

# Number statistics of molecules formed from ultra-cold atoms

D. Meiser and P. Meystre

*Optical Sciences Center, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721*

We calculate the number statistics of a single-mode molecular field excited by photoassociation or via a Feshbach resonance from an atomic Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC), a normal atomic Fermi gas and a Fermi system with pair correlations (BCS state). We find that the molecule formation from a BEC is a collective process that leads for short times to a coherent molecular state in the quantum optical sense. Atoms in a normal Fermi gas, on the other hand, are converted into molecules independently of each other and result for short times in a molecular state analogous to that of a classical chaotic light source. The BCS situation is intermediate between the two and goes from producing an incoherent to a coherent molecular field with increasing gap parameter.

PACS numbers: 33.90.+h,03.75.-b,05.45.-a

A remarkable development following the availability of ultracold, quantum-degenerate atomic samples has been the coherent generation of molecular dimers by means of Feshbach resonances [1, 2, 3] or via two-photon Raman transitions [4] from atomic Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC). These trailblazing experiments were soon followed by the generation of molecular dimers from two fermionic atoms [5, 6, 7], in which case the capability to tune the strength and change the sign of the two-body interaction between fermions opens up the possibility to carry out detailed studies of many longstanding questions concerned with the so-called BEC-BCS crossover [8, 9, 10, 11]. The observation of Feshbach resonances between atoms of different species [12, 13] also opens up the possibility of generating quantum-degenerate gases of heteronuclear molecules. These experiments have triggered a number of theoretical studies aimed at a better understanding of the process of coherent molecule formation and the properties of the coupled atom-molecule system [14, 15, 16], but the description of the dynamics of these systems remains incomplete. In particular, the dependence of the quantum statistical properties of the resulting molecular field on those of the initial atoms is largely unknown.

In this letter we apply methods of quantum optics to the coupled atom-molecule system and analyze the number statistics of the molecules formed when starting from an atomic BEC, a normal Fermi gas, and a Fermi system with pairing (BCS type state). We restrict ourselves to zero temperature  $T = 0$  throughout, and further assume that the molecules are formed in a single mode, typically the ground state of a trap. The statistical properties of the molecular field are then determined by numerically integrating the relevant Schrödinger equations, and further analytical insight is provided by time-dependent perturbation theory considerations. We find that the statistics of the molecular field provide a distinct signature of the initial atomic state, thereby offering a powerful diagnostic tool to measure atomic statistical properties such as e.g. the presence of BCS pairing.

Consider first a cloud of weakly interacting bosons well below the condensation temperature  $T_c$ . It is a good approximation to assume that all atoms are in the conden-

sate, described by the condensate wave function  $\chi_0(x)$  with energy eigenvalue  $\mu$ .

Atom pairs can be transformed into trapped molecules by means of photo-association or by means of a Feshbach-resonance. The coupled system of atoms and molecules is described by the effective two-mode Hamiltonian [17, 18]

$$\hat{H}_{\text{BEC}} = \delta \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + g (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{c}^2 + \hat{a} \hat{c}^{\dagger 2}). \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{a}^\dagger$  and  $\hat{c}$ ,  $\hat{c}^\dagger$  are the bosonic annihilation and creation operators for the atoms in the condensate and for the molecules, respectively,  $\delta$  is the detuning between the molecular and atomic level,  $g$  is the effective coupling constant, and we set  $\hbar = 1$  throughout.

Typical experiments start out with all the particles in the condensate and no molecules, corresponding to the initial state

$$|\psi(t=0)\rangle = \frac{\hat{c}^{\dagger N_a}}{\sqrt{N_a!}} |0\rangle. \quad (2)$$

where  $N_a = 2N_{\text{max}}$  is the number of atoms,  $N_{\text{max}}$  is the maximum possible number of molecules and  $|0\rangle$  is the vacuum of both molecules and atoms. For simplicity we only consider the case of even numbers of atoms, but our treatment can easily be extended to odd atom numbers. The Hamiltonian (1) clearly conserves the total number of free and bound atoms,  $2\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c}$ . Therefore, the evolution of the system from the initial state (2) can be described in the basis

$$|\phi_n\rangle = \frac{\hat{a}^{\dagger n} \hat{c}^{2n} |\psi(t=0)\rangle}{\mathcal{N}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N_{\text{max}}, \quad (3)$$

with  $\mathcal{N}$  being a normalization constant. The state  $|\phi_n\rangle$  corresponds to  $n$  molecules and  $2(N_{\text{max}} - n)$  atoms.

Expanding the state of the system on this basis as  $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n y_n |\phi_n\rangle$  we can write the Schrödinger equation as

$$i \frac{dy_n}{dt} = \sqrt{N-n+1} \sqrt{N-n+2} \sqrt{n} y_{n-1} + \sqrt{N-n} \sqrt{N-n-1} \sqrt{n+1} y_{n+1} + \delta n y_n. \quad (4)$$




FIG. 1: Number statistics of molecules formed from a BEC with  $N_{\max} = 30$  and  $\delta = 0$ .

Solving this coupled set of  $N_{\max}$  equations numerically gives then the probability  $P_n(t) = |y_n(t)|^2$  of finding  $n$  molecules in the single-mode molecular field, the “molecule statistics.”

Figure 1 shows  $P_n(t)$  for 30 initial atom pairs and  $\delta = 0$ . Starting in the state with zero molecules, a wave-packet-like structure forms and propagates in the direction of increasing  $n$ . Near  $N_{\max}$  the molecules begin to dissociate back into atom pairs. (Note that our two-mode model neglects “rogue photodissociation,” an approximation appropriate for short enough times so that the condensate is not significantly depleted [17].)

We can gain further insight into the short-time dynamics of molecule formation by using first-order perturbation theory, which gives the mean molecule number

$$n(t) = \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \rangle = (gt)^2 2N_{\max}(2N_{\max} - 1) \quad (5)$$

and the second factorial moment

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2) &\equiv \frac{\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_1) \hat{a}^\dagger(t_2) \hat{a}(t_2) \hat{a}(t_1) \rangle}{\langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_1) \hat{a}(t_1) \rangle \langle \hat{a}^\dagger(t_2) \hat{a}(t_2) \rangle} \\ &= \frac{(2N_{\max} - 2)(2N_{\max} - 3)}{2N_{\max}(2N_{\max} - 1)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{2}{N_{\max}} + \mathcal{O}(N_{\max}^{-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

For  $N_{\max}$  large enough we have  $g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow 1$ , the value characteristic of a Glauber coherent field. From  $g^{(2)}$  and  $n(t)$  we also find the relative width of the molecule number distribution as

$$\frac{\sqrt{\langle (\hat{n} - n)^2 \rangle}}{n} = \sqrt{g^{(2)} + n^{-1} - 1}. \quad (7)$$

It approaches  $n^{-1/2}$  in the limit of large  $N_{\max}$ , typical of a Poisson distribution. This confirms that for short enough times, the molecular field is coherent in the sense of quantum optics.

Let us now turn to the case of photoassociation from two different species of non-interacting ultra-cold

fermions. The two species are denoted by spin up and down. At  $T = 0$ , the atoms fill a Fermi sea up to an energy  $\mu$ . Weak repulsive interactions give rise only to minor quantitative modifications that we ignore in this paper. We refer to this system of non-interacting Fermions as a normal Fermi gas (NFG) [19].

As before we assume that atom pairs are coupled only to a single mode of the molecular field which we assume to have zero momentum for simplicity. Only pairs of atoms with opposite momenta  $+k$  and  $-k$  and opposite spin can then be combined into molecules. Introducing pseudo-spin operators in the subspace of each pair of atoms with opposite momenta and spin as

$$\hat{\sigma}_{(k)}^z = \hat{c}_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{k,\uparrow} + \hat{c}_{-k,\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{-k,\downarrow} - 1, \quad \hat{\sigma}_{(k)}^+ = \hat{\sigma}_{(k)}^{-\dagger} = \hat{c}_{k,\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{-k,\downarrow}^\dagger, \quad (8)$$

where  $\hat{c}_{k,\uparrow\downarrow}$  and  $\hat{c}_{k,\uparrow\downarrow}^\dagger$  are the annihilation and creation operators for a fermion of momentum  $k$  with up and down spin, the Hamiltonian describing the coupled atom-molecule system takes the form [20, 21]

$$\hat{H}_{\text{NFG}} = \sum_k E_k \hat{\sigma}_{(k)}^z + \delta \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + g \left( \hat{a}^\dagger \sum_k \hat{\sigma}_{(k)}^- + H.c. \right), \quad (9)$$

where  $E_k = k^2/2M$  is the kinetic energy of an atom of momentum  $k$  and mass  $M$  and  $\delta$  is the detuning between the molecule energy and the Fermi energy. This Hamiltonian is formally equivalent to the well studied Tavis-Cummings model of quantum optics, which describes the coupling of an ensemble of two-level atoms to a single mode of the electromagnetic field [22].

The atomic kinetic energies  $E_k$ , which in this analogy correspond to the energies of the fictitious two-level atoms, give rise to inhomogeneous broadening. In case this broadening can be neglected the atoms are conveniently described in terms of the eigenstates  $|S, m\rangle$  of  $\hat{S}^2$  and  $\hat{S}^z$ ,

$$\hat{S}^2 |S, m\rangle = S(S+1) |S, m\rangle, \quad \hat{S}^z |S, m\rangle = m |S, m\rangle, \quad (10)$$

where  $\hat{S}^l = \sum_k \hat{\sigma}_{(k)}^l$ ,  $l = x, y, z$ , and we have as usual  $\hat{\sigma}_{(k)}^x = (\hat{\sigma}_{(k)}^+ + \hat{\sigma}_{(k)}^-)/2$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_{(k)}^y = (\hat{\sigma}_{(k)}^+ - \hat{\sigma}_{(k)}^-)/2i$ . In that limit the Hamiltonian (9) commutes with  $\hat{S}^z + \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}$  and, like in the BEC case, the system can be uniquely described by the probability amplitudes  $y_n$  for finding  $n$  molecules. This degenerate model is studied in detail in Ref. [23]. We focus here instead on the situation where the kinetic energy of the atoms cannot be neglected.

Figure 2 shows the molecule statistics obtained by a numerical integration of the Schrödinger equation corresponding to the Hamiltonian (9). The result is clearly both qualitatively and quantitatively very different from the case of molecule formation from an atomic BEC.

From the Tavis-Cummings model analogy we expect that for short times the statistics of the molecular field should be chaotic, or “thermal”, much like those of a single-mode chaotic light field. This is because each individual atom pair “emits” a molecule independently and




FIG. 2: Number statistics of molecules formed from a normal Fermi gas. This simulation is for  $N_a = 20$  atoms, the detuning is  $\delta = 0$  and the Fermi energy is  $\mu = 0.1g$ . The inset shows fits of the number statistics to thermal distributions for various times.

without any phase relation with other pairs. That this is the case is illustrated in the inset of Fig. 2, which fits the molecule statistics at selected short times with chaotic distributions of the form

$$P_{n,\text{thermal}} = \frac{e^{-n/\langle n \rangle}}{\sum_n e^{-n/\langle n \rangle}}. \quad (11)$$

The increasing ‘pseudo-temperature’  $\langle n \rangle$  corresponds to the growing average number of molecules as a function of time.

We can again determine the short-time properties of the molecular field in first-order perturbation theory. For the mean number of molecules we find

$$n(t) = (gt)^2 2N_a. \quad (12)$$

It is proportional to  $N_a$ , in contrast to the BEC result, where  $n$  was proportional to  $N_a^2$ , see Eq. (5). This is another manifestation of the independence of all the atom pairs from each other: While in the BEC case the molecule production is a collective effect with contributions from all possible atom pairs adding constructively, there is no such collective enhancement in the case of Fermions. Each atom can pair up with only one other atom to form a molecule.

For the second factorial moment we find

$$g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2) = 2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2N_a} \right) \quad (13)$$

which is close to two, typical of a chaotic or thermal field.

Unlike repulsive interactions, attractive interactions between fermions have a profound impact on molecule formation. It is known that such interactions give rise to a Cooper instability that leads to pairing and drastically changes the qualitative properties of the atomic system.




FIG. 3: Number statistics of molecules formed from a Fermi gas with pairing correlations. For this simulation the detuning is  $\delta = 0$ , the Fermi energy is  $\mu = 0.1g$  and the background scattering strength is  $V = 0.03g$  resulting in  $N_a \approx 9.4$  atoms and a gap of  $\Delta \approx 0.15g$ .

The BCS reduced Hamiltonian including interactions between the atoms is [24]

$$\hat{H}_{\text{BCS}} = \hat{H}_{\text{NFG}} - V \sum_{k,k'} \hat{\sigma}_k^+ \hat{\sigma}_{k'}^-. \quad (14)$$

The BCS ground state is found by minimizing  $\langle \hat{H}_{\text{BCS}} - \mu \hat{N} \rangle$  using the ansatz

$$|\text{BCS}\rangle = \prod_k (u_k + v_k \hat{\sigma}_k^+)|\rangle, \quad (15)$$

with the result

$$u_k^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{2\xi_k}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4\xi_k^2}} \right), \quad (16)$$

$$v_k^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{2\xi_k}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4\xi_k^2}} \right), \quad (17)$$

where  $\xi_k = (E_k - \mu)$  is the kinetic energy of the atoms measured from the Fermi surface and  $\Delta = V \sum_k u_k v_k$  is the gap parameter. It is determined by numerically solving the gap equation

$$\frac{2}{V} = \sum_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4\xi_k^2}}, \quad (18)$$

which is readily done for the small atom numbers at hand. The dynamics is then obtained by a numerical integration of the Schrödinger equation with  $|\text{BCS}\rangle$  as the initial atomic state and the molecular field in the vacuum state.

Figure 3 shows the resulting molecule statistics for  $V = 0.03g$ , which corresponds to  $\Delta = 0.15g$  for the system at hand. (This large background scattering strength was chosen in order for the gap equation to have a positive solution for the small particle numbers to which we




FIG. 4:  $g^{(2)}(0^+, 0^+)$  as a function of the gap parameter  $\Delta$ .

are limited by computer memory requirements.) Clearly, the molecule production is much more effective than in the case of a normal Fermi gas. The molecules are produced at a higher rate and the maximum number of molecules is larger. The evolution of the number statistics is reminiscent of the BEC case.

The short-time dynamics is again obtained in first-order perturbation theory, which gives now

$$n(t) = (gt)^2 \left( \sum_{k \neq k'} u_k v_k u_{k'} v_{k'} + \sum_k v_k^2 \right) \quad (19)$$

$$\approx (gt)^2 \left[ \left( \frac{\Delta}{V} \right)^2 + N_a \right]. \quad (20)$$

In addition to the term proportional to  $N_a$  representing the incoherent contribution from the individual atom pairs that was already present in the normal Fermi gas, there is now an additional contribution proportional to  $(\Delta/V)^2$ . Since  $(\Delta/V)$  can be interpreted as the number of Cooper pairs in the quantum-degenerate Fermi gas, this term therefore can be understood as resulting from the *coherent* conversion of Cooper pairs into molecules

in a collective fashion similar to the BEC case. The coherent contribution results naturally from the nonlinear coupling of the atomic field to the molecular field. This nonlinear coupling links higher-order correlations of the molecular field to lower-order correlations of the atomic field. For the parameters of Fig. 3  $\Delta/V \approx 6.5$  so that the coherent contribution from the Cooper pairs clearly dominates over the incoherent contribution from the unpaired fermions. Note that no signature of that term can be found in the momentum distribution of the atoms themselves. Their momentum distribution is given by  $\langle \hat{c}_{k,\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{k,\sigma} \rangle = v_k^2$ ,  $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$  and is very similar to that of a normal Fermi gas. The short-time value of  $g^{(2)}(t_1, t_2)$ , shown in Fig. 4, decreases from the value (13) for a normal Fermi gas at  $\Delta = 0$  down to one as  $\Delta$  increases, underlining the transition from incoherent to coherent molecule production.

In summary, we have applied concepts of quantum optical coherence to characterize the coherent generation of a molecular field created from a quantum-degenerate atomic sample. For atoms initially in a BEC the resulting molecular field is to a good approximation coherent. This is in sharp contrast to the case of atoms in a normal Fermi gas, where we have made use of an analogy with the Tavis-Cummings model to show that the statistics of the resulting molecular field is very similar to those of a single-mode chaotic light field. The BCS case interpolates between the two extremes, with an ‘incoherent’ contribution from unpaired atoms superposed to a ‘coherent’ contribution from atomic Cooper pairs. We see, then, that the quantum statistics of the atomic sample has a profound impact on the quantum statistical properties of the resulting molecular field. Conversely, these statistics provide a distinct signature of the initial atomic state and suggest the use of single molecule counting as a diagnostic tool for atomic states.

This work is supported in part by the US Office of Naval Research, by the National Science Foundation, by the US Army Research Office, and by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

- 
- [1] S. Inouye et al., Nature (London) **392**, 151 (1998).
  - [2] E. A. Donley, N. R. Claussen, S. T. Thompson, and C. E. Wieman, Nature (London) **417**, 529 (2002).
  - [3] S. Dürr, T. Volz, A. Marte, and G. Rempe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 020406 (2004).
  - [4] R. Wynar et al., Science **287**, 1016 (2000).
  - [5] M. Greiner, C. A. Regal, and D. S. Jin, Nature (London) **426**, 537 (2003).
  - [6] M. W. Zwierlein et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 250401 (2003).
  - [7] S. Jochim et al., Science **301**, 2101 (2003).
  - [8] E. Timmermans, K. Furuya, P. W. Milonni, and A. K. Kerman, Phys. Lett. A **285**, 288 (2001).
  - [9] C. A. Regal, M. Greiner, and D. S. Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 040403 (2004).
  - [10] M. Bartenstein et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 120401 (2004).
  - [11] M. Zwierlein et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 120403 (2004).
  - [12] C. A. Stan, M. W. Zwierlein, C. H. Schunck, S. M. F. Raupach, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 143001 (2004).
  - [13] S. Inouye et al., cond-mat/0406208.
  - [14] R. A. Duine and H. T. C. Stoof, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 5 p. S212 (2003).
  - [15] M. Holland, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, M. L. Chiofalo, and R. Walser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 120406 (2001).
  - [16] Y. Ohashi and A. Griffin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 130402 (2002).
  - [17] J. Javanainen and M. Mackie, Phys. Rev. A **59**, R3186 (1999).
  - [18] J. R. Anglin and A. Vardi, Phys. Rev. A **64**, 013605

- (2001).
- [19] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevski, *Statistical Physics, Part 2* (Butterworth-Heinemann, 1980), 3rd ed.
- [20] J. Javanainen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 200402 (2004).
- [21] R. A. Barankov and L. S. Levitov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 130403 (2004).
- [22] M. Tavis and F. W. Cummings, Phys. Rev. **170**, 379 (1968).
- [23] T. Miyakawa and P. Meystre, cond-mat/0409689.
- [24] C. Kittel, *Quantum theory of solids* (John Wiley & Sons, 1987), 2nd ed.