Chaos control in photoconductors ELMAN M. SHAHVERDIEV,<sup>1</sup> Institute of Physics of Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan, 33,H.Javid Av., 370143-Baku, Azerbaijan.

1.Introduction.

Nowadays, it is well established that the chaotic behavior is the intrinsic property of some of the nonlinear dynamical systems [1-6]. Due to the nonlinearity most of the rate equations (chargecarrier dynamics) in semiconductor physics, such an unpredictable behavior also can be easily detected in most of the realistic models of photoconductors. The technological importance of photoconductivity, particularly its applications in high-speed and far-infrared photodetectors and similar devices, leads to the need for a careful investigation of the charge-carrier dynamics. One of such models was proposed recently in [7], see also [8-9]. To be more specific, that model consists of three coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations describing the population densities of

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = G - n\alpha_1(N_t - m) + \gamma_1 m - c_1 n,$$
$$\frac{dm}{dt} = n\alpha_1(N_t - m) - \delta_0 mp$$
$$-\gamma_1 m, \qquad (1)$$
$$\frac{dp}{dt} = G - \delta_0 mp - c_2 p,$$

of three coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations describing the population densities of electrons n, trapped electrons m, and holes p in the following form of rate equations  $\frac{dn}{dt} = G - n\alpha_1(N_t - m) + \gamma_1 m - c_1 n,$   $\frac{dm}{dt} = n\alpha_1(N_t - m) - \delta_0 mp$   $-\gamma_1 m, \qquad (1)$   $\frac{dp}{dt} = G - \delta_0 mp - c_2 p,$ where: G is the rate of photoexcited charge carriers; c\_1 and c\_2 are the capture rates of active centers for electrons and holes; N<sub>t</sub> is the total number of traps in the system; m is the number of occupied traps at time t;  $\alpha_1$  is the probability of capture of the electrons from the conduction by thermal excitation;  $\delta_0$  is the recombination constant for captured electrons. Let  $n(t = 0) = n_1, m(t = 0) = m_1, p_t t = 0) = p_1, \qquad (2)$ be the initial conditions. In dealing with such systems (1) it is always convenient to use dimensionless systems: dimension-less variables, time and constants are introduced by the following relationships:  $x = nn_0^{-1}, y = mn_0^{-1}, z = pn_0^{-1}, t_1 = tt_0^{-1},$   $t_0 = c_1^{-1}, q = Gt_0 n_0^{-1}.$ 

$$n(t=0) = n_1, m(t=0) = m_1, p_1(t=0) = p_1,$$
(2)

$$x = nn_0^{-1}, y = mn_0^{-1}, z = pn_0^{-1}, t_1 = tt_0^{-1},$$
  

$$t_0 = c_1^{-1}, g = Gt_0n_0^{-1},$$
  

$$n_0 = (\alpha_1 t_0)^{-1},$$
  

$$k = \alpha_1 N_t t_0, \alpha = \gamma_1 t_0,$$
  

$$\kappa = c_2 t_0,$$
  

$$\beta = \delta_0 t_0 n_0$$
  

$$= \delta_0 \alpha_1^{-1},$$
 (3)

The corresponding dimensionless system is of the form:

$$\frac{dx}{dt_1} = g - (k+1)x + xy + \alpha y,$$

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>e\text{-mail:shahverdiev@lan.ab.az}$ 

$$\frac{dy}{dt_1} = kx - xy - \beta yz - \alpha y, \qquad (4)$$
$$\frac{dz}{dt} = g - \beta yz - \kappa z,$$

According to [7-9], nonlinear system (4) exhibits chaotic behavior with some values of systems parameters  $g, k, \beta$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\kappa$ . Namely, using the data presented in [7]:  $G = 10^{24} cm^{-3} sec^{-1}$  (for the correct dimension purposes we use this value of G instead of  $G = 10^{16} cm^{-2} sec^{-1}$  as in [7]);  $N_t = 510^{14} cm^{-3}; \gamma_1 = 0.83 sec^{-1}; c_1 = 1.510^{-3} sec^{-1}; c_2 = 1.510^{-5} sec^{-1}; \alpha_1 = 2.510^{-15} cm^{-3} sec^{-1}; \delta_0 = 10^{-15} cm^{-3} sec^{-1}$  we obtain the following values for  $t_0, n_0$ :  $t_0 = \frac{10^3}{1.5}, n_0 = 610^{11} cm^{-3}$  and for dimensionless control parameter  $g = 1.110^{15}$  and other parameters for chaotic behavior to occur: $\beta = 0.4, \kappa = 10^{-2}, k = 8.3310^2, \alpha = 5.510^2$ . As the chaotic, unpredictable behavior was uncontrolable, ungovernable, and so undesirable in some situations, initially researchers tried to avoid chaos and to deal with the systems in the range of the parameters, where chaos was not generated. The situation has changed dramatically since the discovery of the possibility of chaos control by Pecora and Carrol [10], Ott, Grebogi and Yorke [11] in 1990. These seminal papers [10-11] have induced avalanche of research works in the field of chaos control. Chaos synchronization in dynamical systems is one of methods of controling chaos, see, e.g. [10-17] and references therein. The interest to chaos synchronization in part is due to the application of this phenomenen in secure communications, in modeling of brain activity and recognition processes, etc [10-17]. Also it should be mentioned that this method of chaos control may result in improved performance of chaotic systems [10-17]. In our case of charge-carrier dynamical system chaos control could be used for the optimization of the performance of photoconductor devices.

According to [10] synchronization of two systems occurs when the trajectories of one of the systems will converge to the same values as the other and they will remain in step with each other. For the chaotic systems synchronization is performed by the linking of chaotic systems with a common signal or signals (the so-called drivers): suppose that we have a chaotic dynamical system of three or more state variables. In the above mentioned way of chaos control one or some of these state variables can be used as an input to drive a subsystem consisting of remaining state variables and which is a replica of part of the original system. In [10] it has been shown that if the real parts of the Lyapunov exponents for the subsystem (below: sub-Lyapunov exponents) are negative then the subsystem synchronizes to the chaotic evolution of original system. If the largest sub-Lyapunov exponent is not negative, then one can use the nonreplica approach to chaos synchronization [15]. To be more specific, one can try to make negative the real parts of the conditional Lyapunov exponents of the nonreplica response system. As it has been shown in [15] from the application viewpoint nonreplica approach has some advantages over the replica one. The above-mentioned chaos synchronization method [10] (replica approach) is applied to different chaotic dynamical systems, see, [7-11] and references therein. As it is already underlined recently a new approachnonreplica approach to chaos synchronization is proposed in [15]. A detailed analysis of that paper shows that for high dimensional systems the calculation of conditional Lyapunov exponents in general requires formidable, tedious numerical and analytical calculations.

This paper is dedicated to the chaos synchronization in the charge- carrier dynamical systems in photoconductors within both the replica and nonreplica approaches. It has been shown that by using the boundedness of the solutions to the dynamical systems, nonreplica approach to chaos synchronization and Routh-Hurwitz criteria it is possible to make negative all the conditional Lyapunov exponents without complex numerical and analytical calculations. This is the main feature of the paper.

Thus consider the possibility of chaos synchronization in the dynamical system (4). The system has the following steady state (fixed point) solutions:

$$x^{st} = g(1 + \beta \kappa^{-1} y^{st})^{-1},$$

$$z^{st} = x^{st}\kappa^{-1},$$
  

$$\alpha\beta\kappa^{-1}(y^{st})^2 + (\alpha + g)$$
  

$$+\beta\kappa^{-1}g)y^{st} - kg = 0,$$
(5)

For the begining we investigate system (1) qualitatively, as it has been shown in [7], for different values of parameters the system exhibits different types of solutions, such as oscillatory, chaotic, etc. After linearization about the steady state solutions (5) of the system (4) we obtain that characteristic equation's roots are satisfying the following equation:

$$\lambda^3 + a\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c = 0, \tag{6}$$

where

$$a = k + 1 + x^{st} + (\beta - 1)y^{st} + \alpha + \kappa + \beta z^{st},$$

$$c = (\kappa + \beta y^{st})(\alpha + x^{st})$$

$$+\kappa(k - y^{st} + 1)\beta z^{st},$$

$$b = (k - y^{st} + 1)(\kappa + \beta y^{st} + \beta z^{st})$$

$$+\beta \kappa z^{st}$$

$$+(\alpha + x^{st})(1 + \kappa + \beta y^{st}),$$
(7)

In order to have oscillating solutions, the value of

$$F = \frac{c^2}{4} + \frac{b^3}{27} + \frac{ca^3}{27}$$
$$-\frac{abc}{6} - \frac{a^2b^2}{108},$$
 (14)

should be positive [18]. The period of the oscillations could be estimated by the following relationship:

$$T = 3^{-0.5} \pi \left( \left( -\frac{q}{2} + F^{0.5} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} - \left( -\frac{q}{2} - F^{0.5} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right)^{-1}, \quad (15)$$
$$\frac{q}{2} = \frac{a^3}{27} - \frac{ab}{6} + \frac{c}{2}, \quad (8)$$

where

As the estimations show the period of oscillations approximately conform to the result of [7]. Now suppose that the nonlinear system's (4) parameters values are so that system (4) exhibits chaotic behavior and we will try to explore the possibility of chaos synchronization in the sense of Pecora and Carroll [10]. First consider the x variable as a driver. Then variables y, z form the replica response system (with the subscript "r"):

$$\frac{dy_r}{dt_1} = kx - xy_r - \beta y_r z_r$$
$$-\alpha y_r, \qquad (9)$$

$$\frac{dz_r}{dt_1} = g - \beta y_r z_r - \kappa z_r$$

The eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix of the system (9) satisfy the following equation:

$$\lambda^{2} + \lambda(x + \beta(y + z) + \alpha$$
$$+\kappa) + (\kappa + y\beta)(x + \alpha)$$
$$+\kappa\beta z = 0, \tag{10}$$

Here x(t), y(t), z(t) are the solutions of the system (4).

As it follows from the physical meaning of x, y, z they should be positive and bounded. The boundedness of the solutions of the nonlinear system (4) also follows from the Lorenz criteria (for more details, see [19]). It means that the roots of equation (10) are negative, and therefore chaos synchronization is possible in the case of x driving. Similar calculations show that in the case of y, z drivings chaos synchronization also could be realizable. Indeed, for the case of y driving the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix of the corresponding replica subsystem are calculated from the relationship:

$$(\lambda - \kappa - y\beta)(\lambda + k + 1 - y) = 0, \tag{11}$$

As the calculations show for the above given values of control parameter g and other parameters k > y. It means the both  $\lambda$ 's are negative and therefore chaos synchronization is possible. Taking into account the above mentioned inequality k > y one can easily establish that in the case of z driving chaos synchronization also is possible, as under this inequality the roots of equation

$$\lambda^{2} + \lambda(x + \beta z + \alpha + k + 1 - y) + \beta z(k + 1 - y)$$
$$+x + \alpha = 0, \qquad (12)$$

are also negative. Thus we have established that the replica approach is sufficient to perform chaos synchronization in the case of nonlinear system (4). As it was underlined above, the nonreplica approach to chaos synchronization could be used not only in the case of failure of replica approach, but also in the case of success by the replica approach to perform chaos synchronization. In the latter case one can use the nonreplica approach, say to make larger (in magnitude) the negative conditional Lyapunov exponents, as the very important quantity of chaos synchronization time inversely proportional to the largest conditional Lyapunov exponent. The application of the nonreplica approach (in our case) also could be justified in situations when conditions k > y, k+1 > 0y do not take place. (According to the definition of k (see (3)) such a situation could be realizable by changing the values of  $\alpha_1, N_t, c_1$ ). As it can be seen easily, then in the case of y driving within the replica approach, one of  $\lambda$ 's become positive, and therefore chaos synchronization is impossible. Then to perform chaos synchronization we could recourse to the help of the nonreplica approach. As the calculations show under the above mentioned conditions k < y, k + 1 < y the realizability of chaos synchronization in the case of z driving is also under question. Here we will restrict ourselves to the nonreplica approach in the case of z driving. The simplest nonreplica response system [15] could be written in the following manner:

$$\frac{dx_{nr}}{dt_1} = g - (k+1)x_{nr} + x_{nr}y + \alpha y$$
$$+s_1(y_{nr} - y) = A_1$$
$$\frac{dy_{nr}}{dt_1} = kx_{nr} - x_{nr}y - \beta y z_{nr}$$

$$-\alpha y + s_2(y_{nr} - y) = A_2, \qquad (13)$$
$$\frac{dz_{nr}}{dt_1} = g - \beta y z_{nr} - \kappa z_{nr}$$
$$+s_3(y_{nr} - y) = A_3,$$

where  $s_1, s_2, s_3$  are some arbitrary parameters (constants). The eigenvalues of the Jacobian

$$J = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x},\tag{14}$$

(where  $A = (A_1, A_2, A_3), x = (x_{nr}, y_{nr}, z_{nr})$ ) are to be found from the equation:

.

$$\lambda^{3} + \lambda^{2}(k+1-y+\kappa+\beta y - s_{2})$$
$$+\lambda(k+1-y)(\kappa+\beta y - s_{2}) - s_{2}(\kappa+\beta y)$$
$$-s_{1}(k-y) + \beta y s_{3})$$
$$+(k+1-y)(\beta y s_{3} - s_{2}(\kappa+\beta y) - s_{1}(k-y)(\kappa+\beta y) = 0, \qquad (15)$$

Our aim is to make negative the roots of this equations without explicit calculations. To achieve our goal we can use the following advantages: 1) As we have mentioned above the solutions of the nonlinear system is bounded; 2) the equation for  $\lambda$  contains some arbitrary parameters  $s_1, s_2, s_3$ . In addition, there is no need to calculate these roots explicitly (in the case of higher dimensional systems the task is rather formidable) and investigate their dependence on the arbitrary constants with the aim of making the roots negative. For this purpose one can just use the Routh-Hurwitz criteria: the necessary and sufficient conditions for the equation (15) to have roots with negative real parts are :

$$a_1 > 0, a_3 > 0, a_1 a_2 - a_3 > 0, \tag{16}$$

where  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  are coefficients of  $\lambda^2, \lambda^1, \lambda^0$  in the equation (15), respectively. If the nonlinear chaotic system's parameters value permits the condition k > y, then as it is clear from the equation for  $\lambda$ , just the presence of larger negative  $s_2$  is sufficient to make negative the roots of the equation (15). In other words, we can safely put  $s_1 = s_3 = 0$ . If k - y < 0, k + 1 - y < 0 then larger negative  $s_2$  and larger (in comparison with the absolute value of  $s_2$ ) positive  $s_1$  values with  $s_3 = 0$  could make realizable chaos synchronization within the nonreplica approach. Without doubt, the presence of all the arbitrary constants  $s_{1,2,3}$  in principle further alleviates the task of making negative the roots of the equation (15).

Thus in this paper we have shown that using the boundedness of the solutions of the some of the charge-carrier dynamical systems, nonreplica approach to chaos synchronization and Routh-Hurwitz criteria one can make negative the real parts of the conditional Lyapunov exponents without formidable, cubmersome and tedious numerical and analytical calculations. (For more detailed presentation of this approach to chaos control, see [20]).

## References

- [1] E.N.Lorenz, J.Atmos.Sci.**20**, 130 (1963).
- [2] E.Ott, Chaos in dynamical systems (Cambridge Uni.Press, Cambridge, 1993).
- [3] H.G.Schuster, Deterministic Chaos: An Introduction (Physic-Verlag, Weinheim, 1984);
- [4] P.Berge, Y.Pomeau, C.Vidal, Order within Chaos (Wiley, NY, 1984).
- [5] H.Haken, Synergetics: An Introduction, 3rd ed. (Springer- Verlag, Berlin, 1983);
- [6] C.T.Sparrow, The Lorenz Equation:Bifurcations, Chaos and Strange Attractors (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982).
- [7] A.Serfaty, N.V.Joshi, Phys.Rev.B, 47, 3983 (1993).
- [8] A.Serfaty, Phys.Rev.E, 55, 1342(1997).
- [9] A.Serfaty, N.V.Joshi, Phys.Rev.B, 56, 88 (1997).
- [10] L.M.Pecora, T.L.Carroll, Phys.Rev.Lett.64, 8 (1990).
- [11] E.A.Ott, C.Grebogi, J.A.Yorke, Phys.Rev.Lett.**64**,1196,(1990).
- [12] E.Ott, M.Spano, Physics Today, May, 34 (1995).
- [13] T.Shinbrot, C.Grebogi, E.Ott, J.A. Yorke, Nature (London), **363**, 411 (1993).
- [14] K.Murali, M.Lakshmanan, Phys. Rev. E, 48, R1624 (1993).
- [15] M.Ding, E.Ott, Phys.Rev.E, **49**, R945 (1994).
- [16] K.M.Cuomo, A.V.Oppenheim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 65 (1993).
- [17] N.Gupte, R.E.Amritkar, Phys.Rev.E, 48, R1620 (1993).
- [18] E.M.Shahverdiev, M.Ilhan, Physica B, **193**, 177(1994).
- [19] E.Lorenz, Physica D,13, 90 (1984).
- [20] E.M.Shahverdiev, e-print: chao-dyn/9807023 (1998).