sym_{2^{25}}$ From the fact that $Cyc_n^{\times 3}$, which realizes the tensor $\langle n-1, n-1, n-1 \rangle$ 2 times simultaneously, one can deduce, by *Corollary 7*, that $(Cyc_n^{\times 3})^{\times n}$ realizes the pointwise product tensor $\langle (n-1)^n, (n-1)^n, (n-1)^n \rangle$ 2^n times simultaneously. By *Proposition 11*, the group $(Cyc_n^{\times 3})^{\times n} \wr Sym_{2^n}$ (i.e. $((Cyc_n^{\times 3})^{\times n})^{\times 2^n} \rtimes Sym_{2^n}$) realizes the product tensor $\langle 2^n!(n-1)^{2^n n}, 2^n!(n-1)^{2^n n}, 2^n!(n-1)^{2^n n} \rangle$ once such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &\leq \frac{2^n \log n^{3n} - \log 2^n!}{2^n n \log(n-1)} \\ &\sim \frac{3n \log n - n \log 2 + 1}{n \log(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand expression achieves a minimum of 2.8330169... at $n = 25$.

3.5 Estimate: $\omega < \frac{2^{25} \log 25^{75} - \log 2^{25!} - \log k}{2^{25} 25 \log 24} < 2.84$ **for some** $1 \leq k < (2^{25})^3$
Following the pattern of sections 3.2-3.4, an even sharper estimate of the exponent ω can be obtained using $Cyc_n^{\times 3}$, as follows. If in Sym_{2^n} we could find $k < (2^n!)^3$ triples of permutations, $\sigma_j, \tau_j, \nu_j \in Sym_{2^n}$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, such that the k permuted product triples $\prod_{i=1}^n S_{\sigma_j(i)} \wr Sym_{2^n}$, $\prod_{i=1}^n T_{\tau_j(i)} \wr Sym_n$, $\prod_{i=1}^n U_{\nu_j(i)} \wr Sym_n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, satisfy the STPP in $(Cyc_n^{\times 3})^{\times n} \wr Sym_{2^n}$, because then by *Proposition 12*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &\leq \frac{2^n \log n^{3n} - \log 2^{n!} - \log k}{2^n n \log(n-1)} \\ &< \frac{2^n \log n^{3n} - \log 2^{n!}}{2^n n \log(n-1)} \\ &< \frac{2^{25} \log 25^{75} - \log 2^{25!} - \log k}{2^{25} 25 \log 24} \quad (\text{see section 3.2}) \\ &< 2.84. \end{aligned}$$

4. Conclusion

If *Proposition 12* were true for $k = (n!)^3$ then using the example in section 3.4 we would obtain the remarkable result $\omega < 2.02$ for $n = 6$. If there are general conditions needed to be satisfied by the $\sigma_j, \tau_j, \nu_j \in Sym_n$ in order that a maximum number $k < (n!)^3$ triples $\prod_{i=1}^n S_{\sigma_j(i)} \wr Sym_n$, $\prod_{i=1}^n T_{\tau_j(i)} \wr Sym_n$, $\prod_{i=1}^n U_{\nu_j(i)} \wr Sym_n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, satisfy the STPP in $H \wr Sym_n$, where H is Abelian with an STPP family $\{(S_i, T_i, U_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ then we could determine k for any given n , and improve the bound for ω as described in *Proposition 12*. k could also be increased by choosing appropriate STPP triples S_i, T_i, U_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, in an Abelian group, [COH2007], and then choosing appropriate triples of permutations $\sigma_j, \tau_j, \nu_j \in Sym_n$.

References

1. [BCS1997], Bürgisser, P., Clausen, M., & Shokrollahi, A., *Algebraic Complexity Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
2. [COH2007], Cohn, H., 'Group-theoretic Algorithms for Matrix Multiplication', (private email, 30/03/2007).
3. [CUKS2005], Cohn, H., Umans, C., Kleinberg, R., Szegedy, B., 'Group-theoretic Algorithms for Matrix Multiplication', *Proceedings of the 46th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science 2005*, IEEE Computer Society, 2005, pp. 438–449, arXiv:math.GR/0511460.
4. [CU2003], Cohn, H. & Umans, C., 'A Group-theoretic Approach to Fast Matrix Multiplication', *Proceedings of the 44th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science 2003*, IEEE Computer Society, 2003, pp. 379–388, arXiv:math.GR/0307321.
5. [PAN1984], Pan, V., 'How can we Speed up Matrix Multiplication', *SIAM Review*, 26:3, 1984, pp. 393-415.
6. [STR1969], Strassen, V., 'Gaussian Elimination is not Optimal', *Numerische Mathematik*, 13, 1969, pp. 354–356.
7. [UMA2007], Umans, C., 'Group-theoretic Algorithms for Matrix Multiplication', (private email, 22/03/2007).
8. [WIN1971], Winograd, S., 'On Multiplication of 2×2 Matrices', *Linear Algebra and Applications*, 4:?, 1971, pp. 381-388.