Noboru Fukushima

Department of Physics, University of the Saarland, 66041 Saarbrucken, Germany

The SU (n) Heisenberg model represented by exchange operators is studied by means of hightem perature series expansion in three dimensions, where n is an arbitrary positive integer. The spin-spin correlation function and its Fourier transform S (q) is derived up to O [(J)¹⁰] with J being the nearest-neighbor antiferrom agnetic exchange in units of tem perature. The tem perature dependence of S (q) and next-nearest-neighbor spin-spin correlation in the large n cases show that dom inant correlation deviates from q = (;;) at low tem perature, which is qualitatively sim ilar to that of this model in one dimension. The Neel tem perature of SU (2) case is precisely estimated by analyzing the divergence of S (;;). Then, we generalize n of SU (n) to a continuous variable and gradually increases from n = 2. We conclude that the Neel ordering disappears in the range 2 < n < 3.

I. IN TRODUCTION

It is known that properties of quantum spin systems tend to approach those of their corresponding classical spin systems as the spin magnitude increases. However, this is not necessarily the case for a sequence of models in which the number of multipolar-interaction term s increases as the spin m agnitude increases. Consequently the higher-spin system sm ay have stronger quantum e ects in this case. In other words, such additional term s can break a classical correspondence down even in high dimensions. These \large-spin-magnitude" system s m entioned above include system s in which one unit has more than two degrees of freedom, such as orbitally degenerate system s^{1,2,3}. In such system s, many coupling constants appear in general. However, experim ental inform ation about multipolar couplings is lim ited. As a starting point to explore such system s, understanding of one of the extrem e lim its must be useful. Therefore in this paper, we investigate an SU (n) sym m etric case^{4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22} in three dim ensions, where n is the total num ber of internal degrees of freedom, by m eans of high-tem perature series expansion (HTSE).

We consider a simple cubic lattice. Let each site take one of the n colors denoted by jiwith = 1;2; ; U sing the H ubbard operator X = jih j the exchange operator is expressed as

C olors of sites i and j are exchanged when $P_{i;j}$ is applied. Then, an SU (n) symmetric H am iltonian reads

$$H \coloneqq J \qquad P_{i;j}; \qquad (2)$$

where the sum m ation is taken over all the nearest neighbor pairs. We consider the antiferrom agnetic case, J > 0. Let us show the relations with spin operators explicitly for some of the special cases below. (i) W hen n = 2, this model is reduced to the ordinary H eisenberg model with s = 1=2 by relation $2P_{i;j}$ $1 = 4s_i$ s.

(ii) The SU (3) case corresponds to s = 1, competing quadratic and biquadratic exchange interaction,²³

$$1 + P_{i;j} = s_i + (s_i + s_j)^2$$
: (3)

The SU(4) Heisenberg model is related (iii) spin 3/2 systems but more often discussed to in the context of orbital- and spin-degenerate system s^{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21}. In particular, the model in three dimensions has been used as an eective m odel of C eB 6 to explain m agnetic- eld dependence of the transition temperature of an antiferro-orbital ordering.^{20,21} The four local states can be represented by ;+);+],;+);],;);+],;);],where;) and;]represent an orbital state and a spin state, respectively. The pseudo-spin operators are de ned by $t^z j$) = $\frac{1}{2} j$), $t j) = j), s^{z} j] = \frac{1}{2} j], s j] = j], and the$ exchange operator for n = 4 is rew ritten as

$$P_{i;j} = t_i \quad \ddagger + s_i \quad \$ + 4 (t_i \quad \ddagger) (s_i \quad \$) + \frac{1}{4}:$$
(4)

The Paulim atrices = 2t and = 2s m ay simplify this ;n. expression, i.e.,

$$4P_{i;j} = i_{j} + i_{j} + (i_{j})(i_{j}) + 1; \quad (5)$$

Note that there is a di erent representation of SU (n) Heisenberg model studied in detail using Quantum M onte Carlo m ethod (QMC)²⁴. However, the QMC for the H am iltonian (2) su ers from m inus sign problem s in m ore than one dimensions^{11,24}

If the number of competing order parameters is large and frustration exists, the transition temperature can greatly be reduced from the mean-eld value even in three dimensions. That will be the case with the Ham iltonian (2). First, it is isotropic with respect to n^2 1 independent interacting components. Furtherm ore, it contains frustration as most clearly seen in Eq. (5) of the SU (4) case. Each of the 15 components, , , , attempts an antiparallel alignment. However, it cannot be attained sin ultaneously, e.g., sin ultaneous N eel states of ^z and ^z produce a ferrom agnetic alignment of the product ^z ^z. Such frustration is not so explicit in the SU (3) case in Eq. (3) as in the SU (4) case, yet appearance of the minus-sign problem in the QMC indicates that sim ilar frustration lies in \pm .²⁴

This frustration should become stronger as n increases. 0 ne can see in one dimension how the system nds a com prom ise against this frustration. The SU (n) Heisenberg model in one dimension can be exactly solved.4,5,6,7,8,9 An important point is that the ground state has a quasi{ n-site periodicity. The SU (4) case is num erically studied in more detail; ground-state properties by the density m atrix renorm alization group¹⁰, and therm odynam ic properties by the QM C^{11} and the HT SE^{12,13}. The realspace spin-spin correlation function as a function of spinspin distance has a positive value every four sites. Its Fourier transform has a outstanding cusp at q = =2 and a small cusp at $q = \ldots$ Regarding the tem perature dependence, as tem perature decreases correlation with two-site periodicity develops, and then at lower tem perature another correlation occurs with n-site periodicity.¹²

Such peculiar correlation in one dimension could suggest an exotic ordering in higher dimensions. However, not much is known about the antiferrom agnetic SU (n) Heisenberg model in three dimensions. In fact, its ferrom agnetic variant is studied in Ref. 22 by the HTSE for the uniform susceptibility that needs less e ort to be calculated than susceptibility of other wave-num bers. To our know ledge, our calculation in this paper is the rst HTSE aim ing at antiferrom agnetic exchange of this model. In this study, we investigate the model system atically by changing parameter n of SU (n), and report som e unique features of spatial correlation at nite tem perature. Namely, in Sec. II we show that the behavior of correlation functions is sim ilar to that in one dimension, and in Sec. III that the Neelten perature disappears as n increases from n = 2.

II. TEM PERATURE DEPENDENCE OF CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

W hat should be calculated here is $P_{i;j}i$, which is related to a correlation function. For example, when n = 4, freen components in Eq. (5) contribute equally, and thus $h_{i j}i = h_{i j}i = h_{i j}ji = h_{4P_{i;j}}i = h_{2P_{i;j}}i = 15$. For general n, we can de ne correlation function between sites i and j as

$$S_{i j} = hX_{i} X_{j} i = \frac{1}{n^{2} 1} hP_{i;j} i \frac{1}{n} ;$$
 (6)

for $i \notin j$; \notin , which does not depend on nor because of the SU (n) symmetry. Its Fourier transform is denoted by S (q).

The high-tem perature expansion is performed by expanding the Boltzm ann factor e $^{\rm H}$ in . In practice, the series coe cients in the therm odynamic limit are exactly



FIG. 1: Temperature dependence of the next-nearest-neighbor correlation function, $S_{i\,j}$ with i = (110).

obtained by a linked-cluster expansion.²⁵ An important point of our analysis is that the series coe cients are obtained as polynom $ials^{22,26}$ of n, for example,

$$S(;;) = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{6}{n^2} (J) + \frac{36}{n^3} (J)^2 + \frac{216 \quad 22n^2}{n^4} (J)^3 + \dots$$
(7)

N am ely, the order of the series for every n is the same. W e have obtained the series for $S_{i\ j}$ up to O $[(J)^9]$ for arbitrary i j, and consequently S (q) up to O $[(J)^9]$ for arbitrary q. As a special interest, S (;;) is obtained up to O $[(J)^{10}]$. The series are extrapolated using the P ade approximation (PA).

First of all, in order to see the tem perature-dependent nature of the spatial correlation, we analyze the nextnearest-neighbor correlation function S_{110} as we have done in one dimension in Ref. 12. Figure 1 shows the results. Both the axes are scaled so that the high-tem perature limit of every n matches. Here, we have simultaneously plotted extrapolation from several dierent choices of the PA, and the dierence between them approximately represents an error of the extrapolation.

The lowest order of the series of S_i j has a N eel-ordertype correlation in any n. That is, the series of S_{ix} i_y i_z startsw ith O [(J)^{jx} ^{j+} ^{jy} ^{j+} ^{jz} ^j] with sign (1)^{jx} ^{j+} ^{jy} ^{j+} ^{jz} ^j. Therefore, S₁₁₀ > 0 at high temperature. However, as antiferrom agnetic correlation of each interacting component become es larger, each short-range order disturbs another because of the frustration. The change of the sign of S₁₁₀ suggests that the correlation acquire a longer period at low temperature. For n 6, it is clear that S₁₁₀ changes the sign at a low temperature that increases with n in this scale. For sm aller n, the relevant temperature range is below the converged region, and it is di cult to conclude from this data.

Such a change of correlation at low temperature also appears in the Fourier transform of the correlation function. A naive extrapolation of the series for S (q) shows



FIG. 2: The Fourier transform of the correlation function as a di erence from its high-temperature limit, $S(q;q;q) S(q) j_{j+1}$, for the SU (16) model.

bad convergence for several q. This is probably because information at position x is lacking when $\cos(x - q)' = 0$. In order to avoid it, we extrapolate the series of a complex function $\ _{x \ 0}hX$ $_{j}$ X $_{j+\,x}$ ie $\ ^{ix}$ % (x), and after that take the realpart of the extrapolated function. Here, the sum m ation is taken for x 0, y 0, z 0, and w (x) is two to the power of the number of nonzeros in x;y;z. Thism ethod also makes use of its in aginary part, and the convergence becom esbetter than the naive extrapolation. As we have seen in the next-nearest-neighbor correlation function, the analysis becom es easier as n increases. Therefore, we show S (q) of the SU (16) case in Fig. 2 for a diagonal direction in the q-space, S (q;q;q). Here, its high tem perature lim it, which does not depend on q, is subtracted. A stem perature decreases, antiferrom agnetic correlation develops and S (;; ;) increases as the curve at T = 2J shows. However, with further decrease of tem perature, S (;;) starts decreasing and S (q) with other q increases. It is not very clear from Fig.2 if the maximum of S (q) starts moving from (;;). Hence, let us show another quantity. Note that if the second derivative of S(q) at q = (;;) changes sign, the position of the maximum clearly moves. Figure 3 shows its tem perature dependence for the SU (16) m odel. It shows that it changes the sign around T J. In fact, this tem perature of changing sign seems to hardly depend on n of SU (n). The result above suggests that the Neel order disappear at least in the SU (n) model with large n, i.e., orderwith wave num berg f (;;), or disorder, should appear. Then, the next question is, at which n the Neel order disappears.

III. NEEL TEM PERATURE

It is known that the SU (2) H eisenberg model has the N eel order at low temperature. Therefore in this sec-



FIG.3: Tem perature dependence of $d^2S(q;q;q)=dq^2$ at q = for the SU (16) m odel by two di erent PAs.

tion, we gradually increases n of SU (n) from n = 2. As a preparation for that, we nd a reliable way to estimate a transition temperature rst, using the SU (2) model. The N eel temperature T_N can be characterized by divergence of S (;;), namely, by a singularity of S (;;) as a function of J. In order to analyze such a singularity, we use so-called D-log-P ade approximation (D LPA), i.e., the PA for the logarithm ic derivative. In using the D LPA, transform ation of the expansion variable may improve the convergence of extrapolation. We choose a transform ation^{27,28}

$$J = \frac{x}{1 a^2 x^2}; \qquad (8)$$

where a is an adjustable parameter that improves convergence. In fact, the singularity closest to the origin in the original series is near the imaginary axis, while $T_{\rm N}$ corresponds to a singularity on the real axis. W ith this transform ation, singularities on the realaxis approach to the origin and those near the imaginary axis go away. Since the DLPA can estimate a position of the nearest singularity most accurately, errors of the DLPA can become smaller by this transform ation.

In order to nd an optimala, we calculate T_N as a function of a for a couple of choices of the D LPA, and we adopt a at which di erence am ong di erent DLPA s is the sm allest. Figure 4 shows T_N as a function of a for three di erent choices of the DLPA. Here, [m =n] denotes the DLPA with a polynomial of order m over a polynomial of order n. Since [4/4] is from the series one-order lower than the others, we choose a at which [5/4] and [4/5] are the closest, namely, a = 1.04. Then, $T_N = J$ obtained here is 1.898, which is close to those in the literature, 1:892 by the QM C^{29} and 1:888 by the HT SE³⁰. In addition, we have obtained a critical exponent simultaneously. We assume that the critical exponent does not depend on the spin m agnitude, and com pare it with that of the classical antiferrom agnetic H eisenberg m odel, in w hich S (;;) is identical to the staggered susceptibility equivalent to



FIG.4: The NeelTem perature $T_{\rm N}\,$ of the SU (2) Heisenberg m odel obtained by three di erent D LPA s as a function of the parameter a.

the uniform susceptibility of the ferrom agnetic model'. Hence we can compare the critical exponent . The estimation from our calculation above is = 1:399, which is close to 1.396 by a Monte Carlo method³¹, 1.406 by HTSE³², and 1.388 by a eld theory³³ of the classical O (3) model. Therefore, we trust this way of analyzing $T_{\rm N}$, and use it also for the SU (n) model with n > 2.

O riginally n is an integer because it is the number of internal degrees of freedom . However, after obtaining the series as an analytic function of n, we can regard n as a continuous variable that has a physical meaning only when it happens to take integer values. In fact, as n changes continuously, the properties of the series change also continuously. Therefore, we gradually increases n from n = 2 and see n-dependence of T_N . Figure 5 shows the results. Here, we x = 1.04, and we plot [5/4] and [4/5] together. The optim ala may depend on n. However, since the di erence between the two curves is very small, we regard it as an optimala. As n increases, T_N decreases alm ost linearly, and at n 2:45 the singularity corresponding to T_N runs away from the real axis to the com plex value with a nite in aginary part. For largern, we do not nd any singularity on the antiferrom agnetic side of the real axis. Therefore, we conclude that the N eel order disappears in the range 2 < n < 3.

In addition, we have also analyzed S(q) with $q \in (;;)$. However, we have not found any symptom of ordering with $q \in (;;)$ in the temperature region that we can reach by the present order of the series.

IV. SUMMARY

In sum m ary, we have perform ed high-tem perature series expansions for the SU (n) H eisenberg m odel in three dimensions with arbitrary n. First of all, we have calculated a next-nearest-neighbor correlation function. At least at large n, it changes the sign at low temperature, which suggests that the correlation should not be like



FIG.5: The N eelTem perature T_N of the SU (n) H eisenberg m odel as a function of n with a = 1:04. Here, [5/4] and [4/5] are plotted together.

Neel order, but have a longer period. Analysis of the Fourier transform of the correlation function also supports that the ground state of the large-n SU (n) Heisenberg model does not have the N eel-order correlation. Then, we have turned to an approach from n = 2. Since the SU (2) Heisenberg model has the N eel order, it should disappear at a certain n. We have rst found a reliable way to estimate a transition temperature by analyzing the divergence of the (;;) component of the correlation function. Next, we generalize n to a continuous variable and increase n gradually from n = 2. We have concluded that the N eel order disappears in the range 2 < n < 3.

A cknow ledgm ents

The author would like to thank Y.K uram oto for directing him to this topic and for stim ulating discussions. He also appreciates useful comments on the manuscript from A. Honecker. This work was supported in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). Early stages of this work were supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and by the Max-Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems. Dierent stages of this work were supported by the DFG and the TechnicalUniversity Braunschweig. Parts of the num erical calculations were performed on the cfgauss at the computing centers of the TU Braunschweig.

E lectronic address: fukushim a@ lusiuni-so.de

¹ K.I.Kugel and D.I.Khom skii, Sov.Phys.Usp. 25, 231

(1982)

- ² N. Fukushim a and Y. Kuram oto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 2460 (1998).
- ³ Y.Kuram oto and N.Fukushima, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 67, 583 (1998).
- ⁴ G.V.U im in, JETP Lett. 12, 225 (1970).
- ⁵ B.Sutherland, Phys. Rev. B 12, 3795 (1975).
- ⁶ A.Fuji, A.K lum per, Nucl. Phys. B 546, 751 (1999).
- ⁷ I.A eck, Nucl. Phys. B 265, 409 (1986).
- ⁸ N.Kawakami, Phys.Rev.B 46, 3191 (1992).
- ⁹ M. T. Batchelor, X.-W. Guan, N. Oelkers, K. Sakai, Z. Tsuboi, A. Foerster, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 217202 (2003).
- ¹⁰ Y.Yam ashita, N.Shibata and K.Ueda, Phys. Rev. B 58, 9114 (1998).
- ¹¹ B.Frischmuth, F.M ila and M.Troyer, Phys.Rev.Lett. 82,835 (1999).
- ¹² N. Fukushim a and Y. Kuram oto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 1238 (2002).
- ¹³ N.Fukushim a, J. Stat. Phys. 111, 1049 (2003).
- ¹⁴ Y.Q.Li, M.M.a, D.N.Shi, and F.C.Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3527 (1998).
- ¹⁵ M. van den Bossche, P. Azaria, P. Lechem inant, F. Mila, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4124 (2001).
- ¹⁶ M. van den Bossche, F.-C. Zhang, and F.M ila, Eur. Phys. J.B 17, 367–370 (2000).
- ¹⁷ A.Mishra, M.Ma, and F.C.Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 65, 214411 (2002).

- ¹⁸ S.Q.Shen, Phys. Rev. B 66, 214516 (2002).
- ¹⁹ K. Penc, M. M am brini, P. Fazekas, F. M ila, Phys. Rev. B 68, 12408 (2003).
- ²⁰ F.J.Ohkawa: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.54, (1985).3909.
- ²¹ R. Shiina, H. Shiba and P. Thalm eier, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 1741 (1997).
- ²² H.H.Chen and R.K.Joseph, J.M ath. Phys. 13, 725 (1972).
- ²³ N.PaPanicolaou, Nucl.Phys.B 305, 367 (1988).
- ²⁴ K.Harada and N.Kawashima, Phys. Rev B 65, 52403 (2002).
- ²⁵ G. S. Rushbrooke, G. A. Baker, Jr., and P. J. Wood, in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, edited by C.Domb and M.S.Green (A cademic, London, 1974), Vol. 3, p. 245.
- ²⁶ D.C.Handscomb, Proc.Camb.Phyl.Soc. 60, 115 (1964).
- ²⁷ A.J.Guttmann, in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenom ena, edited by C.D om b and J.L.Lebow itz (A cadem ic, San Diego, 1989), vol. 13, p.1.
- ²⁸ K.-K.Pan, Phys.Rev.B 59, 1168 (1999).
- ²⁹ A.W. Sandvik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5196 (1998).
- ³⁰ J.O im aa and W .Zheng, preprint (cond-m at/0409041).
- ³¹ M. Campostrini, M. Hasenbusch, A. Pelissetto, P. Rossi, E. Vicari, Phys. Rev. B 65, 144520 (2002).
- ³² P.Butera and M.Com i, Phys.Rev.B 56, 8212 (1997).
- ³³ F.Jasch and H.Kleinert, J.M ath. Phys. 42, 52 (2001).