

Curvature of the energy landscape and folding of model proteins

Lorenzo Mazzoni* and Lapo Casetti†

*Dipartimento di Fisica and Centro per lo Studio delle Dinamiche Complesse (CSDC),
Università di Firenze, via G. Sansone 1, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy*

(Dated: March 15, 2006)

We study the geometric properties of the energy landscape of coarse-grained, off-lattice models of polymers by endowing the configuration space with a suitable metric, depending on the potential energy function, such that the dynamical trajectories are the geodesics of the metric. Using numerical simulations, we show that the fluctuations of the curvature clearly mark the folding transition, and that this quantity allows to distinguish between polymers having a protein-like behavior (i.e., that fold to a unique configuration) and polymers which undergo a hydrophobic collapse but do not have a folding transition. These geometrical properties are defined by the potential energy without requiring any prior knowledge of the native configuration.

PACS numbers: 87.15.-v; 02.40.-k

Protein folding is one of the most fundamental and challenging open questions in molecular biology. Proteins are polypeptides, i.e., polymers made of aminoacids, and since the pioneering experiments by Anfinsen and coworkers [1] it has been known that the sequence of aminoacids uniquely determines the native state, i.e., the compact configuration the protein assumes in physiological conditions and which makes it able to perform its biological tasks [2]. To understand how the information contained in the sequence is translated into the three-dimensional native structure is the core of the protein folding problem, and its solution would allow one to predict a protein's structure from the sole knowledge of the aminoacid sequence: moreover, solving the protein folding problem would make it possible to engineer proteins which fold to any given structure (what is commonly referred to as the inverse folding problem), which in turn would mean a giant leap in drug design. Despite many remarkable advances in the last decades [2], the protein folding problem is still far from a solution.

Not all polypeptides are proteins: only a very small subset of all the possible sequences of the twenty naturally occurring aminoacids have been selected by evolution. According to our present knowledge, all the naturally selected proteins fold to a uniquely determined native state, but a generic polypeptide does not. Then, what makes a protein different from a generic polypeptide? or, in other words, which are the properties a polypeptide must have to behave like a protein, i.e., to fold into a unique native state regardless of the initial conditions, when the environment is the correct one? The *energy landscape* picture has emerged as crucial in this respect. Energy landscape, or more precisely potential energy landscape, is the name commonly given to the potential energy of interaction between the microscopic degrees of freedom of the system [3]; the latter is a high-dimensional surface, but one can also speak of a free energy landscape when only its projection on a small set of collective variables (with a suitable average over all the other degrees of freedom) is considered [3]. Before having been applied to biomolecules, this concept has proven useful in the study of other complex systems, especially of supercooled liquids and of the glass transition [4]. The basic idea is very simple, yet powerful: if a system has a rugged, complex energy landscape, with many minima and valleys separated by barriers of different height, its dynamics will experience a variety of time scales, with oscillations in the valleys and jumps from one valley to another [22]. Then one can try to link special features of the behavior of the system (i.e., the presence of a glass transition, the separation of time scales, and so on) to special properties of the landscape, like the topography of the basins around minima, the energy distribution of minima and saddles connecting them and so on. Anyway, a complex landscape yields a complex dynamics, where the system is very likely to remain trapped in different valleys when the temperature is not so high. This is consistent with a glassy behavior, but a protein does not show a glassy behavior, it rather has relatively low frustration. This means that there must be some property of the landscape such to avoid too much frustration. This property is commonly referred to as the *folding funnel* [5]: though locally rugged, the low-energy part of the energy landscape is supposed to have an overall funnel shape so that most initial conditions are driven towards the correct native state. However, a direct visualization of the energy landscape is impossible due to its high dimensionality, and its detailed properties must be inferred indirectly. A possible strategy is a local one: one searches for the minima of the landscape and then for the saddles connecting different minima. Although straightforward in principle, this is practically unfeasible for accurate all-atom potential energies, but may become accessible for minimalistic potentials [23]. Minimalistic models are those where the polymer is described at a coarse-grained level, as a chain of N beads where N is the number of aminoacids; no explicit water molecules are considered and the solvent is taken into account only by means of effective interactions among the monomers. Minimalistic models can be relatively simple, yet in some cases yield very accurate

results which compare well with experiments [8]. The local properties of the energy landscape of minimalistic models have been recently studied (see e.g. Refs. [9, 10, 11]) and very interesting clues about the structure of the folding funnel and the differences between protein-like heteropolymers and other polymers have been found: in particular, it has been shown that a funnel-like structure is present also in homopolymers, but what makes a big difference is that in protein-like systems jumps between minima corresponding to distant configurations are much more favoured dynamically [11].

The above mentioned local strategy to analyze energy landscapes requires however a huge computational effort if one wants to obtain a good sampling. So the following question naturally arises: is there some *global* property of the energy landscape which can be easily computed numerically as an average along dynamical trajectories and which is able to identify polymers having a protein-like behavior? The main issue of the present Letter is to show that such a quantity indeed exists, at least for the minimalistic model we considered, and that it is of a geometric nature. In particular, we will show that the fluctuations of a suitably defined curvature of the energy landscape clearly mark the folding transition while do not show any remarkable feature when the polymer undergoes a hydrophobic collapse without a preferred native state. This is at variance with thermodynamic global observables, like the specific heat, which show a very similar behavior in the case of a folding transition and of a simple hydrophobic collapse.

The intuitive reason why geometric information on the landscape, and especially curvature, could be relevant to the problem of folding is that the dynamics on a landscape would be heavily affected by the local curvature: minima of the energy landscape are associated to positive curvatures and stable dynamics, while saddles involve negative curvatures, at least along some direction, thus implying some instability. One can reasonably expect that the arrangement and detailed properties of minima and saddles might reflect in some global feature of the distribution of curvatures of the landscape. The definition of the curvature of a manifold M depends on the choice of a metric g [12]: once the couple (M, g) is given, a covariant derivative and a curvature tensor $R(e_i, e_j)$ can be defined; the latter measures the noncommutativity of the covariant derivatives in the coordinate directions e_i and e_j . A scalar measure of the curvature at any given point $P \in M$ is the sectional curvature $K(e_i, e_j) = \langle R(e_i, e_j)e_j, e_i \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the scalar product. At any point of an N -dimensional manifold there are $N(N-1)$ sectional curvatures, whose knowledge determines the full curvature tensor at that point. One can however lose some information and take an average over some directions to define some simpler curvatures: the Ricci curvature $K_R(e_i)$ is the sum of the K 's over the $N-1$ directions orthogonal to e_i , $K_R(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N K(e_i, e_j)$, and summing also on the N directions e_i one gets the scalar curvature $\mathcal{R} = \sum_{i=1}^N K_R(e_i) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N K(e_i, e_j)$.

Although one expects the association between minima and positive curvatures on the one side and negative curvatures along some directions and saddles on the other side to be essentially true for most choices of the metric, a particular choice of g among the many possible ones must be made in order to perform explicit calculations. The most immediate choice would be to consider as our manifold M the N -dimensional surface $z = V(q_1, \dots, q_N)$ itself, i.e., the graph of the potential energy V as a function of the N coordinates q_1, \dots, q_N of the configuration space, and to define g as the metric induced on that surface by its immersion in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} . Although perfectly reasonable, this choice has two drawbacks: (i) the explicit expressions for the curvatures in terms of derivatives of V are rather complicated and (ii) the link between the properties of the dynamics and the geometry is not straightforward, i.e., one cannot prove that the geometry completely determines the dynamics and its stability. For these reasons we left the investigation of this particular geometry to future work and we considered a choice of (M, g) such that the link between geometry and dynamics is more clear. It is a classic result of analytical dynamics that if M is the configuration space, it can be endowed by a metric – defined in terms of $V(q_1, \dots, q_N)$ – such that its geodesics (i.e., the curves whose velocity vector has a vanishing covariant derivative, which are the generalization of straight lines to curved spaces) coincide with the dynamical trajectories, whose stability is then completely determined by the curvature [13]. Again, this geometrization of the dynamics is not unique: a particularly convenient procedure was introduced by Eisenhart [14] by considering as M an enlarged $(N+2)$ -dimensional configuration space. In terms of the coordinate chart $q^0, q^1, \dots, q^N, q^{N+1}$, where q^1, \dots, q^N are the lagrangian coordinates and q^0 and q^{N+1} the two extra coordinates, the components of the Eisenhart metric tensor g_E are (we set the masses of the particles equal to 1 for simplicity)

$$g_E = \begin{pmatrix} -2V(q) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

and one can prove that the geodesics of (M, g_E) project onto dynamical trajectories. Moreover, the explicit expression of the curvature is very simple: the only nonvanishing components of the curvature tensor are given by the Hessian

of V , $R_{0i0j} = \partial_i \partial_j V$ and the Ricci curvature along the direction of the velocity vector (i.e., the Ricci curvature “felt” by the system during its motion, and which we well refer to simply as K_R dropping the dependence on the direction) is nothing but the Laplacian of the potential,

$$K_R = \Delta V . \quad (2)$$

The scalar curvature \mathcal{R} identically vanishes (see Ref. [15] for the details).

We sampled the value of the Ricci curvature K_R along the dynamical trajectories of a minimalistic model originally introduced by Thirumalai and coworkers [16], a three-dimensional off-lattice model of a polypeptide which has only three different kinds of aminoacids: polar (P), hydrophobic (H) and neutral (N). The potential energy is

$$V = V_B + V_A + V_D + V_{NB} \quad (3)$$

where

$$V_B = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{k_r}{2} (|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_{i-1}| - a)^2 ; \quad (4)$$

$$V_A = \sum_{i=1}^{N-2} \frac{k_\vartheta}{2} (|\vartheta_i - \vartheta_{i-1}| - \vartheta_0)^2 ; \quad (5)$$

$$V_D = \sum_{i=1}^{N-3} \{A_i [1 + \cos \psi_i] + B_i [1 + \cos(3\psi_i)]\} ; \quad (6)$$

$$V_{NB} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-3} \sum_{j=i+3}^N V_{ij} (|\vec{r}_{i,j}|) , \quad (7)$$

where \vec{r}_i is the position vector of the i -th monomer, $\vec{r}_{i,j} = \vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j$, ϑ_i is the i -th bond angle, i.e., the angle between \vec{r}_{i+1} and \vec{r}_i , ψ_i the i -th dihedral angle, that is the angle between the vectors $\hat{n}_i = \vec{r}_{i+1,i} \times \vec{r}_{i+1,i+2}$ and $\hat{n}_{i+1} = \vec{r}_{i+2,i+1} \times \vec{r}_{i+2,i+3}$, $k_r = 100$, $a = 1$, $k_\vartheta = 20$, $\vartheta_0 = 105^\circ$, $A_i = 0$ and $B_i = 0.2$ if at least two among the residues $i, i+1, i+2, i+3$ are N, $A_i = B_i = 1.2$ otherwise. As to V_{ij} , we have $V_{ij} = \frac{8}{3} \left[\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{12} + \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^6 \right]$ if $i, j = \text{P, P}$ or $i, j = \text{P, H}$, $V_{ij} = 4 \left[\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^6 \right]$ if $i, j = \text{H, H}$ and $V_{ij} = 4 \left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^6$ if either i or j are N [16].

Although the identity between trajectories and geodesics of (M, g_E) only holds if the dynamics is the Newtonian one, a Langevin dynamics, obtained by adding to the deterministic force ∇V a random force according to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem and a friction term proportional to the velocity, is a more reasonable model of the dynamics of a polymer in aqueous solution when the solvent degrees of freedom are not taken into account explicitly. Since we are interested not in the details of the time series of K_R along a particular trajectory but only in its statistical distribution, we may expect that also a sampling obtained using the Langevin dynamics gives the same information on the geometry of the landscape. To check this assumption we let the system evolve with both a newtonian dynamics (using a symplectic algorithm [17] to integrate the equations of motion) and a Langevin dynamics (using the same algorithm – a modified Verlet – and parameters as in Ref. [16]) obtaining very similar results in the two cases. In the following we shall refer only to results obtained with Langevin dynamics.

We considered five different sequences: four of 22 monomers $S_g^{22} = \text{PH}_9(\text{NP})_2\text{NHPH}_3\text{PH}$, $S_b^{22} = \text{PHNPH}_3\text{NHNH}_4(\text{PH}_2)_2\text{PH}$, $S_i^{22} = \text{P}_4\text{H}_5\text{NHN}_2\text{H}_6\text{P}_3$, $S_h^{22} = \text{H}_{22}$ and also a homopolymeric sequence of 44 monomers $S_h^{44} = \text{H}_{44}$. Sequence S_g^{22} had already been identified as a good folder [16] and our simulations confirmed this finding: below a given temperature it always reached the same β -sheet-like structure. Homopolymers S_h^{22} and S_h^{44} , on the other hand, showed a hydrophobic collapse but no tendency to reach a particular configuration in the collapsed phase. Sequence S_b^{22} (which has the same overall composition of S_g^{22} rearranged in a different sequence) behaved as a bad folder and did not reach a unique native state, while S_i^{22} was constructed by us to show a somehow intermediate behavior between good and bad folders: it always formed the same structure involving the middle of the sequence, while the beginning and the end of the chain fluctuated also at low temperature. As to standard thermodynamic observables, all the sequences showed very similar behaviors: in particular, both the specific heat c_V of the homopolymer S_h^{22} and of the good folder S_g^{22} exhibit a peak at the transition (data not shown), and on the sole basis of this quantity it would be hard to discriminate between a simple hydrophobic collapse and a folding.

On the other hand, a dramatic difference between the homopolymer and the good folder shows up if we consider the geometric properties of the landscape, and in particular the fluctuations of the Ricci curvature K_R (2). We defined a




FIG. 1: Relative curvature fluctuation σ vs. temperature T for the homopolymer S_h^{22} (left) and for the good folder S_g^{22} (right). The solid curves are a guide to the eye.

relative adimensional curvature fluctuation σ as

$$\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} (\langle K_R^2 \rangle_t - \langle K_R \rangle_t^2)}}{\frac{1}{N} \langle K_R \rangle_t} \quad (8)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_t$ stands for a time average: in Fig. 1 we plot σ as a function of the temperature T for the homopolymer S_h^{22} and for the good folder S_g^{22} . A peak shows up in the case of the good folder, close to the folding temperature T_f (which we estimated as $T_f = 0.6 \pm 0.05$), below which the system is mostly in the native state, while no particular mark of the hydrophobic collapse can be seen in the case of the homopolymer. As to the other sequences, for the longer homopolymer S_h^{44} $\sigma(T)$ is even smoother than for S_h^{22} , at variance with the specific heat which develops a sharper peak consistently with the presence of a thermodynamic θ -transition as $N \rightarrow \infty$ (data not shown); for the bad folder S_b^{22} , $\sigma(T)$ is not as smooth as for the homopolymers, but only a very weak signal is found at a lower temperature than that of the peak in c_V , i.e., at the temperature where the system starts to behave as a glass; for the “intermediate” sequence S_i^{22} a peak is present at the “quasi-folding” temperature, although considerably broader than in the case of S_g^{22} (data not shown).

The behavior of $\sigma(T)$ can thus be used to mark the folding transition and to identify good folders within the model considered here. It must be stressed that no knowledge of the native state is necessary to define σ , and that it can be computed with the same computational effort needed to obtain the specific heat and other thermodynamic observables. If tested successfully on other, maybe more refined models of proteins, it might prove a useful tool in the search of protein-like sequences.

Apart from the possible applications of $\sigma(T)$ as a diagnostic tool, this result is also interesting because it opens a connection between the folding transition and symmetry-breaking phase transitions. The behavior of $\sigma(T)$ observed here for the good folder S_g^{22} is remarkably close to that exhibited by finite systems undergoing a symmetry-breaking phase transition in the thermodynamic limit [18], while the case of the homopolymer S_h^{22} is similar to that of a *BKT* transition. This suggests that the folding of a proteinlike heteropolymer does share some features of “true” symmetry-breaking phase transitions, at least those that show up already in finite systems, although no singularity in the thermodynamic limit occurs, because proteins are intrinsically finite objects [19, 20]. The behavior of $\sigma(T)$ in systems with thermodynamic phase transitions has been interpreted in terms of topological changes of the manifolds where the dynamics of the system “lives” [21] (see also [15] for a review). Work is in progress to understand whether a topological interpretation is possible also in the case of the folding transition.

We thank Lorenzo Bongini and Aldo Rampioni for useful discussions and suggestions. This work is part of the

EMBLIO project (EC contract n. 012835).

* Electronic address: mazzoni@fi.infn.it

† Electronic address: lapo.casetti@unifi.it; Author to whom correspondence should be sent. Also at: INFN, Sezione di Firenze, Italy

- [1] C. Anfinsen, *Science* **181**, 223 (1973).
- [2] A. Finkelstein and O. B. Ptitsyn, *Protein physics* (Academic Press, London, 2002).
- [3] D. J. Wales, *Energy landscapes* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [4] P. G. Debenedetti and F. H. Stillinger, *Nature* **410**, 259 (2001).
- [5] J. N. Onuchic, P. G. Wolynes, Z. Luthey-Schulten, and N. D. Socci, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **92**, 3626 (1995).
- [6] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical recipes* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).
- [7] T. S. Grigera, A. Cavagna, I. Giardina, and G. Parisi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 055502 (2002).
- [8] P. Das, S. Matysiak, and C. Clementi, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 10141 (2005).
- [9] M. A. Miller and D. J. Wales, *J. Chem. Phys.* **111**, 6610 (1999).
- [10] L. Bongini, R. Livi, A. Politi, and A. Torcini, *Phys. Rev. E* **68**, 061111 (2003).
- [11] L. Bongini, R. Livi, A. Politi, and A. Torcini, *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 051929 (2005).
- [12] See e.g. M. P. do Carmo, *Riemannian geometry* (Birkhauser, Boston, 1992), or M. Nakahara, *Geometry, Topology and Physics* (IOP Publishing, London, 2003).
- [13] V. I. Arnol'd, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics* (Springer, New York, 1989).
- [14] L. P. Eisenhart, *Ann. Math.* **30**, 591 (1929).
- [15] L. Casetti, M. Pettini, and E. G. D. Cohen, *Phys. Rep.* **337**, 237 (2000).
- [16] T. Veitshans, D. Klimov, and D. Thirumalai, *Fold. Des.* **2**, 1 (1997).
- [17] P. MacLachlan and P. Atela, *Nonlinearity* **5**, 541 (1992).
- [18] L. Caiani, L. Casetti, C. Clementi, G. Pettini, M. Pettini, and R. Gatto, *Phys. Rev. E* **57**, 3886 (1998).
- [19] K. A. Dill, *Biochemistry* **29**, 31 (1990).
- [20] R. Burioni, D. Cassi, F. Ceconi, and A. Vulpiani, *Proteins* **55**, 529 (2004).
- [21] L. Caiani, L. Casetti, C. Clementi, and M. Pettini, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 4361 (1997).
- [22] This is the picture of a classical dynamics at a finite temperature, where the gradient of the potential energy gives the deterministic part of the force and there is also a stochastic force proportional to the temperature, which makes the thermally activated jumps between valleys possible. However, for a sufficiently large system also a completely deterministic dynamics over the same landscape would give a similar overall behavior.
- [23] Searching for *all* the minima and *all* the saddles is impossible even for very simple potentials, unless it can be done analytically, because no algorithm is available which is able to find *all* the solutions of N coupled nonlinear equations [6]; nonetheless, one expects that with a considerable numerical effort a reasonable sampling of these points can be achieved for not-too-detailed potentials, as it happens for binary Lennard-Jones fluids [7].