

Deformed multi-variable Fokker-Planck equations

Choon-Lin Ho¹ and Ryu Sasaki²

¹*Department of Physics, Tamkang University, Tamsui 251, Taiwan, Republic of China*

²*Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

(Dated: Mar 9, 2007)

In this paper new multi-variable deformed Fokker-Planck (FP) equations are presented. These deformed FP equations are associated with the Ruijsenaars-Schneider-van Diejen (RSvD) type systems in the same way that the usual one variable FP equation is associated with the one particle Schrödinger equation. As the RSvD systems are the “discrete” counterparts of the celebrated exactly solvable many-body Calogero-Sutherland-Moser systems, the deformed FP equations presented here can be considered as “discrete” deformations of the ordinary multi-variable FP equations.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 02.30.Ik, 03.65.-w , 02.30.Gp

I. INTRODUCTION

The Fokker-Planck (FP) equation is one of the most important tools to deal with fluctuation phenomena in various kinds of systems [1]. Recent discovery of anomalous diffusion in fractal and disordered media have prompted new developments in the FP theory, the major one being fractional FP equations, where the ordinary spatial derivatives are replaced by fractional derivatives [2]. Nonlinear FP equations [3] and diffusion equation based on q -derivatives have also been considered [4].

In [5], based on the well-known relation between FP equations and Schrödinger equations of quantum mechanics, we have proposed new types of deformed FP equations which are associated with the Schrödinger equations of the “discrete” quantum mechanics considered in [6, 7, 8]. The latter is a natural discretization of quantum mechanics and its Schrödinger equations are difference instead of differential equations. The eigenfunctions of some exactly solvable “discrete” quantum mechanics include various deformations of the classical orthogonal polynomials (the Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials), namely, those belonging to the family of Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials, and the Askey-Wilson polynomial in q -analysis [9, 10, 11]. In fact, these deformed orthogonal polynomials arise in the problem [7] of describing the equilibrium positions of Ruijsenaars-Schneider-van Diejen (RSvD) type systems [12], which are the “discrete” counterparts of the celebrated exactly solvable multi-particle Calogero-Sutherland-Moser (CSM) systems [13], just as the Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials describe the equilibrium positions of the CSM systems [14]. In this sense, the proposed deformed FP equations [5] can be considered as the “discrete” deformation of the usual FP equation.

In this paper, we would like to generalize the results in [5] for the one-particle cases to the many-body cases, and obtain the many-variable deformed FP equations associated with the RSvD systems. We first briefly review in Sect. II the connection between the ordinary multi-variable FP equation and the many-body Schrödinger equation. Sect. III summarizes the basics of the CSM systems associated with rational/trigonometric classical root systems. In Sect. IV we review the RSvD systems and derive the corresponding FP equations for the two rational types. The trigonometric type RSvD systems and the corresponding FP equations are discussed in Sect. V. Sect. VI concludes the paper.

II. CONNECTION BETWEEN FOKKER-PLANCK AND SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS

The general form of FP equation of the probability density $P(x, t)$ with n variables $x \equiv (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is [1]

$$\frac{\partial P(x, t)}{\partial t} = L_{FP}P(x, t),$$
$$L_{FP} \equiv - \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} D_j(x) + \sum_{jk} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} D_{jk}(x). \quad (1)$$

The functions $D_j(x)$ and $D_{jk}(x)$ in the FP operator L_{FP} are, respectively, the drift vector and the diffusion matrix (we consider only time-independent case). The drift vector represents the external forces acting on the particles, while the diffusion matrix accounts for the effects of fluctuation.

It is well known that in one-dimension, the FP equation is closely related to the Schrödinger equation. The correspondence between the two equations can be shown by transforming the FP equation into the corresponding

Schrödinger equation [1], or vice versa [5], based on a similarity transformation. The similarity transformation is related to the ground state of the Schrödinger equation, or equivalently, to the stationary state of the FP equation. One thus expects that similar connection is possible between a FP equation with multiple variables and a one-dimensional many-body Schrödinger equation. It turns out that such connection is possible only for special forms of D_j and D_{jk} [1]. Below we shall briefly review such connection.

Instead of transforming an assumed form of FP equation to a Schrödinger equation, as is usually done in the literature (see e.g. [1]), we think it is more direct to see what form of FP equation will emerge by directly transforming a known many-body Schrödinger equation. So let us consider a quantum mechanical Hamiltonian of n particles with equal masses (for simplicity, we adopt the unit system in which \hbar , the mass m of the particles, and the ‘fictitious’ speed of light c in the RSvD systems are such that $\hbar = 2m = mc = 1$)

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + V(x). \quad (2)$$

Here $V(x)$ is the potential term which include external fields and inter-particle forces. By adjusting the additive constant in $V(x)$, the energy of the ground state ϕ_0 can always be made zero, i.e. $H\phi_0 = 0$. Under these assumptions, the Hamiltonian H is completely determined by its ground state wave function $\phi_0(x)$. By the well-known theorem of quantum mechanics, $\phi_0(x)$ has no node and can be chosen real. Hence one can parametrize ϕ_0 by a real prepotential $W(x)$:

$$\phi_0(x) = e^{-W(x)}. \quad (3)$$

Then the condition $H\phi_0 = 0$ enables one to express $V(x)$ in terms of $W(x)$ as well:

$$V(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\left(\frac{\partial W(x)}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 - \frac{\partial^2 W(x)}{\partial x_j^2} \right). \quad (4)$$

Since only derivatives of $W(x)$ appear in $V(x)$, $W(x)$ is defined only up to an additive constant W_0 . We choose the constant W_0 in such a way as to normalize $\phi_0(x)$ properly, $\int \phi_0(x)^2 d^n x = 1$. For simplicity of presentation, we consider the cases in which the ground state wave functions are square integrable, that is the corresponding FP operators have the normalizable stationary distributions.

The Hamiltonian H can be expressed as a sum of factorized forms, $H = \sum_j A_j^\dagger A_j$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_j &\equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial W(x)}{\partial x_j}, \\ A_j^\dagger &\equiv -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial W(x)}{\partial x_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since each term $A_j^\dagger A_j$ in H is positive semi-definite, the condition $A_j \phi_0 = 0$ must hold in order to have $H\phi_0 = 0$. This latter condition is a sufficient and necessary condition for $H\phi_0 = 0$.

Now we define an operator from the H and ϕ_0 by the similarity transformation

$$L_{FP} \equiv -\phi_0 H \phi_0^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

which guarantees the non-positivity of the eigenvalues of L_{FP} . Using ϕ_0 in (3), one obtains

$$L_{FP} = \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial(2W(x))}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}. \quad (7)$$

From (1), it is seen that L_{FP} is just the corresponding FP operator with drift vector $D_j = -2\partial W/\partial x_j$ and a diffusion matrix proportional to the unit matrix: $D_{jk} = \delta_{jk}$ (the constant factor here equals unity which is a result of the choice of unit in H). This establishes the required forms of D_j and D_{jk} so that the multi-variable FP equation is related to a one-dimensional many-body Schrödinger equation. We see that D_j is in fact defined, as in the one variable FP equation, by a drift potential $\Phi(x) = 2W(x)$: $D_j = -\partial\Phi/\partial x_j$.

Both H and L_{FP} are determined by ϕ_0 . The relationship between their eigenfunctions is the same as that for the single particle case, except that special care is needed to ensure the reality of the eigenfunctions belonging to degenerate eigenvalues. The eigenfunction $P_{\mathbf{m}}(x)$ of L_{FP} corresponding to the eigenvalue $-\lambda_{\mathbf{m}}$ is related to the (real and normalized) eigenfunction $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}$ of H corresponding to $\lambda_{\mathbf{m}}$ by $P_{\mathbf{m}}(x) = \phi_0(x)\phi_{\mathbf{m}}(x)$. Here \mathbf{m} is, in general, a

certain multi-index. The stationary distribution is $P_0 = \phi_0^2 = \exp(-2W)$, which is obviously non-negative, and is the zero mode of L_{FP} : $L_{FP}P_0 = 0$. Any positive definite initial probability density $P(x, 0)$ can be expanded as $P(x, 0) = \phi_0(x) \sum_{\mathbf{m}} c_{\mathbf{m}} \phi_{\mathbf{m}}(x)$, with constant coefficients $c_{\mathbf{m}}$

$$c_{\mathbf{m}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{\mathbf{m}}(x) (\phi_0^{-1}(x) P(x, 0)) d^n x. \quad (8)$$

Then at any later time t , the solution of the FP equation is $P(x, t) = \phi_0(x) \sum_{\mathbf{m}} c_{\mathbf{m}} \phi_{\mathbf{m}}(x) \exp(-\lambda_{\mathbf{m}} t)$.

III. CALOGERO-SUTHERLAND-MOSER SYSTEMS

One class of multi-variable FP equations having the special form discussed in the previous section which has been widely discussed in the literature is that related to the celebrated exactly solvable many-body quantum system, namely, the CSM models. Such FP equation has found applications in, e.g. random matrix theory [15] and others [16].

Here we consider four types of CSM systems associated with the classical root systems: two rational and two trigonometric types. As mentioned earlier, we consider only the cases with explicitly known ground state wave functions $\phi_0(x)$, which are square integrable. This excludes the other well-known cases of the hyperbolic and elliptic potentials as well as the rational cases without the harmonic confining potential. The potential $V_{CS}(x)$ of the CSM systems can be written in terms of the prepotential $W(x)$ as in (4). The explicit forms of the four types of potentials $V_{CS}(x)$ and the corresponding prepotentials $W(x)$ are as follows:

(i) rational A_{n-1} :

$$V_{CS}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 + \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{g(g-1)}{(x_j - x_k)^2} - n(1 + g(n-1)), \quad (9a)$$

$$W(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 - g \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \log|x_j - x_k| + W_0, \quad (9b)$$

(ii) rational BC_n :

$$V_{CS}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(x_j^2 + \frac{g_S(g_S-1)}{x_j^2} \right) + g_M(g_M-1) \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \left(\frac{1}{(x_j - x_k)^2} + \frac{1}{(x_j + x_k)^2} \right) - 2n(g_S + \frac{1}{2} + g_M(n-1)), \quad (10a)$$

$$W(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 - g_M \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \left(\log|x_j - x_k| + \log|x_j + x_k| \right) - g_S \sum_{j=1}^n \log|x_j| + W_0, \quad (10b)$$

(iii) trigonometric A_{n-1} :

$$V_{CS}(x) = \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} g(g-1) \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{L}(x_j - x_k)} - \frac{\pi^2 g^2}{L^2} \frac{n(n^2-1)}{3}, \quad (11a)$$

$$W(x) = -g \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \log \left| \sin \frac{\pi}{L}(x_j - x_k) \right| + W_0, \quad (11b)$$

(iv) trigonometric BC_n :

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{CS}(x) = & \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{(g_S + g_L)(g_S + g_L - 1)}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{L} x_j} + \frac{g_L(g_L - 1)}{\cos^2 \frac{\pi}{L} x_j} \right) \\
& + \frac{\pi^2}{L^2} \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \left(\frac{g_M(g_M - 1)}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{L} (x_j - x_k)} + \frac{g_M(g_M - 1)}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{L} (x_j + x_k)} \right) \\
& - \frac{\pi^2 n}{L^2} \left((g_S + 2g_L + g_M(n-1))^2 + \frac{g_M^2}{3}(n^2 - 1) \right), \tag{12a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
W(x) = & - \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} g_M \left(\log \left| \sin \frac{\pi}{L} (x_j - x_k) \right| + \log \left| \sin \frac{\pi}{L} (x_j + x_k) \right| \right) \\
& - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(g_S \log \left| \sin \frac{\pi}{L} x_j \right| + g_L \log \left| \sin \frac{\pi}{L} 2x_j \right| \right) + W_0. \tag{12b}
\end{aligned}$$

Here W_0 is the constant term necessary for the normalization of the ground state wave function $\phi_0(x)$. The constant terms in $V_{CS}(x)$ are the consequences of the expression (4) in terms of the prepotential. In the above formulas g, g_S, g_M and g_L are non-negative coupling constants, and L is the circumference of the circle in which x_j live for the trigonometric cases. In the rational potential cases, (i) and (ii), the coefficient of the harmonic confining potential x_j^2 is usually written as $\frac{1}{2}m\omega^2$. Here we choose $2m = m\omega = 1$ for simplicity. The rational D_n model is obtained from the rational BC_n model by putting $g_S = 0$ in (10a) and (10b). The rational B_n, C_n and BC_n models are all equivalent. The trigonometric D_n model is obtained from the trigonometric BC_n model by putting $g_S = g_L = 0$ in (12a) and (12b), whereas the trigonometric B_n and C_n models are obtained by $g_L = 0$ and $g_S = 0$, respectively.

If we apply the transformation (6) to the Hamiltonian of the rational A_{n-1} CSM system, the resulted FP equation is

$$L_{FP} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(x_j - g \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n \frac{1}{x_j - x_k} \right). \tag{13}$$

Multi-variable FP equation of this type was first employed by Dyson as a Brownian motion model of certain random matrix ensemble [15]. FP equations corresponding to the other three types of CSM systems can be obtained accordingly.

IV. RUIJSENAARS-SCHNEIDER-VAN DIEJEN SYSTEMS

Now we would like to derive new types of multi-variable FP equations corresponding to certain discrete deformations of the above CSM systems; namely, the Ruijsenaars-Schneider-van Diejen (RSvD) systems with the rational/trigonometric potentials.

The RSvD system is an integrable deformation of the CSM system. The Hamiltonian has the general form

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sqrt{V_j(x)} e^{-i\partial_j} \sqrt{V_j^*(x)} + \sqrt{V_j^*(x)} e^{i\partial_j} \sqrt{V_j(x)} - V_j(x) - V_j^*(x) \right). \tag{14}$$

Here $\partial_j \equiv \partial/\partial x_j$, and $V_j(x)$ is given by

$$V_j(x) = w(x_j) \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n v(x_j - x_k) \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } A_{n-1}, \\ v(x_j + x_k) & \text{for } BC_n. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

We use the conventional notation that $V_j^*(x)$ is the complex conjugate function of $V_j(x)$. (For an arbitrary function $f(x) = \sum_n a_n x^n$, $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ we define $f^*(x) = \sum_n a_n^* x^n$. Here c^* is the complex conjugation of a number $c \in \mathbb{C}$.) Since the operators $e^{\pm i\partial_j}$ cause finite shifts of the wave function in the imaginary direction ($e^{\pm i\partial_j} f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_j \pm i, \dots, x_n)$), these systems can thus be called “discrete” dynamical systems.

The basic potential functions $v(x)$ and $w(x)$ are as follows:

(i) rational A_{n-1} :

$$v(x) = 1 - i\frac{g}{x}, \quad (16a)$$

$$w(x) = (a_1 + ix)(a_2 + ix), \quad (16b)$$

(ii) rational BC_n :

$$v(x) = 1 - i\frac{g}{x}, \quad (17a)$$

$$w(x) = \frac{(a_1 + ix)(a_2 + ix)(a_3 + ix)(a_4 + ix)}{2ix(2ix + 1)}, \quad (17b)$$

(iii) trigonometric A_{n-1} :

$$v(x) = \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{L}(x - ig_0)}{\sin \frac{\pi}{L}x}, \quad w(x) = 1, \quad (18a)$$

$$(18b)$$

(iv) trigonometric BC_n :

$$v(x) = \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{L}(x - ig_0)}{\sin \frac{\pi}{L}x}, \quad (19a)$$

$$w(x) = \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{L}(x - ig_1)}{\sin \frac{\pi}{L}x} \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{L}(x - \frac{i}{2} - ig_2)}{\sin \frac{\pi}{L}(x - \frac{i}{2})} \\ \times \frac{\cos \frac{\pi}{L}(x - ig'_1)}{\cos \frac{\pi}{L}x} \frac{\cos \frac{\pi}{L}(x - \frac{i}{2} - ig'_2)}{\cos \frac{\pi}{L}(x - \frac{i}{2})}. \quad (19b)$$

Here the coupling constants $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, g, g_0, g_1, g_2, g'_1$ and g'_2 are assumed to be non-negative and one of a_1, \dots, a_4 must be greater than $1/2$. Apparently, the deformed theories have usually more coupling constants than the original ones.

Note that the Hamiltonian (14) can be expressed as a sum of factorized forms, $H = \sum_j A_j^\dagger A_j$, with

$$A_j \equiv e^{-\frac{i}{2}\partial_j} \sqrt{V_j^*(x)} - e^{\frac{i}{2}\partial_j} \sqrt{V_j(x)}, \quad (20)$$

$$A_j^\dagger \equiv \sqrt{V_j(x)} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\partial_j} - \sqrt{V_j^*(x)} e^{\frac{i}{2}\partial_j}. \quad (21)$$

It should be remarked that in the small momentum (the large c) limit, in which the shift operators $e^{\pm i\partial_j}$ can be approximated by differential operators $e^{\pm i\partial_j} \approx 1 \pm i\partial_j - \frac{1}{2}\partial_j^2$, the RSvD systems reduce to the CSM systems [7, 8].

We want now to derive new multi-variable FP equations associated with these RSvD systems, following the procedure described before. Let us note here that while the trigonometric cases can be treated exactly in the manner to be discussed below, it is more suitable to be treated as multiplicative shift systems instead of additive ones like the other two cases. For this reason, we will discuss the two rational cases first.

The ground state eigenfunctions $\phi_0(x)$ of (14) for the rational cases are

$$(i) : \phi_0(x) \propto \left| \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(a_1 + ix_j) \Gamma(a_2 + ix_j) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{\Gamma(g + i(x_j - x_k))}{\Gamma(i(x_j - x_k))} \right|, \quad (22a)$$

$$(ii) : \phi_0(x) \propto \left| \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^4 \Gamma(a_\alpha + ix_j)}{\Gamma(2ix_j)} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \prod_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \frac{\Gamma(g + i(x_j + \epsilon x_k))}{\Gamma(i(x_j + \epsilon x_k))} \right|, \quad (22b)$$

where $|f(z)| = \sqrt{f(z)^* f(z)}$ for any complex function $f(z)$. These ground states have zero energy: $H\phi_0 = 0$. Since H consists of a sum of positive semi-definite terms $A_j^\dagger A_j$, the condition of zero ground state energy implies $A_j \phi_0 = 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$), or explicitly,

$$\sqrt{V_j^* \left(x_1, \dots, x_j - \frac{i}{2}, \dots, x_n \right)} \phi_0 \left(x_1, \dots, x_j - \frac{i}{2}, \dots, x_n \right) \\ = \sqrt{V_j \left(x_1, \dots, x_j + \frac{i}{2}, \dots, x_n \right)} \phi_0 \left(x_1, \dots, x_j + \frac{i}{2}, \dots, x_n \right). \quad (23)$$

This can be verified explicitly using the forms of $V(x)$ and ϕ_0 for the two cases.

Now, we form the associated FP operator from (14) and ϕ_0 in (22a) and (22b) according to the similarity transformation (6). With the help of (23), we find that

$$L_{FP} = - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(e^{i\partial_j} V_j(x) + e^{-i\partial_j} V_j^*(x) - V_j(x) - V_j^*(x) \right). \quad (24)$$

This is the general form of FP operator corresponding to the discrete Hamiltonian H in (14). One has $L_{FP}\phi_0^2 = 0$ as a consequence of $H\phi_0 = 0$. Thus ϕ_0^2 is the stationary solution of the respective FP equation.

In the small momentum limit, or equivalently, the large c limit, all x and other parameters in $V(x)$ are considered small quantities [7, 8]. Eq. (24) then reduces to the corresponding FP equation associated with the CSM system. Explicitly, keeping in the operators $\exp(\pm i\partial_j)$ only up to the second order terms in the momentum, we get the FP operator

$$L_{FP} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \text{Re } V_j(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} 2\text{Im } V_j(x) \right). \quad (25)$$

Here in V_j only the lowest order terms in x and other parameters shall be retained.

As an illustration, let us take the case of rational A_{n-1} type. The potential is

$$V_j(x) = (a_1 + ix_j)(a_2 + ix_j) \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \left(1 - i \frac{g}{x_j - x_k} \right). \quad (26)$$

In the above-mentioned limit, we have

$$\text{Re } V_j(x) = a_1 a_2, \quad \text{Im } V_j(x) = (a_1 + a_2)x_j - a_1 a_2 g \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{1}{x_j - x_k}, \quad (27)$$

and hence the limiting FP operator is

$$L_{FP} = a_1 a_2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\left(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} \right) x_j - g \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^n \frac{1}{x_j - x_k} \right) \right). \quad (28)$$

This is just the corresponding FP operator for the A_{n-1} type CSM system in (13). The presence of the scale factors arises from the way the Hamiltonian and the potential of the RSvD systems are parameterized. If we take $a_1 = a_2 = 2$, and rescale $H \rightarrow H/4$, then the two formulas are the same.

V. MULTI-VARIABLE q -SHIFT FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION

As mentioned before, the trigonometric cases can be treated more elegantly in terms of multiplicative shift type of Hamiltonian [7, 8]. Let us define $z_j = \exp(2\pi i x_j / L)$, $q = \exp(-2\pi / L)$ and $a_0 = q^{g_0}$. For any function $f(z)$ ($z = {}^t(z_1, \dots, z_n)$) with real coefficients, we have $f(z)^* = f(z^{-1})$. Furthermore, we define $D_j \equiv z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$. Then q^{D_j} is a multiplicative q -shift operator, i.e. $q^{D_j} f(z) = f(z_1, \dots, qz_j, \dots, z_n)$. With these, the Hamiltonian (14) of the trigonometric case with (15), (19a) and (19b) can be recast into (up to an overall constant factor)

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sqrt{V_j(z)} q^{D_j} \sqrt{V_j(z^{-1})} + \sqrt{V_j(z^{-1})} q^{-D_j} \sqrt{V_j(z)} - V_j(z) - V_j(z^{-1}) \right), \quad (29)$$

where the potential $V_j(z)$ have the form

$$\text{(iii) : } V_j(z) = \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{1 - a_0 z_j / z_k}{1 - z_j / z_k}, \quad (30a)$$

$$\text{(iv) : } V_j(z) = \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^4 (1 - a_\alpha z_j)}{(1 - z_j^2)(1 - qz_j^2)} \cdot \prod_{k \neq j} \prod_{\epsilon = \pm 1} \frac{1 - a_0 z_j z_k^\epsilon}{1 - z_j z_k^\epsilon}, \quad (30b)$$

and the $\{a_\alpha\}$'s are related to the parameters in (19a) and (19b) (see [6]):

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (e^{-2\pi g_1/L}, e^{-2\pi(g_2+1/2)/L}, -e^{-2\pi g'_1/L}, -e^{-2\pi(g'_2+1/2)/L}).$$

The form of the Hamiltonian (29) is so chosen that it looks similar to that of the rational case (14) by the formal replacement $e^{-i\partial_j} \rightarrow q^{D_j}$, $e^{i\partial_j} \rightarrow q^{-D_j}$. However, it should be emphasized that the potential $V_j(z)$ in (29) corresponds to $V_j^*(x)$ in (14), which is due to the fact that $0 < q < 1$ ($q = e^{-2\pi/L}$).

The ground state ϕ_0 satisfying $H\phi_0 = 0$ is

$$(iii) : \phi_0(z; g_0, q) \propto \left| \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \frac{(z_j/z_k; q)_\infty}{(a_0 z_j/z_k; q)_\infty} \right|, \quad (31a)$$

$$(iv) : \phi_0(z; \{a_\alpha\}, g_0, q) \propto \left| \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{(z_j^2; q)_\infty}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^4 (a_\alpha z_j; q)_\infty} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \prod_{\epsilon=\pm 1} \frac{(z_j z_k^\epsilon; q)_\infty}{(a_0 z_j z_k^\epsilon; q)_\infty} \right|. \quad (31b)$$

Here we use the standard notation $(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^n)$.

Just like the rational cases, the Hamiltonian (29) is a sum of factorized forms, i.e. $H = \sum_j A_j^\dagger A_j$, with

$$A_j = q^{\frac{D_j}{2}} \sqrt{V_j(z^{-1})} - q^{-\frac{D_j}{2}} \sqrt{V_j(z)}, \quad (32)$$

$$A_j^\dagger = \sqrt{V_j(z)} q^{\frac{D_j}{2}} - \sqrt{V_j(z^{-1})} q^{-\frac{D_j}{2}}. \quad (33)$$

The ground state ϕ_0 is annihilated by A_j : $A_j \phi_0(z) = 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$). Explicitly this equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{V_j(z_1^{-1}, \dots, q^{-\frac{1}{2}} z_j^{-1}, \dots, z_n^{-1})} \phi_0(z_1, \dots, q^{\frac{1}{2}} z_j, \dots, z_n) \\ &= \sqrt{V_j(z_1, \dots, q^{-\frac{1}{2}} z_j, \dots, z_n)} \phi_0(z_1, \dots, q^{-\frac{1}{2}} z_j, \dots, z_n). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Using H , ϕ_0 , and (34), the similarity transformation (6) produces the FP operator

$$L_{FP} = - \sum_{j=1}^n (q^{-D_j} V_j(z) + q^{D_j} V_j(z^{-1}) - V_j(z) - V_j(z^{-1})). \quad (35)$$

This is the general discrete q -deformed FP operator corresponding to the Hamiltonian (29). Again, L_{FP} annihilates ϕ_0^2 .

VI. SUMMARY

In this paper we have proposed new types of multi-variable FP equations associated with the RSvD systems which are difference instead of differential equations. As the RSvD systems are the integrable ‘‘discrete’’ counterparts of the celebrated exactly solvable many-body CMS systems, the deformed FP equations presented here can be considered as ‘‘discrete’’ deformations of the ordinary multi-variable FP equations. They are also integrable. In the small momentum (large c) limit, our FP equations reduce to the usual FP equations associated with the respective CMS systems. For future study, it would be of interest to investigate solutions of these generalized FP equations with potentials $V(x)$ and $V(z)$ other than those exactly solvable ones discussed here.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by the National Science Council of the Republic of China under Grant NSC 95-2112-M-032-012 (CLH), and in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan under Grant No.18340061 and No. 16340040 (RS). We would like to thank Koryu-kyokai (Japan) and National Center for Theoretical Sciences (Taipei) for support through the Japan-Taiwan collaboration programs. The authors thank S. Odake for useful comments. CLH and RS would like to thank the

staff and members of the Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics at the Kyoto University and the National Taiwan University, respectively, for their hospitality and financial support during their respective visits.

-
- [1] H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation* (2nd. ed.) (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996).
 - [2] B. O’Shaughnessy and I. Procaccia, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54**, 455 (1985); R. Metzler, E. Barkai and J. Klafter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 3563 (1999); *Europhys. Lett.* **46**, 431 (1999).
 - [3] C. Tsallis and D. J. Bukman, *Phys. Rev. E* **54**, R2197 (1996); A. Compte and D. Jou, *J. Phys. A* **29**, 4321 (1996).
 - [4] C.-L. Ho, *Phys. Lett. A* **268**, 217 (2000).
 - [5] C.-L. Ho and R. Sasaki, “Deformed Fokker-Planck equations”, cond-mat/0612318.
 - [6] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **12**, S507 (2005); “Equilibrium positions and eigenfunctions of shape invariant (‘discrete’) quantum mechanics”, Rokko Lectures in Mathematics (Kobe University) **18**, 85 (2005), (*Elliptic Integrable Systems*, Eds. M. Noumi and K. Takasaki), hep-th/0505070.
 - [7] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, *J. Phys. A* **37**, 11841 (2004); *J. Math. Phys.* **46**, 063513 (2005).
 - [8] S. Odake and R. Sasaki, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **114**, 1245 (2005).
 - [9] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, *Special Functions* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999).
 - [10] R. Koekoek and R. F. Swarttouw, “The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analogue”, math.CA/9602214.
 - [11] R. Askey and J. Wilson, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **319**, (1985).
 - [12] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars and H. Schneider, *Ann. Phys.* **170**, 370 (1986); S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **110**, 191 (1987); J. F. van Diejen, *J. Math. Phys.* **35**, 2983 (1994); *J. Phys. A* **28**, L369 (1995); *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 1299 (1995).
 - [13] F. Calogero, *J. Math. Phys.* **12**, 419 (1971); B. Sutherland, *Phys. Rev. A* **5**, 1372 (1972); J. Moser, *Adv. Math.* **16** (1975), 197; *Lecture Notes in Phys.* **38** (Springer-Verlag, 1975).
 - [14] F. Calogero, *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **22**, 251 (1977), E. Corrigan and R. Sasaki, *J. Phys. A* **35**, 7017 (2002).
 - [15] F. J. Dyson, *J. Math. Phys.* **3**, 1191 (1962); *ibid.* **13**, 90 (1972); M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 3rd Ed. (Elsevier, San Diego, 2004).
 - [16] O. Narayan and B. S. Shastry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 2106 (1993); A. Pimpinelli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 246101 (2005).