

Restoring a fluctuation-dissipation theorem in a nonequilibrium steady state

T. SPECK and U. SEIFERT

II. Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Stuttgart, 70550 Stuttgart, Germany

PACS. 05.40.-a – Fluctuation phenomena, random processes, noise, and Brownian motion.

Abstract. – In a nonequilibrium steady state, the violation of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT) is connected to breaking detailed balance. For the velocity correlations of a driven colloidal particle we calculate an explicit expression of the FDT violation. The equilibrium form of the FDT can be restored by measuring the velocity with respect to the *local* mean velocity.

Introduction. – The fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT) relates the correlation function of thermally driven fluctuations in equilibrium with the response of the system to a small external perturbation [1]. Loosely speaking following Onsager, the decay of a fluctuation is independent of whether it has been created spontaneously due to thermal noise or whether it has been induced by a small applied force. According to common wisdom, the FDT breaks down in a nonequilibrium system. Indeed, a large body of studies deals with violations of the FDT in nonequilibrium, in particular, for aging systems (for reviews, see refs. [2, 3]), fluids and colloidal suspensions driven by shear flow [4, 5], and biophysical systems (for an example, see ref. [6]).

An important aspect of nonequilibrium steady states is that detailed balance is broken, which requires permanent dissipation of energy. In the case of driven colloidal systems, the heat thus dissipated has been identified as “housekeeping heat” [7–9]. Since both the housekeeping heat and the violation of the FDT originate from breaking detailed balance, a deeper connection between these two concepts can be expected [10]. Since furthermore the velocity is the crucial quantity entering the housekeeping heat, this connection should become apparent in the violation of the velocity FDT.

In this Letter, we first derive an explicit expression for this violation. We then demonstrate the restoration of the usual equilibrium form of the velocity FDT if the velocity fluctuations are properly measured with respect to the *local* mean velocity rather than with respect to the *global* mean velocity. Our result thus suggests that the decay of fluctuations around the steady state is the same, whether they are spontaneously created or externally induced.

The system. – As a paradigm, we study one-dimensional systems with periodicity l subject to stochastic dynamics, where the periodicity is necessary to eventually reach a nonequilibrium steady state (see fig. 1). Overdamped diffusive motion is then governed by the Langevin




Fig. 1 – A colloidal particle moving in a periodic potential $V(x)$ with periodicity $l = 2\pi$ and angular position x . A force f can be applied directly to the particle, driving it into a nonequilibrium steady state.

equation [11]

$$\dot{x}(t) = \mu [F(x) + f_p(t)] + \eta(t). \quad (1)$$

The total force $F(x) = -V'(x) + f$ can be split into the gradient of a periodic potential $V(x+l) = V(x)$ and a non-conservative force f , where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to x . The force f_p represents a small external perturbation. The thermal noise $\eta(t)$ has zero mean and correlations $\langle \eta(t)\eta(\tau) \rangle = 2D\delta(t-\tau)$. In equilibrium, bare mobility μ , temperature T , and diffusion coefficient D are connected by the Einstein relation $D = \mu T$ with Boltzmann's constant set to 1 throughout the paper. We will keep the Einstein relation despite the fact that the system is driven into a nonequilibrium steady state for $f \neq 0$, which expresses the assumption that the driving does not affect the heat bath. The brackets $\langle \cdot \rangle$ represent the average over the thermal noise.

An unperturbed ($f_p = 0$) one-dimensional system in a nonequilibrium steady state is distinguished from equilibrium by a nonzero, constant current

$$j_s = \mu F(x)p_s(x) - Dp_s'(x) \neq 0. \quad (2)$$

We define the stationary distribution $p_s(x) \equiv \exp[-\phi(x)]$ introducing the generalized potential $\phi(x)$. In addition, the notion of a local mean velocity

$$\nu_s(x) \equiv j_s/p_s(x) = \mu F(x) + D\phi'(x) \quad (3)$$

will become crucial. It is given by the drift velocity $\mu F(x)$ plus the gradient of the potential $\phi(x)$. The local mean velocity $\nu_s(x)$ can be regarded as a measure of the local violation of detailed balance. After averaging

$$v_s \equiv \langle \nu_s \rangle = \int_0^l dx \nu_s(x)p_s(x) = \mu \langle F \rangle - D[p_s]_0^l = \mu \langle F \rangle = \langle \dot{x} \rangle \quad (4)$$

it becomes the global mean velocity of the particle in a steady state as expected. The boundary term vanishes due to the periodicity of the system.

Fluctuation-dissipation relations. – A small probing force $f_p(t)$ is applied after the system has been prepared in a steady state described by the stationary probability $p_s(x)$. The linear response of any observable A to this perturbation is defined as

$$R_A(t-\tau) \equiv \left. \frac{\delta \langle A(x(t)) \rangle_p}{\delta f_p(\tau)} \right|_{f_p=0} \quad (t \geq \tau), \quad (5)$$

which depends only on the time difference $t - \tau$. Due to causality the response function is zero for $t < \tau$ and in what follows we always take $t \geq \tau$. We distinguish averages $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\text{eq}}$ in the equilibrium system ($f = 0$), averages $\langle \cdot \rangle$ in the unperturbed system in a nonequilibrium steady state, and averages $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\text{p}}$ in the perturbed system ($f_{\text{p}} \neq 0$).

The various fluctuation-dissipation relations state that the response function of an observable is not independent of its correlations. In particular, in equilibrium the well-known FDT

$$TR_A^{\text{eq}}(t - \tau) = \partial_\tau \langle A(x(t))B(x(\tau)) \rangle_{\text{eq}} \quad (6)$$

relates the response function of A to the correlations of this observable with a unique observable B conjugated to f_{p} with respect to energy. However, even in a nonequilibrium steady state the less known fluctuation-dissipation relation

$$TR_A(t - \tau) = \langle A(x(t))D\phi'(x(\tau)) \rangle \quad (7)$$

correlates the observable A to the gradient of the generalized potential $\phi(x)$ [11]. Similar relations have been discussed also for chaotic systems [12]. A third relation involving the noise can be derived from the Gaussian weight

$$\mathcal{P}[\eta] \sim \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{4D} \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \eta^2(\tau) \right\} \quad (8)$$

of the noise trajectory $\eta(\tau)$ in the time interval $t_0 \leq \tau \leq t$. Since $A(x(t))$ can formally be expressed as a functional $\mathcal{A}[\eta]$ of the noise history and variation with respect to $\mu f_{\text{p}}(\tau)$ is equivalent to a variation of $\eta(\tau)$ in eq. (1), we have

$$TR_A(t - \tau) = D \left\langle \frac{\delta \mathcal{A}[\eta]}{\delta \eta(\tau)} \right\rangle = D \int [d\eta] \frac{\delta \mathcal{A}[\eta]}{\delta \eta(\tau)} \mathcal{P}[\eta] = -D \int [d\eta] \mathcal{A}[\eta] \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}[\eta]}{\delta \eta(\tau)} = \frac{1}{2} \langle A(x(t))\eta(\tau) \rangle \quad (9)$$

through functional integration by parts [3, 13].

Velocity fluctuation-dissipation relations. – Because we are interested in the velocity, a fluctuation-dissipation relation involving \dot{x} instead of the potential $\phi(x)$ in eq. (7) is called for. To this end we insert the Langevin equation (1) with the force replaced by the local mean velocity (3) into eq. (7). In the resulting expression

$$TR_A(t - \tau) = \langle A(x(t))\nu_s(x(\tau)) \rangle - \langle A(x(t))\dot{x}(\tau) \rangle + \langle A(x(t))\eta(\tau) \rangle \quad (10)$$

we use eq. (9) for the noise term and obtain

$$TR_A(t - \tau) = \langle A(x(t))\dot{x}(\tau) \rangle - \langle A(x(t))\nu_s(x(\tau)) \rangle \quad (11)$$

holding in a nonequilibrium steady state.

First, we consider the equilibrium case where the position $B = x$ and the probing force f_{p} are conjugate. Setting $A = \dot{x}$ we obtain from eq. (6)

$$TR_{\dot{x}}^{\text{eq}}(t - \tau) = \langle \dot{x}(t)\dot{x}(\tau) \rangle_{\text{eq}} \quad (12)$$

the usual form of the FDT for velocities. We can interchange the order of time derivative and taking the average since the probability distribution implicit in the brackets is time-independent.

In a nonequilibrium steady state, we consequently use eq. (11) instead of the FDT (6), leading to

$$TR_{\dot{x}}(t - \tau) = \langle \dot{x}(t)\dot{x}(\tau) \rangle - \langle \dot{x}(t)\nu_s(x(\tau)) \rangle. \quad (13)$$

A somewhat related expression has been derived by a different approach in ref. [10]. Comparing eq. (13) to the equilibrium form (12) we identify $\langle \dot{x}(t)\nu_s(x(\tau)) \rangle$ as the violation of the FDT and define a normalized violation function

$$I(t - \tau) \equiv \langle [\dot{x}(t) - v_s][\nu_s(x(\tau)) - v_s] \rangle = \langle \dot{x}(t)\nu_s(x(\tau)) \rangle - v_s^2 \quad (14)$$

such that $I(\infty) = 0$.

Restoring the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. – Our crucial observation is that the equilibrium form (12) can be restored even in a nonequilibrium steady state when we consider the relative velocity

$$v(t) \equiv \dot{x}(t) - \nu_s(x(t)). \quad (15)$$

Combining eq. (11) and eq. (13), we obtain

$$TR_v(t - \tau) = TR_{\dot{x}}(t - \tau) - TR_{\nu_s}(t - \tau) = \langle v(t)v(\tau) \rangle. \quad (16)$$

This is the main claim of the present Letter. Physically this relation implies that fluctuations of the velocity relative to the local mean velocity in a nonequilibrium steady state behave like the corresponding response function. In this sense, these fluctuations cannot be distinguished from equilibrium fluctuations.

Connection to the housekeeping heat. – In a nonequilibrium steady state, the heat permanently dissipated in order to maintain the violation of detailed balance is called the housekeeping heat [7, 8]. In the time interval $t_0 \leq \tau \leq t$ it is given as the functional

$$Q_{\text{hk}}[x(\tau)] \equiv \mu^{-1} \int_{t_0}^t d\tau \dot{x}(\tau)\nu_s(x(\tau)) \quad (17)$$

along a single stochastic trajectory $x(\tau)$. By comparing this expression with eq. (13), a connection between energy dissipation and the violation of the FDT for the velocity becomes obvious. Indeed, in ref. [9] we calculated the mean dissipation rate as

$$\sigma \equiv \partial_t \langle Q_{\text{hk}} \rangle = \mu^{-1} \langle \nu_s^2 \rangle, \quad (18)$$

which is constant in a nonequilibrium steady state. For large time differences we get

$$\langle \dot{x}(t)\nu_s(x(\tau)) \rangle \xrightarrow{|t-\tau| \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nu_s \rangle^2 = v_s^2. \quad (19)$$

Since $\langle \nu_s^2 \rangle \geq \langle \nu_s \rangle^2$, it follows that the violation of the FDT is bounded by the energy dissipation rate,

$$\langle \dot{x}(t)\nu_s(x(\tau)) \rangle \leq \mu\sigma, \quad (20)$$

where the equal sign holds for $t = \tau$. This inequality specializes the former derivation of a general upper bound for the FDT violation [14] to the present case of a driven colloidal particle.

It is interesting to note that we can equate the left hand side of eq. (20) involving two different times with the mean dissipation rate σ by taking into account the change of the generalized potential $\Delta\phi \equiv \phi(x(t)) - \phi(x(\tau))$ during the time $t - \tau$. With

$$\frac{\nu_s(x(t))}{\nu_s(x(\tau))} = \frac{p_s(x(\tau))}{p_s(x(t))} = e^{\Delta\phi} \quad (21)$$




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

Fig. 2 – Normalized violation function $I(\tau)$ over time difference τ obtained from 250,000 simulated Langevin trajectories for the periodic model potential $V(x) = V_0 \cos 2x$ (with $V_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $D = T = 1$). For large forces f , the function $I(\tau)$ shows a non-monotonic decay.

Fig. 3 – The effective diffusion constant D_{eff} over the force f for the same potential and parameters as in fig. 2. The solid line shows the analytical solution (27) whereas the closed circles are obtained numerically from eq. (24). The dotted line shows the susceptibility (25).

we see that

$$\langle \dot{x}(t) \nu_s(x(\tau)) e^{\Delta\phi} \rangle = \mu\sigma \quad (22)$$

holds. Since in a nonequilibrium steady state the total heat flow into the heat reservoir is $Q_{\text{tot}} = Q_{\text{hk}} - T\Delta\phi$ [8,9], the term $-T\Delta\phi$ can be regarded as “excess heat” exchanged with the reservoir in addition to the housekeeping heat. Its mean value $\langle \Delta\phi \rangle = 0$ vanishes and it is bounded, whereas Q_{hk} increases in time on average.

Perspectives. – A direct experimental test of the violated or restored FDT, eqs. (13) or (16) respectively, will certainly be a challenge. We therefore suggest first to test an integrated version involving the effective diffusion coefficient. As a transport coefficient, it is related to velocity correlations by the Green-Kubo formula

$$D_{\text{eff}} \equiv \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle x^2(t) \rangle - \langle x(t) \rangle^2}{2t} = \int_0^\infty d\tau \langle [\dot{x}(\tau) - v_s][\dot{x}(0) - v_s] \rangle. \quad (23)$$

Inserting eq. (13) leads to

$$D_{\text{eff}} = T \left. \frac{\partial \langle \dot{x} \rangle_{\text{p}}}{\partial f_{\text{p}}} \right|_{f_{\text{p}}=0} + \int_0^\infty d\tau I(\tau), \quad (24)$$

where the first term on the right hand side is the static susceptibility

$$\int_0^\infty d\tau R_{\dot{x}}(\tau) = \left. \frac{\partial \langle \dot{x} \rangle_{\text{p}}}{\partial f_{\text{p}}} \right|_{f_{\text{p}}=0} \quad (25)$$

and the second term the integral over the normalized violation function $I(t)$. All three quantities appearing in eq. (24) can be measured independently.

For a numerical check, we calculate the mean velocity in eq. (25) as

$$\langle \dot{x} \rangle_p = \frac{1 - \exp[-l(f + f_p)/T]}{\int_0^l dx I_+(x)/l}, \quad I_{\pm}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{D} \int_0^l dz \exp\{\pm[U(x) - U(x \mp z)]/T\} \quad (26)$$

with $U(x) \equiv V(x) - (f + f_p)x$ and the effective diffusion coefficient as

$$D_{\text{eff}} = Dl^2 \left[\int_0^l dx I_+(x) \right]^{-3} \int_0^l dx I_+^2(x) I_-(x), \quad (27)$$

where now $f_p = 0$ [15]. The violation function $I(t)$ as shown in fig. 2 is calculated from simulated Langevin trajectories. In fig. 3, we compare the known expression (27) with the numerical results obtained from eq. (24) with excellent agreement.

Although we discuss in this Letter the one-dimensional case, following our route it is clear how to generalize eq. (11) to interacting systems with more than one degree of freedom. Furthermore, eq. (16) remains valid even if we drop the restriction of the Einstein relation in favor of an “effective” temperature $T_{\text{eff}} \equiv D(f)/\mu$, where the strength of the noise $D(f)$ depends on the driving. Whether our approach to restore a FDT by referring the velocity to the appropriate local mean velocity can be extended to non-velocity like observables involved in violations of FDT’s remains to be seen.

* * *

Valuable comments by A. Gambassi and an anonymous referee are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Kubo, M.Toda, and N. Hashitsume, *Statistical Physics II*, 2nd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991).
- [2] A. Crisanti and F. Ritort, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36**, R181 (2003).
- [3] P. Calabrese and A. Gambassi, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38**, R133 (2005).
- [4] J. L. Barrat and L. Berthier, *Phys. Rev. E* **63**, 012503 (2001).
- [5] M. Fuchs and M. E. Cates, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **17**, S1681 (2005).
- [6] P. Martin, A. J. Hudspeth, and F. Jülicher, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **98**, 14380 (2001).
- [7] Y. Oono and M. Paniconi, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **130**, 29 (1998).
- [8] T. Hatano and S. Sasa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 3463 (2001).
- [9] T. Speck and U. Seifert, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38**, L581 (2005).
- [10] T. Harada and S. Sasa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 130602 (2005).
- [11] H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation*, 2nd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989).
- [12] M. Falcioni and A. Vulpiani, *Physica A* **215**, 481 (1995).
- [13] L. F. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan, and G. Parisi, *J. Phys. I* **4**, 1641 (1994).
- [14] L. F. Cugliandolo, D. S. Dean, and J. Kurchan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 2168 (1997).
- [15] P. Reimann, C. van den Broeck, H. Linke, P. Hänggi, M. Rubi, and A. Pérez-Madrid, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 010602 (2001).