Enhancement of Optical Nonlinearity Through Anisotropic Microstructures

K. P. Yuen¹, M. F. Law¹, K. W. Yu^{1*}, and Ping Sheng²

¹Department of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong

²Department of Physics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong

Abstract

We investigate the polarization dependence of optical nonlinearity enhancement for a uniaxial anisotropic composite of metal nanocrystals in a dielectric host. Three cases are distinguished depending on whether the polarization is parallel, perpendicular or unpolarized with respect to the axis of anisotropy. For the parallel polarization, the results show that the 3D results are qualitatively similar to the 2D case reported recently. For the perpendicular polarization, the results are markedly different from the parallel counterpart: In contrast to the absorption, the enhancement factor actually increases with the anisotropy. Thus the separation of the absorption and enhancement peaks becomes even more pronounced than the parallel polarization case. These results indicate a strong polarization dependence of the nonlinear optical response.

PACS Numbers: 82.70.Dd, 72.20.Ht, 42.65.-k, 61.90.+d

Typeset using REVT_EX

^{*}Corresponding author. e-mail: kwyu@phy.cuhk.edu.hk

I. INTRODUCTION

With the advent of high-power coherent light sources, optical nonlinearity has become a rapidly growing field both in basic research as well as in practical applications [1]. The use-fulness of optical nonlinearity depends on the availability of materials with a large nonlinear susceptibility and a short response time. While the search for a homogeneous material that possesses a large nonlinear susceptibility continues, the use of composite materials has been proposed as an alternative for enhancing the optical nonlinearity, through the inhomogene-ity of the local electric field and/or the surface-plasmon resonances [1]. These enhancement mechanisms are sensitive to the composite microstructure.

In a recent work [2], we proposed the use of structurally anisotropic composites to enhance the optical nonlinearity. Anisotropy is clearly a phenomenon common in most materials, and in optical phenomena. Anisotropy can be an intrinsic material property or can be induced, e.g., by the application of fields. The nonlinearity can be enhanced by using nonspherical (e.g., ellipsoidal) particles [3]. Induced nonlinearity such as the electrostrictive mechanism (through gradients in EM fields) that operates in the microsecond regime was recently reviewed [4].

In Ref. [2], we considered composites made of components which are locally isotropic in their material properties, but with electric-field induced anisotropic microstructures. Here the characteristic length scale of the microstructure is assumed to be much less than the wavelength, so that the optical response of the system is characterized by an effective dielectric tensor. We showed that by controlling the magnitude of the applied electric field, for example, one can vary the degree of geometric anisotropy and thereby tune the system to achieve maximum optical nonlinearity in accordance with the properties and volume fraction of nonlinear materials [2]. Furthermore, we proposed the use of the electrorheological (ER) effect [5,6] to realize the desired geometric anisotropy, e.g., during the annealing process, in material systems containing a nonlinear optical component. An important benefit of the anisotropic microstructures considered in this work and Ref. [2] is the separation of the nonlinear $\chi^{(3)}$ enhancement peak from the absorption peak, thus raising the application potential of the microstructure enhancement mechanism.

While preliminary results were presented for the parallel polarization in 2D [2], it is also necessary to obtain the results for the perpendicular polarizations and hence results for the unpolarized response. Moreover, it is also instructive to examine the more realistic 3D case. In what follows, we shall use the spectral representation of local fields [7] to model the anisotropy enhancement effect. The spectral representation offers the advantage of separation of material parameters from the microstructure information, contained in the spectral density function m(s). It has been shown recently that the optical nonlinearity enhancement effect is directly linked to the behavior of m(s) [10].

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present the spectral representation of anisotropic composite media. In section III, we present results for the absorption and enhancement peaks in the optical response, followed by a summary of our results.

II. SPECTRAL REPRESENTATION OF ANISOTROPIC COMPOSITE MEDIA

We consider a composite metal-dielectric system, with metal being the nonlinear optical component. We assume the particle size is much smaller than the wavelength of light so that the quasi-static approximation can be used. The local constitutive relation is given by $\mathbf{D} = (\epsilon + \chi |\mathbf{E}|^2) \mathbf{E}$, where ϵ is the (position dependent) dielectric constant and χ is the (position dependent) third-order Kerr nonlinear susceptibility. We model this material system by a lattice model, with assigned probability of metal particle occupation at each lattice site. To introduce uniaxial anisotropy, we assign two different occupation probabilities parallel (p_{\parallel}) and perpendicular (p_{\perp}) to a particular direction of an occupied site. We choose several values of the anisotropy ratio $r = p_{\perp}/p_{\parallel}$, varying from isotropic (r = 1) to highly anisotropic (r = 0). We invoke the effective-medium approximation (EMA) for anisotropic media [8,9]. The coupled EMA self-consistency equations read [8,9]:

$$p_{\parallel} \frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{\parallel}}{\epsilon_1 + z_{\parallel} \epsilon_{\parallel}} + (1 - p_{\parallel}) \frac{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{\parallel}}{\epsilon_2 + z_{\parallel} \epsilon_{\parallel}} = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$p_{\perp} \frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{\perp}}{\epsilon_1 + z_{\perp} \epsilon_{\perp}} + (1 - p_{\perp}) \frac{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{\perp}}{\epsilon_2 + z_{\perp} \epsilon_{\perp}} = 0,$$
(2)

where z_{\parallel} and z_{\perp} are the parameters parallel and perpendicular to the applied dc field. In Eqs.(1) and (2), ϵ_1 denotes the dielectric constant of metal, and ϵ_2 that of the dielectric component; ϵ_{\parallel} and ϵ_{\perp} denote, respectively, the parallel and perpendicular components of the effective dielectric tensor. In 2D, the z-parameters are given by [8]

$$z_{\parallel} = \frac{\tan^{-1}\sqrt{\epsilon_{\perp}/\epsilon_{\parallel}}}{\tan^{-1}\sqrt{\epsilon_{\parallel}/\epsilon_{\perp}}},\tag{3}$$

$$z_{\perp} = \frac{\tan^{-1}\sqrt{\epsilon_{\parallel}/\epsilon_{\perp}}}{\tan^{-1}\sqrt{\epsilon_{\perp}/\epsilon_{\parallel}}}.$$
(4)

Let $U = \epsilon_{\perp}/\epsilon_{\parallel}$. In 3D, the z-parameters are given by [8]

$$z_{\parallel} = \frac{\tan^{-1}\sqrt{2U + U^2}}{\tan^{-1}1/\sqrt{2U + U^2}},\tag{5}$$

$$z_{\perp} = \frac{\tan^{-1}\sqrt{2U + U^2}/U}{\tan^{-1}U/\sqrt{2U + U^2}}.$$
(6)

The above theory is applicable to symmetric microstructure as in granular composites, and is an extension of the Bruggeman theory to anisotropic composite media [9]. The depolarization factors are related to the z-parameters through $D_{\parallel} = 1/(1+z_{\parallel})$ and $D_{\perp} = 1/(1+z_{\perp})$. There is a simple relation for the depolarization factors for uniaxial anisotropy in d dimensions [9]:

$$D_{\parallel} + (d-1)D_{\perp} = 1,$$

which can be readily verified by using Eqs.(3)–(6). For the dispersion microstructure, as in colloidal suspensions, it is more appropriate to use the Maxwell-Garnett approximation [9]:

$$\frac{\epsilon_{\parallel} - \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_{\parallel} + z_{\parallel}\epsilon_2} = p_{\parallel} \frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1 + z_{\parallel}\epsilon_2},\tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\epsilon_{\perp} - \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_{\perp} + z_{\perp}\epsilon_2} = p_{\perp} \frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1 + z_{\perp}\epsilon_2}.$$
(8)

Below we consider only the EMA case. For two-component composites, it has proved convenient to adopt the spectral representation of the effective linear response [7]: Let $v = 1 - \epsilon_1/\epsilon_2$, $w_{\alpha} = 1 - \epsilon_{\alpha}/\epsilon_2$ ($\alpha = \parallel \text{ or } \perp$), and s = 1/v, we find

$$w_{\alpha}(s) = \int_0^1 \frac{m_{\alpha}(s') \mathrm{d}s'}{s - s'},\tag{9}$$

where $m_{\alpha}(s')$ is the spectral density which is obtained through a limiting process:

$$m_{\alpha}(s') = \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} w_{\alpha}(s' + i\eta).$$
(10)

In what follows, we consider the 3D case. The EMA can readily be solved in the spectral representation. In our numerical calculations, we choose the real part at several hundred equally spaced values across the interval $0 \le s' \le 1$, and the imaginary part η to be some small positive value. The actual value of η is unimportant. We found that $\eta = 0.001$ gives acceptable results by checking the sum rule:

$$\int_0^1 m_\alpha(s') \mathrm{d}s' = p_\alpha. \tag{11}$$

From any given value of s, the ratio ϵ_1/ϵ_2 is calculated from $s = s' + i\eta$, and subsequently ϵ_{α} , hence w_{α} , may be evaluated numerically. In what follows, we denote $p_{\parallel} = p$ for convenience.

For isotropic composites, r = 1, $z_{\parallel} = z_{\perp} = 2$ and the EMA self-consistency equations can be solved analytically. The spectral density is given by [10]:

$$m(s',p) = \frac{1}{2}(3p-1)\theta(3p-1)\delta(s') + \frac{3}{4\pi s'}\sqrt{(s'-s_1)(s_2-s')},$$
(12)

valid for $s_1 < s' < s_2$, where

$$s_1 = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + p - 2\sqrt{2p(1-p)} \right), \quad s_2 = \frac{1}{3} \left(1 + p + 2\sqrt{2p(1-p)} \right). \tag{13}$$

We first consider the parallel response, ϵ_{\parallel} . In Fig.1, we plot the spectral density $m_{\parallel}(s)$ (associated with ϵ_{\parallel}) against s for several values of p and r. For small p, e.g., p = 0.1, the metal nanoparticles form essentially isolated clusters and the spectral function exhibits a single peak centered around s = 0.2. More interestingly, as the anisotropy increases, the spectral peak becomes narrower. This can be understood by the fact that when $r \to 0$, more chain-like isolated clusters are formed, leading to a narrow spectral peak.

When p gradually increases, the interaction among clusters occur, leading to a broad spectral peak in the isotropic case. However, the spectral peak remains relatively narrow in the anisotropic cases. When p increases further, the metal clusters begin to percolate the system in the isotropic case, leading to a delta-function contribution to the spectral density at s = 0. As p > 1/3, we observe the familiar edge singularity near s = 0 for the isotropic case. As is evident from the figures, the 3D results are qualitatively similar to the 2D ones [2] except for some fine details. From the results, it is clear that anisotropy can change the spectral function substantially. This is the source of the pronounced effect on nonlinearity enhancement.

We next present the perpendicular response, ϵ_{\perp} . In Fig.2, we plot the spectral density $m_{\perp}(s)$ (associated with ϵ_{\perp}) against s for several values of p and r as in Fig.1. For small p, e.g., p = 0.1, again the metal nanoparticles form essentially isolated clusters. The spectral density is generally smaller in magnitude. More interestingly, as the anisotropy increases $(r \rightarrow 0), m_{\perp}(s)$ decreases with r. This is due to the fact that chain-like clusters are isolated from one another in the perpendicular direction. As r decreases, the spectral function splits to a two-peak structure. For larger p, the decrease of $m_{\perp}(s)$ with r persists. Since the absorption is directly proportional to $m_{\perp}(s)$, we obtain an interesting result that the absorption actually decreases with the strength of anisotropy.

III. POLARIZATION DEPENDENCES OF THE ABSORPTION AND THE $\chi^{(3)}$ ENHANCEMENT PEAK

When the spectral density $m_{\alpha}(s)$ is known as a function of s and p, the effective linear response can be calculated from Eq.(9). We adopt the Drude model for the dielectric function of metal nanoparticles:

$$\epsilon_1(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega(\omega + i\gamma)},\tag{14}$$

where ω_p is the plasma frequency and γ is the damping constant. We choose $\gamma = 0.01\omega_p$ and $\epsilon_2 = 1.77$ for our model calculation. In this work, we assume only the metallic component to be nonlinear.

In Fig.3, the absorption peak $\operatorname{Im}(\epsilon_{\parallel})$ is plotted against frequency ω for various r and p. In Fig.4, the absorption peak $\operatorname{Im}(\epsilon_{\perp})$ is plotted against frequency ω for various r and p. As evident from the results, the absorption peak exhibits similar behavior as the spectral density does. This is attributed to the fact that the absorption is related to the imaginary part of the effective dielectric function. From the results, it is also clear that anisotropy can have an important effect on absorption. We next study the effect of anisotropy on the $\chi^{(3)}$ enhancement factor.

If a plane-polarized electromagnetic wave of amplitude E_0 with the polarization parallel the uniaxial anisotropy axis is incident upon the composite system, the local field averages are given by [10]

$$p\langle E_1^2 \rangle = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}s' \frac{s^2 m_{\parallel}(s')}{(s-s')^2} E_0^2, \tag{15}$$

$$p\langle |E_1|^2 \rangle = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}s' \frac{|s|^2 m_{\parallel}(s')}{|s-s'|^2} E_0^2.$$
(16)

From the average local fields, we calculate the effective nonlinear response as:

$$\chi_{\parallel} |E_0|^2 E_0^2 = p \chi_1 \langle |E_1|^2 \rangle \langle E_1^2 \rangle.$$
(17)

This expression results from the mean-field approximation [10].

In Fig.5, we plot the enhancement factor, $|\chi_{\parallel}|/\chi_1$, against ω for various r and p. Here we observe that anisotropy has indeed a pronounced effect on the enhancement peak, as expected. As p increases, the enhancement peak exhibits a red shift, in analogy to the Maxwell-Garnett microstructure. These results are qualitatively similar to the 2D ones reported recently [2].

Similar considerations apply to the perpendicular polarization. In Fig.6, we plot the enhancement factor, $|\chi_{\perp}|/\chi_1$, against ω for various r and p. Here, in contrast to the absorption (Fig.4), the enhancement factor actually increases with the anisotropy as r decreases from unity. For small p, the enhancement peak becomes extremely narrow at r = 0.01. However, the enhancement peak must vanish at r = 0 (because $p_{\perp} = 0$ in this limit). The separation of the absorption and enhancement peaks is thus even more pronounced for the

perpendicular polarization. Here we observe that anisotropy has indeed a pronounced effect on the enhancement peak, as expected. We conclude that anisotropy can enhance the nonlinearity and its figure of merit, due to the separation of the absorption peak from the $\chi^{(3)}$ enhancement peak.

We can calculate the optical response of unpolarized light, which is defined as the average of the results of parallel and perpendicular polarizations.

$$\epsilon_e = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_{\parallel} + \epsilon_{\perp}), \tag{18}$$

$$\chi_e = \frac{1}{2} (\chi_{\parallel} + \chi_{\perp}).$$
 (19)

The factor 1/2 is due to the fact that unpolarized light has equal components in the parallel and perpendicular directions, which is valid for normal incidence and when the anisotropic uniaxial axis is parallel to the flat surface. For oblique incidence, we must deal with the *s* and *p* polarizations separately and compute the average. For the *s* polarization, i.e., when the electric field is normal to the plane of incidence, we expect to obtain the same results as those of normal incidence. For the *p* polarization, i.e., when the electric field is parallel to the plane of incidence, the results will depend on the angle of incidence.

In Fig.7, the absorption peak $\text{Im}(\epsilon_e)$ for unpolarized light is plotted against frequency ω for various values of r and p. In Fig.8, the enhancement peak $|\chi_e|/\chi_1$ is plotted against frequency ω for several values of r and p. It should be remarked that the parallel case does not contribute to the average at r = 0 because $p_{\perp} = 0$ in this case. These results may be observed in annealed Au:SiO₂ composites [11].

In order to show the enhancement effect more clearly, we plot in Fig.9 the figure of merit (FOM), defined by dividing χ_e/χ_1 of Fig.8 by Im(ϵ_e) of Fig.7 for the unpolarized case. As is evident from the figures, large FOM occurs at large ω . However, if we are interested in smaller ω , say, $\omega/\omega_p < 0.5$ because of the quasi-static condition, the largest FOM of about 120 χ_1 (esu cm) is achieved at p = 0.1 and r = 0.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We have investigated the polarization dependence of optical nonlinearity enhancement in an anisotropic composite of metal nanocrystals in a dielectric host. For the perpendicular polarization, the results are found to be markedly different from the parallel counterpart. In contrast to the absorption, the enhancement factor actually increases with anisotropy. The separation of the absorption and enhancement peaks is thus even more pronounced for the perpendicular polarization.

We have computed the spectral density in the anisotropic effective-medium approximation. The results support our proposal that there can be very large anisotropy-induced enhancement of nonlinearity. It is further proposed that such enhancement effect may be realized experimentally through the application of the electrorheological effect (e.g., during the annealing process), with the possibility of achieving even larger optical nonlinearity than that reported in [11].

It is relevant to note that in this context that the effects discussed in the present paper are qualitatively different from those of a dispersion of aligned anisotropic particles (such as sticks) with nonlinear optical properties. Whereas in the case of aligned sticks the enhancement peak always coincides with the absorption peak, here the separation of the two makes the figure of merit much more attractive. Physically, the difference arises from the different microstructures. In the present case, the connectedness of the anisotropic microstructure is crucial for the predicted effects.

Colloidal systems with nonlinear optical particles in the range of 0.1 μ m are good candidates for induced-anisotropy enhancement. Here the particle size range is determined by considerations that the particles should be smaller than the optical wavelength, yet large enough so that the Brownian motion is not strong enough to overwhelm the field effect (ER effect).

It should be noted that when an intense dc field and an EM field are applied simultaneously, there can be an enhancement in nonlinearity due to electrostriction [4]. However, the results of the present work implies that the induced anisotropy in the microstructure can have an even more significant enhancement in nonlinearity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Research Grants Council under project number CUHK 461/95P. P. Sheng wishes to acknowledge the support of Research Infrastructure Grant RI93/94.SC09.

REFERENCES

- See the articles in J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 6, (1989), special issue on Nonlinear-Optical Properties of Materials, edited by C. M. Bowden and J. W. Haus.
- [2] K. P. Yuen, M. F. Law, K. W. Yu and P. Sheng, Phys. Rev. E 56, R1322 (1997).
- [3] J. W. Haus, R. Inguva and C. M. Bowden, Phys. Rev. A 40, 5729 (1989); J. W. Haus, N. Kalyaniwalla, R. Inguva, M. Bloemer and C. M. Bowden, in Ref.[1], p.797.
- [4] A. E. Neeves and M. H. Birnboim, in Ref.[1], p.787.
- [5] See the articles in *Electrorheological Fluids*, edited by R. Tao and G. D. Roy (World Scientific, 1994).
- [6] H. Ma, W. Wen, W. Y. Tam and P. Sheng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2499 (1996).
- [7] D. J. Bergman and D. Stroud, in: Solid State Physics, Vol. 46, edited by H. Ehrenreich and D. Turnbull (Academic Press, New York, 1992), p.147; G. W. Milton, J. Appl. Phys. 52, 5286 (1980); G. W. Milton, Appl. Phys. A 26, 1207 (1981).
- [8] J. Bernasconi, Phys. Rev. B 9, 4575 (1974).
- [9] For a recent review on various anisotropic effective medium theories, see S. Berthier, J. Phys. I (France) 4, 303 (1994).
- [10] Hongru Ma, Rongfu Xiao and Ping Sheng, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B, to be published (1997).
- [11] H. B. Liao, R. F. Xiao, J. S. Fu, P. Yu, G. K. L. Wong and P. Sheng, Appl. Phys. Lett. 70, 1 (1997).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Spectral density $m_{\parallel}(s)$ of parallel polarization of the anisotropic EMA plotted as a function of s, for several values of r = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1. (a) p = 0.1, (b) p = 0.3, (c) p = 0.5 and (d) p = 0.9.

FIG. 2. Spectral density $m_{\perp}(s)$ of perpendicular polarization of the anisotropic EMA plotted as a function of s, for several values of r = 0.01, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1. (a) p = 0.1, (b) p = 0.3, (c) p = 0.5 and (d) p = 0.9.

FIG. 3. The absorption peak $\text{Im}(\epsilon_{\parallel})$ plotted against frequency ω , for several values of r = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1. (a) p = 0.1, (b) p = 0.3, (c) p = 0.5 and (d) p = 0.9.

FIG. 4. The absorption peak $\text{Im}(\epsilon_{\perp})$ plotted against frequency ω , for several values of r = 0.01, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1. (a) p = 0.1, (b) p = 0.3, (c) p = 0.5 and (d) p = 0.9.

FIG. 5. The enhancement peak $|\chi_{\parallel}|/\chi_1$ plotted against frequency ω , for several values of r = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1. (a) p = 0.1, (b) p = 0.3, (c) p = 0.5 and (d) p = 0.9.

FIG. 6. The enhancement peak $|\chi_{\perp}|/\chi_1$ plotted against frequency ω , for several values of r = 0.01, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1. (a) p = 0.1, (b) p = 0.3, (c) p = 0.5 and (d) p = 0.9.

FIG. 7. For unpolarized light, the absorption peak $\text{Im}(\epsilon_e)$ plotted against frequency ω , for several values of r = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1. (a) p = 0.1, (b) p = 0.3, (c) p = 0.5 and (d) p = 0.9.

FIG. 8. For unpolarized light, the enhancement peak $|\chi_e|/\chi_1$ plotted against frequency ω , for several values of r = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1. (a) p = 0.1, (b) p = 0.3, (c) p = 0.5 and (d) p = 0.9.

FIG. 9. For unpolarized light, the figure of merit plotted against frequency ω , for several values of r = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1. (a) p = 0.1, (b) p = 0.3, (c) p = 0.5 and (d) p = 0.9.

















