

# Mesoscopic Theory of Critical Fluctuations in Isolated Granular Gases

J. Javier Brey,<sup>\*</sup> A. Domínguez, M.I. García de Soria, and P. Maynar

*Física Teórica, Universidad de Sevilla,  
Apdo. de Correos 1065, E-41080 Sevilla, Spain*

(Dated: today)

## Abstract

Fluctuating hydrodynamics is used to describe the total energy fluctuations of a freely evolving gas of inelastic hard spheres near the threshold of the clustering instability. They are shown to be governed by vorticity fluctuations only, that also lead to a renormalization of the average total energy. The theory predicts a power-law divergent behavior of the scaled second moment of the fluctuations, and a scaling property of their probability distribution, both in agreement with simulations results. A more quantitative comparison between theory and simulation for the critical amplitudes and the form of the scaling function is also carried out.

PACS numbers: 45.70.-n,45.70.Mg,51.10.+y,05.20.Dd

Granular gases are assemblies of macroscopic particles evolving independently between inelastic collisions [1]. The methods of non-equilibrium statistical mechanics, kinetic theory, and hydrodynamics have been successfully extended to describe the observed macroscopic behavior and also, although in a much more limited form, the fluctuations around it [2]. The lack of energy conservation makes them to behave quite differently from molecular fluids. A simple widely used model for granular gases consists of smooth inelastic hard spheres (IHS), with momentum conserving dynamics. Inelasticity is characterized by means of a constant coefficient of normal restitution  $\alpha$ .

Recently, molecular dynamics (MD) simulation results have been reported for the total energy fluctuations of a two-dimensional freely evolving IHS gas, near the threshold of the clustering instability [3]. The dimensionless second moment was found to exhibit a power-law divergent behavior with the distance to the instability. Also, the scaled cooling rate was found to tend to zero according to a power law, although in a weak way. Besides, the distribution function for the energy fluctuations, when properly scaled, turned out to be independent of the parameters defining the system. This was associated with a scaling property of the distribution. Quite remarkably, the scaling function was very well fitted by the same expression as several equilibrium and non-equilibrium molecular systems [4, 5].

The main goal of the present Letter is to provide an explanation for the above results on the basis of fluctuating hydrodynamics [6], showing that it gives an accurate description of the fluctuations occurring in a granular fluid in the threshold of the clustering instability.

Consider an isolated system of  $N$  IHS of mass  $m$  and diameter  $\sigma$ . The total (kinetic) energy  $\tilde{E}$  of the system can be expressed in the form [8]

$$\tilde{E}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \left[ d\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r}, t)\tilde{T}(\mathbf{r}, t) + m\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r}, t)\tilde{u}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) \right], \quad (1)$$

where  $d$  is the dimension of the system,  $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  the number density field,  $\tilde{T}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  the temperature field, and  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  the flow field. The tildes indicate that all the quantities are understood as fluctuating variables. The above expression can be justified by identifying the definitions of the microscopic densities with their fluctuating values. In the following, systems in the *homogeneous cooling state* (HCS) will be considered. At a macroscopic level, this state is characterized by a constant uniform density  $n_H$ , a vanishing flow field  $\mathbf{u}_H = 0$ , and a uniform time-dependent temperature obeying the law [7]  $\partial_t T_H(t) = -\zeta_H(T_H)T_H(t)$ , where  $\zeta_H \propto T_H(t)^{1/2}$  is the cooling rate. Moreover, we will restrict ourselves to the region in

which the amplitudes of the fluctuations of the fields around their HCS values remain small on the average. Then retaining up to quadratic order in the deviations, Eq. (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\tilde{E}(t) &\equiv \tilde{E}(t) - E_H(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \left[ dn_H \delta\tilde{T}(\mathbf{r}, t) + d\delta\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r}, t) \delta\tilde{T}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + mn_H |\delta\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{r}, t)|^2 \right].\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

Here,  $E_H(t) = dNT_H(t)/2$ ,  $\delta\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \tilde{n}(\mathbf{r}, t) - n_H$ ,  $\delta\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ , and  $\delta\tilde{T}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \tilde{T}(\mathbf{r}, t) - T_H(t)$ . It is now convenient to introduce dimensionless position,  $\mathbf{l}$ , and time,  $s$ , scales as  $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{r}/l_0$  and  $ds = v_H(t)dt/l_0$ , respectively, where  $v_H \equiv [2T_H(t)/m]^{1/2}$  is the thermal velocity and  $l_0 \equiv (n_H \sigma^{d-1})^{-1}$  is proportional to the mean free path. Moreover, dimensionless fields are defined by  $\rho(\mathbf{l}, s) = \delta\tilde{n}(\mathbf{r}, t)/n_H$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\omega}(\mathbf{l}, s) = \delta\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{r}, t)/v_H(t)$ , and  $\theta(\mathbf{l}, s) = \delta\tilde{T}(\mathbf{r}, t)/T_H(t)$ . Then, Eq. (2) takes the form

$$\epsilon(s) = \frac{\theta_0(s)}{V} + \frac{1}{V^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left[ \rho_{\mathbf{k}}(s) \theta_{-\mathbf{k}}(s) + \frac{2}{d} |\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{k}}(s)|^2 \right], \quad (3)$$

where  $\epsilon(s) \equiv \delta\tilde{E}(s)/E_H(s)$ ,  $V = L^d$  is the volume of the system in the new units, and the Fourier transforms of the fields have been introduced. It is assumed that after a time of the order of the mean free time between collisions, the system reaches a hydrodynamic regime in which all the energy of the system is stored in the hydrodynamic modes. In this regime, the hydrodynamic fields are expected to be described at a mesoscopic level by fluctuating hydrodynamic equations. Here, they will be assumed to be linear Langevin equations obtained by linearizing the Navier-Stokes equations for a granular gas around the HCS. Moreover, the assumption is made that the noise terms are defined by the same properties as for molecular, elastic gases [9]. This is not expected to be true, except in the nearly elastic limit, i.e. when the coefficient of normal restitution  $\alpha$  is very close to unity. Consequently, the theory will be restricted in the following to this limit. Thus, the transversal flow field or vorticity field,  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{k}\perp}$ , obeys the equation [6, 9]

$$(\partial_s - \zeta^*/2 + \eta^* k^2) \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{k}\perp}(s) = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathbf{k}\perp}(s). \quad (4)$$

In this expression,  $\zeta^* = \zeta_H[T_H(t)]l_0/v_H(t)$  and  $\eta^* = \eta_H[T_H(t)]/mn_H l_0 v_H(t)$ ,  $\eta_H$  being the shear viscosity. The random noise term  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathbf{k}\perp}(s)$  is Gaussian, with a correlation

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathbf{k}\perp}(s) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathbf{k}'\perp}(s') \rangle = \frac{V^2}{N} \delta(s - s') \delta_{\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}'} \eta^* k^2 \mathbf{I}, \quad (5)$$

$\mathbf{l}$  being the unit tensor of dimension  $d - 1$  in the subspace perpendicular to  $\mathbf{k}$ , and the angular brackets denoting average over the noise realizations. A main advantage of using the scaled variables is that the coefficients in Eq. (4) and the strength of the noise become time-independent, contrary to what happens in the original variables. The equation shows that  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{k}\perp}$  grows in time for those values of  $\mathbf{k}$  such that  $\lambda_{\perp}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \zeta^*/2 - \eta^*k^2 > 0$ . Although this does not imply by itself that the HCS is linearly unstable, due to the time-dependent scaling of the velocity introduced above, simulation results and nonlinear analytical analysis of the Navier-Stokes equations have shown that this growth is the origin of the clustering instability [10, 11]. The minimum value of  $k$  for a system of linear extent  $L$ , measured in the  $l$ -scale, is  $k_{min} = 2\pi/L$ . Then, for given values of the other parameters, the system becomes unstable if  $L > L_c$ , with  $L_c = 2\pi(2\eta^*/\zeta^*)^{1/2}$ . For  $L < L_c$ , the HCS is stable and the long time solution of Eq. (4) is

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{k}\perp}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^s ds' e^{(s-s')\lambda_{\perp}(\mathbf{k})} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\mathbf{k}\perp}(s'). \quad (6)$$

From this expression, it is easily obtained

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{k}\perp}(s) \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{k}'\perp}(s') \rangle = -\frac{V^2 \eta^* k^2}{2N \lambda_{\perp}(\mathbf{k})} e^{(s-s')\lambda_{\perp}(\mathbf{k})} \delta_{\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}'} \mathbf{l}, \quad (7)$$

for  $s \geq s' \gg 1$ . This shows that as  $L$  tends to  $L_c$  from below, the amplitudes of the fluctuations of the transversal components of the velocity increase very fast as the instability is approached due to contributions from values of  $k$  close to  $k_c$ . For the same reason the decay of these fluctuations becomes very slow. This is not the case for the fluctuations of the other hydrodynamic fields, whose Langevin equations are decoupled from Eq. (4) [6]. Therefore, it seems possible to consider a range of values of  $\widetilde{\delta L} \equiv (L_c - L)/L_c$  where the fluctuations of  $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{k}\perp}$ , although still small, dominate over the fluctuations of density and temperature. But, although this is true for components with  $k > 0$ , some care is needed when analyzing Eq. (3), since it involves the  $k = 0$  component of the temperature field,  $\theta_0(s)$ . The Langevin equation for  $\epsilon(s)$  is obtained from the linearization around the HCS of the macroscopic average equation for the total energy,

$$\partial_t E(t) = -\frac{d}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} n(\mathbf{r}, t) \zeta_H(n, T) T(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (8)$$

The result is:

$$\partial_s \epsilon(s) = \zeta^* \left[ \epsilon(s) - \frac{3}{2V} \theta_0(s) \right]. \quad (9)$$

Here, the dependence of the cooling rate on the temperature has been taken into account. Moreover, the noise term discussed in [12], associated with the localized character of the energy dissipation, has been omitted. Although it can be expected to be negligible far from the instability in the quasi-elastic limit, this may be not the case near the instability. Equation (9) shows a coupling between the fluctuations of the volume averaged temperature and those of the total energy. Use of Eq. (3) into Eq. (9) and neglecting contributions from the density and longitudinal velocity fluctuations gives

$$\partial_s \epsilon(s) = -\frac{\zeta^*}{2} [\epsilon(s) - \bar{\omega}(s)], \quad \bar{\omega}(s) = \frac{6}{V^2 d} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} |\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{k}\perp}(s)|^2, \quad (10)$$

valid in the region  $\widetilde{\delta L} \ll 1$ . The long time limit of the average value of  $\epsilon(s)$  is, therefore,

$$\langle \epsilon \rangle_{st} = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \langle \bar{\omega}(s) \rangle = -\frac{3(d-1)}{Nd} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\eta^* k^2}{\lambda_{\perp}(k)}. \quad (11)$$

Since we are considering  $\widetilde{\delta L} \ll 1$ , the sum over  $\mathbf{k}$  in the above expression is dominated by the  $2d$  modes with the largest wavelength, for which  $\lambda_{\perp}(k_{min}) \simeq -\zeta^* \widetilde{\delta L}$ . Using this into Eq. (11), it follows that there is a renormalization by fluctuations of the average total energy of the HCS,  $E(t) = \langle \tilde{E}(t) \rangle$ , given by

$$E(t) = E_H(t) \left[ 1 + \frac{3(d-1)}{n_H L_c^d} \widetilde{\delta L}^{-1} \right]. \quad (12)$$

Similarly, there is also a renormalization of the temperature of the HCS,  $T(t) = \langle \tilde{T}(t) \rangle_{st}$ , that can be evaluated directly from the long time limit of the average of Eq. (9),

$$T(t) = T_H(t) \left[ 1 + \frac{\langle \theta_0 \rangle_{st}}{V} \right] = T_H(t) \left[ 1 + \frac{2(d-1)}{n_H L_c^d} \widetilde{\delta L}^{-1} \right]. \quad (13)$$

Alternatively, an effective temperature  $T_{ef}(t)$  can be defined as  $T_{ef}(t) = 2E(t)/Nd$ . Of course, the form of the renormalized law for the temperature depends on the definition used for the latter. In [3], what was actually measured was  $\zeta_{ef}^* = \zeta_{ef}(T_{ef}) l_0 / v_H(T_{ef})$ , with  $\zeta_{ef}$  defined by  $\partial_t T_{ef} = -\zeta_{ef}(T_{ef}) T_{ef}$ . Then, it is found

$$\zeta_{ef}^* = \zeta^* \left[ 1 + \frac{3(d-1)}{n_H L_c^d} \widetilde{\delta L}^{-1} \right]^{-1/2}. \quad (14)$$

This result predicts that near the clustering instability threshold,  $(\zeta_{ef}^{*-2} - \zeta^{*-2})/\zeta^{*-2} = A_{\zeta} \widetilde{\delta L}^{-1}$  with  $A_{\zeta} = 3(d-1)/n_H L_c^d$ , that is just the behavior observed in [3].

Define now  $\widetilde{\delta E}(s) = [\widetilde{E}(s) - E(s)]/E(s) = [\epsilon(s) - \langle \epsilon \rangle_{st}]E_H(s)/E(s)$ . We are considering deviations from the renormalized average value, i.e. including the fluctuations effects, and not from the macroscopic bare value. A standard calculation using Eq. (7) and exploiting the Gaussian character of the noise, gives that in the instability threshold and for  $s \geq s' \gg 1$  it is

$$\langle [\overline{\omega}(s) - \langle \overline{\omega} \rangle_{st}] [\overline{\omega}(s') - \langle \overline{\omega} \rangle_{st}] \rangle_{st} = \frac{9(d-1)}{n_H^2 L_c^{2d} d} \frac{e^{-(s-s')/s_c}}{\widetilde{\delta L}^2}, \quad (15)$$

where  $s_c = (2\zeta^* \widetilde{\delta L})^{-1}$  is a divergent “critical” relaxation time. Now Eq. (10) can be easily solved with the result, when  $\widetilde{\delta L} \ll 1$ ,

$$\langle \widetilde{\delta E}(s) \widetilde{\delta E}(s') \rangle_{st} = \langle [\overline{\omega}(s) - \langle \overline{\omega} \rangle_{st}] [\overline{\omega}(s') - \langle \overline{\omega} \rangle_{st}] \rangle_{st}, \quad (16)$$

valid for  $s \geq s' \gg 1$ . Thus below the instability, the scaled total energy fluctuations decay with the same rate as the fluctuations of the kinetic energy associated with the transversal modes of the velocity. For  $s = s'$ , Eq. (16) yields

$$\sigma_E^2 \equiv \langle (\widetilde{\delta E})^2 \rangle_{st} = A_\epsilon^2 \widetilde{\delta L}^{-2}, \quad (17)$$

with  $A_\epsilon^2 = 9(d-1)/n_H^2 L_c^{2d} d$ . Therefore, close to the instability point, the relative dispersion of the total energy fluctuations  $\sigma_E$  presents a divergent behavior with a critical exponent  $-1$ , and an amplitude  $A_\epsilon$  depending on the density  $n_H$  and the coefficient of normal restitution  $\alpha$  (through the value of the critical length  $L_c$ ). Again, this is the same behavior as reported in [3] from MD simulations.

To carry out a more detailed check of the theory presented here, we have performed MD simulations of two-dimensional systems with different values of  $\alpha$  and  $n_H$  (see Table I). In all cases, the dependence on  $\widetilde{\delta L}$  of both the cooling rate and the dispersion of the total energy, i.e. the exponents in the power laws (14) and (17), was in agreement with the theoretical predictions. This was illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2 of ref. [3] and no more details will be given here. The comparison between the predicted critical amplitudes and the MD results given in Table I can be considered as satisfactory, in the sense that the theory correctly predicts the order of magnitude of the amplitudes, specially taking into account the smallness of the quantities being measured.

Next, let us proceed to investigate the form of the probability distribution of the energy fluctuations. Particularization of Eq. (4) for the modes with the smallest possible value of

TABLE I: Comparison between the predicted and MD values for the critical amplitudes of the cooling rate,  $A_\zeta$ , and the total energy dispersion,  $A_\epsilon$ . All the values of the amplitudes have been multiplied by  $10^3$ .

$n_H \sigma^2$	$\alpha$	$A_\zeta^{theory}$	$A_\zeta^{MD}$	$A_\epsilon^{theory}$	$A_\epsilon^{MD}$
0.02	0.9	0.88	1.11	0.62	0.6
0.02	0.8	1.62	3.63	1.15	1.5
0.1	0.98	1.06	0.50	0.75	0.47
0.1	0.95	2.4	2.38	1.7	1.45
0.2	0.98	1.97	2.34	1.4	1.34
0.2	0.95	4.59	7.78	3.24	3.6

$k$  in the limit  $\delta\tilde{L} \ll 1$  gives

$$\left(\partial_s + \zeta^* \delta\tilde{L}\right) \omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp}(s) = \xi_{\mathbf{k}\perp}(s), \quad (18)$$

where it is understood that  $|\mathbf{k}| = k_{min}$ . Define a new time scale  $d\tau = \zeta^* \delta\tilde{L} ds$ , and a new transversal velocity field by  $\omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^* = \omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp} / L_c^d \sigma_E^{1/2}$ . Equation (18) becomes

$$(\partial_\tau + 1) \omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^* = \xi_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^*(\tau), \quad (19)$$

with

$$\langle \xi_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^*(\tau) \xi_{\mathbf{k}'\perp}^*(\tau') \rangle = \frac{d^{1/2}}{6(d-1)^{1/2}} \delta_{\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}'} \delta(\tau - \tau'). \quad (20)$$

Equations (19) and (20) imply that the probability distribution for  $\omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^*$ , with  $|\mathbf{k}| = k_{min}$ , near the clustering instability depends only on the dimension  $d$  of the system. In fact, since the noise term  $\xi_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^*(\tau)$  is Gaussian, it is trivial to write the long time form of this distribution using Eq. (7) with  $s = s'$ ,

$$P_{st}(\omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^*) = \left(2\pi\sigma_\omega^2\right)^{-(d-1)/2} e^{-\omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^{*2}/2\sigma_\omega^2}, \quad (21)$$

with  $\sigma_\omega^2 = d^{1/2}/12(d-1)^{1/2}$ . In the time scale  $\tau$ , and keeping only the dominant modes, Eq. (10) reads

$$\delta\tilde{L} \partial_\tau y = -\frac{1}{2} \left( y - \frac{6}{d} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|=k_{min}} |\omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^*(\tau)|^2 \right), \quad (22)$$

where  $y = \epsilon/\sigma_E$  and the sum is restricted to vectors  $\mathbf{k}$  with  $|\mathbf{k}| = k_{min}$ . From the comparison of Eqs. (19) and (22) it is seen that, on the  $\tau$  scale and in the threshold of the instability,

$y$  decays much faster than the dominant components of  $\omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^*$ . Consequently, for large  $\tau$  the solution of Eq. (22) is  $y = \frac{6}{d} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|=k_{min}} |\omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^*(\tau)|^2$ , where the probability distributions of the modes  $\omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^*$  is given by Eq. (21). Since the later does not depend on the parameters of the system other than the dimensionality, the same property follows for the probability distribution of both  $y$  and the variable

$$\frac{\widetilde{\delta E}}{\sigma_E} = -[d(d-1)]^{1/2} + \frac{6}{d} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}|=k_{min}} |\omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^*|^2. \quad (23)$$

This is equivalent to saying that the probability distribution for  $\widetilde{\delta E}$  verifies the scaling relation

$$P(\widetilde{\delta E}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_E} f\left(\frac{\widetilde{\delta E}}{\sigma_E}\right), \quad (24)$$

where  $f$  is a scaling function. This is just the property assumed in [3] and verified by MD simulations. Since the probability distribution function for  $\omega_{\mathbf{k}\perp}^*$  is known, it is possible to numerically generate the probability distribution function  $P(\widetilde{\delta E})$ . The result is shown in Fig. 1. Also plotted is the function

$$\Pi(\widetilde{\delta E}) = K \left( e^{x-e^x} \right)^a, \quad x = -b(s + \widetilde{\delta E}), \quad a = \pi/2, \quad (25)$$

with  $K = 2.14$ ,  $b = 0.938$ , and  $s = 0.374$ , that fits extremely well the MD results for  $\sigma_E P(\widetilde{\delta E})$  [3]. It is important to remark that fluctuations in a large number of equilibrium and non-equilibrium systems exhibiting self-organized criticality as well as confined turbulent flows, present the same kind of behavior [4, 5]. Although the agreement between both plotted curves is not so bad for positive values of  $\widetilde{\delta E}$ , strong discrepancies are observed for negative values. A major source for them is easily identified from Eq. (23), that for  $d = 2$  implies  $\widetilde{\delta E}/\sigma_E \geq -\sqrt{2}$ , while smaller values are found in the MD simulations. Since Eq. (23) is a consequence of Eq. (9), it seems plausible that in order to elaborate a more accurate theory the intrinsic noise associated with the cooling rate must be taken into account.

In summary, we have developed a mesoscopic theory for the fluctuations of the total energy of an isolated granular gas near the threshold of the clustering instability. The theory describes accurately the qualitative behavior obtained in MD simulations, namely the divergent behavior of the dimensionless second moment and the decrease of the apparent cooling rate. Also, it is consistent with the observed scaling property of the probability distribution function of the fluctuations. On the other hand, it seems clear that a more refined






FIG. 1: Probability density function of the relative total energy fluctuations  $\sigma_E P_L(\widetilde{\delta E})$  for a system of inelastic hard disks. The broken line is the theoretical prediction derived in this paper and the solid line is Eq. (25).

formulation is needed in order to get a more satisfactory quantitative agreement, especially for the distribution function. In any case, we believe the present work clearly indicates the way in which fluctuations in granular systems near an instability can be analyzed and, in particular, the dominant role played by nonlinear coupling between hydrodynamic modes.

This research was supported by the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Spain) through Grant No. FIS2005-01398 (partially financed by FEDER funds).

---

\* Electronic address: brey@us.es

- [1] H.M. Jaeger, S.R. Nagel, and R.P. Behringer, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68**, 1259 (1996).
- [2] I. Goldhirsch, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **35**, 267 (2003).
- [3] J.J. Brey, M.I. García de Soria, P. Maynar, and M.J. Ruiz-Montero, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** 098001 (2005).
- [4] S.T. Bramwell, P.C.W. Holdsworth, and J.-F. Pinton, *Nature* **396**, 552 (1998).
- [5] S.T. Bramwell, K. Christensen, J.-Y. Fortin, P.C.W. Holdsworth, H.J. Jensen, S. Lise, J.M. López, M. Nicodemi, J.-F. Pinton, and M. Sellitto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 3744 (2000).
- [6] L. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics* (Peragamon Press, New York, 1959).
- [7] P.K. Haff, *J. Fluid Mech.* **134**, 401 (1983).

- [8] R. Brito and M.H. Ernst, Europhys. Lett. **43**, 497 (1998).
- [9] T.P.C. van Noije, M.H. Ernst, R. Brito, and J.A.G. Orza, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 411 (1997).
- [10] I. Goldhirsch and G. Zanetti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1619 (1993); S. McNamara and W.R. Young, Phys. Rev. E **50**, R28 (1994).
- [11] J.J. Brey, M.J. Ruiz-Montero, and D. Cubero, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 3150 (1999).
- [12] J.J. Brey, M.I. García de Soria, P. Maynar, and M.J. Ruiz-Montero, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 011302 (2004).