Mesoscopic Transport Through Ballistic Cavities: A Random S-Matrix Theory Approach

Harold U. Baranger¹ and Pier A. Mello²

¹ AT&T Bell Laboratories 1D-230, 600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974-0636

² Instituto de Fisica, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 01000 México D.F., México

(Submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett., March 15, 1994)

We deduce the effects of quantum interference on the conductance of chaotic cavities by using a statistical ansatz for the S matrix. Assuming that the circular ensembles describe the S matrix of a chaotic cavity, we find that the conductance fluctuation and weak-localization magnitudes are universal: they are independent of the size and shape of the cavity if the number of incoming modes, N, is large. The limit of small N is more relevant experimentally; here we calculate the full distribution of the conductance and find striking differences as N changes or a magnetic field is applied.

The effect of quantum interference on transport through microstructures has been intensively investigated and is one of the main subjects of mesoscopic physics [1]. For diffusive transport in disordered structures, both microscopic perturbative and macroscopic random matrix theories give a good account of the phenomena. In the latter case [2], one assumes that the transfer matrix for an ensemble of such disordered microstructures can be chosen from a simple statistical ensemble with only symmetry constraints applied. The success of this theory is perhaps the best theoretical demonstration of the ubiquity of mesoscopic interference effects.

More recently, interest has focused on quantum transport in ballistic microstructures— structures in which impurity scattering can be neglected so that only scattering from the boundaries of the conducting region is important [1]. Quantum interference effects in such structures depend on the nature of the classical dynamics [3–8], in particular whether it is regular or chaotic [9]. Recent experiments have studied transport in such ballistic structures [10–13] and have detected a difference between nominally regular and chaotic structures [10].

The theoretical work on this subject [3-7] has concentrated on either numerical quantum calculations or semiclassical theory. On the other hand, it has been proposed [8] that chaotic scattering in the quantum regime [14] should be described by a random matrix theory for the S-matrix. The emphasis in both that work and very recent work on the S-matrix of disordered structures [15] is on the properties of the eigenphases of S. The eigenphases, however, are not directly connected to the transport properties because they involve both reflection and transmission. In contrast, in this paper we derive the implications of such a random S-matrix theory for the quantum transport properties and provide numerical evidence that this theory applies to the class of ballistic microstructures investigated experimentally. In this way we obtain experimentally accessible predictions for the quantum transport properties of chaotic billiards.

A quantum scattering problem is described by its Smatrix. For scattering involving two leads (see Fig. 1) each with N channels and width W, we have

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} r & t' \\ t & r' \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

where r, t are the $N \times N$ reflection and transmission matrices for particles from the left and r', t' for those from the right. In terms of S, the conductance is [16]

$$G = (e^2/h)T = (e^2/h)Tr\{tt^{\dagger}\}.$$
 (2)

Because of current conservation S is unitary, $SS^{\dagger} = I$, and in the absence of a magnetic field it is symmetric because of time-reversal symmetry, $S = S^{T}$.

We concentrate on situations where the statistics of the scattering can be described by an ensemble of S-matrices that assign to S an "equal a priori distribution" once the symmetry restrictions have been imposed. In particular, the possibility of "direct" processes caused, for instance, by short trajectories and giving rise to a nonvanishing averaged S-matrix [17,8], is ruled out. The appropriate ensembles are well-known in classical random matrix theory [18] and are called the Circular Orthogonal Ensemble (COE, $\beta = 1$) in the presence of time-reversal symmetry and the Circular Unitary Ensemble (CUE, $\beta = 2$) in the absence of such symmetry. These ensembles are defined through their invariant measure: the measure on the matrix space which is invariant under the appropriate symmetry operations. To be precise [18], $d\mu(S) = d\mu(S')$, where $S' = U_0 S V_0$, and U_0 , V_0 are arbitrary fixed unitary matrices in the case of the CUE with the restriction $V_0 = U_0^T$ in the COE. Numerical evidence for the validity of this random matrix theory for describing quantumchaotic scattering can be found in Ref. [8].

Perhaps the most widely studied mesoscopic transport effects are the magnitude of the conductance fluctuations— how much the conductance varies as a magnetic field or gate voltage is applied— and the size of the weak-localization correction to the average conductance at B = 0 [1]. We therefore start by deriving $\langle T \rangle$ and var(T) where we use an integration over the invariant measure as the average. Such integrals have been evaluated previously [19], and we find that

$$\int d\mu(S) |t_{ab}|^2 = \frac{1}{2N + \delta_{1\beta}}$$

$$\int d\mu(S)|t_{ab}|^2|t_{cd}|^2 = \frac{2(N+\delta_{1\beta})(1+\delta_{ac}\delta_{bd})-\delta_{ac}-\delta_{bd}}{2N(2N+1)(2N-1+4\delta_{1\beta})}$$

Performing the trace over channels in Eq. (2), we obtain

$$\langle T \rangle - N/2 = -\delta_{1\beta}N/(4N+2) \rightarrow (-1/4)\delta_{1\beta}$$
 (3a)

$$var(T) = \begin{cases} \frac{N(N+1)^2}{(2N+1)^2(2N+3)} & \to \frac{1}{8} , \text{ COE} \\ \frac{N^2}{4(4N^2-1)} & \to \frac{1}{16} , \text{ CUE} \end{cases}$$
(3b)

where the limit is as $N \to \infty$.

We make several comments concerning these results. (1) Previously, semiclassical theory and numerical calculations suggested that the weak-localization correction, $\langle T \rangle - N/2$, and the magnitude of the conductance fluctuations, var(T), are independent of the size of the system for chaotic billiards [3]. This is the analogue of the "universality" of the conductance fluctuations in the diffusive regime [1]. Since the number of modes is proportional to the size of the system $(N = int[kW/\pi])$, our $N \rightarrow \infty$ results show that the conductance fluctuations and weak-localization are universal within the random S*matrix theory.* (2) In the large N limit, var(T) in the presence of time-reversal symmetry is twice as large as in the absence of symmetry, as for diffusive conductance fluctuations. This demonstrates the universal effect of symmetry. (3) Both quantities show some variation in the small N regime typical of the experiments [10-12]. Note, for instance, that the ratio of var(T) in the presence and absence of symmetry is not 2 for small N. (4) The values obtained in the $N \to \infty$ limit are the same as those obtained from a random matrix theory for the Hamiltonian [20] in which one assumes that the Hamiltonian of the billiard is described by the Gaussian Ensembles and finds the conductance by coupling the billiard to leads in a random way. Quantitative agreement between S-matrix and Hamiltonian random matrix theories has been noted in the past [17] but is not fully understood.

The predictions of the random matrix theory are compared to the conductance of a stadium billiard in Fig. 1 computed using the method of Ref. [21]. In these calculations, the S-matrix varies as a function of energy because of the resonances occurring in the cavity. We estimate that the resonances are moderately overlapping for N = 1 and that the width to spacing ratio increases linearly with N. The basic assumption (*ergodic hypothesis*) is that through these fluctuations S covers the matrix space with uniform probability. This should apply to billiards in which the effect of short non-chaotic paths is minimized. We therefore use a stadium billiard in which (1) a stopper blocks any direct transmission between the leads, (2) a stopper blocks the whispering gallery trajectories which hug the half-circle part of the stadium, and (3) the stadium is asymmetrized to break all reflection symmetries. We obtain excellent agreement between the energy averages found numerically and the invariant-measure ensemble averages introduced above. In particular, both the variation at small N and the ratio of var(T) in the presence and absence of time-reversal symmetry are verified in the billiards.

Motivated by this good agreement, we consider more detailed predictions of the random S-matrix theory: we derive the full distribution of T for small N and the statistics of the eigenvalues of tt^{\dagger} , denoted $\{\tau\}$. We obtain these results by expressing the invariant measure in terms of a set of variables that includes the $\{\tau\}$. Any unitary matrix of the form in Eq. (1) can be written as [22]

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} v^{(1)} & 0\\ 0 & v^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\sqrt{1-\tau} & \sqrt{\tau}\\ \sqrt{\tau} & \sqrt{1-\tau} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v^{(3)} & 0\\ 0 & v^{(4)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

where τ stands for a $N \times N$ diagonal matrix of the eigenvalues $\{\tau\}$ and the $v^{(i)}$ are arbitrary unitary matrices except that $v^{(3)} = (v^{(1)})^T$ and $v^{(4)} = (v^{(2)})^T$ in the presence of time-reversal symmetry. Now it is a general property of measures on vector spaces [23] that a differential arclength written in the form $d\sigma^2 = \sum_{ab} g_{ab} dx^a dx^b$ implies that the volume measure is $d\mu(V) = \sqrt{det(g)} \prod_a dx^a$. In our case the differential arc-length is simply $d\sigma^2 = Tr\{dS^{\dagger}dS\}$. Substituting for S the form in Eq. (4), one finds $(\beta = 1, 2)$

$$d\mu(S) = P_{\beta}(\{\tau\}) \prod_{a} d\tau_{a} \prod_{i} d\mu(v^{(i)})$$
(5)

where the joint probability distribution of the $\{\tau\}$ is

$$P_2(\{\tau\}) = C_2 \prod_{a < b} |\tau_a - \tau_b|^2$$
 (6a)

$$P_1(\{\tau\}) = C_1 \prod_{a < b} |\tau_a - \tau_b| \prod_c 1/\sqrt{\tau_c} , \qquad (6b)$$

 $d\mu(v^{(i)})$ denotes the invariant, or Haar's, measure on the unitary group [24], and C_{β} are N dependent normalization constants [25].

The distribution of $T = \sum_{a=1}^{N} \tau_a$ follows from Eq. (5) by integration over the joint probability distribution. This can be carried out for small N; for instance, in the trivial case N = 1, w(T) = 1 for the CUE and $w(T) = 1/(2\sqrt{T})$ for the COE. For N = 1 - 3 the w(T)derived from the random matrix theory are plotted in Fig. 2 and compared to numerical data for billiards. Note the dramatic difference between the CUE and COE w(T) in the single mode case, and the difference within each ensemble between the N = 1 and N = 2 cases. The results for N = 3 are close to a Gaussian distribution defined by the two moments given in Eqs. (3).

The agreement between the numerical and theoretical results in Fig. 2 is very good in terms of both the difference between COE and CUE and the dependence on N [26]. These effects should be observable in experiments, which are typically done in the few mode limit, and would provide a clear test of the applicability of random S-matrix theory to experimental microstructures.

Though not experimentally accessible, the $\{\tau\}$ are theoretically interesting because of their fundamental relation to the conductance. We obtain further information by writing the joint probability density in the form

$$P_{\beta}(\{\tau\}) = C_{\beta} \exp\{-\beta [\sum_{a < b} \ln |\tau_a - \tau_b| + \sum_c V_{\beta}(\tau_c)]\}$$
(7)

with $V_2(\tau) = 0$ and $V_1(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \tau$. This is exactly the form of the joint density in the global-maximum-entropy approach to quantum transport in disordered systems [2] and in the Gaussian ensembles [18]. Many statistics of such distributions are known asymptotically as $N \to \infty$ [18,27–30]. For instance, the known form of the asymptotic two-point correlation function [27,30] can be used to obtain the asymptotic value of var(T):

$$var(T) = \int_0^1 d\tau \int_0^1 d\tau' \tau \tau' \rho_2(\tau, \tau') \to 1/8\beta.$$
 (8)

This result agrees with Eq. (3b) and is independent of the potential $V(\tau)$. Therefore the asymptotic value of var(T) is the same for a large class of random matrix theories, another aspect of the "universality" of conductance fluctuations [29].

In the CUE case, the joint density in Eq. (7) is of a special form suitable for the random matrix theory method of orthogonal polynomials [18,2]. In this case, V = 0and the eigenvalues are restricted to [0, 1] so the Legendre polynomials are appropriate [31]. In terms of these polynomials, the exact eigenvalue density and two-point correlation function are [31,27]

$$\rho(\tau) = \frac{N^2}{4\tau(1-\tau)} [P_N^2(\alpha) - 2\alpha P_N(\alpha) P_{N-1}(\alpha) + P_{N-1}^2(\alpha)]$$

$$\rho_{2}(\tau,\tau') = \rho(\tau)\delta(\tau-\tau')/N$$
(9)
-[P_{N}(\alpha)P_{N-1}(\alpha') - P_{N-1}(\alpha)P_{N}(\alpha')]^{2}/16(\tau-\tau')^{2}

where $\alpha \equiv 2\tau - 1$. Using the asymptotic expansion of the Legendre polynomials as $N \to \infty$ and some smoothing, one finds that $\rho(\tau) \to N/\pi \sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}$ [31] and recovers the expression in Refs. [27] and [30] for the asymptotic two-point correlation function. Previous work has shown that the statistics of the eigenvalues $\{\tau\}$ follows that of the Gaussian unitary ensemble in the large N limit [31].

Comparison of the predictions of the last two paragraphs with numerical results further supports the applicability of the COE and CUE to ballistic cavities.

In summary, we have derived the consequences for quantum transport of the assumption that the S-matrix of a chaotic cavity follows the circular ensembles. We have shown that the magnitude of both the conductance fluctuations and the weak-localization in a chaotic microstructure are universal in the large N limit. The small N limit is most relevant experimentally, and here we find deviations from the asymptotic behavior (Fig. 1) as well as a striking dependence of the full distribution of T on both N and magnetic field (Fig. 2). In closing, we emphasize that we have neglected the "direct" scattering due to short paths ($\langle S \rangle = 0$); since such scattering is important in many chaotic cavities, the effect of these processes on quantum transport remains an important open question, which, in principle, could be investigated using the information-theoretic model of Ref. [17].

We thank R. A. Jalabert for several valuable discussions. One of us (PAM) appreciates the kind hospitality of the Wissenschaftkolleg zu Berlin where part of this work was performed.

Note added— While preparing this work for publication we received a preprint by C. W. J. Beenakker, R. A. Jalabert, and J.-L. Pichard which contains some overlapping material. We thank J.-L. Pichard for sending us this preprint.

- For reviews of mesoscopic physics see C. W. J. Beenakker and H. van Houten in *Solid State Physics*, Vol. 44, edited by H. Ehrenreich and D. Turnbull (Academic Press, New York, 1991) pp. 1-228 and B. L. Altshuler, P. A. Lee, and R. A. Webb, eds., *Mesoscopic Phenomena in Solids* (North-Holland, New York, 1991).
- [2] For a review see A. D. Stone, P. A. Mello, K. Muttalib, and J.-L. Pichard in Ref. [1].
- [3] R. A. Jalabert, H. U. Baranger and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 2442 (1990); H. U. Baranger, R. A. Jalabert, and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3876 (1993) and Chaos **3**, 665 (1993).
- [4] R. B. S. Oakeshott and A. MacKinnon, Superlat. Microstruc. 11, 145 (1992).
- [5] R. Jensen, Chaos 1, 101 (1991).
- [6] E. Doron, U. Smilansky, and A. Frenkel, Physica D 50, 367 (1991).
- [7] W. A. Lin, J. B. Delos and R. V. Jensen, Chaos 3, 655 (1993).
- [8] R. Blümel and U. Smilansky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 477 (1988); **64**, 241 (1990); Physica D **36**, 111 (1989); E. Doron and U. Smilansky, Nucl. Phys. A **545**, C455 (1992).
- [9] For reviews of chaos see M. C. Gutzwiller, Chaos in

Classical and Quantum Mechanics (Springer Verlag, New York, 1991) and M.-J. Giannoni, A. Voros, and J. Zinn-Justin, eds., *Chaos and Quantum Physics* (North-Holland, New York, 1991).

- [10] C. M. Marcus, A. J. Rimberg, R. M. Westervelt, P. F. Hopkins and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 506 (1992); C. M. Marcus, R. M. Westervelt, P. F. Hopkins and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 2460 (1993).
- [11] M. J. Berry, J. A. Katine, R. M. Westervelt and A. C. Gossard, preprint (1994) and Surf. Sci., in press (1994).
- [12] M. W. Keller, O. Millo, A. Mittal, D. E. Prober, and R. N. Sacks, Surf. Sci., in press (1994).
- [13] D. Weiss, K. Richter, A. Menschig, R. Bergmann, H. Schweizer, K. von Klitzing, and G. Weimann, Phys. Rev. Lett., 70, 4118 (1993).
- [14] For a review see U. Smilansky, Ref. [9], pp. 371-441.
- [15] R. A. Jalabert and J.-L. Pichard, unpublished.
- [16] R. Landauer, Phil. Mag. 21, 863 (1970); M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 1761 (1986).
- [17] P. A. Mello, P. Pereyra and T. H.Seligman, Ann. Phys. (NY) 161, 254 (1985); W. A. Friedman and P. A. Mello, Ann. Phys. (NY) 161, 276 (1985).
- [18] M. L. Mehta, Random Matrices (Academic, New York, 1991); C. E. Porter, Statistical Theories of Spectral Fluctuations (Academic, New York, 1965); and L. K. Hua, Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in the Classical Domain, (L. Ebner and A. Koranyi, Tr.) (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1963).
- [19] P. A. Mello and T. H. Seligman, Nucl. Phys. A 344, 489 (1980) and P. A. Mello, J. Phys. A 23, 4061 (1990).
- [20] S. Iida, H. A. Weidenmüller, and J. A. Zuk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 583 (1990) and Annals of Phys. 200, 219 (1990).
- [21] H. U. Baranger, D. P. DiVincenzo, R. A. Jalabert, and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. B 44, 10637 (1991).
- [22] P. A. Mello and J.-L. Pichard, J. Phys. I1, 493 (1991); P.
 A. Mello and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. B 44, 3559 (1991).
- [23] H. Lass, Vector and Tensor Analysis (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1950) pp. 280-287.
- [24] M. Hamermesh, Group Theory and Its Applications to Physical Problems (Addison-Wesley, Reading MA, 1962).
- [25] In Eq. (5) we have omitted phase factors which reflect the arbitrariness of the decomposition Eq. (4) for the CUE case. None of the results reported here are affected; see Ref. [22] for a full discussion. Also note that \prod_i runs from i = 1 to 2 for the COE and i = 1 to 4 for the CUE.
- [26] The agreement is poorest in the COE N = 3 case. Further analysis is necessary to determine whether there is a systematic deviation from the theory near T = 1.25 in this case.
- [27] E. Brézin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi, and J.-B. Zuber, Commun. Math. Phys. 50, 35 (1978); E. Brézin and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. B 402, 613 (1993) and preprint.
- [28] H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. B 40, 11917 (1989).
- [29] C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1155 (1993) and Phys. Rev. B 47, 15763 (1993).
- [30] C. W. J. Beenakker, preprint (1993).
- [31] H. S. Leff, J. Math. Phys. 5, 763 (1964).

FIG. 1. The magnitude of the weak-localization correction [panel (a)] and the conductance fluctuations [panel (b)] as a function of the number of modes in the leads, N. The numerical results for B = 0 (squares with statistical error bars) agree with the prediction of the COE (diamonds connected by dotted line), while those for $B \neq 0$ (triangles) agree with the prediction of the CUE (diamonds connected by dashed line). The inset shows a typical ballistic cavity used. The numerical results involve averaging over (1) energy at fixed N (50 points), (2) 6 different cavities obtained by changing the two stoppers, and (3) 2 magnetic fields for $B \neq 0$ ($BA/\phi_0 = 2$, 4 where A is the area of the cavity).

FIG. 2. The distribution of the transmission intensity at fixed N = 1, 2, or 3 both in the absence (first column) and presence (second column) of a magnetic field. The numerical results (plusses with statistical error bars) are in good agreement with the predictions of the circular ensembles (dashed lines). Note in particular the striking difference between the N = 1 (first row) and N = 2 (second row) results and between the B = 0 and $B \neq 0$ results for N = 1. For N = 3 the distribution approaches a Gaussian (dotted lines). The cavities used are the same as those in Fig. 1; for $B \neq 0, BA/\phi_0 = 2, 3, 4, \text{ and } 5$ were used.