

Scattering function for a self-avoiding polymer chain

A.D. Drozdov

*Department of Chemical Engineering
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev
P.O. Box 653, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel.**

(Dated: July 11, 2018)

An explicit expression is derived for the scattering function of a self-avoiding polymer chain in a d -dimensional space. The effect of strength of segment interactions on the shape of the scattering function and the radius of gyration of the chain is studied numerically. Good agreement is demonstrated between experimental data on dilute solutions of several polymers and results of numerical simulation.

PACS numbers: 31.15.Kb, 61.12.Bt, 82.35.Lr

I. INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with the scattering function (form factor) of a self-repellent polymer chain. The effect of excluded-volume interactions between segments on the characteristic size of a “real” chain has attracted substantial attention from the beginning of the 1950s [1, 2, 3]. Although a noticeable progress has been reached in this area (the results of investigation are summarized in monographs [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]), the effect of self-avoiding interactions on the statistics of macromolecules has remained a subject of debate in the past decade, see [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17], to mention a few. This fact may be ascribed to the importance of excluded-volume interactions for the description of such phenomena as (i) protein folding and denaturation [10, 15], (ii) unzipping of DNA [17], (iii) mechanical response of individual macromolecules [13], and (iv) transport of chains through narrow pores [16]. A correct account for self-repellent interactions (i) provides a consistent description of the effect of molecular weight on intrinsic viscosity of dilute polymer solutions [18], (ii) leads to an adequate characterization of time-dependent shear stresses [11, 14] and transport coefficients in polymer fluids [12], (iii) predicts reinforcement of interfaces between incompatible solvents by random copolymers [9], etc.

The influence of self-avoiding interactions on the scattering intensity of a chain in light, X-ray, and neutron scattering experiments has been investigated in [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26], where phenomenological equations have been developed for the structure function based on simplified theoretical models and results of Monte Carlo simulation. Although these relations improve our knowledge of the statistics of self-avoiding chains, their applicability remains questionable for the lack of direct comparison of their predictions with those grounded on an exact expression for the form factor. The objective of this study is to derive analytical formulas for the scattering function of a self-avoiding chain in a

d -dimensional space and its radius of gyration and to analyze the influence of strength of excluded-volume interactions on these quantities.

Two approaches are conventionally employed to model a self-repellent flexible chain. According to the first, a chain is treated as a set of freely-jointed rigid segments linked in sequel, whereas self-avoiding interactions do not permit each pair of segments to occupy the same place [1]. This model implies that the distribution function of end-to-end vectors for the chain coincides with that for a self-avoiding random walk on a lattice [27, 28]. Although the latter function is unknown, it is believed that the leading term in the expression for the distribution function of a long chain is described by a stretched exponential function multiplied by a polynomial of the end-to-end distance [29, 30].

The other approach (the Edwards model [31]) treats an arbitrary configuration of a chain as a curve with a Hamiltonian ascribed to it. The Hamiltonian equals the sum of the conventional Hamiltonian for a Gaussian chain and the excluded-volume functional, where the energy of segment interactions is approximated by the delta-function. This method does not exclude intersections between segments, but assumes their number to be reduced (compared to that for a Gaussian chain) in some proportion to the strength of self-repellent interactions. The distribution function of end-to-end vectors for a self-avoiding chain is determined by the conventional path integral (Green’s function), and the scattering function (form factor) is given by the classical formula [32], where averaging is performed with the help of the Green function.

Despite an apparent simplicity of the Edwards model, no exact formulas have yet been derived within this approach for the radial distribution function and the form factor. This may be explained by the fact that the Hamiltonian containing the excluded-volume functional becomes non-quadratic, and the path integral cannot be computed by using conventional approaches [33]. Among non-rigorous techniques proposed for the treatment of this problem, it is worth mentioning perturbative [3, 34, 35, 36] and renormalization-group [37, 38, 39] methods.

*Electronic address: aleksey@bgumail.bgu.ac.il

The purpose of the present paper is to derive an exact formula for the form factor of a self-avoiding chain in a d -dimensional space based of the Edwards model with a slight modification of the excluded-volume functional. This modification is caused by the observation that the average (over configurations) value of the Edwards Hamiltonian, as well as all moments of the number of segment intersections become infinite at $d > 1$. The divergence reflects an increase in the number of intersections between different segments of the chain when the distance between them (measured along the curve that describes an arbitrary configuration) approaches zero. Based on a similarity between configurations of a Gaussian chain and trajectories of a standard Brownian motion, the latter may be explained by the well-known properties of the local time of intersection for a d -dimensional Brownian path [40].

We concentrate on the derivation of an explicit expression for the scattering function. The interest to this quantity is explained by the fact that a number of experimental data on small-angle X-ray and neutron scattering by macromolecules is available, which allow the strength of excluded-volume interactions to be found by fitting observations. An analytical formula for the distribution function of end-to-end vectors will be developed in a subsequent paper. The exposition is organized as follows. The average number of self-intersections for a flexible chain is calculated in Section 2. The Edwards model with a regularized Hamiltonian is introduced in Section 3. A series expansion for the scattering function is developed in Section 4. Borel's summation of the series is performed in Section 5, where a closed-form expression is derived for the Laplace transform of this function. Explicit expressions for the scattering function of a self-repellent chain are obtained in Section 6 for the one-dimensional case and in Section 7 for the three-dimensional case. Two asymptotic approaches are suggested for the simplification of the form factor for a self-avoiding chain. The approximate expressions are tested in Section 8, where results of numerical simulation are compared with experimental data. Some concluding remarks are formulated in Section 9.

II. THE LOCAL TIME OF SELF-INTERSECTIONS

We consider a standard Gaussian chain in a d -dimensional space. One end of the chain is fixed at the origin, and the other end is located at a point with radius vector \mathbf{Q} . An arbitrary configuration of the chain is described by a curve $\mathbf{r}(\tau)$ with $\tau \in [0, L]$, where L stands for the contour length. The Hamiltonian of the chain reads

$$H_0(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{dk_B T}{2b_0} \int_0^L \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{d\tau}(\tau) \right)^2 d\tau, \quad (1)$$

where b_0 denotes the length of a statistical segment, k_B is Boltzmann's constant, and T is the absolute temperature.

Fixing a segment of the chain labeled by $t \in (0, L]$, we consider intersections of this segment with other segments labeled by $\tau \in (s_1, s_2)$, where $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < t$. The number of intersections (the local time of intersection) is defined as

$$\nu(s_1, s_2; t) = b_0^{d-1} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau)) d\tau, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\delta(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{k} \quad (3)$$

is the Dirac function, the dot stands for inner product, and integration is performed over all vectors \mathbf{k} . The delta-function in Eq. (2) counts the "number" of intersections between the segments labeled by t and τ , and the integral describes the sum these "numbers" over various segments τ belonging to the interval (s_1, s_2) . The pre-factor b_0 is introduced to make the right-hand side of Eq. (2) dimensionless. The dependence of ν on the end-to-end vector \mathbf{Q} is suppressed.

Although Eq. (2) is widely used, it should be treated with caution for this formula has an unambiguous meaning when the average (over configurations) number of self-intersections $\langle \nu(s_1, s_2; t) \rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is finite for any point t and an arbitrary interval (s_1, s_2) . This is not the case, however, because all moments of the function $\nu(s_1, s_2; t)$ diverge as $s_2 \rightarrow t$. This fact appears to be natural, for all configurations are taken into account in the calculation of the average value of ν , including those curves $\mathbf{r}(\tau)$ that "oscillate" (and intersect themselves) with high frequencies.

Several approaches were developed to avoid the divergence of the integral in Eq. (2). The simplest method [41] consists in (i) replacement of the delta-function in Eq. (2) with another delta-function that "measures" the number of intersections "shifted" to some vector \mathbf{a} , (ii) determination of the average number of intersections, and (iii) calculation of its limit (if it exists) as $\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$. This technique allows the first moment of the number of intersections to be found, but does not permit the entire set of moments to be determined analytically.

The renormalization group approach was applied to the analysis of intersections of Brownian motion in [42, 43, 44]. An appropriate renormalization (both multiplicative and additive) allows (i) explicit expressions for the first two moments of ν to be derived, and (ii) convergence of the "renormalized" process $\nu(s_1, s_2, t)$ [treated as a function of t for a given interval (s_1, s_2)] to a Brownian motion with known characteristics to be proved. Although these methods seem rather promising, their interpretation in terms of physical parameters of a chain remains unclear.

To avoid complications of the renormalization-group approach, we regularize the number of intersections by

ascribing to each intersection between segments labeled by τ and t some weight ρ that (i) approaches zero when the difference $t - \tau$ vanishes, and (ii) reaches its ultimate value of unity when the “distance” between these points along the curve $\mathbf{r}(\tau)$ becomes relatively large. This means that we replace definition (2) with

$$\nu(s_1, s_2; t) = b_0^{d-1} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \rho(t - \tau) \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau)) d\tau. \quad (4)$$

As the regularizing function $\rho(t)$ may be chosen from a set of functions satisfying the conditions $\rho(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \rho(t) = 1$, Eq. (4) provides an additional degree of freedom in the design of models for self-avoiding chains. In what follows, we set

$$\rho(t) = 1 - \exp(-|t|/L_0), \quad (5)$$

where L_0 is the characteristic length of internal inhomogeneity. Roughly speaking, Eqs. (4) and (5) treat intersections between segments located [along the curve $\mathbf{r}(\tau)$] at distances exceeding L_0 with the same (unit) weight and disregard intersections between segments located [along this curve] closer than the characteristic length L_0 .

To find the average number of self-intersections, we replace the delta-function in Eq. (4) with its Fourier transform (3), and average the obtained relation over all configuration of a chain with an end-to-end vector \mathbf{Q} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu(s_1, s_2; t) \rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} &= \frac{b_0^{d-1}}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \rho(t - \tau) d\tau \\ &\times \int \left\langle \exp \left[-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau)) \right] \right\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} d\mathbf{k} \\ &= \frac{b_0^{d-1}}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \rho(t - \tau) d\tau \\ &\times \int \exp \left(-\frac{b_0 k^2}{2d} \Delta(t, \tau) - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \frac{t - \tau}{L} \right) d\mathbf{k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the function $\Delta(t, \tau)$ describes correlations between segments. Calculation of the Gaussian integral results in

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu(s_1, s_2; t) \rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} &= \frac{1}{b_0} \left(\frac{db_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\rho(t - \tau)}{\Delta^{\frac{d}{2}}(t, \tau)} \\ &\times \exp \left[-\frac{dQ^2(t - \tau)^2}{2b_0 L^2 \Delta(t, \tau)} \right] d\tau. \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Equation (6) describes the average number of self-intersections for a chain with an arbitrary correlation function $\Delta(t, \tau)$. For a standard Gaussian chain with

$$\Delta(t, \tau) = (t - \tau) \left(1 - \frac{t - \tau}{L} \right),$$

we arrive at the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu(s_1, s_2; t) \rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} &= \frac{1}{b_0} \left(\frac{db_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \rho(t - \tau) (t - \tau)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \\ &\times \left(1 - \frac{t - \tau}{L} \right)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{dQ^2(t - \tau)}{2b_0 L^2 (1 - (t - \tau)/L)} \right] d\tau. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

The average (over chains with various end-to-end vectors \mathbf{Q}) number of intersections is given by

$$\langle \nu(s_1, s_2; t) \rangle = \int \langle \nu(s_1, s_2; t) \rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} p_0(\mathbf{Q}) d\mathbf{Q}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$p_0(\mathbf{Q}) = \left(\frac{d}{2\pi b_0 L} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{dQ^2}{2b_0 L} \right). \quad (9)$$

is the distribution function of end-to-end vectors for a Gaussian chain in a d -dimensional space. Insertion of expressions (7) and (9) into Eq. (8) and calculation of the Gaussian integral yield

$$\langle \nu(s_1, s_2; t) \rangle = \frac{1}{b_0} \left(\frac{db_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_{s_1}^{s_2} \frac{\rho(t - \tau)}{(t - \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}} d\tau. \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) shows that the average number of intersections between a segment labeled by t and segments belonging to the interval (s_1, s_2) approaches infinity when $s_2 \rightarrow t$ and $d > 1$, if a regularization function $\rho(t)$ is not used.

Setting $s_1 = 0$ and $s_2 = t$ in Eq. (4), we find the regularized number of intersections between a segment labeled by t and all segments labeled by $\tau \leq t$. Summation of these numbers over $t \in (0, L]$ provides us (after division by L) with the number of self-intersections per unit length

$$\nu^* = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \nu(0, t; t) dt. \quad (11)$$

Substitution of expression (10) into Eq. (11) results in the average number of self-intersections per unit length of a standard Gaussian chain in a d -dimensional space

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu^* \rangle &= \frac{1}{b_0 L} \left(\frac{db_0}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^L dt \int_0^t \frac{\rho(t - \tau)}{(t - \tau)^{\frac{d}{2}}} d\tau \\ &= \frac{L}{b_0} \left(\frac{db_0}{2\pi L} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \int_0^1 (1 - \tau) \rho(L\tau) \tau^{-\frac{d}{2}} d\tau, \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

where we set $s = t - \tau$ in the internal integral, changed the order of integration, computed the integral over t , and set $\tau = s/L$ in the result. For a Gaussian chain in a three-dimensional space, Eqs. (5) and (12) yield

$$\langle \nu^* \rangle = \frac{3}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{3b_0}{2\pi L}} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \left((\kappa + 1) \gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}, \kappa \right) - \gamma \left(\frac{3}{2}, \kappa \right) \right) - 2 \right],$$

where

$$\kappa = \frac{L}{L_0}, \quad \gamma(a, x) = \int_0^x z^{a-1} \exp(-z) dz. \quad (13)$$

When $\kappa \rightarrow \infty$, which means that the contour length of a chain substantially exceeds the characteristic length of regularization, the incomplete gamma-function $\gamma(a, \kappa)$ approaches the gamma-function $\Gamma(a)$, and the leading term in the expression for the average number of self-intersections per unit length reads

$$\langle \nu^* \rangle = \frac{3}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{3b_0}{2L_0}} \quad (d = 3). \quad (14)$$

III. THE EDWARDS MODEL

According to the Edwards model [31], the Hamiltonian H of a self-avoiding chain equals the sum of the Hamiltonian of a standard Gaussian chain H_0 and a quantity proportional to the number of self-intersections per unit length ν^* . Equations (1), (4) and (11) imply that

$$H(\mathbf{r}) = k_B T \left[\frac{d}{2b_0} \int_0^L \left(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt}(t) \right)^2 dt + \frac{vb_0^{d-1}}{2L} \times \int_0^L dt \int_0^L \rho(t-\tau) \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau)) d\tau \right], \quad (15)$$

where v stands for the strength of self-repellent interactions. The scattering function is given by

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{L^2} \int_0^L dt \int_0^L \left\langle \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau))) \right\rangle d\tau, \quad (16)$$

where \mathbf{q} is the scattering vector. For a chain with the Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{r})$ and the Green function $G(\mathbf{Q})$, the average value $\langle \Phi \rangle$ of a functional Φ reads

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi \rangle &= \int \langle \Phi \rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} G(\mathbf{Q}) d\mathbf{Q}, \\ \langle \Phi \rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} &= \frac{1}{G(\mathbf{Q})} \int_{\mathbf{r}(0)=\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{r}(L)=\mathbf{Q}} \Phi(\mathbf{r}(t)) \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{H(\mathbf{r}(t))}{k_B T}\right) \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{r}(t)], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where \mathcal{D} is a measure on the set of vector-functions $\mathbf{r}(t)$ obeying the boundary conditions in the path integral [33]. Combination of Eqs. (16) and (17) implies that

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = \int \Sigma(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) d\mathbf{Q}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) &= \frac{1}{L^2} \int_{\mathbf{r}(0)=\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{r}(L)=\mathbf{Q}} \exp\left(-\frac{H(\mathbf{r}(t))}{k_B T}\right) \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{r}(t)] \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^L dt \int_0^L \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau))) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

According to Eq. (16), the scattering function $S(\mathbf{q})$ satisfies the normalization condition

$$S(\mathbf{0}) = 1. \quad (20)$$

The radius of gyration of a chain

$$R_g^2 = \frac{1}{2L^2} \int_0^L dt \int_0^L \left\langle (\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau))^2 \right\rangle d\tau \quad (21)$$

is connected with the function $S(\mathbf{q})$ by the formula

$$R_g^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta S(\mathbf{0}), \quad (22)$$

where Δ stands for the Laplace operator. For an isotropic scattering function that depends on q^2 only, $S(\mathbf{q}) = S(q^2)$, Eq. (22) is substantially simplified,

$$R_g^2 = -dS'(0), \quad (23)$$

where the prime stands for the derivative.

IV. EXPANSION OF THE SCATTERING FUNCTION

Expanding the exponent of the Hamiltonian H into the Taylor series in v , we find from Eqs. (1) and (15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(-\frac{H(\mathbf{r})}{k_B T}\right) &= \exp\left(-\frac{H_0(\mathbf{r})}{k_B T}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{vb_0^{d-1}}{2L}\right)^n \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^L dt \int_0^L \rho(t-\tau) \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau)) d\tau \right]^n. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Inserting expression (24) into Eq. (19), changing the order of integration and summation, and using Eq. (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) &= \frac{G_0(\mathbf{Q})}{L^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{vb_0^{d-1}}{2L}\right)^n \\ &\quad \times \left\langle \left[\int_0^L dt \int_0^L \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau))) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[\int_0^L dt \int_0^L \rho(t-\tau) \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau)) d\tau \right]^n \right\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}$ stands for averaging over configurations of a chain with Hamiltonian (1). To derive an explicit expression for the n th moment ($n = 0, 1, \dots$)

$$\begin{aligned} M_n &= \left\langle \left[\int_0^L dt \int_0^L \exp(i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau))) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left[\int_0^L dt \int_0^L \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau)) d\tau \right]^n \right\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

we use the identity

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\int_0^L dt \int_0^L \rho(t-\tau) \delta(\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau)) d\tau \right]^n \\ &= \int_0^L dt_1 \int_0^L d\tau_1 \dots \int_0^L dt_n \int_0^L d\tau_n \\ &\quad \times \prod_{m=1}^n \rho(t_m - \tau_m) \delta(\mathbf{r}(t_m) - \mathbf{r}(\tau_m)), \end{aligned}$$

insert Eq. (3), and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_n &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{nd}} \prod_{m=1}^n \int d\mathbf{k}_m \int_0^L dt_1 \int_0^L d\tau_1 \dots \\ &\quad \times \int_0^L dt_n \int_0^L d\tau_n \int_0^L dt_{n+1} \int_0^L d\tau_{n+1} \prod_{m=1}^n \rho(t_m - \tau_m) \\ &\quad \times \left\langle \exp\left[-i \sum_{m=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{k}_m \cdot (\mathbf{r}(t_m) - \mathbf{r}(\tau_m))\right] \right\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$t_{n+1} = t, \quad \tau_{n+1} = \tau, \quad \mathbf{k}_{n+1} = -\mathbf{q}. \quad (27)$$

Ordering the set of points $\{t_m, \tau_m\}$ as $t_1 > \tau_1 > t_2 > \tau_2 \dots > t_{n+1} > \tau_{n+1}$ and bearing in mind that for any integrable function $S(t_1, \tau_1, \dots, t_{n+1}, \tau_{n+1})$,

$$\int_0^L dt_1 \int_0^L d\tau_1 \dots \int_0^L dt_{n+1} \int_0^L S d\tau_{n+1} = (2n+2)! \int_0^L dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} d\tau_1 \dots \int_0^{\tau_n} dt_{n+1} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} S d\tau_{n+1},$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} M_n &= \frac{(2n+2)!}{(2\pi)^{nd}} \prod_{m=1}^n \int d\mathbf{k}_m \int_0^L dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} d\tau_1 \dots \int_0^{\tau_n} dt_{n+1} \int_0^{t_{n+1}} d\tau_{n+1} \prod_{m=1}^n \rho(t_m - \tau_m) \\ &\quad \times \left\langle \exp \left[-i \sum_{m=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{k}_m \cdot (\mathbf{r}(t_m) - \mathbf{r}(\tau_m)) \right] \right\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

For an ordered set of points $\{t_m, \tau_m\}$, the average in Eq. (28) reads

$$\left\langle \exp \left[-i \sum_{m=1}^{n+1} \mathbf{k}_m \cdot (\mathbf{r}(t_m) - \mathbf{r}(\tau_m)) \right] \right\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} = \prod_{l=1}^d \exp \left(-\frac{b_0}{2d} \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_l \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_l - \frac{i}{L} Q_l \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_l \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{T}} \right), \quad (29)$$

where Q_l is the l th component of the vector \mathbf{Q} in a Cartesian coordinate frame, k_{ml} is the l th component of the vector \mathbf{k}_m , and $T_m = t_m - \tau_m$ ($m = 1, \dots, n+1$). The $(n+1)$ -vectors $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_l$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}$ and the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{T})$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_l &= \begin{bmatrix} k_{1l} \\ k_{2l} \\ \dots \\ k_{nl} \\ k_{n+1l} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_l \\ -q_l \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \\ \dots \\ T_n \\ T_{n+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{T}} \\ t - \tau \end{bmatrix}, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{C}} &= \begin{bmatrix} T_1(1 - T_1/L) & -T_1 T_2/L & \dots & -T_1 T_n/L & -T_1 T_{n+1}/L \\ -T_1 T_2/L & T_2(1 - T_2/L) & \dots & -T_2 T_n/L & -T_2 T_{n+1}/L \\ -T_1 T_3/L & -T_2 T_3/L & \dots & -T_3 T_n/L & -T_3 T_{n+1}/L \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -T_1 T_n/L & -T_2 T_n/L & \dots & T_n(1 - T_n/L) & -T_n T_{n+1}/L \\ -T_1 T_{n+1}/L & -T_2 T_{n+1}/L & \dots & -T_n T_{n+1}/L & T_{n+1}(1 - T_{n+1}/L) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} & -\mathbf{T}(t - \tau)/L \\ -\mathbf{T}(t - \tau)/L & (t - \tau)(1 - (t - \tau)/L) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{l=1}^d \exp \left(-\frac{b_0}{2d} \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_l \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(\tilde{\mathbf{T}}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_l - \frac{i}{L} Q_l \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_l \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{T}} \right) &= \exp \left[-\sum_{l=1}^d \left(\frac{b_0}{2d} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_l \cdot \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{T}) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{k}}_l + \frac{i}{L} (Q_l - i q_l \frac{b_0}{d} (t - \tau)) \bar{\mathbf{k}}_l \cdot \mathbf{T} \right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[-\frac{t - \tau}{L} \left(\frac{b_0 L q^2}{2d} \left(1 - \frac{t - \tau}{L} \right) - i \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

we find from Eqs. (28) and (29) that

$$\begin{aligned} M_n &= \frac{(2n+2)!}{(2\pi)^{nd}} \prod_{l=1}^d \int d\bar{\mathbf{k}}_l \int_0^L dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} d\tau_1 \dots \int_0^{\tau_n} dt \int_0^t d\tau \exp \left[-\sum_{l=1}^d \left(\frac{b_0}{2d} \bar{\mathbf{k}}_l \cdot \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{T}) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{k}}_l \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{i}{L} (Q_l - i q_l \frac{b_0}{d} (t - \tau)) \bar{\mathbf{k}}_l \cdot \mathbf{T} \right) \right] \prod_{m=1}^n \rho(t_m - \tau_m) \exp \left[-\frac{t - \tau}{L} \left(\frac{b_0 L q^2}{2d} \left(1 - \frac{t - \tau}{L} \right) - i \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we replaced integration over \mathbf{k}_m with integration over $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_l$ and returned to the initial notation t and τ . Cal-

culating the Gaussian integral over $\bar{\mathbf{k}}_l$ and bearing in mind that $\int_0^{\tau_n} dt \int_0^t \varphi(t - \tau) d\tau = \int_0^{\tau_n} (\tau_n - z) \varphi(z) dz$ for

any function $\varphi(t - \tau)$, we obtain

$$M_n = \int_0^L dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} d\tau_1 \dots \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} dt_n \int_0^{t_n} d\tau_n \times \int_0^{\tau_n} (\tau_n - z) R_n(\mathbf{T}, z) dz, \quad (33)$$

where

$$R_n(\mathbf{T}, z) = (2n + 2)! \left(\frac{d}{2\pi b_0} \right)^{\frac{n d}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\det \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{T})} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \times \exp \left[-\frac{d}{2b_0 L^2} \left(\mathbf{Q} - \frac{ib_0}{d} \mathbf{q} z \right)^2 \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\mathbf{T}) \cdot \mathbf{T} \right] \times \prod_{m=1}^n \rho(T_m) \exp \left[-\frac{z}{L} \left(\frac{b_0 L q^2}{2d} \left(1 - \frac{z}{L} \right) - i \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right) \right], \quad (34)$$

and we omit the arguments \mathbf{q} and \mathbf{Q} of the function R_n . At $n = 0$, Eqs. (33) and (34) read

$$\left\langle \int_0^L dt \int_0^t \exp(i \mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\tau))) \right\rangle_{\mathbf{Q}} = \int_0^L (L - z) R_0(\mathbf{T}, z) dz \quad (35)$$

with

$$R_0(\mathbf{T}, z) = 2 \exp \left[-\frac{z}{L} \left(\frac{b_0 L q^2}{2d} \left(1 - \frac{z}{L} \right) - i \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right) \right]. \quad (36)$$

To reduce the number of integrations in Eq. (33), we infer by induction that for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\int_0^L dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} d\tau_1 \dots \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} dt_n \int_0^{t_n} d\tau_n \int_0^{\tau_n} (\tau_n - z) \times R_n(\mathbf{T}, z) dz = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \int_0^L dT_1 \int_0^{L-T_1} dT_2 \dots \times \int_0^{L-\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} T_m} dT_n \int_0^{L-\sum_{m=1}^n T_m} \left(L - \sum_{m=1}^n T_m - z \right)^{n+1} R_n(\mathbf{T}, z) dz. \quad (37)$$

Introducing the new variables

$$u_m = L - \sum_{k=1}^m T_k \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad (38)$$

we present Eq. (37) in the form

$$\int_0^L dt_1 \int_0^{t_1} d\tau_1 \dots \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} dt_n \int_0^{t_n} d\tau_n \int_0^{\tau_n} (\tau_n - z) \times R_n(\mathbf{T}, z) dz = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \int_0^L du_1 \int_0^{u_1} du_2 \dots \times \int_0^{u_{n-1}} du_n \int_0^{u_n} (u_n - z)^{n+1} R_n(\mathbf{u}, z) dz, \quad (39)$$

where $R_n(\mathbf{u}, z)$ is obtained from $R_n(\mathbf{T}, z)$ after substitution of the expressions

$$T_1 = L - u_1, \quad T_m = u_{m-1} - u_m \quad (m = 2, \dots, n), \quad (40)$$

corresponding to the inverse transformation. To find an explicit expression for the function $R_n(\mathbf{u}, z)$, we use the formulas

$$\det \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{T}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^n T_m \right) \prod_{m=1}^n T_m = \frac{1}{L} (L - u_1) u_n \prod_{m=2}^n (u_{m-1} - u_m), \quad \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\mathbf{T}) \cdot \mathbf{T} = L \sum_{m=1}^n T_m \left(L - \sum_{m=1}^n T_m \right)^{-1} = L \frac{L - u_n}{u_n}, \quad (41)$$

that follow from Eqs. (30), (31) and (40). Insertion of expressions (41) into Eq. (34) results in

$$R_n(\mathbf{T}, z) = (2n + 2)! \left(\frac{d}{2\pi b_0} \right)^{\frac{dn}{2}} L^{\frac{d}{2}} \times \frac{\rho(L - u_1) \rho(u_1 - u_2) \dots \rho(u_{n-1} - u_n)}{(L - u_1)^{\frac{d}{2}} (u_1 - u_2)^{\frac{d}{2}} \dots (u_{n-1} - u_n)^{\frac{d}{2}} u_n^{\frac{d}{2}}} \times \exp \left[-\frac{d(L - u_n)}{2b_0 L u_n} \left(\mathbf{Q} - \frac{ib_0}{d} \mathbf{q} z \right)^2 - \frac{z}{L} \left(\frac{b_0 L q^2}{2d} \left(1 - \frac{z}{L} \right) - i \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right) \right]. \quad (42)$$

It follows from Eqs. (25), (26), (33), (35), (36), (39) and (42) that

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}) = \frac{G_0(\mathbf{Q})}{L^2} \left\{ 2 \int_0^L (L - z) \exp \left[-\frac{z}{L} \left(\frac{b_0 L q^2}{2d} \left(1 - \frac{z}{L} \right) - i \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right) \right] dz + L^{\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{ib_0^{d-1}}{2L} \right)^n \frac{(2n + 2)!}{(n + 1)!} \times \left(\frac{d}{2\pi b_0} \right)^{\frac{dn}{2}} \int_0^L \frac{\rho(L - u_1) du_1}{(L - u_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_0^{u_1} \frac{\rho(u_1 - u_2) du_2}{(u_1 - u_2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \dots \int_0^{u_{n-1}} \frac{\rho(u_{n-1} - u_n) du_n}{(u_{n-1} - u_n)^{\frac{d}{2}} u_n^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_0^{u_n} (u_n - z)^{n+1} \times \exp \left[-\frac{d(L - u_n)}{2b_0 L u_n} \left(\mathbf{Q} - \frac{ib_0}{d} \mathbf{q} z \right)^2 - \frac{z}{L} \left(\frac{b_0 L q^2}{2d} \left(1 - \frac{z}{L} \right) - i \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{q} \right) \right] dz \right\}. \quad (43)$$

V. THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM

The Green function for a Gaussian chain in a d -dimensional space reads

$$G_0(\mathbf{Q}) = \bar{G} \left(\frac{d}{2\pi b_0 L} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{dQ^2}{2b_0 L}\right). \quad (44)$$

Equation (44) differs from Eq. (9) by the pre-factor \bar{G} . This coefficient will be found later from normalization condition (20). Substitution of expressions (43) and (44) into Eq. (18) results in

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathbf{q}) = & \frac{\bar{G}}{L^2} \left(\frac{d}{2\pi b_0} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \left\{ \frac{2}{L^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_0^L (L-z) \exp\left(-\frac{b_0 q^2 z(L-z)}{2dL}\right) dz \int \exp\left(-\frac{dQ^2}{2b_0 L} + \frac{iz}{L} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{q}\right) d\mathbf{Q} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{vb_0^{d-1}}{2L} \right)^n \right. \\ & \times \frac{(2n+2)!}{(n+1)!} \left(\frac{d}{2\pi b_0} \right)^{\frac{dn}{2}} \int_0^L \frac{\rho(L-u_1) du_1}{(L-u_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_0^{u_1} \frac{\rho(u_1-u_2) du_2}{(u_1-u_2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \dots \int_0^{u_{n-1}} \frac{\rho(u_{n-1}-u_n) du_n}{(u_{n-1}-u_n)^{\frac{d}{2}} u_n^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_0^{u_n} (u_n-z)^{n+1} \\ & \left. \times \exp\left(-\frac{b_0 q^2 z(u_n-z)}{2du_n}\right) dz \int \exp\left(-\frac{dQ^2}{2b_0 u_n} + \frac{iz}{u_n} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{q}\right) d\mathbf{Q} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Calculation of the Gaussian integrals yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S(\mathbf{q})}{\bar{G}} = & S_D \left(\frac{b_0 L}{2d} q^2 \right) + \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{vb_0^{d-1}}{2L} \right)^n \frac{(2n+2)!}{(n+1)!} \left(\frac{d}{2\pi b_0} \right)^{\frac{dn}{2}} \int_0^L \frac{\rho(L-u_1) du_1}{(L-u_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_0^{u_1} \frac{\rho(u_1-u_2) du_2}{(u_1-u_2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \dots \\ & \times \int_0^{u_{n-1}} \frac{\rho(u_{n-1}-u_n) du_n}{(u_{n-1}-u_n)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_0^{u_n} (u_n-z)^{n+1} \exp\left(-\frac{b_0 z q^2}{2d}\right) dz, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_D \left(\frac{b_0 L}{2d} q^2 \right) &= \frac{2}{L^2} \int_0^L \exp\left(-\frac{b_0 q^2}{2d} z\right) (L-z) dz \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{b_0 L q^2}{2d} (1-x)\right) x dx \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

is the Debye function. Introducing the notation

$$P(L, \mathbf{q}) = L^2 \left[\frac{S(\mathbf{q})}{\bar{G}} - S_D \left(\frac{b_0 L}{2d} q^2 \right) \right], \quad (47)$$

we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} P(L, \mathbf{q}) = & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{vb_0^{d-1}}{2L} \right)^n \frac{(2n+2)!}{(n+1)!} \left(\frac{d}{2\pi b_0} \right)^{\frac{dn}{2}} \\ & \times \int_0^L \frac{\rho(L-u_1) du_1}{(L-u_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_0^{u_1} \frac{\rho(u_1-u_2) du_2}{(u_1-u_2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \dots \\ & \times \int_0^{u_{n-1}} \frac{\rho(u_{n-1}-u_n) du_n}{(u_{n-1}-u_n)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_0^{u_n} (u_n-z)^{n+1} \\ & \times \exp\left(-\frac{b_0 z q^2}{2d}\right) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

To derive an explicit formula for the function $P(L, \mathbf{q})$, the following technique is employed: (i) first, we perform the Laplace transform of Eq. (48), (ii) afterwards, we sum the infinite series in the transformed space, and (iii) finally, we perform the inverse Laplace transform.

Denote by $\hat{P}(\lambda, \mathbf{q})$ the Laplace transform of the function $P(L, \mathbf{q})$ with respect to L . Multiplying Eq. (48) by $\exp(-\lambda L)$ and integrating over L from zero to infinity, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}(\lambda, \mathbf{q}) = & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{vb_0^{d-1}}{2L} \right)^n \frac{(2n+2)!}{(n+1)!} \left(\frac{d}{2\pi b_0} \right)^{\frac{dn}{2}} \\ & \times \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda L) dL \int_0^L \frac{\rho(L-u_1) du_1}{(L-u_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \\ & \times \int_0^{u_1} \frac{\rho(u_1-u_2) du_2}{(u_1-u_2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \dots \int_0^{u_{n-1}} \frac{\rho(u_{n-1}-u_n) du_n}{(u_{n-1}-u_n)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \\ & \times \int_0^{u_n} (u_n-z)^{n+1} \exp\left(-\frac{b_0 z q^2}{2d}\right) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

According to the convolution theorem for the Laplace transform, for any function $\varphi(u)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda L) dL \int_0^L \frac{\rho(L-u_1)}{(L-u_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \varphi(u_1) du_1 \\ = \Lambda(\lambda) \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(u_1) \exp(-\lambda u_1) du_1, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where

$$\Lambda(\lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} \rho(x) x^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp(-\lambda x) dx. \quad (51)$$

Applying Eq. (50) n times, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda L) dL \int_0^L \frac{\rho(L-u_1) du_1}{(L-u_1)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \\ & \times \int_0^{u_1} \frac{\rho(u_1-u_2) du_2}{(u_1-u_2)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \cdots \int_0^{u_{n-1}} \frac{\rho(u_{n-1}-u_n) du_n}{(u_{n-1}-u_n)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \\ & \times \int_0^{u_n} (u_n-z)^{n+1} \exp\left(-\frac{b_0 z q^2}{2d}\right) dz \\ & = (n+1)! \frac{\Lambda^n(\lambda)}{\lambda^{n+2}} \left(\lambda + \frac{b_0 q^2}{2d}\right)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Combination of Eqs. (49) and (52) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}(\lambda, \mathbf{q}) &= \lambda^{-2} \left(\lambda + \frac{b_0 q^2}{2d}\right)^{-1} \\ & \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(2n+2)!}{n!} \left(\frac{v_0 \Lambda(\lambda)}{2\lambda}\right)^n \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

with

$$v_0 = \frac{v}{b_0 L} \left(\frac{db_0}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}}. \quad (54)$$

The pre-factor in Eq. (53) reads

$$a_n = \frac{(2n+2)!}{n!} = 2(n+1) \frac{\Gamma(2(n+1))}{\Gamma(n+1)}.$$

Application of the Legendre duplication formula for the gamma-function yields

$$a_n = \frac{4^{n+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left[\Gamma\left(n + \frac{5}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right) \right].$$

Insertion of this expression into Eq. (53) results in

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}(\lambda, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi} \lambda^2} \left(\lambda + \frac{b_0 q^2}{2d}\right)^{-1} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \Gamma\left(n + \frac{5}{2}\right) \right. \\ & \left. \times (2V)^n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \Gamma\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right) (2V)^n \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we use the shortcut notation

$$V = \frac{v_0 \Lambda(\lambda)}{\lambda}. \quad (55)$$

Bearing in mind that for any X ,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \Gamma\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right) X^n \\ & = \frac{X}{2} \left[\frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{4} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \Gamma\left(n + \frac{5}{2}\right) X^n \right], \end{aligned}$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}(\lambda, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi} \lambda^2} \left(\lambda + \frac{b_0 q^2}{2d}\right)^{-1} \left[\frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{4} V \right. \\ & \left. + (1+V) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \Gamma\left(n + \frac{5}{2}\right) (2V)^n \right], \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

With reference to the generalized method of Borel's summation [45], an infinite series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \quad (57)$$

is associated with the function

$$\sigma(z) = \exp(-z) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n z^{n+\frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(n + \frac{5}{2}\right)}. \quad (58)$$

Series (57) is Borel summable, and its sum equal σ_0 , provided that the integral $\int_0^s \sigma(z) dz$ exists for any $s \geq 0$ and converges to σ_0 as $s \rightarrow \infty$ in the classical sense. The summation method with function (58) is regular: for any convergent series (57), $\sigma_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$. Applying the above technique to the infinite series in Eq. (56), we find that for any X ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \Gamma\left(n + \frac{5}{2}\right) X^n = - \int_0^\infty \exp(-z) \frac{X z^{\frac{5}{2}}}{1 + X z} dz,$$

where we performed summation of the geometrical series. The expression in square brackets in Eq. (56) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & V \left[\frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{4} - 2(1+V) \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-z) z^{\frac{5}{2}}}{1 + 2Vz} dz \right] \\ & = V \int_0^\infty \frac{1-2z}{1+2Vz} \exp(-z) z^{\frac{3}{2}} dz, \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

where we used the identity

$$\frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{4} = \int_0^\infty \exp(-z) z^{\frac{3}{2}} dz.$$

Inserting expression (59) into Eq. (56) and using Eq. (55), we arrive at the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}(\lambda, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{4v_0}{\sqrt{\pi} \lambda^2} \left(\lambda + \frac{b_0 q^2}{2d}\right)^{-1} \\ & \times \int_0^\infty \frac{\Lambda(\lambda)(1-2z)}{\lambda + 2v_0 \Lambda(\lambda) z} \exp(-z) z^{\frac{3}{2}} dz \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

for the Laplace transform of the function $P(L, \mathbf{q})$. Our aim now is to find the function

$$P(L, \mathbf{q}) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(\hat{P}(\lambda, \mathbf{q}) \right)_{\lambda \rightarrow L},$$

where \mathcal{L}^{-1} stands for the inverse Laplace transform. Taking into account that

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(\left(\lambda + \frac{b_0 q^2}{2d} \right)^{-1} \right)_{\lambda \rightarrow l} = \exp\left(-\frac{b_0 l q^2}{2d}\right),$$

and applying the convolution theorem for the inverse Laplace transform, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(L, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{4v_0}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \exp(-z) (1-2z) z^{\frac{3}{2}} dz \\ & \times \int_0^L \exp\left(-\frac{b_0(L-l)q^2}{2d}\right) \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(W(\lambda, z) \right)_{\lambda \rightarrow l} dl, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where

$$W(\lambda, z) = \frac{\Lambda(\lambda)\lambda^{-2}}{\lambda + 2v_0z\Lambda(\lambda)}. \quad (62)$$

As the inverse Laplace transform in Eq. (61) cannot be determined in the general form for an arbitrary integer d , we consider particular cases $d = 1$ and $d = 3$.

VI. A SELF-AVOIDING CHAIN IN AN ONE-DIMENSIONAL SPACE

As the integral in Eq. (51) with $d = 1$ converges without regularization, we set $\rho = 1$ and find that

$$\Lambda(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\lambda x) dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\lambda}}. \quad (63)$$

Insertion of expression (63) into Eq. (62) results in

$$W(\lambda, z) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} + \phi(z)}, \quad (64)$$

$$\phi(z) = 2v_0\sqrt{\pi}z = vz\sqrt{\frac{2}{b_0L^2}}.$$

Taking into account that for any positive α , β , and ϕ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{s^{\alpha-\beta}}{s^\alpha + \phi}\right)_{s \rightarrow x} = x^{\beta-1} E_{\alpha, \beta}(-\phi x^\alpha), \quad (65)$$

where

$$E_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)} \quad (66)$$

is the Mittag-Leffler function [46], we infer that

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(W(\lambda, z)\right)_{\lambda \rightarrow l} = \sqrt{\pi} l^{\frac{5}{2}} E_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{2}}(-\phi(z)l^{\frac{3}{2}}). \quad (67)$$

Combination of Eqs. (61) and (67) yields

$$P(L, \mathbf{q}) = 4v_0 \int_0^\infty \exp(-z)(1-2z)z^{\frac{3}{2}} dz$$

$$\times \int_0^L \exp\left(-\frac{b_0(L-l)q^2}{2}\right) E_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{2}}(-\phi(z)l^{\frac{3}{2}}) l^{\frac{5}{2}} dl.$$

Setting $x = l/L$ and substituting expressions (54) and (64) into this equality, we arrive at the formula

$$P(L, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{2\chi L^2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \exp(-z)(1-2z)z^{\frac{3}{2}} dz$$

$$\times \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2q^2}{2}(1-x)\right) E_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{2}}(-\chi x^{\frac{3}{2}}z) x^{\frac{5}{2}} dx, \quad (68)$$

where

$$b^2 = b_0L, \quad \chi = v\sqrt{\frac{2L}{b_0}}. \quad (69)$$

Insertion of Eq. (68) into Eq. (47) yields

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = \bar{G} \left[S_D\left(\frac{b^2q^2}{2}\right) + \frac{2\chi}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2q^2}{2}(1-x)\right) \right.$$

$$\left. \times x^{\frac{5}{2}} dx \int_0^\infty \exp(-z) E_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{2}}(-\chi x^{\frac{3}{2}}z) (1-2z)z^{\frac{3}{2}} dz \right]. \quad (70)$$

It follows from Eq. (66) that for any $c > 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-z) E_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{7}{2}}(-cz) (1-2z)z^{\frac{3}{2}} dz$$

$$= -2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{5}{2})(n+2)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}n + \frac{7}{2})} (-c)^n. \quad (71)$$

Substituting expression (46) with $d = 1$ into Eq. (70) and using Eq. (71), we obtain

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{4\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2q^2}{2}(1-x)\right) x dx$$

$$\times \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2})(n+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}n + 2)} (-\chi x^{\frac{3}{2}})^n \right]. \quad (72)$$

Setting $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$ in Eq. (72) and employing normalization condition (20), we infer that

$$\bar{G} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2})(n+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}n + 3)} (-\chi)^n \right]^{-1}. \quad (73)$$

Taking into account that for any $x \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2})(n+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}n + 2)} (-x)^n$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \int_0^\infty E_{\frac{3}{2}, 2}(-xy) \exp(-y) y dy, \quad (74)$$

we derive an explicit presentation of the scattering function in terms of integrals of the Mittag-Leffler function

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2q^2}{2}(1-x)\right) x dx$$

$$\times \frac{\int_0^\infty E_{\frac{3}{2}, 2}(-\chi x^{\frac{3}{2}}y) \exp(-y) y dy}{\int_0^\infty E_{\frac{3}{2}, 2}(-\chi y) \exp(-y) y dy}. \quad (75)$$

To determine the radius of gyration R_g , we insert Eq. (72) into Eq. (22), calculate the integrals and find that

$$R_g^2 = \frac{2b^2\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2})(n+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}n + 4)} (-\chi)^n. \quad (76)$$

By analogy with Eq. (74), one can write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2})(n+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}n + 4)} (-x)^n$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \int_0^\infty E_{\frac{3}{2}, 4}(-xy) \exp(-y) y dy. \quad (77)$$




FIG. 1: The dimensionless ratio ξ versus the dimensionless strength of segment interactions χ . Solid line: exact solution. Circles: linear approximation.

Combination of expressions (73), (74), (76) and (77) implies that

$$R_g^2 = b^2 \int_0^\infty E_{\frac{3}{2},4}(-\chi y) \exp(-y) y dy \times \left[\int_0^\infty E_{\frac{3}{2},2}(-\chi y) \exp(-y) y dy \right]^{-1}. \quad (78)$$

At weak self-avoiding interactions, when the dimensionless strength of segment interactions χ is small compared with unity, we disregard terms beyond the first order of smallness in Eqs. (73) and (77) to obtain

$$R_g^2 = \frac{b^2}{6} \left(1 + \frac{32\chi}{105\sqrt{\pi}} \right). \quad (79)$$

Equation (79) shows that at any $\chi > 0$, the radius of gyration of a weakly self-repellent chain exceeds that of a standard Gaussian chain, $R_{g0}^2 = \frac{1}{6}b^2$. To evaluate the effect of excluded-volume interactions on the radius of gyration, we perform summation of the infinite series (73) and (76) numerically. The dimensionless ratio $\xi = R_g^2/R_{g0}^2$ is plotted versus the dimensionless strength of segment interactions χ in Figure 1, which shows that the radius of gyration increases monotonically with strength of self-repellent interactions. Linear approximation (79) ensures a correct fit of the dependence $R_g(\chi)$ at relatively small strengths ($\chi < 1$), but overestimates the radius of gyration at higher values of χ .

VII. A SELF-AVOIDING CHAIN IN A THREE-DIMENSIONAL SPACE

For a self-repellent chain in a three-dimensional space ($d = 3$), the use of a regularizing function $\rho(t)$ is necessary, because the integral with $\rho = 1$ in Eq. (51) diverges.

It follows from Eqs. (5) and (51) that

$$\Lambda(\lambda) = 2\sqrt{\pi} \left(\sqrt{\lambda+r} - \sqrt{\lambda} \right),$$

where $r = L_0^{-1}$. This relation together with Eq. (62) results in

$$W(\lambda, z) = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\lambda^2} W_1(\lambda, z), \quad \phi(z) = 4\sqrt{\pi} v_0 z, \\ W_1(\lambda, z) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda+r} - \sqrt{\lambda}}{\lambda + \phi(z)(\sqrt{\lambda+r} - \sqrt{\lambda})}. \quad (80)$$

Bearing in mind that for any X ,

$$\frac{X}{1 + \phi X} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\phi)^{n-1} X^n,$$

we infer that

$$W_1(\lambda, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\phi)^{n-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda+r} - \sqrt{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right)^n. \quad (81)$$

To find the inverse Laplace transform of the function $W(\lambda, z)$, we begin with the formula

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left((\sqrt{s^2+a} - s)^n \right)_{s \rightarrow x} = na^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{J_n(\sqrt{ax})}{x}, \quad (82)$$

where

$$J_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{m! \Gamma(m+n+1)} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right)^{2m+n} \quad (83)$$

is the Bessel function of the first kind of order n , and $a > 0$ is an arbitrary constant. We now apply the well-known assertion which says that if $\Phi(s)$ is the Laplace transform of a function $\phi(x)$, then

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(\frac{\Phi(\sqrt{s})}{\sqrt{s}} \right)_{s \rightarrow x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi x}} \int_0^\infty \phi(z) \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{4x}\right) dz.$$

Applying this proposition to Eq. (82), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(\frac{(\sqrt{s+a} - \sqrt{s})^n}{\sqrt{s}} \right)_{s \rightarrow x} \\ = \frac{na^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi x}} \int_0^\infty J_n(\sqrt{az}) \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{4x}\right) z^{-1} dz. \quad (84)$$

Inserting expression (83) into Eq. (84), performing integration term by term, and replacing the resulting series with an appropriate Mittag-Leffler function, we infer that

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \left(\frac{(\sqrt{s+a} - \sqrt{s})^n}{\sqrt{s}} \right)_{s \rightarrow x} \\ = \frac{na^n x^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) E_{1, n+1}^{\frac{n}{2}}(-ax). \quad (85)$$

Bearing in mind that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{s+a}-\sqrt{s}}{s}\right)^n\right)_{s \rightarrow x} \\ &= \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{s^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}\frac{(\sqrt{s+a}-\sqrt{s})^n}{\sqrt{s}}\right)_{s \rightarrow x}, \\ & \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{s^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)_{s \rightarrow x} = \frac{x^{n-\frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma(n-\frac{1}{2})}, \end{aligned}$$

and applying the convolution theorem for the inverse Laplace transform, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{s+a}-\sqrt{s}}{s}\right)^n\right)_{s \rightarrow x} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\frac{1}{2})} \\ & \times \int_0^x (x-t)^{n-\frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{(\sqrt{s+a}-\sqrt{s})^n}{\sqrt{s}}\right)_{s \rightarrow t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Substitution of expression (85) into this relation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{\sqrt{s+a}-\sqrt{s}}{s}\right)^n\right)_{s \rightarrow x} = \frac{na^n}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(n-\frac{1}{2})} \\ & \times \int_0^x (x-t)^{n-\frac{3}{2}} t^{\frac{n-1}{2}} E_{1,n+1}^{\frac{n}{2}}(-at) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

Combination of Eqs. (81) and (86) results in

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(W_1(\lambda, z)\right)_{\lambda \rightarrow l} = \frac{r\sqrt{l}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(n-\frac{1}{2})} (-rl^{\frac{3}{2}}\phi)^{n-1} \\ & \times \int_0^1 x^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (1-x)^{n-\frac{3}{2}} E_{1,n+1}^{\frac{n}{2}}(-rlx) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $x = t/l$. Using the integral presentation of the Mittag-Leffler function

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{1,n+1}^{\frac{n}{2}}(-rlx) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)} \\ & \times \int_0^1 y^{\frac{n}{2}-1} (1-y)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp(-rlxy) dy, \end{aligned}$$

we arrive at the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(W_1(\lambda, z)\right)_{\lambda \rightarrow l} = \frac{r\sqrt{l}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)(-rl^{\frac{3}{2}}\phi)^m}{\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{m}{2}+\frac{3}{2})} \\ & \times \int_0^1 x^{\frac{m}{2}} (1-x)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ & \times \int_0^1 y^{\frac{m-1}{2}} (1-y)^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \exp(-rlxy) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

Application of the convolution theorem for the inverse Laplace transform to Eq. (80) results in

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(W(\lambda, z)\right)_{\lambda \rightarrow l} \\ &= 2\sqrt{\pi} \int_0^l (l-t) \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(W_1(\lambda, z)\right)_{\lambda \rightarrow t} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

where we employed the formula $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\lambda^{-2})_{\lambda \rightarrow x} = x$. Setting $u = t/l$ in Eq. (88) and substituting expression (87), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(W(\lambda, z)\right)_{\lambda \rightarrow l} = rl^{\frac{5}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)(-rl^{\frac{3}{2}}\phi)^m}{\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{m}{2}+\frac{3}{2})} \\ & \times \int_0^1 u^{\frac{3m+1}{2}} (1-u) du \int_0^1 x^{\frac{m}{2}} (1-x)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ & \times \int_0^1 y^{\frac{m-1}{2}} (1-y)^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \exp(-rlxy) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

Combination of Eqs. (61) and (89) yields

$$\begin{aligned} P(L, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{4v_0 r}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)(-r)^m}{\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{m}{2}+\frac{3}{2})} \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-z)(1-2z)z^{\frac{3}{2}} \phi^m(z) dz \int_0^L \exp\left(-\frac{b_0(L-l)q^2}{6}\right) l^{\frac{3m+5}{2}} dl \\ & \times \int_0^1 u^{\frac{3m+1}{2}} (1-u) du \int_0^1 x^{\frac{m}{2}} (1-x)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} dx \int_0^1 y^{\frac{m-1}{2}} (1-y)^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \exp(-rlxy) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Introducing the notation $t = l/L$ and inserting expression (13), (54) and (80) into this relation, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} P(L, \mathbf{q}) &= \frac{2\bar{\chi}L^2}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\bar{\chi})^m}{\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{m}{2}+\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-z)(1-2z)z^{m+\frac{3}{2}} dz \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2q^2}{6}(1-t)\right) t^{\frac{3m+5}{2}} dt \\ & \times \int_0^1 u^{\frac{3m+1}{2}} (1-u) du \int_0^1 x^{\frac{m}{2}} (1-x)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} dx \int_0^1 y^{\frac{m-1}{2}} (1-y)^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \exp(-\kappa txy) dy, \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

where

$$\bar{\chi} = \frac{3\kappa v}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{6b_0}{L}}. \quad (91)$$

The integral over z is calculated explicitly by means of the gamma-function,

$$\int_0^{\infty} \exp(-z)(1-2z)z^{m+\frac{3}{2}} dz = -2(m+2)\Gamma\left(m+\frac{5}{2}\right). \quad (92)$$

Inserting expressions (46) and (90) into Eq. (47) and using Eq. (92) and elementary properties of the gamma-function, we arrive at the formula for the scattering function of a self-avoiding chain in a three-dimensional space

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = 2\bar{G} \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2 q^2}{6}(1-t)\right) t dt \left[1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+\frac{1}{2})(m+\frac{3}{2})(m+2)(-\bar{\chi}t^{\frac{3}{2}})^{m+1}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2}+\frac{1}{2})} \times \int_0^1 u^{\frac{3m+1}{2}}(1-u) du \int_0^1 x^{\frac{m}{2}}(1-x)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} dx \times \int_0^1 y^{\frac{m-1}{2}}(1-y)^{\frac{m+1}{2}} \exp(-\kappa t u x y) dy \right]. \quad (93)$$

The function (93) is determined by three parameters: (i) the mean-square-root end-to-end distance of an appropriate Gaussian chain b , (ii) the dimensionless strength of segment interactions $\bar{\chi}$, and (iii) the dimensionless length of a chain κ . The pre-factor \bar{G} is found from normalization condition (20).

A. Weak excluded-volume interactions

At weak self-avoiding interactions between segments ($\bar{\chi} \ll 1$), we disregard all terms beyond the first order of smallness in Eq. (93) and obtain

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = 2\bar{G} \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2 q^2}{6}(1-t)\right) t dt \times \left[1 - \frac{3\bar{\chi}t^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\pi\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-u) du \int_0^1 (1-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx \times \int_0^1 y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-y)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\kappa t u x y) dy \right]. \quad (94)$$

It follows from Eq. (66) that

$$\int_0^1 y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-y)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\kappa t u x y) dy = \frac{\pi}{2} E_{1,2}^{\frac{1}{2}}(-\kappa t u x).$$

Taking into account that for an arbitrary positive z ,

$$\int_0^1 (1-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_{1,2}^{\frac{1}{2}}(-xz) dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})(-z)^n}{\Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(n+2)},$$

we find from Eq. (94) that

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = 2\bar{G} \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2 q^2}{6}(1-t)\right) t dt \left[1 - \frac{3\bar{\chi}t^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})(-\kappa t)^n}{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}(1-u) du \right].$$

Calculating the internal integral, we arrive at the formula

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = 2\bar{G} \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2 q^2}{6}(1-t)\right) \times \left[1 - \frac{3\bar{\chi}t^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})(-\kappa t)^n}{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n+\frac{7}{2})} \right] t dt. \quad (95)$$

To determine the coefficient \bar{G} , we set $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$ in Eq. (95), substitute the obtained expression into Eq. (20), perform integration, and obtain

$$\bar{G} = \left[1 - \frac{3\bar{\chi}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})(-\kappa)^n}{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n+\frac{9}{2})} \right]^{-1}. \quad (96)$$

It follows from Eqs. (23) and (95) that

$$R_g^2 = \frac{b^2 \bar{G}}{6} \left[1 - \frac{9\bar{\chi}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})(-\kappa)^n}{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n+\frac{11}{2})} \right]. \quad (97)$$

where the integrals are calculated term by term. Combining Eqs. (95) and (96) and neglecting terms beyond the first order of smallness with respect to $\bar{\chi}$, we find that

$$R_g^2 = \frac{b^2}{6} \left[1 + \frac{3\bar{\chi}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})(n+\frac{3}{2})(-\kappa)^n}{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n+\frac{11}{2})} \right]. \quad (98)$$

Bearing in mind that

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})(n+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(n+\frac{11}{2})} = \frac{\Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2}) + \Gamma(n+\frac{1}{2})}{(n+1)\Gamma(n+\frac{11}{2})n!}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 E_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}(-\kappa x) dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\gamma)}{(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha n + \beta)} \frac{(-\kappa)^n}{n!},$$

we present Eq. (97) in the form

$$R_g^2 = \frac{b^2}{6} \left\{ 1 + 3\bar{\chi} \int_0^1 \left[E_{1,\frac{11}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(-\kappa x) + \frac{1}{2} E_{1,\frac{11}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}(-\kappa x) \right] dx \right\}. \quad (99)$$

Equation (99) provides an explicit expression for the radius of gyration of a self-repellent chain in the limit of small strengths of segment interactions ($\bar{\chi} \ll 1$) for an arbitrary dimensionless parameter κ .

B. Asymptotic expression for the scattering function

Our aim now is to derive a formula for the scattering function at strong self-repellent interactions with $v \rightarrow \infty$ under the assumption that $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ and the product $v\kappa$ in Eq. (91) remains finite,

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty, \kappa \rightarrow 0} \frac{3v\kappa}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{6b_0}{L}} = 2\chi > 0. \quad (100)$$

The coefficient “2” is introduced in Eq. (100) for convenience of further transformations.

Replacing the exponential function in Eq. (93) by unity and calculating the integrals in terms of the gamma-function, we obtain

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{4\bar{G}}{\pi} \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2 q^2}{6}(1-t)\right) t dt \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n+1)\Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2})(-2\chi t^{\frac{3}{2}})^n}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}n+2)},$$

where we included the first term in the square brackets into the infinite sum. Applying the Legendre duplication formula, we find from this relation that

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{4\bar{G}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{b^2 q^2}{6}(1-t)\right) t dt \times \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2})(n+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}n + 2)} (-\chi t^{\frac{3}{2}})^n \right]. \quad (101)$$

Comparison of Eqs. (72) and (101) implies that in the limit (100), the scattering function for a self-repellent chain in a three-dimensional space coincides (after the natural replacement $\frac{1}{6}q^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}q^2$) with that for a self-avoiding chain in an one-dimensional space. Based on this similarity and using Eq. (75), we arrive at the closed-form expression for the scattering function

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{6}b^2 q^2(1-t)\right) t dt \times \frac{\int_0^{\infty} E_{\frac{3}{2},2}^{\frac{3}{2}}(-\chi t^{\frac{3}{2}} y) \exp(-y) y dy}{\int_0^{\infty} E_{\frac{3}{2},2}^{\frac{3}{2}}(-\chi y) \exp(-y) y dy} \quad (102)$$

that extends the Debye formula for a standard Gaussian chain to self-avoiding chains. It follows from Eqs. (23) and (102) that the radius of gyration of a self-repellent chain in a three-dimensional space is given by Eq. (78), and its dependence on strength of self-avoiding interactions is presented in Figure 1.




FIG. 2: The Guinier plots of the scattering function S for a standard Gaussian chain ($\chi = 0.0$) and for self-repellent chains with various strengths of excluded-volume interactions.




FIG. 3: The scattering intensity I versus the amplitude of scattering vector q . Symbols: experimental data on a PDMS blend [48] at volume fractions of H-PDMS 0.06 (unfilled circles), 0.26 (filled circles), and 0.52 (asterisks). Solid lines: results of numerical simulation.




FIG. 4: The scattering intensity I versus the amplitude of scattering vector q . Large symbols: experimental data on solutions of PS/PBLG [49] in dioxane (unfilled circles) and dioxane/TFA mixture (filled circles). Solid lines: results of numerical simulation. Small circles: approximation by the Debye function with $b = 137.2$ nm.

VIII. RESULTS OF NUMERICAL SIMULATION

To perform numerical simulation, we return to presentation (101) of the scattering function and write

$$S(\mathbf{q}) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (-\chi)^n \right)^{-1} \int_0^1 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{6}b^2 q^2(1-t)\right) \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (-\chi t^{\frac{3}{2}})^n t dt, \quad (103)$$




FIG. 5: The scattering intensity I versus the amplitude of scattering vector q . Symbols: experimental data on a PDME/PEMS blend at the temperatures $T = 100, 200$ and 250 °C, from top to bottom, respectively [50]. Solid lines: results of numerical simulation with $\chi = 0.84$.




FIG. 6: The scattering intensity I versus the amplitude of scattering vector q . Symbols: experimental data on a PDME/PEMS blend at the temperatures $T = 150, 200$ and 300 °C, from top to bottom, respectively [50]. Solid lines: results of numerical simulation with $\chi = 0.67$.

where

$$a_n = \frac{(n+1)\Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}n+2)}, \quad c_n = \frac{2a_n}{3n+4}.$$

We sum numerically series (103) for several values of χ and present the dependence $S(q)$ in Figure 2 (the Guinier plot). Given an amplitude of the scattering vector q , an increase in strength of self-repellent interactions results in a pronounced decrease in the scattering intensity. With the growth of χ , the graph of the scattering function flattens at relatively small values of q and it becomes more close to the graph of the Guinier function. The latter




FIG. 7: The mean-square-root end-to-end distance for an equivalent Gaussian chain b versus temperature T . Symbols: treatment of observations on PDMS/PEMS blends. Solid lines: approximation of the experimental data by Eq. (104).

function is believed to adequately describe the scattering intensity of chains with strong excluded-volume interactions [47].

We proceed with matching observations [small angle neutron scattering (SANS)] on poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) blend 5HC/11DC with various volume fractions of hydrogenated PDMS (H-PDMS) reported in [48]. A description of the experimental procedure is given in [48]. Assuming the scattering intensity $I(q)$ to be proportional to the scattering function $S(q)$, $I = KS$, where K is an optical constant [32], we approximate the experimental data depicted in Figure 3 by using three adjustable parameters: b , χ and K . The best-fit quantities b and χ are determined by the steepest-descent method, and K is found by the least-squares algorithm. Figure 3 demonstrates an excellent quality of matching the observations with χ varying in the interval between 2.3 and 4.3.

To compare the accuracy of fitting experimental data by Eq. (103) and by the Debye function (46), we approximate observations (SANS) on poly(styrene- d_8)- b -poly(-benzyl L-glutamate) (PS/PBLG) reported in [49]. The scattering intensity I is plotted versus the amplitude of scattering vector q in Figure 4 for solutions of PS/PBLG in dioxane and mixture of dioxane and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) with 20 wt.-% of TFA. For a detailed description of the experimental procedure, see [49], where it is demonstrated that the presence of TFA in the solution results in transition from the rod-coil architecture of the diblock polymer to coil-coil topology of macromolecules. Figure 4 shows that the best-fit parameter χ vanishes for the coil-coil system, and Eq. (103) is reduced to the Debye function. For the rod-coil architecture of the diblock polymer, χ equals 3.1 (approximately), which means that the effect of excluded-volume interactions between rods is substantial. The Debye fit of the observations on solution of PS/PBLG in dioxane is rather poor compared

with the approximation by Eq. (103), and the best-fit value of b found when self-avoiding interactions are disregarded appears to be too large.

To study the effect of temperature T and mass-average molecular weight M_w on the material constants in Eq. (103), we approximate observations (SANS) on solutions of symmetric blends of poly(dimethylsiloxane) and poly(ethylmethylsiloxane) (PEMS) in toluene. A detailed description of polymers and the experimental procedure is given in [50]. The experimental data and the results of numerical simulation are presented in Figures 5 and 6 for two blends at temperatures ranged from 100 to 300 °C. To reduce the number of adjustable parameters, we find χ for each blend by matching observations at the lowest temperature and use this quantity without changes to approximate the scattering intensity measured at other temperatures (this means that b is the only adjustable parameter in the fitting procedure). Figures 5 and 6 demonstrate good agreement between the observations and the results of numerical analysis (in contrast with the model used in the original work [50] that contains the same number of adjustable constants, but shows rather poor quality of matching the experimental data). The parameter χ equals 0.84 for the blend with low molecular weight and 0.67 for that with high M_w .

The mean-square-root end-to-end distance for an equivalent Gaussian chain b determined by fitting these data is depicted in Figure 7 together with its approximation by the Arrhenius-type dependence

$$\ln b = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T^{-1}, \quad (104)$$

where the coefficients β_0 and β_1 are found by the least-square technique. Formula (104) is employed as a phenomenological relation that describes the effect of temperature on the segment length $b_0 = b^2/L$. Figure 7 reveals that (i) the number of statistical segments increases with molecular weight, and (ii) given M_w , the

Kuhn length decreases with temperature (the growth of T is tantamount to an increase in the intensity of thermal fluctuations, which, in turn, implies that the characteristic length of correlations between monomers diminishes).

IX. CONCLUSIONS

Introducing regularizing function ρ in the form (5), we have derived explicit expressions for the scattering function $S(\mathbf{q})$ of a self-avoiding chain in a d -dimensional space. Analytical relations are developed for the form factor and the radius of gyration of a self-repellent chain in the one-dimensional and three-dimensional cases. Results of numerical simulation demonstrate that the conventional linear approximation for the radius of gyration (weak excluded-volume interactions between segments) ensures an acceptable agreement with the exact solution when the dimensionless strength of interactions is less than unity. Fitting of experimental data (small-angle neutron scattering) on several polymer solutions reveals that the values of the dimensionless strength of self-repellent interactions exceeds the ultimate value at which this approximation is reliable.

A novel approximation for the scattering function is proposed (high strength of segment interactions $v \rightarrow \infty$ and large characteristic length of internal inhomogeneity $L_0/L \rightarrow \infty$). In the limit (100), the scattering function for a self-repellent chain in a three-dimensional space is similar to that for a self-avoiding chain in an one-dimensional space. Equation (102) deduced in this approximation provides a simple generalization of the Debye formula (46) for the form factor of a standard Gaussian chain. Comparison of numerical results with observations reveals that Eq. (103) adequately matches experimental data, and its material parameters are affected by external conditions in a physically plausible way.

-
- [1] P. J. Flory, *J. Chem. Phys.* **17**, 303 (1949).
 - [2] F. Bueche, *J. Chem. Phys.* **21**, 205 (1953).
 - [3] M. Fixman, *J. Chem. Phys.* **23**, 1656 (1955).
 - [4] P. G. de Gennes, *Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics*. (Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca, NY, 1979).
 - [5] M. Doi and S.F. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics*. (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986).
 - [6] J. des Cloizeaux and G. Jannink, *Polymers in Solutions: Their Modelling and Structure*. (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990).
 - [7] H. Yamakawa, *Helical Wormlike Chains in Polymer Solutions*. (Springer, Berlin, 1997).
 - [8] L. Schäfer, *Excluded Volume Effects in Polymer Solutions*. (Springer, Berlin, 1999).
 - [9] S. Dwyer, M. Trott, and Z.Y. Chen, *J. Chem. Phys.* **113**, 10377 (2000).
 - [10] E. Kussell, J. Shimada, and E.I. Shakhnovich, *J. Mol. Biol.* **311**, 183 (2001).
 - [11] C. Pierleoni and J.-P. Ryckaert, *J. Chem. Phys.* **113**, 5545 (2000).
 - [12] C.F. Abrams and K. Kremer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **116**, 3162 (2002).
 - [13] P.-M. Lam, *Biopolymers* **64**, 57 (2002).
 - [14] A.F. Bower and J.H. Weiner, *J. Chem. Phys.* **118**, 11297 (2003).
 - [15] P.P. Chapagain and B.S. Gerstman, *J. Chem. Phys.* **120**, 2475 (2004).
 - [16] C.Y. Kong and M. Muthukumar, *J. Chem. Phys.* **120**, 3460 (2004).
 - [17] P.-M. Lam, J.C.S. Levy, and H. Huang, *Biopolymers* **73**, 293 (2004).
 - [18] K.D. Knudsen, J. Garcia de la Torre, and A. Elgsaeter, *Polymer* **37**, 1317 (1996).
 - [19] A.J. Hyde, J.H. Ryan, F.T. Wall, and T.F. Schatzki, *J. Polym. Sci.* **33**, 129 (1958).
 - [20] P. Sharp and V.A. Bloomfield, *Biopolymers* **6**, 1201

- (1968).
- [21] L.A. Molina, A. Rey, and J.J. Freire, *Comput. Theor. Polym. Sci.* **7**, 243 (1997).
- [22] K. Akashi, Y. Nakamura, and T. Norisuye, *Polymer* **39**, 5209 (1998).
- [23] D. Pötschke, P. Hickl, M. Ballauff, P.-O. Astrand, and J.S. Pedersen, *Macromol. Theory Simul.* **9**, 345 (2000).
- [24] J.J. Freire, G. Alvarez, and M. Bishop, *Macromol. Theory Simul.* **11**, 11 (2002).
- [25] D.P. Goldenberg, *J. Mol. Biol.* **326**, 1615 (2003).
- [26] J.S. Pedersen and P. Schurtenberger, *J. Polym. Sci.: Polym. Phys.* **42**, 3081 (2004).
- [27] N. Madras and G. Slade, *The Self-Avoiding Walk*. (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993).
- [28] G.F. Lawler, *Intersections of Random Walks*. (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996).
- [29] D.S. McKenzie and M.A. Moore, *J. Phys. A* **4**, L82 (1971).
- [30] J. des Cloizeaux, *Phys. Rev. A* **10**, 1665 (1974).
- [31] S.F. Edwards, *Proc. Phys. Soc. (London)* **85**, 613 (1965).
- [32] J.S. Higgins and H.C. Benoit, *Polymers and Neutron Scattering*. (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1994).
- [33] H. Kleinert, *Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, and Polymer Physics*. (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995).
- [34] P.J. Flory and S. Fisk, *J. Chem. Phys.* **44**, 2243 (1966).
- [35] H. Yamakawa and G. Tanaka, *J. Chem. Phys.* **47**, 3991 (1967).
- [36] M. Muthukumar and B.G. Nickel, *J. Chem. Phys.* **80**, 5839 (1984).
- [37] T. Ohta, Y. Oono, *Phys. Lett. A* **89**, 460 (1982).
- [38] A.R. Altenberger, J.I. Siepmann, and J.S. Dahler, *Physica A* **289**, 107 (2001).
- [39] L. Schäfer and K. Elsner, *Eur. Phys. J. E* **13**, 225 (2004).
- [40] I. Karatzas and S.E. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. (Springer, Berlin, 1991).
- [41] M. Yor, *Sém de Prob. XIX, 1983/84*. Lecture Notes in Math. **1123**, 350. (Springer, Berlin, 1985).
- [42] J. Rosen, *Ann. Probab.* **14**, 1425 (1986).
- [43] E.B. Dynkin, *Ann. Probab.* **16**, 1 (1988).
- [44] M. De Faria, C. Drumond, and L. Streit, *IDAQP* **3**, 223 (2000).
- [45] B. Shawer and B. Watson, *Borel's Methods of Summability. Theory and Applications*. (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994).
- [46] A. Erdelyi (Ed.) *Higher Transcendental Functions*. Vol. 3. (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).
- [47] W.-Y. Choy, F.A.A. Mulder, K.A. Crowhurst, D.R. Muhandiram, I.S. Millett, S. Doniach, J.D. Forman-Kay, and L.E. Kay, *J. Mol. Biol.* **316**, 101 (2002).
- [48] V. Arrighi, S. Gagliardi, A.C. Dagger, J.A. Semlyen, J.S. Higgins, and M.J. Shenton, *Macromolecules* **37**, 8057 (2004).
- [49] J.S. Crespo, S. Lecommandoux, R. Borsali, H.-A. Klok, and V. Soldi, *Macromolecules* **36**, 1253 (2003).
- [50] S.I. Yun, Y.B. Melnichenko, and G.D. Wignall, *Polymer* **45**, 7969 (2004).