A nom alous H eat C onduction in a D i-atom ic O ne-D im ensional Ideal G as

Giulio Casati¹ and Tom az Prosen²

¹ International C enter for the Study of D ynam ical System s, U niversita'degli Studi dell'Insubria, I-22100 C om o, Italy and Istituto N azionale di F isica della M ateria and IN FN, U nita' di M ilano, Italy

²P hysics D epartm ent, Faculty of M athem atics and P hysics, U niversity of L jubljana, Jadranska 19, SI-1000 L jubljana, S bvenia (February 7, 2020)

We provide m convincing evidence that the energy transport in a one-dimensional gas of elastically colliding free particles of unequal masses is anom alous, i.e. the Fourier Law does not hold. Our conclusions are based on the analysis of the dependence of the heat current on the num ber of particles, of the internal tem perature pro le and on the Green-K ubo form alism.

PACS num ber: 05.45.-a

Understanding the dynamical origin for the validity of the Fourier law of heat conduction in deterministic one-dimensional particle chains is one of the oldest and most frustrating problems in non-equilibrium statistical physics [1{3]. Due to some very basic unresolved issues the problem has been a source of many recent publications [4{8].

In the absence of analytical results, these papers are m ainly oriented tow ards a num erical analysis of the problem . How ever, due to the delicate nature of the questions under discussion, num erical results som etim es lead to different conclusions. This is the case, for example, of the 1d hard-point particles with alternating masses for which opposite conclusions have been reached [5,7,8]. This disagreem ent is not extrem ely surprising since this system lies in the foggy region which separates clear, regular integrable systems, from the totally chaotic, determ inistic, motion. Indeed this system has zero Lyapounov exponent and therefore it lacks of the exponential local instability which characterizes chaotic systems. On the other hand it has been shown [9] that such system s can exhibit G aussian di usive behaviour and, m ore recently [10], an example has been shown of a system with zero Lyapounov exponent which how ever obeys the Fourier law. From the point of view of a general theoretical understanding, the fact that the alternating mass problem lies in this critical region renders particularly in portant to establish, beyond any reasonable doubt, its conducting properties. This is what we set up to do in the present paper.

W e consider a one-dimensional gas of interacting particles with coordinates q_n and momenta p_n for which the ham iltonian can be written in the form

$$H = \int_{n=0}^{N_{X}-1} h_{n}; \quad h_{n} = \frac{p_{n}^{2}}{2m_{n}} + V (q_{n+1} - q_{n}); \quad (1)$$

The energy current from site n to site n + 1 is de ned as $j_n = fh_{n+1}$; $h_n g$ and satis es the continuity equation $(d=dt)h_n = fH$; $h_n g = j_n - j_{n-1}$. In particular we focus our attention on the ideal gas model of elastically colliding particles, V (q > 0) = 0; V (g < 0) = 1, with alternating m asses, $m_{2n-1} = m_1 = \frac{1}{r}$, $m_{2n} = m_2 = 1 = \frac{1}{r}$, where the ratio $r = m_1 = m_2$ serves as a model parameter. We have mainly considered the value $r = (\frac{1}{5} - 1) = 2$; how ever all the reported numerical results have been checked also for several other (irrational) values of r where we found no qualitative distinction.

We place our system of N particles between two stochastic maxwellian heat reservoirs at temperatures $T_{\rm L}$ and $T_{\rm R}$ (see [2] for a description of the reservoir model). We chose the temperatures of the reservoirs, $T_{\rm L}$ = 1 and $T_{\rm R}$ = 2, and measure the long-time averaged heat current hJi = $\lim_{t! \ 1} (1=t) \frac{R_t}{r_t} dt^0 J(t^0)$ versus the system size N, where J = (1=N) $\sum_{n=1}^{P_0} \frac{1}{n}$. Here we want to note that strict equivalence between our de nition of the heat current (which simply accounts for the energy transferred during collisions), and the 'free particle' current $j_h = m_n v_n^3 = 2$ used by some authors, e.g. [8] (which does not 'feel' the collisions), is not completely obvious.

In order to ensure that our results are not a ected by nite size e ects we have put particular care in using an e cient num erical schem e which allows to reach high N values. Our algorithm, developed for the st time in Ref. [3], searches in a partially ordered tree (heap) of pre-com puted candidates pairs for the next collision and, due to this, its requires only log₂ N computer operations per collision. As a consequence, we were able to simulate very long chains and we have obtained reliably converged results for lattices with sizes N up to 30000. C onvergence has been controlled by checking the_Rconstancy of the nite-time-averaged heat current (1=t) $\int_{0}^{R_{t}} dt^{0} J(t^{0})$, and to this end simulations for the largest system sizes had to be carried on up to 10¹² pair collisions. It is also clear that convergence problem s suggest to keep far aw ay form the r values too close to one or to zero. The analysis made in [2] indicated that the range 0:15 < r < 0:6 was the most e ective in attenuating solitary pulses and the value r = 0.2 was chosen. In the present paper we take the somehow 'standard' choice r = (5 1)=2.

Validity of Fourier law in plies the scaling $J / rT / N^{1}$. Our numerical results shown in g.1 clearly demonstrate instead a di erent power-law behaviour namely J / N with 0:745 0:005 over a very large range in N. We have also found that the scaling

exponent does not change appreciably with the mass ratio r. For example for ten times smaller value of r the asymptotic scaling only sets in later (i.e. for larger values of N, see g. 1). The possibility of a slow convergence to the asymptotic value might be at the origin of the slightly di erent numerical values for found in previous numerical experiments (0.65 in H atano [5],

0:83 in D har [7]). Since the model under consideration is energy scaling we do not expect any dependence of the exponent on the reservoirs tem peratures.



FIG.1. Time-averaged energy current of a system of N particles between heat baths at temperatures $T_L = 1$ and $T_R = 2$ vg. the size N, at two di erent mass ratios $r = m_1 = m_2 = (5 \ 1) = 2$ and $r = (5 \ 1) = 20$. The suggested scaling hJi / N with = 0:745 is shown for comparison.

The above results therefore solve the existing controversy and clearly show that the alternating mass, 1d hard point gas does not obey Fourier heat law . W e turn now to the analysis of other quantities which, besides providing additional con mation of the above conclusions, illum inate interesting aspects of the heat conduction problem . A quantity of main interest is the internal local tem perature pro le $T_n = hp_n^2 = (2m_n)i$ in the non-equilibrium steady state for the system placed in between the heat reservoirs. First we notice that the tem perature pro le in discrete index variable n is di erent than the tem perature pro le in position variable q_n [7] since the inverse density $dq=dn = hq_{n+1} - q_n i$ is nonuniform in non-equilibrium, in fact it is simply proportional to the tem perature due to constancy of pressure [7]. Now, in case of a Fourier law, the therm al conductivityp_scales with tem perature like / T. Therefore, T (dT=dn)dn=dq = const one obtains the tem perfrom ature pro le $T_n^{kin} = (T_L^{1=2} + (T_R^{1=2} - T_L^{1=2})n=N)^2$. Extensive num erical simulations showed that the tem perature pro le in our m odel converges, for su ciently large N, to a well-de ned scaling function $T_n^{scal} = f(n=N)$ which is slightly, but signi cantly di erent, from the kinetic tem perature pro le T_n^{kin}. This is another evidence for the anom alous heat transport and for the non-validity of the Fourier law in our system. It should be remarked that

the convergence to the tem perature pro le predicted by kinetic theory observed in [7], which has indeed been considered as surprising by the author him self, actually does not take place.

A standard theoretical analysis of transport laws is based on Kubo formulae [11,12]. However, applicabiity of K ubo form ula in m om entum conserving cases, i.e. for translationally invariant systems like model (1), is not very clear. This is particularly critical in view of a recent claim [6] that Kubo form ula diverges for a momentum conserving lattice with non-vanishing pressure. For this latter type of m odels we have an additional difculty in applying the Kubo form alism, namely, as we show below, the result depends not only on the tem perature gradient, but also on other therm odynam ic properties of the initial non-equilibrium state { i.e. the isobaric case (constant pressure pro le) or the isodense case (constant density pro le). There is no a-priori argum ent which favours either of these two options: the choice depends on the speci c physical situation of interest. For instance the steady-state heat current simulation considered above (gs. 1,2) is clearly described by the isobaric state. Since we want to consider both situations we need to revise the derivation of K ubo form ula by following the time evolution of a general non-equilibrium initial state in an isolated system with periodic boundary conditions p_0 . To this end, we prepare the initial $q_0 + N; p_N$ \mathbf{q}_{N} state in a local-equilibrium state described by the inverse tem perature pro le n and by an additional therm odynam ic potential n

$$neq = Z_{neq}^{1} \exp \left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & X \\ nh_{n} & n \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & X \\ nh_{n} & n \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & X \\ (q_{n+1} & q_{n}) \\ n & n \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 2 \end{array} \right)$$

I.



FIG.2. Temperature pro le for $T_L = 1$, $T_R = 2$, and different sizes N from 800 to 12800, as compared to the Fourier law prediction.

This (sm all) additional term is necessary in order to equilibrate the pressure in the isobaric case. Notice that $_n$ is undeterm ined up to an arbitrary additive constant due to a gauge invariance of the second term in (2). In order to determ ine the gradient of the -potential which is necessary to keep the physical pressure constant (n-independent), we compute the local generalized pressure

$${}_{1 \ 1} = \frac{e}{Z \ ea} \ln Z_{1}(a) \, \underline{j}_{a=0}; \qquad (3)$$

$$Z_{1}(a) = e^{\int_{a}^{a} (n \nabla (q_{n+1} q_{n} + a_{1n}) n (q_{n+1} q_{n} + a_{1n}))} dq$$

By a simple trick, a shift of one variable $q_1 ! q_1 + a$ in the integral $Z_1(a)$, we nd $Z_1(a) = Z_{1-1}(a)$ and therefore

$$1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 0$$
 (4)

W riting the physical pressure (force) as $= hV^{0}(q_{n+1} - q_n)i_{neq} = n + n = n$, multiplying with n, and taking the rst difference r f_n = f_n f_{n-1} we obtain the required 'gradient'

$$\mathbf{r}_{n} = \mathbf{r}_{n} : \tag{5}$$

In the follow ing we consider two specic cases: (i) The initial isodense state with $hq_{h+1} = q_h i_{neq} = \text{const.}$ This is obtained by putting gamma -potential to zero $_n = 0$. (ii) The initial isobaric state with uniform pressure prole. This is obtained by specifying the -potential according to eq. (5). We note again that the isobaric state (ii) is the one which is relevant for the steady nonequilibrium state of a system in contact with heat reservoirs. Carefully repeating the rst few steps in the derivation of the G reen-K ubo form ula (follow ing Ref. [12]) we arrive at the very general linear response form ula

hA (t) A (t₀)
$$\mathbf{i}_{neq} = \frac{Z_{t}}{dt^{0}hA}$$
 (t⁰) (r _ n j_n + r _ n v_n) \mathbf{i}_{eq}

where $v_n = q_n$ are the particles' velocities. In the last step we have assumed that we are close to equilibrium (r_n and r_n small) so that the RHS can be evaluated in the corresponding equilibrium state hi_{eq}. Let us now consider the periodic temperature pro le $n = + \sin(2 \text{ km}=N)$, and compute the total heat that has been transported in time t from warm to cold regions of the lattice, namely Q (t) = $\int_{0}^{R_t} dt^0 J_k$ (t) where $J_k = N \int_{n=0}^{1} \cos(2 \text{ km}=N) j_n$. Inserting Q for A and using (5) (case (i) is obtained by form ally setting = 0) we obtain

$$hQ (t)i_{neq} = \frac{2}{N} \int_{0}^{Z} dt^{0}(t t^{0})hJ_{k}(t)(J_{k} + V_{k})i_{eq}; (6)$$

where $V_k := N^{-1} P_{N-1} \cos(2 \text{ kn=N}) v_n$. We see that the growth of the transported heat hQ (t) i_{neq} is given by the generalized correlation function $C_k(t) = C_{JJ}(t) + C_{JV}(t)$, where $C_{JJ}(t) = hJ_k(t)J_k i_{eq}$ and $C_{JV}(t) = hJ_k(t)V_k i_{eq}$. In the isodense case (i) expression (6) reduces to the usual current autocorrelation function only.

In the case of Fourier law, we expect initial linear growth hQ (t) i_{neq} t, while for the ballistic heat transport we expect quadratic growth hQ (t) i_{neq} / t² (this has

been con rm ed num erically for the integrable gas of equal m asses r = 1). However, in a generic system with momentum conservation and non-vanishing pressure 60, like our diatom ic hard-point gas, we nd qualitatively di erent behavior in cases (i) and (ii). For example, due to the result [6], the current-current correlation function C_{JJ} (t) has a plateau / ² and the transport is ballistic in the isodense case, while in the isobaric case the second term { the current-velocity correlation function C_{JV} (t) { exactly compensates for the plateau and yields a m uch slower increase of the transported heat. In this latter case, num erical computations give hQ (t) ineq / t with

1:4, which is however still clearly super-di usive. Fig.3 gives a direct num erical con m ation of K ubo formula (6) in both cases (i) and (ii).



FIG.3. The transported heat Q (t) in an isolated system of size N = 2048 obtained by starting from a non-equilibrium initial state. We show the comparison between an isobaric initial state of constant pressure and an isodense initial state of constant local density both having the same initial tem – perature gradient = 0.2. We also show the corresponding equilibrium averaged K ubo-like expressions (6) which should asym ptotically (as N ! 1) increase like $t^{1:4}$ and t^2 provided the modi ed correlation function behaves like $t^{0:6}$ and t^0 , respectively.

In g.4 we show the generalized correlation function $C_k(t)$ for k = 0 and k = 1 separately. Note that the results for k = 1 exhibits some oscillations for longer times due to nite size e ects, namely due to periodicity of the lattice, while k = 0 gives the more common spatially homogeneous correlation function which has the same long-time behavior with weaker nite size e ects [however, the case k = 0 is not strictly related to K ubo form ula (6)]. We see that the generalized correlation function decays as $C_k(t) / t$ with 0.6 which is consistent with the theoretical prediction = 2 based on form ula (6).

This results can be interpreted in the following way. In the isodense initial state the initial tem perature gradients drive the heat ballistically in terms of sound waves [6]. On the other hand, in the isobaric initial state, we have density gradients which drive the heat in the opposite direction and alm ost exactly compensate for the e ect of tem perature gradients so that the net e ect is a subballistic, but still super-di usive, energy transport. In order to illustrate the mechanism of ballistic energy transport we show in g.5 the spatio-tem poral current-current correlation function $c_{jj}(n;t) = hj_0 j_n(t) i_{eq}$ which exhibits clear ballistic tongues along the lines $n = c_s t$ where $c_s = 1:78$ is the estimated speed of sound at 1= = 1. In conclusion, we have found that for our model, even though the behavior of the transported heat hQ (t) i_{neq} is very di erent in the isobaric with respect to the isodense case, the exponent > 1 in both cases thus in plying a clear super-di usive behavior. This de nitely rules out the validity of Fourier law for this model.



FIG.4. The generalized current/velocity time correlation function (see text) for two system sizes N = 1024 and N = 8192 and for the zeroth k = 0 and the rst k = 1 spatial Fourier mode. Correlation functions are computed with canonical average at temperature 1 = = 1. Note that the asymptotic t ^{0:6} decay becomes evident in a closed periodic system (k = 1) only for very large sizes N (we have periodic oscillations due to transversals of sound waves).

In this paper we have discussed the therm al conducting properties of a one dimensional hard point gas with alternating masses. This problem has a long history and recently several num erical results have appeared which lead to contrasting conclusions on whether Fourier law is obeyed or not. In the latter case, di erent values have been found for the rate of divergence of coe cient of therm al conductivity as a function of the particles num ber. Here we have presented accurate num erical analysis, made possible by a powerful integration scheme, which allows us to establish de nite convincing evidence that the system does not obey the Fourier law and that the heat current J / N with exponent 0:745 obtained for a typical mass ratio $r = (5 \ 1) = 2$. The slow convergence properties which som etim es characterize this problem suggest particular care in the interpretation of num erical ndings.

This work has been partially supported by EU Contract NO. HPRN-CT-1999-00163 (LOCNET network) and by MURST (Prin 2000, Caose boalizzazione in Meccanica Classica e Quantistica. TP acknow ledges nancial support by the M inistry of Science, E ducation and Sport of Slovenia.



FIG.5. The spatio-tem poral current-current correlation function c_{jj} (n;t) at tem perature 1 = 1 on a lattice of size N = 1024 is shown with twenty di erent shades of greyness spaced equidistantly from 10^{-4} to 4.0 in logarithmic scale. The zig-zag solid line indicates the peak ballistic sound-wave contribution m oving with a uniform sound velocity $c_s = 1.78$.

- M. Toda, Phys. Rep. 18, 1 (1975); W. M. V isscher, M eth. C om put. Phys. 15, 371 (1976); R. A. M acD onald and D. H. T sai, Phys. Rep. 46, 1 (1978); E. A. Jackson, Rocky M ountain J. of M ath. 8 127 (1978); G. C asati, J. Ford, F. V ivaldi, and W. H. V isscher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1861 (1984); J. Ford, Phys. Rep. 213, 271 (1992).
- [2] G.Casati, Foundations of Physics 16, 51 (1986).
- [3] T. Prosen and M. Robnik, J. Phys A: M ath. Gen. 25, 3449 (1992).
- [4] S. Lepri, R. Livi, and A. Politi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1896 (1997); S. Lepri, R. Livi, and A. Politi, Physica D 119, 140 (1998); B. Hu, B.-W. Li, and H. Zhao, Phys. Rev. E 57 2992 (1998); D. A lonso, R. Artuso, G. Casati, and I. Guameri, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1859 (1999); C. Giardina, R. Livi, A. Politi and M. Vassalli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2144 (2000); O. V. G endelm an and A. V. Savin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2381 (2000); C. Mejia-Monasterio, H. Laralde and F. Leyvraz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5417 (2001); A. D har, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5882 (2001).
- [5] T.Hatano, Phys. Rev. E 59, R1 (1999).
- [6] T. Prosen and D.K. Campbell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2857 (2000).
- [7] A.Dhar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3554 (2001).
- [8] P L.G arrido, P J.H urtado, and B.N adrowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5486 (2001).
- [9] G. Casati and T. Prosen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4261 (2000); see laso ibid. 83, 4729 (1999).
- [10] Baowen Li, G. Casati, LeiW ang and Bam biHu, in preparation.
- [11] R.Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 12, 570 (1957).
- [12] W .M. Visscher, Phys. Rev. A 10, 2461 (1974).