

Compressing Probability Distributions

Travis Gagie

Department of Computer Science

University of Toronto

Key words: Data compression

1 Introduction

Manipulating probability distributions is central to data compression, so it is natural to ask how well we can compress probability distributions themselves. For example, this is useful for probabilistic reasoning [2] and query optimization [6]. Our interest in it stems from designing single-round asymmetric communication protocols [1,4]. Suppose a server with high bandwidth wants to help a client with low bandwidth send it a message; the server knows the distribution from which the message is drawn but the client does not. If the distribution compresses well, then the server can just send that; conversely, if the server can help the client in just one round of communication and without sending too many bits, then the distribution compresses well — we can view the server’s transmission as encoding it.

Compressing probability distributions must be lossy, in general, and it is not

always obvious how to measure fidelity. In this paper we measure fidelity using relative entropy because, in the asymmetric communication example above, the relative entropy is roughly how many more bits we expect the client to send with the server's help than if it knew the distribution itself. Let $P = p_1, \dots, p_n$ and $Q = q_1, \dots, q_n$ be probability distributions over the same set. Then the *relative entropy* [9] of P with respect to Q is defined as

$$D(P\|Q) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}.$$

By \log we mean \log_2 . Despite sometimes being called Kullback-Leibler distance, relative entropy is not a true distance metric: it is not symmetric and does not satisfy the triangle inequality. However, it is widely used in mathematics, physics and computer science as a measure of how well Q approximates P [3].

We consider probability distributions simply as sequences of non-negative numbers that sum to 1; that is, we do not consider how to store the sample space. In Section 2 we show how, given a probability distribution $P = p_1, \dots, p_n$, we can construct a probability distribution $Q = q_1, \dots, q_n$ with $D(P\|Q) < 2$ and store Q exactly in $2n - 2$ bits of space. Constructing, storing and recovering Q each take $O(n)$ time. We also show how to trade compression for fidelity and vice versa. Finally, in Section 3, we show how to store a compressed probability distribution and query individual probabilities without decompressing it.

2 An Algorithm for Compressing Probability Distributions

The simplest way to compress a probability distribution P is to construct and store a Huffman tree [5] for it. This lets us recover a probability distribution Q with $D(P||Q) < 1$ [10,14] but takes both $\Omega(n \log n)$ time and $\Omega(n \log n)$ bits of space. In this section, we show how to use the following theorem, due to Mehlhorn [11], to compress P by representing it as a strict ordered binary tree. A strict ordered binary tree is one in which each node is either a leaf or has both a left child and a right child. We show how to trade compression for fidelity, by applying this result repeatedly, or trade fidelity for compression, using another approach.

Theorem 1 (Mehlhorn, 1977) *Given a probability distribution $P = p_1, \dots, p_n$, we can construct a strict ordered binary tree on n leaves that, from left to right, have depths less than $\log(1/p_1) + 2, \dots, \log(1/p_n) + 2$. This takes $O(n)$ time.*

PROOF SKETCH. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let

$$S_i = \frac{p_i}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} p_j .$$

Consider the code in which the i th codeword is the first $\lceil \log(2/p_i) \rceil$ bits of the binary expansion of S_i ; these bits suffice to distinguish S_i , so the code is prefix-free. Notice the i th leaf of the corresponding code-tree has depth less than $\log(1/p_i) + 2$. \square

Once we have used Theorem 1 to get a strict ordered binary tree T , we store T . It is important that T be ordered; otherwise, it would only store information about the multiset $\{p_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, rather than the sequence P , so we would also need a permutation on n elements, which takes $\Theta(n \log n)$ bits.

Theorem 2 *Given a probability distribution $P = p_1, \dots, p_n$, we can construct a probability distribution $Q = q_1, \dots, q_n$ with $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{p_i/q_i\} < 4$, so $D(P\|Q) < 2$, and store Q exactly in $2n - 2$ bits of space. Constructing, storing and recovering Q each take $O(n)$ time.*

PROOF. We apply Theorem 1 to P to get a strict ordered binary tree T on n leaves that, from left to right, have depths d_1, \dots, d_n with $d_i < \log(1/p_i) + 2$. We store T in $2n - 2$ bits of space, represented as a sequence of balanced parentheses.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let

$$q_i = \frac{2^{-d_i}}{\sum_j 2^{-d_j}}.$$

Since T is strict, by the Kraft Inequality [8],

$$\sum_{j=1}^n 2^{-d_j} = 1;$$

thus, $q_i = 2^{-d_i} > p_i/4$. \square

Using Theorem 2 as a starting point, we can improve fidelity at the cost of using more space. One approach is given below; we leave as future work finding better tradeoffs.

Theorem 3 *Given a probability distribution $P = p_1, \dots, p_n$ and an integer $k \geq 2$, we can construct a probability distribution $Q = q_1, \dots, q_n$ with $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{p_i/q_i\} < 2 + \frac{1}{2^{k-3}}$, so $D(P\|Q) < \log\left(2 + \frac{1}{2^{k-3}}\right)$, and store Q exactly in $kn - 2$ bits of space. Constructing, storing and recovering Q each take $O(kn)$ time.*

PROOF. By induction on k . By Theorem 2, the claim is true for $k = 2$. Let $k \geq 3$ and assume the claim is true for $k - 1$.

Let $Q' = q'_1, \dots, q'_n$ be the probability distribution we construct when given P and $k - 1$. Let $B = b_1 \cdots b_n$ be the binary string with $b_i = 1$ if $p_i/q'_i \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2^{k-3}}$ and $b_i = 0$ otherwise. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let

$$q_i = \begin{cases} \frac{2q'_i}{\sum_{b_i=1} 2q'_i + \sum_{b_i=0} q'_i} & \text{if } b_i = 1, \text{ and} \\ \frac{q'_i}{\sum_{b_i=1} 2q'_i + \sum_{b_i=0} q'_i} & \text{if } b_i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice we can store Q exactly in $kn - 2$ bits of space, using $(k - 1)n - 2$ bits for Q' and n bits for B . Also,

$$\sum_{b_i=1} 2q'_i + \sum_{b_i=0} q'_i = \sum_{i=1}^n q'_i + \sum_{b_i=1} q'_i \leq 1 + \sum_{b_i=1} \frac{p_i}{1 + 1/2^{k-3}} \leq \frac{2^{k-2} + 1}{2^{k-3} + 1}.$$

If $b_i = 1$, then $q_i \geq 2q'_i \cdot \frac{2^{k-3}+1}{2^{k-2}+1}$. Since, by assumption, $q'_i > \frac{p_i}{2+1/2^{k-4}}$, we have

$$q_i > \frac{2p_i}{2+1/2^{k-4}} \cdot \frac{2^{k-3}+1}{2^{k-2}+1}$$

and so $p_i/q_i < 2 + \frac{1}{2^{k-3}}$. If $b_i = 0$, then $q'_i > \frac{p_i}{1+1/2^{k-3}}$. Since $q_i \geq q'_i \cdot \frac{2^{k-3}+1}{2^{k-2}+1}$, we have

$$q_i > \frac{p_i}{1+1/2^{k-3}} \cdot \frac{2^{k-3}+1}{2^{k-2}+1}$$

and so $p_i/q_i < 2 + \frac{1}{2^{k-3}}$.

By assumption, constructing Q' takes $O((k-1)n)$ time and constructing B and Q from Q' takes $O(n)$ time. Thus, constructing, storing and recovering Q each take $O(kn)$ time. \square

It may be possible to strengthen Theorem 2 using results about alphabetic Huffman codes (e.g., [13]). We base it on Theorem 1 for two reasons: Mehlhorn's construction takes $O(n)$ time, whereas known algorithms for constructing alphabetic Huffman codes take $\Omega(n \log n)$ time [7], and the guarantee that $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{p_i/q_i\} < 4$ makes the proof of Theorem 3 cleaner.

Using a different approach, we can also reduce the space used at the cost of reducing fidelity.

Theorem 4 *Given a probability distribution $P = p_1, \dots, p_n$ and $c \geq 1$, we can construct a probability distribution $Q = q_1, \dots, q_n$ with $D(P||Q) \leq c$.*

$H(P) + \log(\pi^2/3)$ and store Q exactly in at most $\lfloor n^{1/(c+1)} \rfloor (\lfloor \log n \rfloor + 1)$ bits of space. Constructing, storing and recovering Q each take $O(n)$ time.

PROOF. Let $t \leq \lfloor n^{1/(c+1)} \rfloor$ be the number of probabilities in P that are at least $\frac{1}{n^{1/(c+1)}}$. Let r_1, \dots, r_t be such that p_{r_j} is the j th largest probability in P , and let $R = \{r_1, \dots, r_t\}$. Thus,

$$p_{r_1} \geq \dots \geq p_{r_t} \geq \frac{1}{n^{1/(c+1)}} > \max_{i \notin R} \{p_i\}.$$

Computing the set R takes $O(n)$ time and sorting it takes $O(n^{1/(c+1)} \log n) \subset O(n)$ time. For $1 \leq j \leq t$, let $q_{r_j} = 3/(\pi j)^2$; since

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6},$$

we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^t q_{r_j} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

For $i \notin R$, let

$$q_i = \frac{1 - \sum_{j=1}^t 3/(\pi j)^2}{n - t} > \frac{1}{2n}.$$

Storing Q as the binary representations of r_1, \dots, r_t takes at most $\lfloor n^{1/(c+1)} \rfloor (\lfloor \log n \rfloor + 1)$ bits of space and $O(n)$ time.

For $1 \leq j \leq t$, since p_{r_j} is the j th largest probability in P , we have $p_{r_j} \leq 1/j$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
H(P) &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log \frac{1}{p_i} \\
&\geq \sum_{j=1}^t p_{r_j} \log j + \sum_{i \notin R} p_i \log n^{1/(c+1)} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^t p_{r_j} \log j + \frac{\sum_{i \notin R} p_i \log n}{c+1} .
\end{aligned}$$

Compare this with

$$\begin{aligned}
D(P\|Q) &= \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^t p_{r_j} \log \frac{1}{q_{r_j}} + \sum_{i \notin R} p_i \log \frac{1}{q_i} - H(P) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^t p_{r_j} \log \frac{(\pi j)^2}{3} + \sum_{i \notin R} p_i \log(2n) - H(P) \\
&= 2 \sum_{j=1}^t p_{r_j} \log j + \sum_{i \notin R} p_i \log n + \log \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) \sum_{j=1}^t p_{r_j} + \sum_{i \notin R} p_i - H(P) \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^t p_{r_j} \log j + \sum_{i \notin R} p_i \log n + \log \frac{\pi^2}{3} - H(P) .
\end{aligned}$$

Since $c \geq 1$,

$$\frac{D(P\|Q) - \log(\pi^2/3)}{H(P)} + 1 \leq \frac{2 \sum_{j=1}^t p_{r_j} \log j + \sum_{i \notin R} p_i \log n}{\sum_{j=1}^t p_{r_j} \log j + \frac{\sum_{i \notin R} p_i \log n}{c+1}} \leq c + 1 ;$$

that is, $D(P\|Q) \leq c \cdot H(P) + \log(\pi^2/3)$. \square

If space is at a premium, we may need to work with Q without decompressing it. Notice we can do this by storing $\{(r_1, 1), \dots, (r_t, t)\}$ in order by first

component, which takes at most

$$\lfloor n^{1/(c+1)} \rfloor \left(\lfloor \log n \rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{\log n}{c+1} \right\rfloor + 2 \right)$$

bits of space. Given i between 1 and n , we can compute

$$q_i = \begin{cases} 3/(\pi j)^2 & \text{if } i = r_j, \\ \frac{1 - \sum_{j=1}^t 3/(\pi j)^2}{n-t} & \text{if } i \notin \{r_1, \dots, r_t\} \end{cases}$$

in $O(\log t) \subseteq O(\log(n)/c)$ time.

3 A Data Structure for Compressed Probability Distributions

In this section, we show how to work with a probability distribution compressed with Theorem 2 without decompressing it, using a succinct data structure due to Munro and Raman [12]. This data structure stores a strict ordered binary tree on n leaves in $2n + o(n)$ bits of space and supports queries that, given a node, return its parent, left child, right child and number of descendants. Each of these queries takes $O(1)$ time. Notice that, given i between 1 and n , we can find the depth d of the i th leaf in $O(d)$ time.

Theorem 5 *Given a probability distribution $P = p_1, \dots, p_n$, we can construct a data structure that uses $2n + o(n)$ bits of space and supports a query that, given i between 1 and n , returns q_i in $O(\log(1/q_i))$ time. Here, $Q = q_1, \dots, q_n$*

is a probability distribution with $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{p_i/q_i\} < 4$, so $D(P\|Q) < 2$ and $O(\log(1/q_i)) \subseteq O(\log(1/p_i))$.

PROOF. As for Theorem 2, but with the sequence of balanced parentheses replaced by an instance of Munro and Raman's data structure. \square

A drawback to Theorem 5 is that querying a very small probability might take $\Theta(n)$ time. We can fix this by smoothing the given probability distribution slightly.

Theorem 6 *Given a probability distribution $P = p_1, \dots, p_n$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we can construct a data structure that uses $2n + o(n)$ bits of space and supports a query that, given i between 1 and n , returns q_i in $O(\log(1/q_i))$ time. Here, $Q = q_1, \dots, q_n$ is a probability distribution with $D(P\|Q) < 2 + \epsilon$ and $\log(1/q_i) \in O(\log \min(1/p_i, n/\epsilon))$.*

PROOF. Let $P' = p'_1, \dots, p'_n$, where

$$p'_i = \frac{p_i}{1 + \epsilon/4} + \frac{\epsilon/4}{(1 + \epsilon/4)n}.$$

We apply Theorem 5 to P' ; let Q be the stored distribution. Notice

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{p_i}{q_i} \right\} \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{p_i}{p'_i} \right\} \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{p'_i}{q_i} \right\} \leq \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \right) \cdot 4 = 4 + \epsilon.$$

Since log is convex, it follows that $D(P\|Q) < 2 + \epsilon$. Since

$$q_i > \max\left(\frac{p_i}{4 + \epsilon}, \frac{\epsilon}{4n}\right),$$

we have $\log(1/q_i) \in O(\log \min(1/p_i, n/\epsilon))$. \square

References

- [1] M. Adler and B. M. Maggs. Protocols for asymmetric communication channels. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 63:573–596, 2001.
- [2] D. Bellot and P. Bessi ere. Approximate discrete probability distribution representation using a multi-resolution binary tree. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence*, pages 498–503, 2003.
- [3] T. Cover and J. Thomas. *Elements of Information Theory*. Wiley, 1991.
- [4] T. Gagie. Dynamic asymmetric communication. Submitted.
- [5] D. A. Huffman. A method for the construction of minimum redundancy codes. *Proceedings of the IERE*, 40(9):1098–1101, 1952.
- [6] H.V. Jagadish, N. Koudas, S. Muthukrishnan, V. Poosala, K. Sevcik, and T. Suel. Optimal histograms with quality guarantees. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on Very Large Databases*, pages 275–286, 1998.
- [7] M. M. Klawe and B. Mumey. Upper and lower bounds on constructing alphabetic binary trees. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 8:638–651,

1995.

- [8] L. G. Kraft. A device for quantizing, grouping, and coding amplitude-modulated pulses. Master's thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1949.
- [9] S. Kullback and R. A. Leibler. On information and sufficiency. *Annals of Mathematics and Statistics*, 22:79–86, 1951.
- [10] G. Longo and G. Galasso. An application of informational divergence to Huffman codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 28(1):36–43, 1982.
- [11] K. Mehlhorn. A best possible bound for the weighted path length of binary search trees. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 6:235–239, 1977.
- [12] J.I. Munro and V. Raman. Succinct representation of balanced parentheses and static trees. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 31:762–776, 2001.
- [13] D. Sheinwald. On binary alphabetical codes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Data Compression Conference*, pages 112–121, 1992.
- [14] C. Ye and R.W. Yeung. A simple upper bound on the redundancy of Huffman codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 48(7):2132–2138, 2002.