

# Comment on “Vortices with Fractional Flux in Two-Gap Superconductors and Extended Faddeev Model”, “Phase Diagram of Planar $U(1) \times U(1)$ Superconductor” and “Flux Noise in $\text{MgB}_2$ Thin Films”

D. A. Gorokhov

Laboratory of Atomic and Solid State Physics,  
Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-2501, USA  
September 21, 2003

I show that recent theoretical [1, 2] and experimental [3] claims about the possibility of the Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless (BKT) transition of “fractional vortices” in thin films of  $\text{MgB}_2$  are inconsistent with the parameters of the electron-phonon interaction in  $\text{MgB}_2$ .

**1. Theory.** In Ref. [1] Babaev investigates vortices in two-gap superconductors (TGS). He finds flux lines carrying a fraction of the flux quantum  $\Phi_0$  and suggests an experiment for the observation of the BKT-transition of such topological excitations in thin films of TGS. It is claimed that “the results could be relevant for the newly discovered two-gap superconductor  $\text{MgB}_2$ ”. I show that, *i*) in [1] the free energy is treated improperly and the vortex solutions obtained are invalid for  $\text{MgB}_2$ ; *ii*) the careful analysis excludes the possibility to observe these vortices in the suggested in [1] experiment on  $\text{MgB}_2$ .

The free energy density  $F$  for a thin film made of a TGS with a nonzero Josephson coupling (JC)  $g$  (for the microscopic Hamiltonian, see Eq. (1) of [5]) can be written in the form  $F = F_1 + F_2 + (g/2)(\Delta_1\Delta_2^* + \Delta_1^*\Delta_2)$ , where  $\Delta_i = |\Delta_i|e^{i\phi_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are the superconducting order parameters of the two condensates and

$$F_i = -\frac{\alpha_i}{2}|\Delta_i|^2 + \frac{\beta_i}{4}|\Delta_i|^4 + \frac{\gamma_i^{kl}}{2}\Pi_k\Delta_i\Pi_l^*\Delta_i^*, \quad (1)$$

with  $\Pi = \nabla + 2\pi i\mathbf{A}/\Phi_0$  and  $k, l = x, y, z$ . Remarkably, the above free energy  $F$  describes equally well a system of two superconducting layers situated close to each other. The topological excitations in layered superconductors such as Bi- and Tl-based high- $T_c$  superconductors consist of pancake vortices [4] describing the singular phase fluctuations in each layer. In a zero magnetic field and for  $g = 0$ , pancake vortices in the same layer interact logarithmically at all distances  $R$  exceeding the core size and exhibit a BKT-like phase transition [4]. Also, individual pancake vortices carry a fraction of the magnetic flux quantum [4]. Hence, in a thin film of a two-gap superconductor, the elementary topological excitations found in Ref. [1] are equivalent to pancake vortices in a two-layer system. E.g., the vortex “ $\Delta\phi_1 = 2\pi, \Delta\phi_2 = 0$ ” defined in Ref. [1] corresponds to one pancake in the first layer (condensate) and to no pancakes in the second one.

For  $g \neq 0$  there is a new lengthscale  $\Lambda$  such that for  $R > \Lambda$  vortex-antivortex pairs are attracted with a potential *linear* in  $R$  and thus exhibit confinement, i.e., the BKT-transition is quenched. However, if  $\Lambda$  is large, a BKT-like crossover smeared on the scale  $\Lambda$  can be observed [6].

*i*) It is claimed in [1] that if  $L < \Lambda$  ( $L$  is the sample size and  $\Lambda$  is defined as “inverse mass of the field  $n_1$ ” in Ref. [1]), JC can be neglected. Solutions for fractional vortices have been obtained on all lengthscales (even exceeding  $\lambda$ , the magnetic field penetration length). In fact, the lengths  $\Lambda$ ,  $\xi_i$ ’s and  $\lambda$  are characteristic for every superconductor and cannot be chosen arbitrarily. For  $\text{MgB}_2$  the condition  $\Lambda < \xi_1(T), \xi_2(T)$  holds ( $\xi_i$ ’s are the coherence lengths of the condensates), i.e. even a single vortex cannot fit inside a superconductor of size  $L < \Lambda$  contrary to what is described in [1].

*ii*) Let us then estimate the parameters  $\Lambda$  and  $\xi_i$  for the TGS and show that for  $\text{MgB}_2$  the length  $\Lambda$  is not large enough to neglect JC and observe the BKT-transition. Let the film be parallel to the  $xy$ -plane. We assume  $\gamma_i^{xx} = \gamma_i^{yy} \equiv \gamma_i$  and  $\gamma_i^{kl} \propto \delta_{kl}$ . These assumptions are valid for  $\text{MgB}_2$ . The indices  $i = 1, 2$  correspond to the  $\sigma$ - and  $\pi$ -bands respectively. Neglecting spacial fluctuations of  $|\Delta_i|$  (thin film) and, varying the free energy  $F$  with respect to  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ , we obtain the equation  $\Lambda^2\Delta\phi = -\sin\phi$ , with  $\Delta = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$ ,  $\phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ , and

$$\Lambda^2 = \frac{2}{g} \frac{1}{|\Delta_1||\Delta_2|} \frac{\gamma_1|\Delta_1|^2\gamma_2|\Delta_2|^2}{\gamma_1|\Delta_1|^2 + \gamma_2|\Delta_2|^2}. \quad (2)$$

Solving this equation for a vortex-antivortex pair and substituting the solution back into  $F$  one can find that for  $R > \Lambda$  the interaction is linear in  $R$ . The coupling  $g$  is determined through  $g = \lambda_{12}N_1/(\lambda_{11}\lambda_{22} - \lambda_{12}\lambda_{21})$ , with  $\lambda_{11} \approx 0.81$ ,  $\lambda_{22} \approx 0.285$ ,  $\lambda_{12} \approx 0.119$ ,  $\lambda_{21} \approx 0.09$ ,  $N_2/N_1 \approx 1.3$ , see [7, 8]. The in-plane coherence lengths  $\xi_i(T)$  can be estimated in the dirty limit as  $\xi_i^2(T) \simeq \gamma_i/\beta_i\Delta_i^2$ , with  $\beta_i = 7\zeta(3)N_i/8\pi^2T_c^2$  [9] and  $N_i$  the density of states. Near critical temperature  $T_c$ ,  $\Delta_1/\Delta_2 \approx 6$  [7]. Using  $a_1a_2/(a_1 + a_2) < \min\{a_1, a_2\}$ , with  $a_i = \gamma_i|\Delta_i|^2$  and substituting the above values for the parameters into (2) we find that  $\Lambda < 1.55\xi_i(T_{\text{BKT}})[\Delta_i(T_{\text{BKT}})/T_c]$ . Since  $T_c - T_{\text{BKT}} \ll T_c$ , then  $\Delta_i(T_{\text{BKT}}) \ll T_c$  and the lengthscale  $\Lambda$  is not large enough. The same conclusion appears for the pure limit [10, 11].

In conclusion, the BKT-physics described in [1] is well-established for layered superconductors [4, 6] but is not applicable to the TGS  $\text{MgB}_2$ . The results of paragraph

*ii* above also apply to Ref. [2] where the BKT-transition of “fractional vortices” is discussed and it is claimed that “it is very likely that in  $\text{MgB}_2$  the JC is small”. In fact, the JC strength  $g$  should be smaller at least by a factor of  $10^3$  in order to observe the BKT-like crossover in  $\text{MgB}_2$ .

**2. Experiment.** In a recent paper [3] Festin *et al.* investigate flux noise in  $\text{MgB}_2$  films. They observe sharp BKT-transitions and interpret the results “in terms of vortices carrying an arbitrary fraction of a flux quantum” discussed in Refs. [1, 2]. Festin *et al.* claim that their result give “support for the presence of fractional vortices and because of this the existence of a BKT transition in comparably thick  $\text{MgB}_2$  films”. The authors exclude the possibility of the BKT-transition of conventional vortices carrying the flux  $\Phi_0$ . I show that: *i*) The BKT-transition of “fractional” (pancake) vortices cannot be observed in  $\text{MgB}_2$  films; *ii*) The possibility of the BKT-transition of ordinary vortices cannot be excluded from the experimental data presented in [3].

*i*) This statement follows from the theoretical analysis presented above, see Section 1.

*ii*) It is claimed in [3] that “for ordinary Abrikosov vortices, the BKT transition would not be observed experimentally since there is an exponential cut-off in the logarithmic interaction for vortex separations being larger than the effective penetration depth”. This statement is incorrect. In fact, in this situation one can observe a sharp BKT-like crossover if  $\lambda^2(T)/d \gg \xi(T)$ , with  $d$  the film thickness and  $\xi(T)$  the core size of the vortex carrying the flux  $\Phi_0$ , as vortices have enough room to explore the logarithmic interaction. In the whole temperature range (except for temperatures  $T$  very close to  $T_{\text{BKT}}$ ) a superconducting sample exhibits critical behavior indistinguishable from the BKT-transition. Only

when the BKT-correlation length  $\xi_{\text{BKT}}(T)$  becomes of the order of  $\lambda^2(T)/d$ , the transition becomes smeared. For the samples used in the experiment [3] the condition  $\lambda^2(T_{\text{BKT}})/d \gg \xi(T_{\text{BKT}})$  is clearly satisfied since  $\lambda(T_{\text{BKT}}) \gg \xi(T_{\text{BKT}})$  and  $\lambda(T_{\text{BKT}}) \gg d$ . This indicates that the possibility of the BKT-transition (sharp crossover) of conventional vortices cannot be excluded.

In conclusion, the experimental results [3] cannot be interpreted in terms of the BKT-transition of “fractional” (pancake) vortices and the possibility of the BKT-transition of conventional vortices carrying the flux  $\Phi_0$  cannot be excluded based on the data presented in [3].

The present work was supported by the Packard foundation.

- 
- [1] E. Babaev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 067001 (2002).
  - [2] E. Babaev, cond-mat/0201547 version 4.
  - [3] Ö. Festin, P. Svedlindh, W. N. Kang, E. M. Choi, and S.-I. Lee, cond-mat/0303337.
  - [4] J. R. Clem, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 7837 (1991).
  - [5] H. Suhl, B. T. Matthias, and L. R. Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **3**, 552 (1959).
  - [6] S. N. Artemenko, I. G. Gorlova, and Y. I. Latyshev, JETP Lett. **49**, 654 (1989).
  - [7] A. Gurevich, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 184515 (2003).
  - [8] A. Y. Liu, I. I. Mazin, and J. Kortus, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 087005 (2001).
  - [9] L. P. Gor’kov, Sov. Phys. JETP **37**, 998 (1960).
  - [10] B. T. Geilikman, R. O. Zaitsev, and V. Z. Kresin, Sov. Phys. Sol. State **9**, 642 (1967).
  - [11] M. E. Zhitomirsky and V.-H. Dao, cond-mat/0309372.