

The Olami-Feder-Christensen model on a small-world topology

F. Caruso,¹ V. Latora, A. Rapisarda,² and B. Tadić³

¹*Scuola Superiore di Catania, Via S. Paolo 73, 95123 Catania*

²*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Catania,
and INFN sezione di Catania, Via S. Sofia 64, 95123 Catania, Italy*

³*Department for Theoretical Physics, Jožef Stefan Institute, P.O. Box 3000; SI-1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia*

We study the effects of the topology on the Olami-Feder-Christensen (OFC) model, an earthquake model of self-organized criticality. In particular, we consider a 2D square lattice and a random rewiring procedure with a parameter $0 < p < 1$ that allows to tune the interaction graph, in a continuous way, from the initial local connectivity to a random graph. The main result is that the OFC model on a small-world topology exhibits self-organized criticality deep within the non-conservative regime, contrary to what happens in the nearest-neighbors model. The probability distribution for avalanche size obeys finite size scaling, with universal critical exponents in a wide range of values of the rewiring probability p . The pdf's cutoff can be fitted by a stretched exponential function with the stretching exponent approaching unity within the small-world region.

I. INTRODUCTION

In order to understand the earthquakes underlying physical mechanisms, the study and the modelling of seismic space-time distribution is a fundamental step. In the last years, inspired by statistical regularities such as the Gutenberg-Richter and the Omori laws and by a desire to quantify the limits of earthquakes predictability, a wealth of mechanisms and models have been proposed. In particular, as a possible explanation for the widespread occurrence of long-range correlations in space and time, many authors has modelled the seismogenic crust as a self-organized complex system, that spontaneously organizes into a dynamical critical state (SOC) [1, 2, 3]. Actually the realistic applicability of this kind of models to describe realistic earthquakes dynamics is still debated [4, 5]. A model which has played an important role in the context of SOC in nonconservative systems is the Olami-Feder-Christensen (OFC) model of earthquakes [6]. The most recent numerical investigations have shown that the OFC model on a square lattice with open boundary conditions displays a power law distribution of avalanche sizes [7] with a universal exponent $\tau \simeq 1.8$, which is independent of the dissipation parameter; instead in the case with periodic boundary conditions no power law was found.

Therefore the boundary conditions and in general the underlying topology play a fundamental role for the criticality; for example, in the case with open bc, the boundary sites update themselves at a different frequency from the bulk sites and this inhomogeneity, together with a divergency length scale in the thermodynamic limit, induces partial synchronization of the elements of the systems building up long range spatial correlations and thereby creating a critical state. However, in this last case, the distribution there is no finite size scaling. On the other hand, the OFC model on a quenched random graph [8] is subcritical and shows no power law distributions. In this context the purpose of our work is to study the effects of the graph topology on the criticality of the non-conservative OFC model. Particularly we consider a small-world graph, following the method by Watts and Strogatz, and we analyze some SOC properties. Then we investigate the effects of an increasing number of long-range connections on the criticality of the model, by varying the rewiring probability in the range $0 < p < 1$. In this case, we find that for a particular region of values of the structural parameter p within the small-world regime, the probability distribution for avalanche size obeys finite size scaling with universal critical exponents (within numerical error bars). Moreover, we fit the pdf's cutoffs by a stretched exponential function and we note that the stretching exponent approaches $\sigma \rightarrow 1$ on the small-world threshold.

II. THE OFC MODEL ON A SMALL-WORLD TOPOLOGY

The Olami-Feder-Christensen (OFC) model [6] is defined on a discrete system of N sites on a square lattice, each carrying a seismogenic force represented by a real variable F_i , which initially takes a random value in the interval $(0, F_{th})$. All the forces are increased simultaneously and uniformly (mimicking a uniform tectonic loading), until one of them reaches the threshold value F_{th} and becomes unstable ($F_i \geq F_{th}$). The driving is then stopped and an "earthquake" (or avalanche) starts:

$$F_i \geq F_{th} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} F_i \rightarrow 0 \\ F_{nn} \rightarrow F_{nn} + \alpha F_i \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where "nn" denotes the set of nearest-neighbor sites of i .

The dissipation level of the dynamics is controlled by the parameter α and, in the case of a graph with fixed connectivity q , it takes values between 0 and $1/q$ (for the conservative case $\alpha = 1/q$). As regards the model dynamics, all sites that are above threshold at a given time step in the avalanche relax simultaneously according to (1) and then, by a chain reaction, they can create new unstable sites until there are no more unstable sites in the system ($F_i < F_{th}, \forall i$): this one is an earthquake. At the end of this event, the uniform growth then starts again and other earthquakes will happen. The number of topplings during an earthquake defines its size, s , and we will be interested in the probability distribution $P_N(s)$. In our approach, we point out that the boundary conditions are "open", i.e. we impose $F = 0$ on the boundary sites.

Let us point out again that recent numerical investigations have shown that the non-conservative OFC model on a square lattice (with "open" boundary conditions) displays scaling behavior, up to lattice sizes presently accessible by computer simulations [7, 9]. The avalanche size distribution is described by a power law, characterized by a universal exponent $\tau \simeq 1.8$, independent of the dissipation parameter. This distribution does not display finite size scaling, however.

In the OFC model criticality has been ascribed to a mechanism of partial synchronization [10]. In fact the system has a tendency to order into a periodic state [10, 11, 12] but is frustrated by the presence of inhomogeneities such as the boundaries. In particular these inhomogeneities induce partial synchronization of the elements of the system building up long range spatial correlations and a critical state is obtained: therefore the mechanism of synchronization requires an underlying spatial structure. Indeed, it is known that the OFC model on a quenched random graph displays criticality even in the nonconservative regime but introducing some inhomogeneities [8]. In a random graph in which all the sites have exactly the same number of nearest neighbors q (both for $q = 4$ and $q = 6$) the dynamics of the NON-CONSERVATIVE OFC model organizes into a subcritical state. In order to observe scaling in the avalanche distribution, one has to introduce some inhomogeneities. It has been found that, for the OFC model on a (quenched) random graph, it enough to consider just two sites in the system with coordination $q - 1$ [8]. In fact, when either of these sites topple according to rule (1), an extra amount αF_i is simply lost by the system and a critical behavior appears.

Our work consists in the study of the non-conservative OFC model on a small-world topology and it's motivated by two main reasons. First of all we expect that the inclusion of some inhomogeneities in the sites degree is not the unique way to obtain SOC. In fact, as we are going to show, an alternative way is to keep fixed the sites degree and to change the topology of the underlying network, for instance by considering a small world graph, obtained by randomizing a fraction p of the links of the regular nearest neighbor lattice. The method to construct networks with intermediate properties between regular and random graphs proposed by Watts and Strogatz [13, 14] is perfectly suited to our purpose since it allows us to consider networks that are in between the two most widely investigated cases, namely the nearest neighbors lattice and the random graph. The second reason has to do with modelling the real space-time seismicity. A small-world topology is expected to be a more accurate description of a real systems according to the most recent geophysical observations that indicate that earthquakes correlation might extend to the long range in both time and space. In fact, if a main fracture episode occurs, it may induce slow strain redistribution through the earth crust, thus triggering long-range as well as short-range seismic effects [15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. The presence of a certain percentage of long-range connections in the network takes into account the possibility that an earthquake can trigger earthquakes not only locally but also at distant regions. Actually by following the method proposed by Watts and Strogatz we start with a two-dimensional square lattice in which each site is connected to its 4 nearest neighbors and then the links of the lattice are rewired at random with a probability p . The main differences with respect to the original model is that for any value of p we want to keep fixed the connectivity of each site and therefore the connections are rewired in couples.

III. RESULTS

In our simulations we have studied a two-dimensional square lattice (with "open" boundary conditions) $L \times L$ with three different sizes: $L = 32, 64$ and 128 . The corresponding number of sites is $N = L^2$. We have considered up to $1E + 09$ avalanches to obtain a good statistics for the avalanche size distribution $P_N(s)$.

All the curves can be fitted by a stretched-exponential function:

$$P_N(s) = As^{-\tau} e^{-(s/\xi)^\sigma} \quad (2)$$

where s is the avalanche size, ξ is the characteristic length and τ and σ are two exponents. We notice that, on approaching the small-world limit, the size distribution power-law is practically lost and the stretching becomes more and more pronounced. This can be better exploited by plotting the value of the two exponents τ and σ as a function of p in Fig.1. In this figure τ takes the value 1.8 for $p = 0.02$ at the small-world transition.




FIG. 1: The two exponents τ and σ as a function of the rewiring parameter p ; this results refer to the case with $L=64$ and in the other cases we get the similar results.

Moreover we observe a sudden change in the behavior of the stretching exponent σ at SW probability. In general one can expect stretching-exponential in various cases of stochastic processes where many length scales appear. So, when the system is at the small-world threshold (and beyond) multiple lengths start playing a role, because of presence of long-range links.

In order to characterize the critical behavior of the model, a finite size scaling (FSS) ansatz is used, i.e.

$$P_N(s) \simeq N^{-\beta} f(s/N^D) \quad (3)$$

where f is a suitable scaling function and β and D are critical exponents describing the scaling of the distribution function.

In Fig.2 we consider $\alpha = 0.21$ and rewiring probability $p = 0.006$ below the small-world transition and we show the collapse of $P_N(s)$ for three different values of N , namely $N = 32^2, 64^2, 128^2$. We find that the distribution $P_N(s)$ satisfies the FSS hypothesis reasonably well, with universal critical coefficients with small rewiring probability, but, on approaching the small-world limit ($p = 0.02$), the cut-offs are not exponential, so no FSS is expected. The critical exponent derived from the fit of Fig.2 are $\beta \simeq 3.6$ and $D = 2$, independent of the dissipation parameter α . The FSS hypothesis implies that, for asymptotically large N , $P_N(s) \sim s^{-\tau}$ and the value of the exponent is $\tau = \beta/D \simeq 1.8$. Because of the numerical errors it is difficult to assert with certainty that τ is a novel exponent, different from the one for the conservative RN model ($\tau = 1.5$). However the power law exponent of the distribution is consistent with the exponent of the OFC model with open boundary conditions, i.e. $\tau \simeq 1.8$.

On a rewired topology the system behaves as in the compact square lattice, but the occurrence of a small amount of long-range links disseminate the avalanches over the network and the whole avalanche has the property to scale with the systems size; in fact the links, randomly long, can be system-size long. A superposition of all these local events could be one of possible explanations of this whole instability (avalanche) and then the stretching exponential cut-offs can be used to fit the size avalanche distribution.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have studied how the topology can play an important role on the dynamics of the OFC model. Following this idea a rewiring procedure as that introduced by Watts and Strogatz [13] has been considered and then we have found that the model is critical even in the nonconservative regime. In fact, respect to the NN OFC model, in which the criticality appears only in the conservative case unless introducing any inhomogeneities in some sites, a small world graph has an underlying spatial structure so that partial synchronization of the elements of the system can still occur, without being destroyed as in the quenched random graph without inhomogeneities. Finally we point out that, on approaching the small-world limit, the power-law is practically lost, the cutoffs are fitted by a stretched-exponential function and the sudden change of behavior of the stretching exponent σ appears at SW probability. Moreover we should emphasize that this kind of study can be easily extended to other topologies, as scale-free networks, in order to understand better and better the role of the topology for the earthquakes models.




FIG. 2: Finite size scaling for dissipative OFC model on a small world topology for three different values of N , namely $N = 32^2, 64^2, 128^2$; by fitting the curves, we derive the following the critical exponents $D = 2$ and $\beta \simeq 3.6$.

-
- [1] P. Bak, C. Tang, and K. Wiesenfeld, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 381 (1987); *Phys. Rev. A* **38**, 364 (1988).
 - [2] P. Bak, *How Nature Works: The Science of Self-Organized Criticality* (Copernicus, New York, 1996).
 - [3] H. Jensen, *Self-Organized Criticality* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1998).
 - [4] X. Yang, S. Du, and J. Ma, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 228501 (2004).
 - [5] M. S. Mega, P. Allegrini, P. Grigolini, V. Latora, L. Palatella, A. Rapisarda and S. Vinciguerra, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 129802 (2003).
 - [6] Z. Olami, H.J.S. Feder, and K. Christensen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 1244 (1992); K. Christensen and Z. Olami, *Phys. Rev. A* **46**, 1829 (1992).
 - [7] S. Lise and M. Paczuski, *Phys. Rev. E* **63**, 036111 (2001).
 - [8] S. Lise and M. Paczuski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 228301 (2002).
 - [9] S. Lise and M. Paczuski, *Phys. Rev. E* **64**, 046111 (2001).
 - [10] A. A. Middleton and C. Tang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 742 (1995).
 - [11] J.E.S. Socolar, G. Grinstein, and C. Jayaprakash, *Phys. Rev. E* **47**, 2366 (1993).
 - [12] P. Grassberger, *Phys. Rev. E* **49**, 2436 (1994).
 - [13] D.J. Watts and S.H. Strogatz, *Nature* **393**, (1998) 440.
 - [14] D.J. Watts *Small Worlds* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1999).
 - [15] Y.Y. Kagan and D.D. Jackson, *Geophys. J. Int.* **104**, 117 (1991).
 - [16] D.P. Hill et al., *Science* **260**, 1617 (1993).
 - [17] L. Crescentini, A. Amoroso, R. Scarpa, *Science* **286**, 2132 (1999).
 - [18] T. Parsons, *J. Geophys. Res.* **107**, 2199 (2001).
 - [19] M. S. Mega, P. Allegrini, P. Grigolini, V. Latora, L. Palatella, A. Rapisarda and S. Vinciguerra, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 188501 (2003).