A system for reflection in C++

Duraid Madina and Russell K. Standish
High Performance Computing Support Unit
University of New South Wales
Sydney, 2052
Australia
{duraid,R.Standish}@unsw.edu.au
http://parallel.hpc.unsw.edu.au/rks

November 2, 2018

Abstract

Object-oriented programming languages such as Java and Objective C have become popular for implementing agent-based and other object-based simulations since objects in those languages can reflect (i.e. make runtime queries of an object's structure). This allows, for example, a fairly trivial serialisation routine (conversion of an object into a binary representation that can be stored or passed over a network) to be written. However C++ does not offer this ability, as type information is thrown away at compile time. Yet C++ is often a preferred development environment, whether for performance reasons or for its expressive features such as operator overloading.

In this paper, we present the Classdesc system which brings many of the benefits of object reflection to C++.

1 Classdesc

Object reflection allows straightforward implementation of serialisation (i.e. the creation of binary data representing objects that can be stored and later reconstructed), binding of scripting languages or GUI objects to 'worker' objects and remote method invocation. Serialisation, for example, requires knowledge of the detailed structure of the object. The member objects may be able to serialised (eg a dynamic array structure), but be implemented in terms of a pointer to a heap object. Also, one may be interested in serialising the object in a machine independent way, which requires knowledge of whether a particular bitfield is an integer or floating point variable.

Languages such as Objective C give objects reflection by creating class objects and implicitly including an *isa* pointer in objects of that class pointing to the class object. Java does much the same thing, providing all objects with the

native (i.e. non-Java) method getClass() which returns the object's class at runtime, as maintained by the virtual machine.

When using C++, on the other hand, at compile time most of the information about what exactly objects are is discarded. Standard C++ does provide a run-time type enquiry mechanism, however this is only required to return a unique signature for each type used in the program. Not only is this signature be compiler dependent, it could be implemented by the compiler enumerating all types used in a particular compilation, and so the signature would differ from program to program!

The solution to this problem lies (as it must) outside the C++ language per se, in the form of a separate program which parses an input program and emits function declarations that know about the structure of the objects inside. These are generically termed *object descriptors*. The object descriptor generator only needs to handle class, struct and union definitions. Anonymous structs used in typedefs are parsed as well. What is emitted in the object descriptor is a sequence of function calls for each base class and member, just as the compiler generated constructors and destructors are formed. Function overloading ensures that the correct sequence of actions is generated at compile time.

For instance, assume that your program had the following class definition:

```
class test1: base_t
{
  int x,y;
public:
  double z[100];
};
```

and you wished to generate a serialisation operator called pack. Then this program will emit the following function declaration for test1:

```
#include "pack_base.h"
void pack(pack_t *p, string nm, test1& v)
{
   pack(p,nm,(base_t)v);
   pack(p,nm+".x",v.x);
   pack(p,nm+".y",v.y);
   pack(p,nm+".z",v.z,100);
}
```

Thus, calling pack(p,"",var) where var is of type test1, will recursively descend the compound structure of the class type, until it reaches primitive data types which can be handled by the following generic template:

```
template <class T>
void pack(pack_t *p,string desc, T& arg)
{p->append((char*)&arg,sizeof(arg));}
```

given a utility routine pack_t::append that adds a chunk of data to a repository of type pack_t.

This can even be given an easier interface by defining the member template:

```
template <class T>
pack_t& pack_t::operator<<(T& x)
{::pack(this,"",x);}</pre>
```

so constructions like buf << foo << bla; will pack the objects foo and bla into the object buf.

At the time of writing, this system has been implemented as a part of the Ecq_{ab} simulation system¹. The pack and unpack operations work more or less as described. The type xdr_pack, derived from pack_t uses the standard unix XDR library to pack the buffer in a machine independent way. This allows checkpoint files to be transported between machines of different architectures, or to run the simulation in a client-server mode, with the client downloading a copy of the simulation whilst the simulation is in progress.

Also implemented is a TCL_obj class descriptor. When TCL_obj is called on an object, TCL² commands are created in the TCL interpreter that allow the C++ object's members to be queried or set directly from the script. Moreover, provided member functions take the arguments (int argc,char *argv[]) or no arguments at all, member functions can be called directly from the TCL script.

The Classdesc software can be used in other projects by "installing" $Ec\mathcal{Q}ab$ into a well known location, and using the classdesc command to generate the descriptors. It is planned to separate out a "slimmed-down" Classdesc product that leaves out most of the $Ec\mathcal{Q}ab$ support libraries.

2 Subtleties

2.1 Pointers

Pointers create difficulties for Classdesc, since pointers may point to a single object, an array of objects, functions, members or even nothing at all. When array sizes are known at compile time, Classdesc issues an object descriptor that loops over the elements, however arrays allocated dynamically on the heap through the use of **new** cannot be handled, even in principle. It turns out that one can distinguish between member pointers and normal pointers quite easily through overloading of object descriptors, however it proves impossible to distinguish between pointers to functions and pointers to objects at the overloading stage. It is too difficult for Classdesc to distinguish between function and object pointers, since the type definitions may be hidden behind typedefs in other files. All that can be done is to ignore pointer members and issue a runtime warning that an attempt was made to serialise a generic pointer.

This strategy misses an important use of pointers in representing data structures such as graph and trees. In this case, we may assume that pointers point

¹http://parallel.hpc.unsw.edu.au/rks/ecolab

 $^{^2\}mathrm{TCL}$ is a well known scripting language — see http://dev.scriptics.com

to a valid object, or are NULL. However, we must ask the programmer to flag the data types that have this property. Currently, this is implemented in the pack descriptor by a macro Single_Obj_Ptr, which takes the typename as an argument. This has the disadvantage of polluting global namespace, and requiring different macros for different descriptors. An alternative approach (not yet implemented) is to ask the programmer to derive the datatype from a particular given type (let's say single_obj_ptr). Then the generic pointer descriptor can attempt to do a dynamic_cast — if the cast succeeds, the pointer points to a valid object, or is NULL³, and if the cast fails, then the pointer may be anything, and should be ignored.

2.2 Dynamic Types

Dynamic data types pose a special problem for Classdesc, since the actual storage is usually separate from the variable representing it. The standard method of handling dynamic types inherited from C is via pointers to memory allocated by new or malloc(). As mentioned in the previous section, Classdesc cannot handle this method of implementing dynamic data. In a more general sense, this method should be discouraged, since it is very easy to create dangling pointers and memory leaks.

In C++, a better method is to encapsulate a dynamic type in a class. This has the following advantages:

- 1. Calls to **new** and **delete** can be properly balanced, by ensuring the **delete** appears in the destructor.
- 2. Usual pointer syntax can be preserved by defining operator[](int), operator*(), operator(int)+, operator++(int), with the possibility of runtime range checking built in.
- 3. Specialised descriptors can be supplied for this class, which will integrate neatly with those produced by Classdesc. One may use the pragma #pragma omit to indicate that a particular type already has a descriptor defined.

2.3 Member privacy

Descriptors need access to all members of an object, including private and protected ones. Since in C++ class namespaces are closed by design (no new members can be added, except by inheritance), descriptors need to be placed a global or an open namespace. This means that friend declarations need to be added to all class definitions with private or protected areas. This is handled by an auxiliary program <code>insert-friend</code> that inserts the macro call <code>CLASSDESC_ACCESS(class name)</code> into class definitions, and <code>CLASSDESC_ACCESS_TEMPLATE(class name)</code> into template class definitions. The intention is for the programmer to define macros similar to the following:

 $^{^3}$ at least that is what the programmer is promising

```
#define CLASSDESC_ACCESS(type)\
friend void pack(pack_t *,eco_string,type&);\
friend void unpack(unpack_t *,eco_string,type&);

#define CLASSDESC_ACCESS_TEMPLATE(type)\
friend void pack<>(pack_t *,eco_string,type&);\
friend void unpack<>(unpack_t *,eco_string,type&);
```

The script fix-includes parses all standard header libraries, and if private or protected members exist within that header, places a modified version with the CLASSDESC macros into a separate include directory. This works reasonably well in practice, provided the authors of the header files have not done anything too tricky with macro processing.

3 Current Status

Classdesc is currently a part of the Ecqab simulation system. Ecqab is an open source project, and is available from the Ecqab website⁴. Classdesc is contained within a subdirectory of Ecqab, so it is planned that at distribution time, a separate Classdesc distribution be created for each Ecqab distribution.

There is an email discussion list, which you can subscribe to by sending the message "subscribe ecolab-list" to majordomo@explode.unsw.edu.au. You can also register as a developer by emailing R.Standish@unsw.edu.au. This will allow you to access the code as it is being developed, and submit your own code changes. Ecqab is managed by Peter Miller's Aegis⁵ code management system, which allows multiple developers to work independently on the code.

⁴http://parallel.hpc.unsw.edu.au/rks/ecolab

⁵http://www.canb.auug.org.au/~millerp/aegis/aegis.html