

Exciton-Mediated Triplet Superconductivity in T_h System $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ Masashige Matsumoto and Mikito Koga¹*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University, Shizuoka 422-8529*¹*Department of Physics, Faculty of Education, Shizuoka University, Shizuoka 422-8529*

(Received February 24, 2005)

In $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, the lowest-lying singlet and triplet states in a Pr $4f^2$ configuration hybridize with conduction electrons having local a_u and t_u point-group symmetries. It is shown that for an attractive triplet pairing interaction, the orbital degrees of freedom of the t_u component are important. In addition, the T_h point-group symmetry characteristic of skutterudites plays an important role in stabilizing triplet superconductivity.

KEYWORDS: skutterudite, triplet superconductivity, time reversal symmetry, exciton

The skutterudite $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is a recently found new heavy fermion superconductor.¹ It is reported that the time reversal symmetry is broken in the superconducting state,² and that there are multiple phases depending on a magnetic field.³ These results together with the absence of the Hebel-Slichter peak in nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) measurements⁴ indicate that unconventional superconductivity is realized in $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. In theoretical studies, an attempt to confirm these experimental results of unconventional superconductivity has been carried out.⁵⁻⁸

The Pr^{3+} ion in $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ has a $4f^2$ configuration in a T_h (without fourfold axes in a cubic crystal) point-group crystal field.⁹ The Γ_1 singlet ground state is realized with low-lying $\Gamma_4^{(2)}$ triplet excited states. This crystal-field configuration can explain naturally the magnetic field-induced antiferro (AF)-type order above 4.5 T,¹⁰⁻¹³ where the superconductivity disappears. This is the most important feature compared to the reference superconductors $\text{LaOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ and $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Thus, we believe that the existence of the low-lying triplet state is important for superconductivity.

A recent neutron scattering experiment revealed that the low-lying excitation mode has a weak dispersion relation (exciton).^{17,18} In our previous study, we investigated exciton-mediated superconductivity by taking only an effective magnetic exchange interaction between the Pr triplet states and conduction electrons.¹⁹ In this case, we found an attractive interaction for d -wave superconductivity.

The observed exciton dispersion indicates that there are intersite multipole interactions between the Pr ions. In the field-induced ordered phase, the following experimental results are reported: (1) Only a small dipole moment is observed.¹² (2) The critical field exhibits a marked anisotropy depending on the field direction.²⁰ (3) An inelastic neutron scattering experiment revealed that the intensity of the exciton decreases towards the zone boundary.¹⁸ The above results support a nonmagnetic multipole (quadrupole) interaction.²¹⁻²³ To derive such a substantial multipole interaction via a conduction electron system, we have to take the orbital degrees of freedom into account in the exchange interaction between the Pr $4f^2$ and conduction electrons.²⁴ Their cou-

pling should contribute to the mass enhancement of the conduction electrons.^{1,10,25}

By neutron scattering measurements, evidence of an AF multipole intersite interaction was also found.^{17,18} It is known that AF magnetic fluctuations tend to stabilize singlet pairing superconducting states. If triplet superconductivity is stabilized, orbital degrees of freedom are important, as discussed in the case of the p -wave superconductivity of Sr_2RuO_4 .²⁶ We apply this idea to f -electron systems in a different manner and pursue a stability condition for the triplet superconductivity. To determine the role of orbitals, $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is a good example, since its orbital is well defined by the localized nature of the f -electron. In fact, recent NMR and μSR measurements reported evidence of odd parity superconductivity.^{27,28}

As mentioned above, the ground state in Pr $4f^2$ is $|\Gamma_1\rangle$ accompanied by low-lying triplet excitations $|\Gamma_{4n}^{(2)}\rangle$ ($n = 1, 2, 3$). In the T_h symmetry, the $|\Gamma_{4n}^{(2)}\rangle$ states are linear combinations of Γ_5 and Γ_4 wavefunctions in O_h .^{9,21}

$$|\Gamma_{4n}^{(2)}\rangle = \sqrt{1-d^2}|\Gamma_5^n\rangle + d|\Gamma_4^n\rangle \quad (1)$$

Here, $n=1,2,3$, and d is the T_h crystal-field parameter describing the deviation from the O_h symmetry ($d = 0$ or $|d| = 1$). The Pr ion is at the center in the cage of Sb_{12} . The $4f^2$ state hybridizes with conduction electrons having local a_u and t_u symmetries, which can be formed from the molecular orbitals of Sb_{12} .²⁹ In this paper, we treat a single a_u dominant conduction band with strong hybridization with the Pr f^2 state. The a_u electrons hybridize with the $f \Gamma_7$ states of Pr directly and also with the $f \Gamma_8$ states via nonlocal mixing with the t_u molecular orbital of Sb_{12} .

Let us begin with the following nonlocal exchange interaction between the $4f^2$ state of the i th Pr and the conduction electrons:²⁴

$$H_{\text{ex}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{N} J \sum_{\mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}'} e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \cdot \mathbf{r}_i} \quad (2)$$

$$\times \sum_{\lambda \mu} [S_{i\lambda} s_{\lambda}^{\mu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') + Q_{i\lambda} q_{\lambda}^{\mu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')] \sum_{\sigma \sigma'} \sigma_{\sigma \sigma'}^{\mu} c_{\mathbf{k} \sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}' \sigma'}$$

Here, $J = \sqrt{1+20d^2} J_0$ with J_0 as the coupling constant for $d = 0$. $S_{i\lambda}$ and $Q_{i\lambda}$ are local magnetic and

nonmagnetic operators, respectively, which couple $|\Gamma_1\rangle$ with $|\Gamma_4^{(2)}\rangle$. The $\lambda = z, +, -$ components are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} S_z &= (|\Gamma_{42}^{(2)}\rangle\langle\Gamma_1|) + (|\Gamma_1\rangle\langle\Gamma_{42}^{(2)}|), \\ Q_z &= (|\Gamma_{42}^{(2)}\rangle\langle\Gamma_1|) - (|\Gamma_1\rangle\langle\Gamma_{42}^{(2)}|), \\ S_- &= S_+^\dagger = -\sqrt{2}(|\Gamma_1\rangle\langle\Gamma_{41}^{(2)}|) + \sqrt{2}(|\Gamma_{43}^{(2)}\rangle\langle\Gamma_1|), \\ Q_- &= Q_+^\dagger = -\sqrt{2}(|\Gamma_1\rangle\langle\Gamma_{41}^{(2)}|) - \sqrt{2}(|\Gamma_{43}^{(2)}\rangle\langle\Gamma_1|). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In eq. (2), μ takes $\mu = 0, z, +, -$, where σ^0 is a unit matrix and $\sigma^\pm = (\sigma^x \pm i\sigma^y)/2$. The momentum dependences of the μ components of the magnetic and nonmagnetic channels are described by $s_\lambda^\mu(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ and $q_\lambda^\mu(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$, respectively. The former is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} s_z^0(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') &= i\frac{3}{7}A\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{3}}(A_{\mathbf{k}2} - A_{\mathbf{k}'2}), \quad s_z^z(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = 0, \\ s_z^+(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') &= i\frac{3}{7}\frac{A}{2}\left[\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{3}}(A_{\mathbf{k}1}^* + A_{\mathbf{k}'1}^*) + \beta(A_{\mathbf{k}1} + A_{\mathbf{k}'1})\right], \\ s_z^-(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') &= [s_z^+(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})]^*, \\ s_-^0(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') &= i\frac{3}{7}A\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{3}}(A_{\mathbf{k}1}^* - A_{\mathbf{k}'1}^*), \\ s_-^z(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') &= i\frac{3}{7}\frac{A}{2}\left[-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{3}}(A_{\mathbf{k}1}^* + A_{\mathbf{k}'1}^*) + \beta(A_{\mathbf{k}1} + A_{\mathbf{k}'1})\right], \\ s_-^+(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') &= i\frac{3}{7}A\beta(A_{\mathbf{k}2} + A_{\mathbf{k}'2}), \\ s_-^-(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') &= i\frac{3}{7}A\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{3}}(A_{\mathbf{k}2} + A_{\mathbf{k}'2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here, α and β are functions of the T_h parameter d :

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{1-d^2}}{\sqrt{1+20d^2}}, \quad \beta = \frac{\sqrt{21}d}{\sqrt{1+20d^2}}. \quad (5)$$

We introduced the parameter A representing the amplitude of the nonlocal mixing between the a_u (xyz) and t_u (x,y,z) components of conduction electrons. The symmetry of the mixing is expressed by the functions $A_{\mathbf{k}1}$ and $A_{\mathbf{k}2}$: $A_{\mathbf{k}1} = F_{\mathbf{k}yz} + iF_{\mathbf{k}zx}$, $A_{\mathbf{k}2} = F_{\mathbf{k}xy}$, where $F_{\mathbf{k}xy} = \sin(k_x/2)\sin(k_y/2)\cos(k_z/2)$, $F_{\mathbf{k}yz} = \cos(k_x/2)\sin(k_y/2)\sin(k_z/2)$, $F_{\mathbf{k}zx} = \sin(k_x/2)\cos(k_y/2)\sin(k_z/2)$. They reflect the symmetry of the bcc lattice. $s_+^\mu(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ is obtained simply by $s_+^\mu(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = [s_-^\mu(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k})]^*$. Here, $\mu = 0, z, +, -$ and $-\mu = 0, z, -, +$. For nonmagnetic channels, $q_\lambda^\mu(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ is obtained from $s_\lambda^\mu(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$ by replacing $(A_{\mathbf{k}'1}, A_{\mathbf{k}'2}) \rightarrow (-A_{\mathbf{k}'1}, -A_{\mathbf{k}'2})$ for $\lambda = z, -$, and $(A_{\mathbf{k}1}, A_{\mathbf{k}2}) \rightarrow (-A_{\mathbf{k}1}, -A_{\mathbf{k}2})$ for $\lambda = +$.

Within the above exchange interaction (2), we obtained the following simple form for a multipole-multipole interaction for the nearest-neighbor Pr pairs:²⁴

$$H_1 = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (D_s \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + D_q \mathbf{Q}_i \cdot \mathbf{Q}_j), \quad (6)$$

since x, y and z are identical in the T_h symmetry. Here, $\mathbf{Q}_i \cdot \mathbf{Q}_j = -Q_{iz}Q_{jz} + (Q_{i-}Q_{j+} + Q_{i+}Q_{j-})/2$ due to the anti-Hermitic nature of Q_z defined by eq. (3). $\sum_{\langle ij \rangle}$ denotes the summation over the nearest-neighbor Pr sites on the bcc lattice. D_s and D_q in eq. (6) are coupling con-

stants for the magnetic and nonmagnetic interactions, respectively. From eq. (6), we derived the following Hamiltonian for the crystal-field excitons:^{19,23}

$$H_{4f} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}} (b_{\mathbf{k}x}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{k}x} + b_{\mathbf{k}y}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{k}y} + b_{\mathbf{k}z}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{k}z}), \quad (7)$$

where $b_{\mathbf{k}\alpha}$ is the bosonic operator for the $\alpha = x, y, z$ component exciton. $E_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the dispersion for exciton $E_{\mathbf{k}} = \sqrt{[\Delta + (D_s + D_q)\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}]^2 - [(D_s - D_q)\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}}]^2}$, with $\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}} = 8\cos(k_x/2)\cos(k_y/2)\cos(k_z/2)$ for the bcc lattice. Δ ($\Delta \gg D_s, D_q$) is the crystal field splitting from $|\Gamma_1\rangle$ to $|\Gamma_4^{(2)}\rangle$.

For Pr-based systems, it was pointed out that the exciton plays an important role in mass enhancement³⁰ and superconductivity.³¹ In this paper, we derive the effective interaction H_{eff} between conduction electrons mediated by the exciton within the following second-order perturbation: $H_{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{ex}}[1/(E_0 - H_0)]H_{\text{ex}}$. Here, H_0 is the unperturbed Hamiltonian for the Pr $4f^2$ and conduction electron systems. We can eliminate bosonic operators by taking expectation values at low temperatures. Since we are interested mainly in superconductivity, we only consider the $c_-^\dagger \mathbf{k}' c_-^\dagger \mathbf{k}' c_{-\mathbf{k}} c_{-\mathbf{k}}$ type of interaction. We define the singlet and triplet pair operators by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{s}_{\mathbf{k}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} i\sigma_{\sigma\sigma'}^y c_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{-\mathbf{k}\sigma'}, \\ \hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\sigma\sigma'} (i\sigma^y \sigma^\alpha)_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{\sigma\sigma'} c_{-\mathbf{k}\sigma'}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where, $\alpha = x, y, z$.

For singlet pairings, we obtain the effective Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{singlet}} &= \left(\frac{3JA}{7}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{3}\alpha^2 - \beta^2\right) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{kk'} \left(-\frac{U_{s1}}{\Delta} + U_{s2} \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}}{\Delta^2}\right) \\ &\times (F_{\mathbf{k}xy} F_{\mathbf{k}'xy} + F_{\mathbf{k}yz} F_{\mathbf{k}'yz} + F_{\mathbf{k}zx} F_{\mathbf{k}'zx}) \hat{s}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{s}_{\mathbf{k}'}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $U_{s1} = 10/9$ and $U_{s2} = 2(D_s + D_q/9)$. For $\alpha^2/3 - \beta^2 > 0$ ($|d| < 1/8$), d_{xy} , d_{yz} and d_{zx} -waves are stabilized in the lowest order of $1/\Delta$. For a larger $|d|$, however, the lowest order term becomes repulsive. For $|d| > 1/8$, we have to consider the second-order term proportional to $\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}/\Delta^2$. The even parity component of $\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}$ also leads to d -wave states. In this case, the pair wavefunction, for instance, the d_{xy} -wave, has a form of $[\sin(k_x/2)\sin(k_y/2)\cos(k_z/2)][\cos(k_x/2)\cos(k_y/2)\cos(k_z/2)]$. For a small Fermi wave number k_F , the d -wave is favorable due to large cosine function values. However, we note that the coupling for the singlet pairing is strongly suppressed around $|d| \sim 1/8$ ($\alpha^2/3 = \beta^2$).

For triplet pairings, an effective interaction similar to eq. (9) is derived. There is no first-order term in $1/\Delta$ for triplet pairings due to the even parity nature of the functions $(F_{\mathbf{k}xy}, F_{\mathbf{k}yz}, F_{\mathbf{k}zx})$. The interaction is of the order of $1/\Delta^2$, and proportional to products of $(F_{\mathbf{k}xy}, F_{\mathbf{k}yz}, F_{\mathbf{k}zx})$ functions and the exciton dispersion

$\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}$. There is, for instance, the following term:

$$(F_{\mathbf{k}yz}F_{\mathbf{k}'yz}\hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}^{x\dagger}\hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}'}^x + F_{\mathbf{k}zx}F_{\mathbf{k}'zx}\hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}^{y\dagger}\hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}'}^y + F_{\mathbf{k}xy}F_{\mathbf{k}'xy}\hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}^{z\dagger}\hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}'}^z) \times \frac{\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}}{\Delta^2}.$$

Since $\Lambda_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'}$ contains p -wave-type terms such as $\sin(k_x/2)\cos(k_y/2)\cos(k_z/2)$, f -wave pairing functions are obtained by the product of this p -wave-type function and the d -wave-type functions ($F_{\mathbf{k}xy}$, $F_{\mathbf{k}yz}$, $F_{\mathbf{k}zx}$). For a larger k_F , the f -wave pairing states take advantage against the d -wave, since they contain three sine functions with larger values.

Next, we focus on such triplet pairing states. We express the effective interaction for triplet pairings in O_h bases as follows:

$$H_{\text{eff}}^{\text{triplet}} = \frac{V_{\text{eff}}}{\Delta^2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \sum_{\Gamma\Gamma'} U_{\Gamma\Gamma'} \hat{g}_{\Gamma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) \hat{g}_{\Gamma'}(\mathbf{k}'). \quad (10)$$

Here, $V_{\text{eff}} = (12JA/7)^2(D_s + D_q/9)$ is an effective coupling for triplet pairings. Both magnetic (D_s) and nonmagnetic (D_q) multipole-multipole interactions contribute to the triplet superconductivity.

$$\hat{g}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) = V_{\Gamma}^x(\mathbf{k})\hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}^x + V_{\Gamma}^y(\mathbf{k})\hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}^y + V_{\Gamma}^z(\mathbf{k})\hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}^z \quad (11)$$

is an operator for a triplet pair with the O_h representation Γ . $\hat{t}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}$ and $V_{\Gamma}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$ represent the spin and orbital components, respectively. $U_{\Gamma\Gamma'}$ represents an interaction connecting Γ and Γ' . We introduce a \mathbf{d} -vector by a linear combination of $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\Gamma} \Delta_{\Gamma} \mathbf{V}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k})$. Here, Δ_{Γ} is the amplitude of the order parameter for Γ . The order parameter Δ_{Γ} can be obtained by solving the following gap equation:

$$\Delta_{\Gamma} = -2\pi T N_0 \sum_m \frac{1}{|\omega_m|} \sum_{\Gamma\Gamma''} U_{\Gamma\Gamma''} \langle \mathbf{V}_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{V}_{\Gamma''}(\mathbf{k}) \rangle_{\mathbf{k}} \Delta_{\Gamma''}. \quad (12)$$

Here, $\langle \dots \rangle_{\mathbf{k}}$ represents an integral over the Fermi surface. ω_m is a Matsubara frequency for fermions. N_0 is the density of states at the Fermi energy. In the T_h system, the gap equations can be reduced to the $\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$, $\Gamma_{31} \oplus \Gamma_{32}$ and $\Gamma_4 \oplus \Gamma_5$ types. We find that the transition temperatures for these pairing states are similar. We focus on one of the pairing types ($\Gamma_4 \oplus \Gamma_5$) in this paper, since this three-dimensional representation is most appropriate for the observed experimental results. The $V_{\Gamma}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$ functions in eq. (11) for the $\Gamma_4 \oplus \Gamma_5$ type are listed in Table I. The interaction $U_{\Gamma\Gamma'}$ in eq. (12) is given in Table II. The off-diagonal matrix elements are proportional to $\alpha\beta$. They vanish in the O_h system. The eigenvalues of the matrix in eq. (12) determine the effective interaction corresponding to the obtained eigenmode. A negative (positive) eigenvalue means an attractive (repulsive) interaction. The lowest eigenvalue leads to the highest transition temperature. The eigenvalue depends on the T_h parameter d strongly via the off-diagonal components.

Let us discuss effects of the T_h symmetry on superconductivity. As shown in Table II, the off-diagonal terms connect the lowest eigenstate with higher-lying eigenvalue states. This effect is similar to the level repulsion between the states. As a result, the lowest eigenvalue is

Table I. Orbital component of the triplet pair operator. Here, x , y and z represent $x = \sin(k_x/2)$, $y = \sin(k_y/2)$ and $z = \sin(k_z/2)$, respectively. In the same manner, $x' = \cos(k_x/2)$, $y' = \cos(k_y/2)$ and $z' = \cos(k_z/2)$. Since the $\Gamma_4 \oplus \Gamma_5$ type has a three-dimensional representation, there are other two components obtained by replacing $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (y, z, x)$ cyclicly. There are two basis functions (denoted by A and B) for the Γ_4 type and three basis functions (denoted by A, B and C) for the Γ_5 type.

	$V_{\Gamma}^x(\mathbf{k})$	$V_{\Gamma}^y(\mathbf{k})$	$V_{\Gamma}^z(\mathbf{k})$
$\hat{g}_{\Gamma_x^A}$	0	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}x^2y'^2zz'$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}x^2yy'z'^2$
$\hat{g}_{\Gamma_x^B}$	0	$-\frac{1}{2}x'^2y^2zz'$	$\frac{1}{2}x'^2yy'z^2$
$\hat{g}_{\Gamma_{yz}^A}$	$-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}xx'yy'zz'$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}x^2y'^2zz'$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}x^2yy'z'^2$
$\hat{g}_{\Gamma_{yz}^B}$	$xx'yy'zz'$	$\frac{1}{2}x'^2y^2zz'$	$\frac{1}{2}x'^2yy'z^2$
$\hat{g}_{\Gamma_{yz}^C}$	$xx'yy'zz'$	$-\frac{1}{2}x'^2y^2zz'$	$-\frac{1}{2}x'^2yy'z^2$

Table II. Matrix elements of $U_{\Gamma\Gamma'}$. $a_1 = (3/2)\alpha^2 - (1/2)\beta^2$, $a_2 = -(1/6)\alpha^2 + (1/2)\beta^2$, $a_3 = (2/\sqrt{3})\alpha\beta$, $a_4 = 3/2$ and $a_5 = (4/3)\alpha^2$.

	Γ_x^A	Γ_x^B	Γ_{yz}^A	Γ_{yz}^B	Γ_{yz}^C
Γ_x^A	a_4	0	0	0	0
Γ_x^B	0	a_5	0	$-a_3$	a_3
Γ_{yz}^A	0	0	a_4	0	0
Γ_{yz}^B	0	$-a_3$	0	a_1	0
Γ_{yz}^C	0	a_3	0	0	a_2

reduced compared with that in the absence of the off-diagonal terms, and the effective attractive interaction is enhanced as in Fig. 1. Therefore, the T_h symmetry stabilizes the triplet superconductivity. In contrast to the triplet pairing states, there is no such effect for the d -wave states [see eq. (9)].

Next, we apply our result to explain the recent experimental data. Zero-field μ SR measurements revealed an internal magnetic field emerging at the superconducting transition temperature.² This indicates that superconductivity with a broken time reversal symmetry is realized in $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, implying the multicomponent $\Gamma_{31} \oplus \Gamma_{32}$ or $\Gamma_4 \oplus \Gamma_5$ type. For the $\Gamma_4 \oplus \Gamma_5$ type, there are threefold degenerate solutions. There are two types of combination with a broken time reversal symmetry. One is the $\mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4y}}(\mathbf{k}) \pm i\mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4z}}(\mathbf{k})$ type, and the other is the $\mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4x}}(\mathbf{k}) + \omega\mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4y}}(\mathbf{k}) + \omega^2\mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4z}}(\mathbf{k})$ type with $\omega = e^{\pm i2\pi/3}$. These states with a broken time reversal symmetry are nonunitary states with a finite $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{k}) = i\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k})^* \times \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k})$ vector.

Let us discuss the T_h nature of the gap function $\sqrt{|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k})|^2 - |\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{k})|}$ for the nonunitary states. In Fig. 2(a), we show the gap function, for instance, $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4z}}(\mathbf{k})$ for a T_h parameter $\alpha = \beta = 1/\sqrt{2}$ ($d = 1/\sqrt{22}$). Although the shape looks like a clover with four leaves, there are six point nodes along all the basal axes x , y and z . The fourfold symmetry around the z axis is broken under the T_h symmetry. The angle of one leaflike shape tends to be small towards the y direction, and the




Fig. 1. T_h parameter d dependence of the effective interaction for $\Gamma_4 \oplus \Gamma_5$ type in arbitrary units. $k_F = 0.75\pi$ is considered here. Red and black lines are the eigenvalues with and without the off-diagonal interaction terms, respectively.




Fig. 2. Gap functions at $\alpha^2 = \beta^2 = 1/2$ ($d = 1/\sqrt{22}$) for $k_F = 0.75\pi$: (a) $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4x}}(\mathbf{k})$ and (b) $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4y}}(\mathbf{k}) \pm i\mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4z}}(\mathbf{k})$.

gap decreases strongly in the x direction. The other two states [$\mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4x}}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4y}}(\mathbf{k})$] can appear with a broken time reversal symmetry, since the three states are degenerate. As shown in Fig. 2(b), the $\mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4y}}$ component is combined with $\mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4z}}$, and the gap function takes a value close to the y direction, which is larger than that for the single component of $\mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4z}}$.

Multiple superconducting phases are reported by thermal conductivity measurements.³ In the lower-field phase, it was reported that the gap function has a twofold symmetry around the z axis,^{3,32} which may correspond to the nonunitary triplet pairing states $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4y}}(\mathbf{k}) \pm i\mathbf{d}_{\Gamma_{4z}}(\mathbf{k})$ discussed above.

In our previous study, we found the order of $J_0^2 D^2 / \Delta^3$ for the coupling of the d -wave pairing interaction, where only an effective magnetic exchange interaction between the triplet excitations and conduction electrons was considered.¹⁹ Here, D ($\ll \Delta$) represents the width of the exciton dispersion. In contrast to this, we considered both the a_u and t_u local symmetries in the single conduction band, where the latter has orbital degrees of freedom. This model leads to attractive channels for triplet pairing with the order of $A^2 J_0^2 D / \Delta^2$.

If the field-induced order is a quadrupolar type, strong

orbital fluctuations are expected. Thus, the parameter A can have a sufficiently large value of $A > \sqrt{D/\Delta}$. In this case, the superconducting state discussed in this paper can be stabilized. The triplet pairing is promising when the singlet pairing interaction is suppressed [$|d| \sim 1/8$ in eq. (9)]. Since the spin-orbit interaction works in the exchange process via the $4f$ orbitals, the spin of the conduction electron is not conserved in the scattering with orbital exchange. This can give rise to attractive triplet channels even when the exciton has AF fluctuations. We also found that the T_h symmetry stabilizes the triplet superconductivity and reflects the symmetry of the gap function. It is remarkable that the atomic nature of Pr is revealed by the superconductivity.

We would like to express our sincere thanks to H. Shiba for valuable discussions and critically reading the manuscript. We thank Y. Aoki, W. Higemoto, K. Izawa, M. Kohgi, H. Kusunose, K. Kuwahara, O. Sakai, R. Shiina, T. Takimoto and H. Tou for useful discussions. We acknowledge M. Oichi for his kind assistance. This work is supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research in Priority Area ‘Skutterudite’ (No. 16037207) and for Young Scientists (No. 16740197).

- 1) E. D. Bauer *et al.*: Phys. Rev. B **65** (2002) 100506(R).
- 2) Y. Aoki *et al.*: Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** (2003) 067003.
- 3) K. Izawa *et al.*: Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 117001.
- 4) H. Kotegawa *et al.*: Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 027001.
- 5) K. Miyake, H. Kohno and H. Harima: J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **15** (2003) L 275.
- 6) M. Ichioka, N. Nakai and K. Machida: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **72** (2003) 1322.
- 7) I. A. Sergienko and S. H. Curnoe: Phys. Rev. B **70** (2004) 144522.
- 8) T. Hotta: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **74** (2005) 1275.
- 9) K. Takegahara, H. Harima and A. Yanase: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **70** (2001) 1190.
- 10) Y. Aoki *et al.*: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **71** (2002) 2098.
- 11) P.-C. Ho *et al.*: Phys. Rev. B **67** (2003) 180508(R).
- 12) M. Kohgi *et al.*: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **72** (2003) 1002.
- 13) E. A. Goremychkin *et al.*: Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 157003.
- 14) N. Takeda and M. Ishikawa: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **69** (2000) 868.
- 15) M. Yogi *et al.*: Phys. Rev. B **67** (2003) 180501(R).
- 16) N. A. Frederick *et al.*: Phys. Rev. B **69** (2004) 024523.
- 17) K. Kuwahara *et al.*: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73** (2004) 1438.
- 18) K. Kuwahara *et al.*: Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 107003.
- 19) M. Matsumoto and M. Koga: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73** (2004) 1135.
- 20) T. Tayama *et al.*: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **72** (2003) 1516.
- 21) R. Shiina and Y. Aoki: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73** (2004) 541.
- 22) R. Shiina: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73** (2004) 2257.
- 23) R. Shiina, M. Matsumoto and M. Koga: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73** (2004) 3453.
- 24) M. Koga *et al.*: in preparation.
- 25) H. Sugawara *et al.*: Phys. Rev. B **66** (2002) 220504(R).
- 26) T. Takimoto: Phys. Rev. B **62** (2000) R 14641.
- 27) H. Tou: private communication.
- 28) W. Higemoto: private communication.
- 29) H. Harima and K. Takegahara: J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **15** (2003) S 2081.
- 30) P. Fulde and J. Jensen: Phys. Rev. B **27** (1983) 4085.
- 31) H. Ishii, H. Ohgaki and A. Oguri: Phys. Rev. B **52** (1995) 12969.
- 32) A. D. Huxley *et al.*: Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 187005.