

Monte Carlo Quasi-Heatbath by approximate inversion

Philippe de Forcrand
Swiss Center for Scientific Computing (SCSC)
ETH-Zürich, CH-8092 Zürich
Switzerland
forcrand@scsc.ethz.ch

Abstract

When sampling the distribution $P(\vec{\phi}) \propto \exp(-|A\vec{\phi}|^2)$, a global heatbath normally proceeds by solving the linear system $A\vec{\phi} = \vec{\eta}$, where $\vec{\eta}$ is a normal Gaussian vector, exactly. This paper shows how to preserve the distribution $P(\vec{\phi})$ while solving the linear system with arbitrarily low accuracy. Generalizations are presented.

PACS numbers: 02.70.L, 02.50.N, 52.65.P, 11.15.H, 12.38.G

In Monte Carlo simulations, it is frequently the case that one wants to sample a vector $\vec{\phi}$ from a distribution of the Gaussian type $\propto \exp(-|A\vec{\phi}|^2)$. Typically, $\vec{\phi}$ has many components, and A is a large, sparse matrix. In lattice field theory, $\vec{\phi}$ is the value of the continuum field ϕ at regular grid points, and A is the discretized version of some differential operator \mathcal{A} . Illustrative examples used in this paper are $\mathcal{A} = m + i\vec{p}$ (free field) and $\mathcal{A} = m + i\cancel{p}$ (Dirac operator). The goal of the Monte Carlo simulation is to provide independent configurations of $\vec{\phi}$ at the least cost.

The brute-force approach consists of drawing successive random vectors $\vec{\eta}^{(k)}$ from the normal Gaussian distribution $\exp(-|\vec{\eta}|^2)$, and of solving $A\vec{\phi}^{(k)} = \vec{\eta}^{(k)}$. The solution of this linear system can be efficiently obtained with an iterative linear solver (Conjugate Gradient if A is Hermitian, BiCGStab otherwise). This approach can be called a global heatbath, because $\vec{\phi}^{(k+1)}$ has no memory of $\vec{\phi}^{(k)}$: the heatbath has touched all the components of $\vec{\phi}$. To avoid a bias, the solver must be iterated to full convergence, which is often prohibitively expensive. One may try to limit the accuracy while maintaining the bias below statistical errors, but this requires a delicate compromise difficult to tune *a priori*. A notable example of this global heatbath approach is the stochastic evaluation of inverse Dirac matrix elements, where several hundred “noise vectors” $\vec{\eta}^{(k)}$ are inverted to yield $(A^\dagger A)^{-1}_{ij} \approx \langle \phi_i \phi_j^\dagger \rangle_k$. An abundant literature has been devoted to the optimization of this procedure [1, 2].

For the free field or the Dirac operator mentioned above, the number of iterations of the solver required to reach a given accuracy grows like the correlation length $\xi \equiv 1/m$. Thus the work per new, independent $\vec{\phi}$ is $c \xi^z$ where z , the dynamical critical exponent, is 1. However, the prefactor c is large. For this reason, local updates, where only one component of $\vec{\phi}$ is changed at a time, are often preferred. They usually provide an independent $\vec{\phi}$ after an amount of work $c' \xi^2$, but with a much smaller prefactor c' [3]. This paper presents an adaptation of the global heatbath which allows for arbitrarily low accuracy in the solution of $A\vec{\phi} = \vec{\eta}$, thus reducing the prefactor c , while maintaining the correct distribution. This is obtained by the introduction of an accept/reject test of the Metropolis type, making the procedure a “quasi-heatbath.” The method is described in the next section. Generalizations, including a local version, are presented afterwards.

1 Quasi-Heatbath

Efficient Monte Carlo often relies on the subtle introduction of auxiliary degrees of freedom. Consider here a vector $\vec{\chi}$ distributed according to $\frac{1}{Z_\chi} \exp(-|\vec{\chi} - A\vec{\phi}|^2)$. Note that Z_χ is a constant (π^N for an N -component complex vector) independent of $\vec{\phi}$. Therefore, the original distribution of $\vec{\phi}$, $\frac{1}{Z_\phi} \exp(-|A\vec{\phi}|^2)$, is unchanged by the introduction of $\vec{\chi}$:

$$\frac{1}{Z_\phi} \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\phi} e^{-|A\vec{\phi}|^2} = \frac{1}{Z_\phi Z_\chi} \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\phi} \mathcal{D}\vec{\chi} e^{-|A\vec{\phi}|^2 - |\vec{\chi} - A\vec{\phi}|^2}. \quad (1)$$

We can now alternate Monte Carlo steps on $\vec{\phi}$ and $\vec{\chi}$, with the following prescription:

1. Perform a global heatbath on $\vec{\chi}$:

$$\vec{\chi} \leftarrow A\vec{\phi} + \vec{\eta}, \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{\eta}$ is a normal Gaussian vector;

2. Reflect $A\vec{\phi}$ with respect to the minimum of the quadratic form ($|A\vec{\phi}|^2 + |\vec{\chi} - A\vec{\phi}|^2$):

$$A\vec{\phi} \leftarrow \vec{\chi} - A\vec{\phi},$$

i.e.,

$$\vec{\phi} \leftarrow A^{-1}\vec{\chi} - \vec{\phi}. \quad (3)$$

Step 2 conserves the probability of $\vec{\phi}$ but is not ergodic. Step 1 provides the ergodicity. Note that step 2 exchanges the two terms $|A\vec{\phi}|^2$ and $|\vec{\chi} - A\vec{\phi}|^2$ in the quadratic form. Since $\vec{\chi} - A\vec{\phi}$ in step 1 is set to a new random vector $\vec{\eta}$, $A\vec{\phi}$ at the end of step 2 is equal to $\vec{\eta}$. Therefore, a completely decorrelated $\vec{\phi}$ has been generated. The vector $\vec{\chi}$ is not needed any longer and can be discarded.

This two-step algorithm can now be modified slightly. The vector $A^{-1}\vec{\chi}$ in Eq.(3) need not be computed exactly. Consider an approximate solution $\vec{\zeta}$ with $A\vec{\zeta} = \vec{\chi} - \vec{r}$, where $\vec{r} \neq \vec{0}$ is the residual. Step 2 should now be considered as a way to propose a candidate $\vec{\phi}' = \vec{\zeta} - \vec{\phi}$ in a Metropolis procedure. Since $\vec{\zeta}$ is completely independent of $\vec{\phi}$ or $\vec{\phi}'$, the probability of proposing $\vec{\phi}'$ given $\vec{\phi}$ is the same as that of proposing $\vec{\phi}$ given $\vec{\phi}'$. Detailed balance will be satisfied with the additional step:

3. Accept the candidate $\vec{\phi}' = \vec{\zeta} - \vec{\phi}$ with probability

$$P_{\text{acc}}(\vec{\phi} \rightarrow \vec{\phi}') = \min(1, e^{-\Delta S}), \quad (4)$$

where $\Delta S = |A\vec{\phi}'|^2 + |\vec{\chi} - A\vec{\phi}'|^2 - |A\vec{\phi}|^2 - |\vec{\chi} - A\vec{\phi}|^2$.

Simple algebra shows that

$$\Delta S = 2\text{Re}(r^\dagger \cdot (A\vec{\phi} - A\vec{\phi}')),$$

which is antisymmetric under the exchange $\vec{\phi} \leftrightarrow \vec{\phi}'$, as it should be. If the linear system $A\vec{\zeta} = \vec{\chi}$ is solved exactly ($\vec{r} = \vec{0}$), then $\Delta S = 0$ and one recovers the original global heatbath with acceptance 1. Otherwise, the candidate $\vec{\phi}'$ may be rejected, in which case $\vec{\phi}^{(k)}$ must be included once more in the Monte Carlo sequence: $\vec{\phi}^{(k+1)} = \vec{\phi}^{(k)}$. As the residual is allowed to grow, the average acceptance falls. But no bias is introduced: the distribution of $\vec{\phi}$ remains $\frac{1}{Z_\phi} \exp(-|A\vec{\phi}|^2)$.

The optimal magnitude of \vec{r} is thus the result of a compromise between accuracy and acceptance. The average acceptance of the prescription (4) is $\text{erfc}(\sqrt{\langle(\Delta S)^2\rangle}/8)$ [5]. Here $\langle(\Delta S)^2\rangle$ can be evaluated as a function of the convergence criterion ϵ of the linear solver. If the solver yields a residual \vec{r} such that $\frac{\|\vec{r}\|}{\|\vec{\chi}\|} \leq \epsilon$, then $\langle(\Delta S)^2\rangle \leq 8N\epsilon^2$, where $A\vec{\phi}$, $A\vec{\phi}'$, and \vec{r} have been considered independent random Gaussian vectors with variance N , N , and $2\epsilon^2N$, respectively, and N is the number of their components. Therefore, the acceptance is simply

$$\langle \text{acceptance} \rangle \approx \text{erfc}(\epsilon\sqrt{N}). \quad (5)$$

In other words, the acceptance is entirely determined by ϵ and N , the number of degrees of freedom (the volume) of the system, and is independent of the matrix A . To maintain a constant acceptance as the volume grows, the convergence criterion for the solution of $A\vec{\zeta} = \vec{\chi}$ should vary like $1/\sqrt{N}$. An accuracy $\epsilon \sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$ provides an acceptance of 80-90% up to systems of 10^6 degrees of freedom. There is no need for higher accuracy.

The convergence of an iterative solver is typically exponential: $\epsilon_n \sim e^{-n/\xi}$ after n iterations. Therefore, the above prescription reduces the work by a factor of 2 to 3 compared to the usual approach which iterates the solver until “full” convergence (which typically means $\epsilon \lesssim 10^{-8} - 10^{-12}$). Illustrative results are shown in Fig. 1 for the case of the Wilson–Dirac operator. This figure shows the number of iterations, the acceptance, and the work per independent $\vec{\phi}$ as a function of ϵ . The acceptance obeys $\text{erfc}(c\epsilon\sqrt{N})$,

where $c < 1$ (0.75 here) reflects the fact that the residual is always smaller (c times smaller on average) than required by the stopping criterion. For this system of $N = 49\ 152$ variables (8^4 lattice), the optimal stopping criterion is near 10^{-3} .




Figure 1: As the stopping criterion in the iterative solver is varied, the number of solver iterations (top) and the acceptance of the quasi-heatbath (middle) change. The acceptance is well described by Eq.(5) (solid line). The work per new $\vec{\phi}$ (bottom) shows a clear minimum. The optimal stopping criterion depends on the system size only (49 152 here).

2 Generalizations

A. Over- and under-relaxation

Consider a modification of Eq.(1) with a parameter λ :

$$\frac{1}{Z_\phi} \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\phi} e^{-|A\vec{\phi}|^2} = \frac{1}{Z_\phi Z_\chi} \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\phi} \mathcal{D}\vec{\chi} e^{-|A\vec{\phi}|^2 - |\vec{\chi} - \lambda A\vec{\phi}|^2}. \quad (6)$$

The same 3-step algorithm of Section 1 now reads:

1. Heatbath on $\vec{\chi}$:

$$\vec{\chi} \leftarrow \lambda A\vec{\phi} + \vec{\eta}; \quad (7)$$

2. Reflection of $\vec{\phi}$ about the approximate minimum of the quadratic form:

$$\vec{\phi}' = \frac{2\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2} \vec{\zeta} - \vec{\phi}, \quad (8)$$

where $A\vec{\zeta} = \vec{\chi} - \vec{r}$;

3. Accept $\vec{\phi}'$ with probability $P_{\text{acc}}(\vec{\phi} \rightarrow \vec{\phi}') = \min(1, e^{-\Delta S})$ where $\Delta S = |A\vec{\phi}'|^2 + |\vec{\chi} - \lambda A\vec{\phi}'|^2 - |A\vec{\phi}|^2 - |\vec{\chi} - \lambda A\vec{\phi}|^2$; and, by simple algebra,

$$\Delta S = 2\lambda \text{Re}(r^\dagger \cdot (A\vec{\phi} - A\vec{\phi}')). \quad (9)$$

Thus, as λ decreases from 1, $\langle(\Delta S)^2\rangle$ also decreases, which boosts the acceptance. On the other hand, Eq.(8) indicates that $\vec{\phi}'$ approaches $-\vec{\phi}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, so that $\vec{\phi}'$ and $\vec{\phi}$ become very (anti)correlated. The parameter λ allows interpolation between simple reflection ($\lambda = 0$) and no motion at all ($\lambda = +\infty$). In fact, substituting Eq.(7) into Eq.(8) gives

$$\vec{\phi}' = -\frac{1 - \lambda^2}{1 + \lambda^2} \vec{\phi} + \frac{2\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2} (A^{-1}\vec{\eta} - \vec{r}). \quad (10)$$

Taking $\vec{r} = \vec{0}$, one can identify this prescription with that of Adler's stochastic over-relaxation (AOR) [4]:

$$\vec{\phi}' = (1 - \omega)\vec{\phi} + \sqrt{\omega(2 - \omega)} A^{-1}\vec{\eta}, \quad (11)$$

provided $\omega = \frac{2}{1 + \lambda^2}$. The quasi-heatbath can be viewed as a flexible, *global* generalization of Adler's AOR.

It is clear from Eq.(9) that $\lambda < 1$ allows for a looser convergence criterion $\epsilon \sim 1/\lambda$. However, the work to reach convergence typically grows like $-\log \epsilon$, whereas Eq.(10) indicates that the number of Monte Carlo steps to decorrelate $\vec{\phi}$ will grow like $\frac{1}{\lambda^2}$. Therefore, it seems inadvisable to depart from $\lambda = 1$.

Nonetheless, there are many situations where a completely independent $\vec{\phi}$ at each Monte Carlo step is a wasteful luxury. When the matrix A fluctuates and depends on other variables U , it will take some time for the U to equilibrate in the new background $\vec{\phi}^{(k+1)}$. Equilibration will be achieved quickly over short distances, more slowly over large ones. In that case it is useful to refresh the short-wavelength modes of $\vec{\phi}$ at every MC step, but not the long-wavelength ones. The situation is similar for the stochastic evaluation of inverse Dirac matrix elements: one is interested in estimating $(A^\dagger A)_{ij}^{-1}$, where the distance $|i - j|$ is short. Refreshing the long-wavelength modes every time is wasteful.

B. Selective mode refresh

The quasi-heatbath may be tailored for this purpose by modifying the basic Eq.(1) to

$$\frac{1}{Z_\phi} \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\phi} e^{-|A\vec{\phi}|^2} = \frac{1}{Z_\phi Z_\chi} \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\phi} \mathcal{D}\vec{\chi} e^{-|A\vec{\phi}|^2 - |\vec{\chi} - C\vec{\phi}|^2}. \quad (12)$$

The matrix C plays the role of the earlier λA , except that now λ depends on the eigenmode considered. The three basic steps of the algorithm become:

1. Heatbath on $\vec{\chi}$:

$$\vec{\chi} \leftarrow C\vec{\phi} + \vec{\eta}; \quad (13)$$

2. Reflection of $\vec{\phi}$ about the approximate minimum of the quadratic form:

$$\vec{\phi}' = \vec{\zeta} - \vec{\phi}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{2}(A^\dagger A + C^\dagger C)\vec{\zeta} = C^\dagger \vec{\chi} - \vec{r}; \quad (15)$$

3. Accept $\vec{\phi}'$ with probability $P_{\text{acc}}(\vec{\phi} \rightarrow \vec{\phi}') = \min(1, e^{-\Delta S})$, where

$$\Delta S = 2\text{Re}(r^\dagger \cdot (\vec{\phi} - \vec{\phi}')).$$

For simplicity, consider the case where C and A commute. The candidate $\vec{\phi}'$ can be expressed as

$$\vec{\phi}' = -(A^\dagger A + C^\dagger C)^{-1}(A^\dagger A - C^\dagger C)\vec{\phi} + 2(A^\dagger A + C^\dagger C)^{-1}(C^\dagger \vec{\eta} - \vec{r}).$$

One wishes to obtain a heatbath ($\lambda \sim 1$ in Section A) on short-wavelength modes. This implies a cancellation of eigenvalues in $(A^\dagger A - C^\dagger C)$ for short wavelengths. For long wavelengths a heatbath is not necessary, and one could have $\lambda \sim 0$ or $+\infty$. One possible way to implement this would be

$$C = F^{-1}\Lambda FA,$$

where F is the Fourier transform and Λ is a diagonal matrix with entries $\lambda(\vec{k})$ growing from 0 to 1 with momentum $|\vec{k}|$. However, for operators \mathcal{A} of the free-field or Dirac type, a simpler and equivalent way consists of modifying the mass parameter m to $m_C > m$. This is equivalent to $\lambda(|\vec{k}|) = \sqrt{(m_C^2 + k^2)/(m^2 + k^2)}$.

The mass which enters into the linear system to solve (15) is $m_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{(m^2 + m_C^2)/2}$. As m_C is increased, so is m_{eff} . The work to approximately solve Eq.(15) decreases as $1/m_{\text{eff}}$. Therefore, one achieves the desired effect of refreshing short-wavelength modes at cheaper cost. By drawing m_C randomly from a suitable distribution at each MC step, the tailored refreshing of all Fourier modes with the desired frequency can be achieved.

C. Local version

The quasi-heatbath described so far is a global update procedure: all components of $\vec{\phi}$ are updated together. A local version readily suggests itself: restricting the auxiliary vector $\vec{\chi}$ to have only 1 non-zero component, $\chi_i = \chi \delta_{i,i_0}$ (or any subset of components).

Eq.(1) then becomes

$$\frac{1}{Z_\phi} \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\phi} e^{-|A\vec{\phi}|^2} = \frac{1}{Z_\phi Z_\chi} \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\phi} \mathcal{D}\vec{\chi} e^{-|A\vec{\phi}|^2 - |\chi - (A\vec{\phi})_{i_0}|^2}. \quad (16)$$

The algorithm is unchanged:

1. Heatbath on χ : $\chi \leftarrow (A\vec{\phi})_{i_0} + \eta$;
2. Approximate reflection of $\vec{\phi}$: $\vec{\phi}' = \vec{\zeta} - \vec{\phi}$, where $A\vec{\zeta} = \vec{\chi} - \vec{r}$;
3. Accept $\vec{\phi}'$ with probability $P_{\text{acc}}(\vec{\phi} \rightarrow \vec{\phi}') = \min(1, e^{-\Delta S})$,

$$\text{where } \Delta S = \text{Re}(r^\dagger \cdot (A\vec{\phi} - A\vec{\phi}')) + \text{Re}(r_{i_0}^* \cdot ((A\vec{\phi})_{i_0} - (A\vec{\phi}')_{i_0})).$$

In this case, $\vec{\zeta}$ is the approximate Green's function of A for a source at i_0 . It will have a support of size $\mathcal{O}(\xi)$, so that the local change in χ_{i_0} will induce a change in ϕ over a whole domain. By varying i_0 from 1 to N , one sweeps the whole system and generates a new vector $\vec{\phi}^{(k+1)}$. If the acceptance is maintained close to 1, $\vec{\phi}^{(k+1)}$ will essentially be uncorrelated with $\vec{\phi}^{(k)}$. However, the work per local update is proportional to ξ^d in d dimensions, so that this approach becomes very inefficient when the correlation length ξ is large. Nevertheless, it may be advantageous for moderate ξ . The reason is that the approximate solution $\vec{\zeta} \approx A^{-1}\chi\delta(i_0)$ need not be obtained by a Krylov method, which applies successive powers of A to the initial residual. Instead, one may search for the best solution $\vec{\zeta}$ among all vectors of localized support, for instance, i_0 and its nearest neighbours.

D. Adler's stochastic over-relaxation

Finally, the local variable $\chi \delta(i, i_0)$ may interact with $\vec{\phi}$ in the simplest way, with a contact interaction. This modifies Eq.(1) to

$$\frac{1}{Z_\phi} \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\phi} e^{-|A\vec{\phi}|^2} = \frac{1}{Z_\phi Z_\chi} \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\phi} d\chi e^{-|A\vec{\phi}|^2 - |\chi - \lambda\phi(i_0)|^2}. \quad (17)$$

If one chooses to update only $\phi(i_0)$ and leave the other components of $\vec{\phi}$ unchanged, then there is no need to invert the matrix A . The algorithm simplifies to:

1. Heatbath on χ : $\chi \leftarrow \lambda\phi(i_0) + \eta$;
2. Reflection of $\phi(i_0)$ with respect to the minimum of the quadratic form

$$(m^2 + \lambda^2)|\phi(i_0)|^2 + (\phi(i_0)^\dagger \cdot (\psi - \lambda\chi) + \text{H.c.}) + \text{constant},$$

$$\text{where } m^2 \equiv (A^\dagger A)_{i_0 i_0} \text{ and } \psi \equiv (A^\dagger A)_{i_0 j} \phi(j).$$

This reflection is exact, and so the acceptance test disappears. The new reflected value is

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(i_0) &= 2 \frac{\lambda\chi - \psi}{1 + \lambda^2} - \phi(i_0) \\ &= -\frac{1 - \lambda^2}{1 + \lambda^2} \phi(i_0) - \frac{2}{1 + \lambda^2} \psi + \frac{2\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2} \eta. \end{aligned}$$

This prescription is identical to Adler's stochastic over-relaxation [4] with the change of notation $\omega \leftrightarrow 2/(1 + \lambda^2)$.

3 Conclusion

The quasi-heatbath Eqs.(2)–(4) is a simple and efficient method to globally change a vector $\vec{\phi}$ distributed according to $\frac{1}{Z_\phi} e^{-|A\vec{\phi}|^2}$. Like the global heatbath consisting of solving $A\vec{\phi} = \vec{\eta}$, where $\vec{\eta}$ is a Gaussian vector, exactly at each Monte Carlo step, the quasi-heatbath also has dynamical critical exponent 1. The prefactor is reduced by a factor of 2 to 3 because the linear system $A\vec{\phi} = \vec{\eta}$ can now be solved approximately. Whatever the level of accuracy, an acceptance test maintains the exact distribution $e^{-|A\vec{\phi}|^2}$. The most efficient choice for the accuracy level is $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{N})$, where N is the volume of the system.

Several generalizations of the quasi-heatbath have been proposed. A simple modification makes it possible to refresh each of the Fourier components of $A\vec{\phi}$ at a prescribed rate. A local version may be advantageous when the correlation length is moderate. In a limiting case, this version becomes identical to Adler’s stochastic over-relaxation.

I thank Massimo D’Elia for interesting discussions and valuable comments.

References

- [1] S.-J. Dong and K.-F. Liu, Phys. Lett. B 328 (1994) 130, and references therein.
- [2] SESAM Collaboration, N. Eicker et al., Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 63 (1998) 269; Phys. Lett. B 389 (1996) 720, and references therein.
- [3] Adler's stochastic over-relaxation [4] in principle allows $z = 1$ to be reached. In practice, this requires careful tuning of the over-relaxation coefficient depending on the spectrum of A . This is usually difficult to achieve, especially when A itself is fluctuating.
- [4] S.L. Adler, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 2901. See S.L. Adler, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 9 (1989) 437 for a review of local stochastic overrelaxation.
- [5] See, e.g., H. Gausterer and M. Salmhofer, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 2723.