

# Characterisations of Intersection Graphs by Vertex Orderings<sup>\*</sup>

David R. Wood

School of Computer Science  
Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada

Department of Applied Mathematics  
Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

davidw@scs.carleton.ca

June 25, 2018

## Abstract

Characterisations of interval graphs, comparability graphs, co-comparability graphs, permutation graphs, and split graphs in terms of linear orderings of the vertex set are presented. As an application, it is proved that interval graphs, co-comparability graphs, AT-free graphs, and split graphs have bandwidth bounded by their maximum degree.

## 1 Introduction

We consider finite, simple and undirected graphs  $G$  with vertex set  $V(G)$ , edge set  $E(G)$ , and maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$ . The *complement* of  $G$  is the graph  $\overline{G}$  with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $\{vw : v, w \in V(G), vw \notin E(G)\}$ . A *vertex ordering* of  $G$  is a total order  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  of  $V(G)$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a finite family of sets. The *intersection graph* of  $\mathcal{S}$  has vertex set  $\mathcal{S}$  and edge set  $\{AB : A, B \in \mathcal{S}, A \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ . This paper presents characterisations of a number of popular intersection graphs in terms of vertex orderings.

In a vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  of a graph  $G$ , the *width* of an edge  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$  is  $|i - j|$ . The maximum width of an edge is the *width* of the ordering. The *bandwidth* of  $G$  is the minimum width of a vertex ordering of  $G$ . Bandwidth is a ubiquitous concept with numerous applications (see [2]). Obviously the bandwidth of  $G$  is at least  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta(G)$ . As an application of our results, we prove upper bounds on the bandwidth of many intersection graphs  $G$  in terms of  $\Delta(G)$ .

---

<sup>\*</sup>Research supported by NSERC and COMBSTRU.

## 2 Interval Graphs

An *interval graph* is the intersection graph of a finite set of closed intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We have the following characterisation of interval graphs.

**Theorem 1.** *A graph  $G$  is an interval graph if and only if  $G$  has a vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  such that*

$$\forall i < j < k, v_i v_k \in E(G) \Rightarrow v_i v_j \in E(G) . \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  be a vertex ordering of  $G$  satisfying (1). For each vertex  $v_i$ , associate the interval  $[i, r(i)]$ , where  $v_{r(i)}$  is the rightmost neighbour of  $v_i$ . For every edge  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$  with  $i < j$ ,  $j \in [i, r(i)] \cap [j, r(j)]$ . For every non-edge  $v_i v_j \in E(\overline{G})$  with  $i < j$ , we have  $r(i) < j$  by (1), and  $[i, r(i)] \cap [j, r(j)] = \emptyset$ . Hence the intersection graph of  $\{[i, r(i)] : v_i \in V(G)\}$  is  $G$ .

Let  $G$  be an interval graph. It is well known that we can assume that the endpoints of the intervals are distinct. Let  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  be a vertex ordering of  $G$  determined by increasing values of the left endpoints of the intervals. For all  $i < j < k$ , the left endpoint of  $v_j$  is between the left endpoints of  $v_i$  and  $v_k$ . If  $v_i v_k \in E(G)$  then the right endpoint of  $v_i$  is to the right of the left endpoint of  $v_k$ . Thus the left endpoint of  $v_j$  is in the interval for  $v_i$ . Hence  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ , as claimed.  $\square$

As far as we are aware, Theorem 1 has not appeared in the literature, although similar results are known. For example, Gilmore and Hoffman [10] proved that  $G$  is an interval graph if and only if there is an ordering of the maximal cliques of  $G$  such that for each vertex  $v$ , the maximal cliques containing  $v$  appear consecutively.

Theorem 1 implies the following result of Fomin and Golovach [8].

**Corollary 1 ([8]).** *Every interval graph  $G$  has bandwidth at most  $\Delta(G)$ .*

*Proof.* In the vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  from Theorem 1, the width of an edge  $v_i v_k \in E(G)$  is  $|\{v_i v_j \in E(G) : i < j \leq k\}| \leq \deg(v_i) \leq \Delta(G)$ .  $\square$

A *proper interval graph* is the intersection graph of a finite set  $\mathcal{S}$  of closed intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $A \not\subset B$  for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ . The following characterisation is due to Looges and Olariu [15] (see [3]).

**Theorem 2 ([15]).** *A graph  $G$  is a proper interval graph if and only if  $G$  has a vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  such that,*

$$\forall i < j < k, v_i v_k \in E(G) \Rightarrow v_i v_j \in E(G) \wedge v_j v_k \in E(G) . \quad (2)$$

It is easily seen that the bandwidth of a proper interval graph is one less than the maximum clique size. Moreover, Kaplan and Shamir [12] proved that the bandwidth of any graph  $G$  equals the minimum, taken over all proper interval supergraphs  $G'$  of  $G$ , of the bandwidth of  $G'$ .

### 3 Comparability Graphs

Let  $(P, \preceq)$  be a poset. The *comparability graph* of  $(P, \preceq)$  has vertex set  $P$ , and distinct elements are adjacent if and only if they are comparable under  $\preceq$ . We have the following characterisation of comparability graphs.

**Theorem 3.** *The following are equivalent for a graph  $G$ :*

- (a)  $G$  is a comparability graph,
- (b)  $G$  has a vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  such that,

$$\forall i < j < k, v_i v_j \in E(G) \wedge v_j v_k \in E(G) \Rightarrow v_i v_k \in E(G) . \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be the comparability graph of a poset  $(V(G), \preceq)$ . A linear extension of  $\preceq$  satisfies (3). Given a vertex ordering that satisfies (3), define  $v_i \prec v_j$  whenever  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$  and  $i < j$ . Thus  $(V(G), \preceq)$  is a poset, and  $G$  is a comparability graph.  $\square$

A *co-comparability graph* is a compliment of a comparability graph. As illustrated in Figure 1, a *function diagram* is a set  $\{c_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ , where for each  $c_i$  is a curve  $\{(x, f_i(x)) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$  for some function  $f_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If each  $c_i$  is a line segment we say  $\{c_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is *linear*.




Figure 1: A vertex ordering of the intersection graph of a function diagram.

**Theorem 4.** *The following are equivalent for a graph  $G$ :*

- (a)  $G$  is a co-comparability graph,
- (b)  $G$  is the intersection graph of a function diagram, and
- (c)  $G$  has a vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  such that,

$$\forall i < j < k, v_i v_k \in E(G) \Rightarrow v_i v_j \in E(G) \vee v_j v_k \in E(G) . \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* Kratochvíl *et al.* [14] and Golumbic *et al.* [11] independently proved that (a) and (b) are equivalent.

We now prove that (c) implies (a). Suppose that  $G$  has a vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  satisfying (4). Define  $v_i \prec v_j$  if  $i < j$  and  $v_i v_j \notin E(G)$ . Obviously  $\prec$  is antisymmetric. Suppose  $v_i \prec v_j$  and  $v_j \prec v_k$ . Then  $i < k$  and  $v_i v_k \notin E(G)$ , as otherwise (4) fails. That

is,  $v_i \prec v_k$ . Thus  $\prec$  is transitive, and  $(V(G), \preceq)$  is a poset, whose comparability graph is  $\overline{G}$ . Therefore  $G$  is a co-comparability graph.

We now prove that (b) implies (c). Let  $G$  be the intersection graph of a function diagram  $\{c_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  with corresponding functions  $\{f_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . Re-index so that  $f_i(0) \leq f_{i+1}(0)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Associate a vertex  $v_i$  with each function  $f_i$ . Consider an edge  $v_i v_k \in E(G)$  and a vertex  $v_j$  with  $i < j < k$ . There is a region  $S$  bounded by  $c_i, c_k$ , and the line  $X = 0$ , such that  $c_j$  intersects the closed interior of  $S$  and the closed exterior of  $S$ . Thus  $c_j$  intersects the boundary of  $S$ . Since  $f_j$  is a function on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $c_j$  intersects the boundary of  $S$  at a point on  $c_i$  or  $c_k$ . Thus  $c_i \cap c_j \neq \emptyset$  or  $c_j \cap c_k \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $v_i v_k \in E(G)$  or  $v_j v_k \in E(G)$ . That is, the vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  satisfies (4). Note that we could have ordered the vertices with respect to any fixed value of  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ , and in general, there are many vertex orderings that satisfy (4).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Every co-comparability graph  $G$  has bandwidth at most  $2\Delta(G) - 1$ .*

*Proof.* In the vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  from Theorem 4, the width of an edge  $v_i v_k \in E(G)$  is at most  $|\{v_i v_j \in E(G) : i < j < k\}| + |\{v_j v_k \in E(G) : i < j < k\}| + 1 \leq (\deg(v_i) - 1) + (\deg(v_k) - 1) + 1 \leq 2\Delta(G) - 1$ .  $\square$

It is interesting to ask whether Corollary 2 is tight. It is easily seen that the complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$ , which is a co-comparability graph with maximum degree  $n$ , has bandwidth  $3n/2$ .

Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\pi^{-1}(i)$  denote the position of  $i$  in  $\pi$ . The *permutation graph* associated with  $\pi$  has vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and edge set  $\{v_i v_j : (i - j)(\pi^{-1}(i) - \pi^{-1}(j)) < 0\}$ . The following characterisations of permutation graphs can be derived from results of Dushnik and Miller [6] and Baker *et al.* [1]. Part (e) is proved as in Theorems 3 and 4.

**Theorem 5 ([1, 6]).** *The following are equivalent for a graph  $G$ :*

- (a)  $G$  is a permutation graph,
- (b)  $G$  is the intersection graph of a linear function diagram,
- (c)  $G$  is a comparability graph and a co-comparability graph,
- (d)  $G$  is the comparability graph of a two-dimensional poset,
- (e)  $G$  has a vertex ordering that simultaneously satisfies (3) and (4).

## 4 AT-free Graphs

An *asteroidal triple* in a graph consists of an independent set of three vertices such that each pair is joined by a path that avoids the neighbourhood of the third. A graph is *asteroidal triple-free (AT-free)* if it contains no asteroidal triples.

**Lemma 1.** *Every AT-free graph  $G$  has bandwidth at most  $3\Delta(G)$ .*

*Proof.* A *caterpillar* is a tree for which a path (called the spine) is obtained by deleting all the leaves. Let  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m)$  be the spine of a caterpillar  $T$ . The vertex ordering of  $T$  obtained by inserting the leaves adjacent to each  $v_i$  immediately after  $v_i$  has bandwidth at most  $\Delta(T)$ .

Kloks *et al.* [13] proved that every (connected) AT-free graph  $G$  has a spanning caterpillar subgraph  $T$ , and adjacent vertices in  $G$  are at distance at most four in  $T$ . Moreover, for any edge  $vw \in E(G)$  with  $v$  and  $w$  at distance four in  $T$ , both  $v$  and  $w$  are leaves of  $T$ . Consider the above vertex ordering of  $T$  to be a vertex ordering of  $G$ . The bandwidth is at most  $3\Delta(T) \leq 3\Delta(G)$ .  $\square$

## 5 Chordal Graphs

A *chord* of a cycle  $C$  is an edge not in  $C$  connecting two vertices in  $C$ . A graph is *chordal* if every induced cycle on at least four vertices has at least one chord. The following famous characterisation of chordal graphs is due to Dirac [5], Fulkerson and Gross [9], and Rose [16].

**Theorem 6** ([5, 9, 16]). *The following are equivalent for a graph  $G$ :*

- (a)  $G$  is a chordal,
- (b)  $G$  is the intersection graph of subtrees of a tree, and
- (c)  $G$  has a vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  such that,

$$\forall i < j < k, v_i v_j \in E(G) \wedge v_i v_k \in E(G) \Rightarrow v_j v_k \in E(G) . \quad (5)$$

A striking generalisation of Theorem 6 for  $k$ -chordal graphs is given by Dendriss *et al.* [4]. A vertex ordering satisfying (5) is called a *perfect elimination* vertex ordering. It is not possible to bound the bandwidth of every chordal graph  $G$  in terms of  $\Delta(G)$ . For example, the bandwidth of the complete binary tree on  $n$  vertices is  $\approx n/\log n$  [17].

A graph  $G$  is a *split graph* if  $V(G) = K \cup I$ , where  $K$  induces a complete graph of  $G$ , and  $I$  is an independent set of  $G$ .

**Theorem 7.** *The following are equivalent for a graph  $G$ :*

- (a)  $G$  is a split graph,
- (b)  $G$  is chordal and  $\overline{G}$  is chordal,
- (c)  $G$  has a vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  simultaneously satisfying (5) and

$$\forall i < j < k, v_i v_j \in E(G) \Rightarrow v_j v_k \in E(G) \vee v_i v_k \in E(G) , \quad (6)$$

- (d)  $G$  has a vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  such that,

$$\forall i < j < k, v_i v_j \in E(G) \Rightarrow v_j v_k \in E(G) . \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* Földes and Hammer [7] proved that (a) and (b) are equivalent.

Observe that (d) implies (c) trivially. We now prove that (a) implies (d). Let  $G$  be a split graph with  $V(G) = K \cup I$ , where  $K$  induces a complete subgraph and  $I$  is an

independent set. Let  $m = |I|$ . Consider a vertex ordering  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  of  $G$  where  $I = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$  and  $K = \{v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, \dots, v_n\}$ . Suppose that  $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$  and  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ . There is no edge with both endpoints in  $I$ . Thus  $j \geq m + 1$ , and both  $v_j, v_k \in K$ . Hence  $v_j v_k \in E(G)$ , and  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  satisfies (7).

It remains to prove that (c) implies (b). Let  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  be a vertex ordering of a graph  $G$  satisfying (5) and (6). By Theorem 6,  $G$  is chordal. Equation (6) is equivalent to:

$$\forall i < j < k, v_j v_k \in E(\overline{G}) \wedge v_i v_k \in E(\overline{G}) \Rightarrow v_i v_j \in E(\overline{G}) . \quad (8)$$

That is,  $(v_n, v_{n-1}, \dots, v_1)$  is a perfect elimination vertex ordering of  $\overline{G}$ . By Theorem 6,  $\overline{G}$  is chordal.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Every split graph  $G$  has bandwidth at most  $\Delta(G) (\Delta(G) + 2)$ . For all  $\Delta \geq 2$  there is a split graph  $G$  with  $\Delta(G) = \Delta$ , and  $G$  has bandwidth at least  $\Delta(G)^2/12$ .*

*Proof.* First we prove the upper bound. Let  $G$  be a split graph with  $V(G) = K \cup I$ , where  $K$  induces a complete subgraph, and  $I$  is an independent set. The result is trivial if  $K = \emptyset$ . Now assume that  $K \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $I_0$  be the set of isolated vertices in  $G$ . Consider a vertex ordering  $\pi$  in which the vertices in  $I_0$  precede all other vertices. Let  $I_1 = I \setminus I_0$ . Regardless of the order of  $I_1 \cup K$ , the bandwidth of  $\pi$  is at most  $|I_1| + |K| - 1$ . Thus it suffices to prove that  $|I_1| + |K| \leq \Delta(G) (\Delta(G) + 2) + 1$ .

If  $I_1 = \emptyset$  then  $\pi$  has bandwidth  $\Delta(G)$ . Now assume that  $I_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a$  be the average degree of vertices in  $I_1$ . Thus  $1 \leq a \leq |K|$ . For each vertex  $v \in K$ , let  $b_v = \deg(v) - |K| + 1$ . That is,  $b_v$  is the number of edges between  $v$  and  $I_1$ . Let  $b = \sum_{v \in K} b_v / |K|$ . Thus  $a|I_1| = b|K|$ , which implies that

$$|I_1| + |K| = \frac{b|K|}{a} + |K| = \frac{(b+a)|K|}{a} .$$

Now  $\Delta(G)$  is at least the average degree of the vertices in  $K$ . That is,  $\Delta(G) \geq |K| - 1 + b$ . Hence

$$\frac{|I_1| + |K|}{(\Delta(G) + 1)^2} \leq \frac{(b+a)|K|}{a(|K| + b)^2} .$$

Since  $a \leq |K|$ ,

$$\frac{|I_1| + |K|}{(\Delta(G) + 1)^2} \leq \frac{|K|}{a(|K| + b)} .$$

Since  $a \geq 1$  and  $b \geq 0$ ,

$$|I_1| + |K| \leq (\Delta(G) + 1)^2 = \Delta(G) (\Delta(G) + 2) + 1 ,$$

as required.

Now we prove the lower bound. Given  $\Delta$ , let  $n = \lfloor \Delta/2 \rfloor$ . Let  $G$  be the split graph with  $V(G) = K \cup I$ , where  $K$  is a complete graph on  $n$  vertices, and  $I$  is an independent set on  $n(\Delta - n + 1)$  vertices, such that every vertex in  $K$  is adjacent to  $\Delta - n + 1$  vertices in  $I$ , and every vertex in  $I$  is adjacent to exactly one vertex in  $K$ . Clearly  $G$  has diameter

3, maximum degree  $\Delta$ , and  $n + n(\Delta - n + 1) = n(\Delta + n - 2)$  vertices. It is easily seen that every connected graph with  $n'$  vertices and diameter  $d'$  has bandwidth at least  $(n' - 1)/d'$  [2]. Thus  $G$  has bandwidth at least

$$\frac{1}{3}(n(\Delta - n + 2) - 1) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rfloor \left\lceil \frac{\Delta + 2}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \right) \geq \frac{\Delta^2}{12} .$$

□

## References

- [1] K. A. BAKER, P. C. FISHBURN, AND F. S. ROBERTS, Partial orders of dimension 2. *Networks*, **2**:11–28, 1972.
- [2] P. Z. CHINN, J. CHVÁTALOVÁ, A. K. DEWDNEY, AND N. E. GIBBS, The bandwidth problem for graphs and matrices—a survey. *J. Graph Theory*, **6(3)**:223–254, 1982.
- [3] D. G. CORNEIL, A simple 3-sweep LBFS algorithm for the recognition of unit interval graphs. *Discrete Applied Math.*, **139**:371–379, 2004.
- [4] N. D. DENDRIS, L. M. KIROUSIS, AND D. M. THILIKOS, Fugitive-search games on graphs and related parameters. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, **172(1-2)**:233–254, 1997.
- [5] G. A. DIRAC, On rigid circuit graphs. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **25**:71–76, 1961.
- [6] B. DUSHNIK AND E. W. MILLER, Partially ordered sets. *Amer. J. Math.*, **63**:600–610, 1941.
- [7] S. FÖLDES AND P. L. HAMMER, Split graphs. In *Proc. 8th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*, vol. 19 of *Congressus Numerantium*, pp. 311–315, Utilitas Math., 1977.
- [8] F. V. FOMIN AND P. A. GOLOVACH, Interval degree and bandwidth of a graph. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **129(2-3)**:345–359, 2003.
- [9] D. R. FULKERSON AND O. A. GROSS, Incidence matrices and interval graphs. *Pacific J. Math.*, **15**:835–855, 1965.
- [10] P. C. GILMORE AND A. J. HOFFMAN, A characterization of comparability graphs and of interval graphs. *Canad. J. Math.*, **16**:539–548, 1964.
- [11] M. C. GOLUBIC, D. ROTEM, AND J. URRUTIA, Comparability graphs and intersection graphs. *Discrete Math.*, **43**:37–46, 1983.

- [12] H. KAPLAN AND R. SHAMIR, Pathwidth, bandwidth, and completion problems to proper interval graphs with small cliques. *SIAM J. Comput.*, **25(3)**:540–561, 1996.
- [13] T. KLOKS, D. KRATSCH, AND H. MÜLLER, Approximating the bandwidth for asteroidal triple-free graphs. *J. Algorithms*, **32(1)**:41–57, 1999.
- [14] J. KRATOCHVÍL, M. GOLJAN, AND P. KUČERA, String graphs. *Rozpravy Československé Akad. Věd Řada Mat. Přírod. Věd*, **96(3)**, 1986.
- [15] P. J. LOOGES AND S. OLARIU, Optimal greedy algorithms for indifference graphs. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **25(7)**:15–25, 1993.
- [16] D. J. ROSE, Triangulated graphs and the elimination process. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **32**:597–609, 1970.
- [17] L. SMITHLINE, Bandwidth of the complete  $k$ -ary tree. *Discrete Math.*, **142(1-3)**:203–212, 1995.