100 Years of Brownian motion

Peter Hänggi

Institut für Physik, Universität Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germany

Fabio Marchesoni

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Camerino, I-62032 Camerino, Italy

(Dated: October 25, 2018)

I. INTRODUCTION

In the year 1905 Albert Einstein published four papers that raised him to a giant in the history of science of all times. These works encompass the photon hypothesis (for which he obtained the Nobel prize in 1921), his first two papers on (special) relativity theory and, of course, his first paper on Brownian motion, entitled "Uber die von der molekularkinetischen Theorie der Wärme geforderte Bewegung von in ruhenden Flüssigkeiten suspendierten Teilchen" (submitted on May 11, $(1905)^1$. Thanks to Einstein intuition, the phenomenon observed by the Scottish botanist Rober Brown in 1827^2 – a little more than a naturalist's curiosity – becomes the keystone of a fully probabilistic formulation of statistical mechanics and a well-established subject of physical investigation which we celebrate in this Focus issue entitled – for this reason – : "100 Years of Brownian Motion".

Although written in a dated language, Einstein's first paper on Brownian motion already contains the cornerstones of the modern theory of stochastic processes. The author starts out by using arguments of thermodynamics and the concept of osmotic pressure of suspended particles to evaluate a particle diffusion constant by balancing a diffusion current with a drift current (through Stokes) law). In doing so, he obtains a relation between two transport coefficients: the particle diffusion constant and the fluid viscosity, or friction. This relation, known as the Einstein relation³, was generalized later on in terms of the famous fluctuation-dissipation theorem by Callen and Welton⁴, and with the linear response theory by $Kubo^5$. A much clearer discussion of Einstein's arguments can be found in his thesis work, accepted by the University of Zurich in July 1905, which he submitted for publication on August 19, 1905^6 .

The second part of his 1905 paper contains a heuristic derivation of the (overdamped) diffusion equation, from which he deduces his famous prediction that the root mean square displacement of suspended particles is proportional to the square root of time. Moreover, the trajectories of a Brownian particle can be regarded as *memory-less* and *non-differentiable*⁷, so that its motion is *not* ballistic (a bold statement that troubled mathematicians for half a century!). The latter also explained why earlier attempts to measure the velocity of Brownian particles yielded puzzling results, and consequently were doomed to fail.

A crucial consequence of Einstein's theory is that from a measurement of the diffusion constant - i.e. by measuring *distance* traveled rather than velocity – it would be possible to extract an independent estimate of the atomistic important, and much debated Avogadro-Loschmidt number N. Notably, the earliest determination of this number dates back to 1865 (!) when Johann Josef Loschmidt tried first to measure the size of molecules⁸: his data for mercury were compatible with a "best" value of 4.4×10^{23} molecules per mole. Inspired by Einstein's work, an ingenious "reality check" on the role of fluctuations was performed through a series of experiments by J. Perrin and his students in 1908-1911⁹: Einstein's predictions could be beautifully verified by setting the Avogadro-Loschmidt number in the range $(6.4 \div 6.9) \times 10^{23}$ /[mol]; by 1914 the first three digits of the actual figure of $6.0221415 \times 10^{23}/[\text{mol}]$ with a standard uncertainty of 0.0000010×10^{23} /[mol], were finally $accepted^{10}$.

The publication of Einstein's papers provided further strong evidence for the atomistic hypothesis of matter. The immediate validation of his theory finally vindicated the arguments of the "discontinuists"; the remaining "continuists", such as Wilhelm Ostwald, and in particular Ernst Mach [the latter being famous for his cynical remark to all "discontinuists": "haben wir's denn gesehen?" (die Atome/Moleküle), meaning "have we actually seen it?" (the atoms/molecules)] had thus no choice left but to concede.

We will not belabor any further the history of Brownian motion and the pioneering developments of its theory by Einstein's contemporaries like Marian von Smoluchowski¹¹ (who worked on the molecular kinetic approach to Brownian motion since 1900, but did not publish until 1906), Paul Langevin¹², and Norbert Wiener¹³. Beautiful accounts have been given in the literature by several authors. We mention here in particular the intriguing and most insightful introductory chapter by R.M. Mazo¹⁴, the short histories by M.D. Haw¹⁵ and J.G. Powles¹⁶, or the notes presented by E. Nelson¹⁷. Without any doubt, the problem of Brownian motion has played a central role in the development of both the foundations of thermodynamics and the dynamical interpretation of statistical physics. A theory of Brownian motion based on the molecular-kinetic theory of heat, as that proposed by Einstein in 1905, does provide the link between an elementary underlying microscopic dynamics and macroscopic observable phenomena, such as the ubiquitous fluctuations of extended systems in natural and social science.

The early theories of Brownian motion inspired many prominent developments in various areas of physics, still subject of active research. In the following we briefly mention some of those addressed in the present Focus issue.

Among the first to dwell on the ramifications of the fluctuation-dissipation relation were, as mentioned already, Callen and Welton⁴: These authors generalized the relations by Einstein, and subsequently by Nyquist and Johnson for the voltage fluctuations, to include quantum effects. In their fundamental work, they established a generally valid connection between the response function and the associated equilibrium quantum fluctuations, i.e. the quantum fluctuation-dissipation theorem.

Another key development must be credited to Lars Onsager: Via his regression hypothesis, he linked the relaxation of an observable in the presence of weak external perturbations to the decay of correlations between associated microscopic variables¹⁸. This all culminated in the relations commonly known as the Green-Kubo relations^{5,19,20}. This notion of "Linear Response" which in turn is related to the fluctuation properties of the corresponding variables (response-fluctuation theorems) can as well be extended to arbitrary (dynamical and nondynamical) systems that operate far from equilibrium²¹: The corresponding fluctuation-theorem relations (where the imaginary part of response function generally is no longer related to the mechanism of physical energydissipation²¹) provide most valuable information on the role of non-equilibrium fluctuations.

These classic "fluctuation theorems", which describe the linear response to external perturbations in arbitrary statistical systems far away from thermal equilibrium, should not be confused with the recent beautiful nonequilibrium work relations, often also termed fluctuation theorems. This latter branch of fluctuation research was initiated by Evans et al.²² and then formalized in the chaotic hypothesis of Galavotti and Cohen²². Independently, Jarzynski²³ proposed an interesting equality, being valid for both closed and open classical statistical systems: It relates – a priori surprisingly – the difference of two equilibrium free energies to the expectation of a particularly designed, stylized non-equilibrium work functional.

There is also an ongoing debate on the true origin of ir-

regularity that causes the stochastic, random character of Brownian trajectories. In particular, is a chaotic microscopic dynamics sufficient, or is it more the role played by the extreme high dimensionality of the phase space that, on reduction, causes the jittery motion of the individual Brownian particles? The present Focus issue contains an elucidative contribution by Vulpiani and collaborators²⁴, who address right this and related issues. Answering this basic question becomes even more difficult when we attempt to include quantum mechanics.

The description of Brownian motion for general quantum systems still presents true challenges, see the discussion herein by Hänggi and Ingold²⁵ and Ankerhold *et* $al.^{26}$. For example, little is known for the modelling from first principles of quantum fluctuations in stationary nonequilibrium systems, nor on the connection between the complexity obtained upon phase-space reduction and the microscopic quantum chaos.

The theory of Brownian motion also had a substantial impact on the theory of quantum mechanics itself. The formulation of quantum mechanics as a sum over paths^{27,28} has its roots in the diffusive nature of the trajectories of a Brownian walker in continuous time: The Feynman-Kac propagator is nothing but a Schrödinger equation in imaginary time. In diffusion theory this idea had been utilized as early as in 1953 by Onsager and Machlup²⁹ for Gauss-Markov processes with linear coefficients. Its nontrivial extensions to the case with nonlinear drifts and nonlinear diffusion coefficients³⁰ and to colored noise driven nonlinear dynamics³¹, have been mastered only 15-30 years ago .

The debate on Brownian motion also inspired mathematicians like Cauchy, Khintchine, Lévy, Mandelbrot, and many physicists and engineers to go beyond Einstein's formulation. Non-differentiable Brownian trajectories in modern language are called "fractal" and statistically self similar on all scales. These extensions carry names such as fractal Brownian motion, Lévy noise, Lévy flights, Lévy walks, continuous time random walks, fractal diffusion, etc.,^{32,33}. This topic is presently of wide interest and is being used to describe a variety of complex physical phenomena exhibiting e.g. the anomalous diffusive behaviors reviewed here by Sokolov and Klafter³⁵, or the diffusion limited growth and aggregation mechanisms discussed by Sander and Somfai³⁴.

The quest for a mathematical description of the Brownian trajectories led to a new class of differential equations, namely the so-called stochastic differential equations. Such equations can be regarded as generalizations – pioneered by Paul Langevin – of Newtonian mechanical equations that are driven by independent, stochastic increments obeying either a Gaussian (white Gaussian noise) or a Poisson statistics (white Poisson noise). This yields a formulation of the Fokker-Planck equations (master equations) in terms of a nonlinear Langevin equations generally driven by multiplicative, white Gaussian (Poisson) noise(s). As the aforementioned independent increments correspond to no bounded trajectory variations, the integration of such differential equations must be given a more general meaning: This led to the stochastic integration calculus of either the Ito type, the Stratonovich type, or generalizations thereof^{21,36,37}. In recent years, this method of modelling the statistical mechanics of generally nonlinear systems driven by random forces has been developed further to account for physically more realistic noise sources possessing a finite or even infinite noise-correlation time (colored noise), i.e. noise sources that are non-Markovian³⁸. In this Focus issue Luczka³⁹ provides a timely overview of this recent progress together with newest findings.

A powerful scheme to describe and characterize a statistical nonlinear dynamics from microscopic first principles is given by the methodology of non-Markovian, generalized Langevin equations or its associated generalized master equations. This strategy is by now well developed and understood only for *thermal equilibrium* systems. The projector operator approach^{40,41}, which is used to eliminate the non-relevant (phase space) degrees of freedom, yields a clear-cut method to obtain the formal equations for either the rate of change of the probability or the reduced density operator, i.e. the generalized (quantum) master equation or the nonlinear generalized (quantum) Langevin equation⁴². This latter approach proved very useful to characterize the complex relaxation dynamics in glasses and related systems⁴³.

There exists an abundance of processes in physics, chemistry (chemical kinetics), biology and engineering, where the dynamics involves activated barrier crossings and/or quantum tunnelling-assisted processes through barriers. In all these processes the time-scale for escape events is governed by fluctuations that typically are of Brownian motion origin. The first attempts to characterize escape dynamics date back to the early thirties with contributions by Farkas, Wigner, Eyring, Kramers, to name a few prominent ones. This topic has been extended in the late seventies early eighties to account also for (non-Markovian) memory effects, solvent effects, quantum tunnelling, non-equilibrium fluctuations, correlated noises (i.e. colored noises)³⁸, nonlinear bath degrees of freedom and time-dependent forcing. The interested reader is directed to a comprehensive review⁴⁴ and is further referred to the up-to-date accounts given by Pollak and Talkner⁴⁵ and Hänggi and Ingold²⁵ in this issue.

The combined action of external driving and noise has given rise to new phenomena, where the constructive role of Brownian motion provides a rich scenario of far-from equilibrium effects. The most popular such novel feature is the phenomenon of *Stochastic Resonance*⁴⁶: It refers to the fact that an optimal level of applied or intrinsic noise can dramatically boost the response (or, more generally the transport) to typically weak, time-dependent input signals in nonlinear stochastic systems. This theme naturally plays a crucial role in biology with its variety of threshold-like systems that are subjected to noise influences⁴⁷.

A more recent but increasingly popular example of the

constructive role of fluctuations (intrinsic and external, alike) is the noise-assisted transport in periodic systems, namely the so-called *Brownian Motors*⁴⁸.

Both topics are still very much active: This Focus issue contains both, experimental and theoretical contributions to Stochastic Resonance by Bechinger *et al*⁴⁹, Casado-Pascual *et al*⁵¹, and Gammaitoni *et al*⁵⁰. The theme of noise-assisted transport is multifaceted and very rich; this is corroborated with several appealing contributions by Linke *et al*⁵², Borromeo and Marchesoni⁵³, Savel'ev and Nori⁵⁴ and Eichhorn *et al*⁵⁵.

III. RESUME

This Focus issue on "100 Years of Brownian Motion" is not only timely but also circumstantiates that research in this area is very much alive and still harbors plenty of surprises that only wait to get unravelled by future researchers. The original ideas that Einstein put forward in 1905 are very modern and still find their way to applications in such diverse areas as soft matter $physics^{56}$. including the granular systems investigated here by Brilliantov and Pöschel⁵⁷ and the soliton diffusion in linear defects^{53,58}, solid state physics, chemical physics, computational physics, and beyond. In recent years, ideas and tools developed within the context of the Brownian motion theory are gaining increasing impact in life sciences (the contribution by Zaks *et al.*⁵⁹ provides a timely example) and even in human studies, where econophysics is becoming a lively crossroad of interdisciplinary research, as shown with the study by Bouchaud⁶⁰ in this issue.

We Guest Editors share the confident belief that the contributions in this Focus issue by leading practitioners from a diverse range of backgrounds will together provide a fair and accurate snapshot of the current state of this rich and interdisciplinary research field. Last but not least, we hope that this collection of articles will stimulate readers into pursuing future research of their own.

Acknowledgments

PH gratefully acknowledges financial support by the DAAD-KBN (German-Polish project *Stochastic Complexity*), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft via grant HA 1517/13-4 and the collaborative research grants SFB 486 and SFB 631. PH also likes to thank Hajo Leschke for bringing the work of Sutherland, 1859–1911, on the Einstein relation to his attention.

References

 A. Einstein, "Über die von der molekularkinetischen Theorie der Wärme geforderte Bewegung von in ruhenden Flüssigkeiten suspendierten Teilchen," Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 17, 549–560 (1905).

- [2] R. Brown, "A brief account of microscopical observations made in the months of June, July, and August, 1827, on the particles contained in the pollen of plants; and on the general existence of active molecules in organic and inorganic bodies," Philos. Mag. (N. S.) 4, 161–173 (1928); R. Brown, "Additional remarks on active molecules," Philos. Mag. (N. S.) 6, 161–166 (1929); R. Brown, Edinb. New Philos. J. 5, 358 371 (1828).
- [3] This "Einstein"-relation has been obtained independently and reported earlier (in 1904) by Willian Sutherland [W. Sutherland, "A dynamical theory of diffusion for non-electrolytes and the molecular mass of albumin," Phil. Mag. (6-th Series) 9, 781–785 (1905)]. This work has actually been submitted for publication even somewhat earlier (march 1905) as compared to Einstein's paper (may 11, 1905). See: A. Pais, "Subtle is the Lord.... The Science and the Life of Albert Einstein," (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford and New York, 1982), p. 92. Furthermore, see also on the url: http://www.aapps.org/archive/bulletin/vol14/14_6/14_6_p46p51.html
- [4] H. B. Callen and T. A. Welton, "Irreversibility and generalized noise," Phys. Rev. 83, 34–40 (1951).
- [5] R. Kubo, "Statistical-Mechanical Theory of Irreversible Processes, 1., General Theory and Simple Applications to Magnetic and Conduction Problems," J. Phys. Soc. Japan 12, 570–586 (1957).
- [6] A. Einstein, "Eine neue Bestimmung der Moleküldimensionen," Ann. Physik (Leipzig) 19, 289–306 (1906); Erratum, Ann. Physik (Leipzig) 34, 591–592 (1911).
- [7] A. Einstein, "Elementare Theorie der Brownschen Bewegung," Z. f. Elektrochemie 14, 235–239 (1908).
- [8] A. Bader and L. Parker, "Josef Loschmidt, physicist and chemist," Physics Today 54 (3), 45–50 (2001).
- [9] J. B. Perrin, "Mouvement brownien et réalité moléculaire," Ann. de Chimie et de Physique (VIII) 18, 5–114 (1909); J. Perrin, "Atoms," translated by D. Ll. Hammick (London: Constable), and reprinted by (Ox Bow Press, Woodbridge, 1990), Ch. II-IV.
- [10] S. E. Virgo, "Loschmidt's Number," Science Progress 27, 634-649 (1933); see also the url: http://gemini.tntech.edu/ tfurtsch/scihist/ loschmid.html
- [11] M. von Smoluchowski, "Zur kinetischen Theorie der Brownschen Molekularbewegung und der Suspensionen," Ann. Physik (Leipzig) 21, 756–780 (1906).
- [12] P. Langevin, "Sur la théorie de movement brownien," C.R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) **146**, 530–533 (1908); see also: D. S. Lemons and A. Gythiel, "Paul Langevin's 1908 paper "On the theory of Brownian motion" ["Sur la théorie du mouvement brownien," C. R. Acad. Sci. (Paris) **146**, 530–533 (1908)]," Am. J. Phys. **65**, 1079–1081 (1997).
- [13] N. Wiener, "The mean of a functional of arbitrary elements," Ann. Math. 22, 66–72 (1920); N. Wiener, "The average of an analytic functional and the Brownian movement," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 7, 294–298 (1921); N. Wiener, "Differential Space," J. Mathematical and Physical Sci. 2, 131–174 (1923); N. Wiener, "Collected works," editor P. Masani (M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1976-1981); see also S. D. Chatterji, "The mathematical work of Norbert Wiener," Kybernetes 23, 34–45 (1994).
- [14] R. M. Mazo, "Brownian motion" (Oxford Science Publisher, Oxford, 2002)
- [15] M. D. Haw, "Colloidal suspensions, Brownian motion, molecular reality: a short history," J. Phys.-Condens.

Matter 14, 7769–7779 (2002).

- [16] J. G. Powles, "Brownian motion June 1827," Phys. Educ. 13, 310–312 (1978).
- [17] E. Nelson, "Dynamical Theories of Brownian Motion" (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1967); 2-nd edition available on the web: http://www.math.princeton.edu/ nelson/books/ bmotion.pdf
- [18] L. Onsager, "Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes, 1.," Phys. Rev. **37**, 405–426 (1931); L. Onsager, "Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes, 2.," Phys. Rev. **38**, 2265-2279 (1931).
- [19] M. S. Green, "Markov random processes and the statistical mechanics of time-dependent phenomena," J. Chem. Phys. 20, 1281–1295 (1952); M. S. Green, "Markov random processes and the statistical mechanics of timedependent phenomena, 2., Irreversible processes in fluids," J. Chem. Phys. 22, 398–413 (1954).
- [20] R. Kubo, "Fluctuation-dissipation theorem," Rep. Prog. Phys. 29, 255–284 (1966); R. Kubo, "Brownian motion and nonequilibrium statistical mechanics," Science 233, 330–334 (1986).
- [21] P. Hänggi and H. Thomas, "Stochastic processes: timeevolution, symmetries and linear response," Phys. Rep. 88, 207–319 (1982); P. Hänggi, "Stochastic processes II, response theory and fluctuation theorems," Helv. Phys. Acta 51, 202–219 (1978).
- [22] D. J. Evans, E. G. D. Cohen, and G. P. Morris, "Probability of 2-nd law violations in shearing steady states," Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2401–2404 (1993); G. Gallavotti and E. G. D. Cohen, "Dynamical ensembles in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics," Phys. Rev. Lett, **74**, 2694–2697 (1995); G. Gallavotti and E. G. D. Cohen, "Dynamical ensembles in stationary states," J. Stat. Phys. **80**, 931–970 (1995); D. J. Evans and D. J. Searles, "The fluctuation theorem," Adv. Phys. **51**, 1529–1558 (2002).
- [23] C. Jarzynski, "Nonequibrium Equality for free Energy Differences," Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2690–2694 (1997);
 C. Jarzynski, "Equilibrium free energy differences from eonequilibrium measurements: A master equation approach," Phys. Rev. E **56**, 5018–5035 (1997).
- [24] F. Cecconi, M. Cencini, M. Falconi, and A. Vulpiani, "Brownian motion and diffusion: from stochastic processes to chaos and beyond," Chaos YY, xxx–xxx (2005).
- [25] P. Hänggi and G. l. Ingold, "Fundamental aspects of quantum Brownian motion" Chaos YY, xxx–xxx (2005).
- [26] J. Ankerhold, H. Grabert, and P. Pechukas, "Quantum Brownian motion with large friction," Chaos YY, xxx– xxx (2005).
- [27] R. P. Feynman, "Space-time approach to non-relativistic quantum mechanics," Rev. Mod. Phys. 20, 367–387 (1948); R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
- [28] H. Kleinert, "Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics, Polymer Physics, and Finacial Markets," 3-rd edition (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004).
- [29] L. Onsager and S. Machlup, "Fluctuations and irreversible processes," Phys. Rev. **91**, 1505–1512 (1953); S. Machlup and L. Onsager, "Fluctuations and irreversible processes 2., systems with kinetic energy Phys. Rev. **91**, 1512–1515 (1953).
- [30] H. Haken, "Generalized Onsager-Machlup function and classes of path integral solutions of Fokker-Planck equa-

tion and master equation," Z. Physik B-Condensed Matter **24**, 321–326 (1976); R. Graham, "Path integral formulatrion of general diffusion processes," Z. Physik B-Condensed Matter **26**, 281–290 (1977); F. Langouche, D. Roekaerts, and E. Tirapegui, *Functional Integration and Semiclassical Expansions*, Mathematics and Its Application/Volume 10 (D. Reidel Publ. Co., Dordrecht, Holland, 1982); chapt. VII.

- [31] L. Pesquera, M. A. Rodriguez, and E. Santos, "Pathintegrals for non-Markovian processes," Phys. Lett. A 94, 287–289 (1983); P. Hänggi, "Path-integral solutions for non-Markovian processes," Z. Physik B-Condensed Matter 75, 275–281 (1989); H. S. Wio, P. Collet, M. San Miguel, P. Pesquera, and M. A. Rodriguez, "Pathintegral formulation for stochastic processes driven by colored noise," Phys. Rev. A 40, 7312–7324 (1989); A. J. Mc Kane, H. C. Luckock, and A. J. Bray, "Path Integrals and Non-Markov Processes 1., General Formalism," Phys. Rev. A 41, 644–656 (1990).
- [32] B. Mandelbrot, "The Fractal Geometry of Nature" (Freeman, San Francisco, 1982); G. M. Zaslavsky, "Fractional kinetic equation for Hamiltonian chaos," Physica D 76, 110-122 (1994); J. P. Bouchaud and A. Georges, "Anamalous diffusion in disordered media - Statistical mechanisms, models and physical applications," Phys. Rep. 195, 127–293 (1990; M. Shlesinger, G. M. Zaslavsky, U. Frisch, (eds.), Lévy Flights and Related Topics in Physics (Springer, Berlin 1995); J. Klafter, M. F. Shlesinger, and G. Zumofen, "Beyond Brownian motion," Physics Today 49 (2), 33-39 (1996); R. Metzler and J. Klafter, "The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach," Phys. Rep. 339, 1–77 (2000); G. M. Zaslavsky, "Chaos, fractional kinetics, and anomalous transport," Phys. Rep. **371**. 461–580 (2002).
- [33] J. Feder, Fractals (Springer, Berlin, New York, 1988).
- [34] I. M. Sokolov and J. Klafter, "From diffusion to anomalous diffusion: A century after Einstein's Brownian motion," Chaos XX, xxx-xxx (2005).
- [35] L. M. Sander and E. Somfai, "Random walks, diffusion limited aggregation in a wedge, and average conformal maps," Chaos XX, xxx-xxx (2005).
- [36] H. Risken, The Fokker-Planck quation, Methods of Solution and Applications, 2-nd edition, (Springer, Berlin and New York, 1992).
- [37] W. T. Coffey, Yu. P. Kalmykov, and J. T. Waldron, *The Langevin Equation*, 2-nd edition, (World Scientific, Singapore, 2004).
- [38] P. Hänggi, F Marchesoni, and P Grigolini, "Bistable flow driven by coloured noise: a critical case study," Z. Physik B-Condensed matter 56, 333–339 (1984); P. Hänggi and P. Jung, "Colored noise in dynamical systems," Adv. Chem. Phys. 89, 239–326 (1995).
- [39] J. Luczka, "Non-Markovian stochastic processes: Colored noise," Chaos XX, xxx-xxx (2005).
- [40] R. Zwanzig, "Memory effects in irreversible thermodynamics," Phys. Rev. 124, 983–992 (1961); H. Grabert, Projection operator techniques in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics, Springer Tracts in Mod. Phys. 95, 1–164 (1982); F. Haake, Statistical treatment of open systems by generalized master equations, Springer Tracts in Mod. Phys. 66, 98–168 (1973); H. Spohn, "Kinetic equations from Hamiltonian dynamics: Markovian limits," Rev. Mod. Phys. 52, 569–615 (1980); F. Marchesoni and

P. Grigolini, "On the extension of the Kramers theory of chemical relaxation to the case of non-white noise,"
J. Chem. Phys. 78, 6287–6298 (1983); F. Marchesoni and P. Grigolini, "Basic description of the rules leading to the adiabatic elimination of fast variables," Adv. Chem. Phys. 62, 29–80 (1985); R. Alicki, "General theory and applications to unstable particles," in: *Quantum dynamical semigroups and applications* Lect. Notes Phys. Vol. 286, Chaps. II and III (Springer, Berlin, 1987).

- [41] R. Zwanzig, Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2001).
- [42] H. Mori, "Transport, collective motion and Brownian motion," Progr. Theor. Phys. 33, 423–455 (1965); K. Kawasaki, "Simple derivations of generalized linear and nonlinear Langevin equations," J. Phys. A 6, 1289–1295 (1973); S. Nordholm and R. Zwanzig, "Systematic derivation of exact generalized Brownian-motion theory," J. Stat. Phys. 13, 347–371 (1975); H. Grabert, P. Hänggi, and P. Talkner, "Microdynamics and nonlinear stochastic processes of gross variables," J. Stat. Phys. 22, 537–552 (1980); P. Hänggi, "Generalized Langevin equations: A useful tool for the perplexed modeller of nonequilibrium fluctuations?," Lect. Notes Phys. 484, 15-22 (1997); G. W. Ford, J. T. Lewis, and R. F. O'Connell, "Quantum Langevin equation," Phys. Rev. A 37, 4419–4428 (1988).
- [43] K. Kawasaki, "Kinetic equations and time correlation functions of critical fluctuations," Ann. Phys. (New york) 61, 1-56 (1970); E. Leutheusser, "Dynamical model of the liquid-glass transition," Phys. Rev. A 29, 2765-2773 (1984); K. Binder and A. P. Young, "Spin-Glasses: Experimental facts, theoretical concepts, and open questions," Rev. Mod. Phys. 58 801-976 (1986); C. A. Angell. "Dynamic processes in ionic glasses," Chem. Rev. 90, 523-542 (1990); U. T. Höchli, K. Knorr and A. Loidl, "Orientational glasses," Adv. Phys. 39, 405-615 (1990); W. Götze and L. Sjogren, "Relaxation processes in supercooled liquids," Rep. Prog. Phys. 55, 241-376 (1992); J. P. Bouchaud, L. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan and M. Mezard, "Mode-coupling approximations, glass theory and disordered systems," Physica A 226, 243-273 (1996).
- [44] P. Hänggi, P. Talkner and M. Borkovec, "Reaction-rate theory: Fifty years after Kramers," Rev. Mod. Phys. 62, 251–342 (1990).
- [45] E. Pollak and P. Talkner, "Reaction rate theory what it was, where it is today and where is it going," Chaos XX, xxx-xxx (2005).
- [46] L. Gammaitoni, P. Hänggi, P. Jung and F. Marchesoni, "Stochastic resonance," Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 223–288 (1998).
- [47] P. Hänggi, "Stochastic resonance in biology," ChemPhysChem 3, 285–290 (2002); K. Wiesenfeld and F. Moss, "Stochastic resonace and the benefits of noise – From ice ages to crayfish and squids," Nature 373, 33–36 (1995).
- [48] P. Hänggi, "Brownian rectifiers: how to convert Brownian motion into directed transport," Lect. Notes Physics 476, 294–308 (1996); P. Reimann and P. Hänggi, "Quantum features of Brownian motors and stochastic resonance," Chaos 8, 629–642 (1998); R. D. Astumian and P. Hänggi, "Brownian motors," Phys. Today 55 (11), 33–39 (2002; P. Reimann, "Brownian motors: noisy transport far from equilibrium," Phys. Rep. 361, 57–265 (2002); H. Linke, "Ratchets and Brownian motors: Basics, ex-

periments and applications," (special issue), Appl. Phys. A 75, 167–352 (2002; P. Hänggi, F. Marchesoni, and F. Nori, "Brownian motors," Ann. Physik (Leipzig) **14**, 51–70 (2005).

- [49] D. Babic, C. Schmitt, and C. Bechinger, "Colloids a smodel systems for problems in statistical physics," Chaos YY, xxx–xxx (2005).
- [50] L. Gammaitoni, A. Bulsara and YYYYYY, "XXXXXXXX," Chaos YY, xxx-xxx (2005).
- [51] J. Casado-Pascual, J. Gomez-Ordonez, and M. Morillo, "Stochastic Resonance: theory and numerics," Chaos YY, xxx–xxx (2005).
- [52] H. Linke, M. D. Downton, and M. J. Zuckermann, "Performance characteristics of Brownian motors," Chaos YY, xxx–xxx (2005).
- [53] M. Borromeo and F. Marchesoni, "Asymmetric confinement in a noisy bistable device," Europhys. Lett. 68, 783–789 (2004); M. Borromeo and F. Marchesoni, "Noiseassisted transport on symmetric periodic substrates," Chaos YY, xxx–xxx (2005).
- [54] S. Savel'ev and F. Nori, "Controlling the motion of interacting particles: homogeneous systems and binary mixtures," Chaos YY, xxx-xxx (2005).

- [55] R. Eichhorn, P. Reimann, B. Cleuren, C. van den Broeck, "Moving backward noisily," Chaos YY, xxx–xxx (2005).
- [56] E. Frey and K. Kroy, "Brownian motion: paradigm of soft matter and biological physics," Ann. Physik (Leipzig) 14, 20–50 (2005).
- [57] N. V. Brilliantov and T. Pöschel, "Self-diffusion in granular gases; Green-Kubo versus Chapman-Enskog," Chaos YY, xxx–xxx (2005).
- [58] F. Marchesoni, "Solitons in a random field of force: a Langevin equation approach," Phys. Lett. A 115, 29– 32 (1986); P. Hänggi, F. Marchesoni, and P. Sodano, "Nucleation of thermal sine-Gordon solitons: Effects of many-body interactions," Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2563– 2566 (1988); for an earlier review see: J. P. Hirth and J. Lothe, *Theory of Dislocations* (Wiley, New York, 1982).
- [59] M. Zaks, X. Sailer, L. Schimansky-Geier, and A. Neiman, "Noise-induced complexity: From subthreshold oscillations to spiking in coupled excitable systems," Chaos YY, xxx-xxx (2005).
- [60] J. P. Bouchaud, "The Subtle nature of financial random walks," Chaos **YY**, xxx–xxx (2005).