Rearrangements and Dilatancy for Sheared Dense Materials

Anaël Lemaître $^{(1,2)}$

⁽¹⁾ Department of physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, U.S.A.

⁽²⁾CEA — Service de Physique de l'État Condensé, Centre d'Études de Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

(October 31, 2018)

Constitutive equations are proposed for dense materials, based on the identification of two types of free-volume activated rearrangements associated to shear and compaction. Two situations are studied: the case of an amorphous solid in a stress-strain test, and the case of a lubricant in tribology test. Varying parameters, strain softening, shear thinning, and stick-slip motion can be observed.

83.50.-v,62.20.Fe,81.40.Lm,81.40.Pq

From food to beauty products, from our bone joints to the gouge of tectonic faults, the dynamical properties of dense materials determine important and ubiquitous phenomena that govern our life. The idea emerged recently, that some sort of universality might be at work in structural systems, ranging from glasses to granular materials. [1] In this letter, I hypothesize that universality results from the crucial role played by structural rearrangements. The approach I propose relies on the so-called shear transformation zone (STZ) theory, introduced to account for elasto-plastic transitions in amorphous solids. [2] STZ theory provides a scheme for rearrangement kinetics in a dense material: Macroscopic deformation is seen as result of local free-volume activated rearrangements at a mesoscopic scale. Local states are introduced, related to the local orientations of contact network. Mean-field equations of motion for those local states lead to macroscopic constitutive equations.

STZ theory is originally designed for solids, [2] but it has been shown recently that it could be adapted to the case of granular materials and successfully account for important features of granular flows. [3] It is shown here that complementing STZ theory with free-volume kinetics permits to account for important features of both amorphous solids (strain softening) and dense liquids (stick-slip) within the same framework. In previous works on STZ theory free-volume appears as a parameter, although it is obviously a state variable that varies as the system dilates or contracts. Free-volume kinetics is inspired by recent results on granular materials submitted to vertical tapping: local rearrangements associated to a buckling instability of chain forces have been shown to account for logarithmic relaxation of free-volume. [4] The micro-structural picture proposed for dense materials involves two types of rearrangements, associated respectively to shear motion and to free-volume relaxation. The interplay between those two mesoscopic processes and associated timescales is shown to account for important properties of sheared materials.

To emphasize the analogy between solids and dense liquids, two important experimental tests are considered: the case of a stress-strain test performed on a plastic material [5] and the case of an (overdamped) tribology test where a dense liquid or a granular material is sheared. [6–8] In the former case, the resulting constitutive equations are shown to account for strain softening. In the latter, they account for a transition between steady sliding and stick-slip motion. The picture is completed with the case of constant stress experiments (creep tests).

Let me now introduce a basic mechanism for rearrangement kinetics, along the lines of STZ theory. [2] The present approach remains at a mean-field level. The overall shear deformation is denoted ϵ and decomposed into an elastic and a plastic part: $\epsilon = \epsilon^{el} + \epsilon^{pl}$. The elastic deformation is proportional to the shear stress $\sigma = \mu \epsilon^{el}$; the plastic deformation results from local, irreversible transformations of the contact network. A local rearrangement involves several molecules at a mesoscopic level. A shear transformation zone is defined as a locus within the material where an elementary shear is made possible by the local conformation of neighboring molecules. An essential remark that lies at the root of STZ theory is that once a rearrangement has occurred, some contacts break, some others are formed, and the molecules involved cannot shear further in the same "direction", although they might shear backward. This leads to identifying pairs of types of arrangements, that are transformed into one another by a local, elementary shear. To simplify the theory, one pair of orientations is considered, corresponding to the principal axes of the stress tensor. An elementary transformation can be sketched as follows,

$$\bigotimes \quad \stackrel{R_+}{\underset{R_-}{\longleftarrow}} \quad \bigotimes$$

although an actual rearrangement involves in general more than four grains. Populations (number densities) of arrangements of each type are denoted n_{\pm} , and R_{\pm} denote the rates at which a $\pm \rightarrow \mp$ transformation occurs. The rates R_{\pm} depend on force and free-volume fluctuations and will be defined further. The macroscopic plastic shear is evaluated from the balance between both types of elementary motion:

$$\dot{\epsilon}^{pl} = \mathcal{A}_0 \left(R_+ n_+ - R_- n_- \right) \quad , \tag{1}$$

where some constant \mathcal{A}_0 has been introduced. Equation (1) must be complemented by equations of motion for the populations n_{\pm} . They are written of the form, [2]

$$\dot{n}_{\pm} = R_{\mp} n_{\mp} - R_{\pm} n_{\pm} + \sigma \,\dot{\epsilon}^{pl} \left(\mathcal{A}_c - \mathcal{A}_a \, n_{\pm} \right) \quad . \tag{2}$$

 \mathcal{A}_c and \mathcal{A}_a are constants. The first two terms on the rhs account for the internal reconformations of STZ's, while the last term introduces a coupling with the mean flow: At the macroscopic scale, the flow constantly stirs the molecules, thus creating and destroying local configurations. The rate at which the macroscopic flow induces new configurations is estimated as the ratio of the overall work, $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}^{pl}$, over by some (constant) typical normal force (the force required to modify a contact).

Transformation rates R_{\pm} depend on volume and force fluctuations. A local reconformation occurs if some volume is available around a transformation zone. and if the local force network is appropriately oriented. In this work, I assume that the probabilities associated with those two types of fluctuations factorize. This differs from the prescription given in [2]. An exponential distribution of volume fluctuations is assumed, and v_0 denotes the typical free-volume per grain needed for a rearrangement. The probability that sufficient free-volume is localized around a given STZ is proportional to $\exp\left(-v_0/v_f\right)$, where v_f is the average free-volume. The distribution of forces is also expected to be exponential. Shear stress σ introduces a bias of the force network. The probability that some strong local bias of the force network is realized and promotes shearing in the $\pm \rightarrow \mp$ direction is estimated to be proportional to $\exp(\pm \sigma/\bar{\mu})$, where $\bar{\mu}$ measures some typical force of the stress network. In the following, $\bar{\mu} = 1$ is imposed, which fixes the unit of forces. The rates are thus written,

$$R_{\pm} = R_0 \exp\left(-v_0/v_f\right) \exp\left(\pm\sigma\right)$$

with R_0 , the update frequency of microscopic processes. This choice of an expression for the rates R_{\pm} will be discussed further, but other choices are possible that lead to similar results. [9]

At this point, free-volume appears as a parameter. However, this picture is now complemented with freevolume kinetics. Free-volume relaxation results from tiny reconformations of molecules into better local packings. [4] A second type of rearrangement is thus introduced, which is essentially isotropic — hence does not require any particular orientational zone to occur — but which is otherwise treated in a similar and consistent way. The typical volume fluctuation needed for a local collapse is denoted v_1 ; free-volume relaxation occurs at a rate proportional to $\exp(-v_1/v_f)$. Note that v_1 is expected to be smaller than v_0 as an improvement of the local packing should require reconformations of a smaller scale than shear motion. In the absence of shear ($\sigma = 0$), and at 0 temperature, the equation of motion for free-volume is expected to reduce to, $\dot{v}_f = -E_1 \exp(-v_1/v_f)$, which accounts for a slow (logarithmic) relaxation of v_f . [4] When the material shears, some dilatancy is expected. This is due to the fact that macroscopic shear motion brings about new random configurations of molecules. In new arrangements, the local free-volume is not optimized. This feature must be incorporate in free-volume dynamics via a creation term. To estimate this creation term, the following argument is proposed: the average dilatancy due to shear deformation is viewed as a transfer of a fraction of the energy $\sigma \delta \epsilon^{pl}$ into $P \delta v_f$ by some sort of levering effect within the contact network. Pressure dependence is incorporated in constants. When the system deforms by $\delta \epsilon^{pl}$, the average variation δv_f of the free-volume is thus expected to be proportional to $\sigma \delta \epsilon^{pl}$. Equation of motion for v_f results from the interplay between local relaxation and shear-induced dilatancy. It is written:

$$\dot{v}_f = -E_1 \exp\left[-v_1/v_f\right] + \mathcal{A}_v \sigma \dot{\epsilon}^{pl} \quad . \tag{3}$$

In the following, constant $\mathcal{A}_v = 1$ is imposed, which fixes the unit of v_f .

Before proceeding further, equations (1) and (2) are written in a more appealing form. Following [2], variables

$$\Delta = \frac{n_- - n_+}{n_\infty} \quad , \quad \text{and}, \quad \Lambda = \frac{n_+ + n_-}{n_\infty}$$

are introduced, along with the rescaled parameters $n_{\infty} = 2\mathcal{A}_c/\mathcal{A}_a$, $\epsilon_0 = \mathcal{A}_0 \mathcal{A}_c/\mathcal{A}_a$, $\gamma = \mathcal{A}_0 \mathcal{A}_c$, and $E_0 = 2\epsilon_0 R_0$. It comes,

$$\dot{\epsilon}^{pl} = E_0 \exp\left[-v_0/v_f\right] \left(\Lambda \sinh(\sigma) - \Delta \cosh(\sigma)\right) \qquad (4)$$

$$\dot{\Delta} = \frac{\epsilon^{\mu}}{\epsilon_0} \left(1 - \gamma \, \sigma \, \Delta \right) \tag{5}$$

$$\dot{\Lambda} = \gamma \, \sigma \, \frac{\dot{\epsilon}^{pl}}{\epsilon_0} \, (1 - \Lambda) \tag{6}$$

Variables Λ and Δ represent respectively the total normalized density of STZ's and the bias between the populations n_{\pm} . In the absence of shear motion, the dynamics of Λ and Δ freeze, while v_f undergoes some "autonomous" relaxation: those two sets of internal variables are associated to very different types of memory. The initial values given to those variables account for the way the system has been prepared.

Let me comment on initial values used for free-volume. In the absence of shear, $\sigma = 0$, the system still undergoes free-volume relaxation. If the picture of a dense material was complete, a reasonable initial state should correspond to values of v_f for which the factor $\exp(-v_1/v_f)$ (hence $\exp(-v_0/v_f)$) is vanishingly small: the system is an aged glass. However, for a finite temperature, freevolume is expected to equilibrate at some non-vanishing value. Temperature dependence is not included in the current approach. In this letter, to circumvent this question, the initial condition for v_f is a fraction of v_0 , which is small, but not as small as would be expected from an aged glassy material. In fact, for very small initial values of the free-volume, a large stress is necessary to initiate deformation. This produces behavior analogous to the so-called memory peak. Those aspect are not considered here.

I first describe briefly the resulting behavior in a constant stress experiment (creep test), before discussing the case of constant strain-rate and tribology experiment.

Stress σ is fixed. To simplify the analysis, I separate equation (4-6) from equation (3). Equations (4-6) admit two types of solutions: multiple jammed states ($\dot{\epsilon}^{pl} = 0$), provided $\Delta = \tanh(\sigma)\Lambda$, and a flowing regime ($\dot{\epsilon}^{pl} \neq 0$), with $\Lambda = 1$ and $\Delta = 1/\gamma\sigma$, whence

$$\dot{\epsilon}^{pl} = E_0 \exp[-v_0/v_f](\sinh(\sigma) - \cosh(\sigma)/(\gamma\sigma)).$$

The jammed states are stable for small values of the applied stress, and the two types of solutions exchange stability when the yield stress σ_y is reached, with σ_y solution of $\sigma \tanh(\sigma) = 1/\gamma$. [2] The rhs of equation (3) is always negative if $\sigma < \sigma_c$, where σ_c is the solution of $E_0 (\sigma \sinh(\sigma) - \cosh(\sigma)/\gamma) = E_1$. In this case, the system remains "solid", free-volume relaxes, hence $\dot{\epsilon}^{pl}$: the material creeps. Above σ_c , equation (3) admits one unstable fixed point: depending on its initial value, v_f either decays or diverges. In this latter case, the weights $\exp(-v_1/v_f)$ and $\exp(-v_0/v_f)$ saturate to 1, and $\dot{\epsilon}^{pl}$ goes to a constant. The latest stages of the dynamics, when v_f gets large, are beyond the scope of the current work. Various creep curves are shown figure 1-(left) which compare with experiments presented in [5].



FIG. 1. Left: Creep test, deformation as a function of time at fixed σ . Parameters $E_0 = E_1 = 0.1$, $v_0 = 0.5$, $v_1 = 0.4$, $\gamma = 10$, $\epsilon_0 = 1$, and initial conditions $\Delta = 0$, $\Lambda = 0.01$, and $v_f = 0.25$. From bottom to top, $\sigma = 2$, 3, 3.7, 3.9, 4.1, 4.2, 4.5. Right: Friction force ($\propto \sigma$) as a function of the velocity of the upper plate ($\propto \epsilon^{pl}$) during stick-slip motion as shown figure 2 (right).

In a stress-strain test, $\dot{\epsilon}$ is fixed. The shear stress is determined by $\sigma = \mu \epsilon^{el}$, or $\dot{\sigma} = \mu (\dot{\epsilon} - \dot{\epsilon}^{pl})$. Let me show that the same relation appears for overdamped stick-slip. A layer of liquid is sheared between two plates. The gap between the plates is denoted a, and the surface of contact S. A pulling force is exerted on the upper plate, with a spring of stiffness k, pulled at velocity V. If the inertia of the upper plate is neglected: F = k(Vt - x). The position x of the upper plate is related to the deformation of the liquid by: $\dot{x} = 2a\dot{\epsilon}^{pl}$, and the shear stress is $\sigma = F/S$, whence, $\dot{\sigma} = (k/S)(V - 2a\dot{\epsilon}^{pl})$, which is of the form $\dot{\sigma} = \mu(\dot{\epsilon} - \dot{\epsilon}^{pl})$. Identical equations govern both systems, although, remarkably, a solid is usually considered in the former case, and a liquid in the latter.

In the following, $\Lambda = 1$ is taken as initial condition. This is consistent with the fact that stress-strain curves (or stiction peaks) present well-defined features after preparation of the sample. Since Λ saturate to 1 after some shearing, it cannot govern some repeatable test, but accounts for the evolution of the material during preparation.



FIG. 2. Behavior of sheared materials for fixed strain rate $\dot{\epsilon}$. Parameters of the theory are, $E_0 = 0.1$, $E_1 = 0.1$, $v_0 = 0.5$, $v_1 = 0.4$, $\gamma = 10$, $\epsilon_0 = 1$. Initial conditions, are $\Delta = 0$, $\Lambda = 1$, $v_f = 0.25$. Left: strain softening, for $\dot{\epsilon} = 0.08$ (solid line), 0.1 (dashed line), 0.15 (dot-dashed line), 0.2 (dotted line). (Those values are defined up to a time constant, and do not need to compare quantitatively with actual values.) Divergence of v_f is seen for the three largest values of $\dot{\epsilon}$. Right: stick-slip motion obtained for $\dot{\epsilon} = 0.01$.

Example of behavior resulting from equations (3-5) is shown figure 2. For the large values of $\dot{\epsilon}$, the system follows a stress-strain curve which present strain-softening. (Note that this is for the chosen of parameters, and that strain hardening can be seen for other values.) In the language of tribology, a stiction peak leads to steady sliding. Softening can be understood as follows: During a first stage of the dynamics, plastic deformation $\dot{\epsilon}^{pl}$ remains zero, or very small, either because, σ is below the yield stress, or below the free-volume remains small. The shear force increasing, transient creeping motion induces dilatancy, thus triggering plastic deformation, further increase of v_f , and σ starts to decrease with the increasing activation factor $\exp(-v_0/v_f)$. For the largest values of $\dot{\epsilon}$ (here, $\dot{\epsilon} = 0.1, 0.15, 0.2$), the free-volume diverges, leading the system to melting or break-up. For intermediate values (here, $\dot{\epsilon} = 0.08$) the system converges to steady sliding with finite free-volume. For small $\dot{\epsilon}$, stickslip motion is observed, sliding peaks being associated to sudden rises of the free-volume. The friction force is multi-valued, and σ as a function of $\dot{\epsilon}^{pl}$ is shown figure 1 (left). The cycle is followed in the clockwise direction and is remarkably similar to cycles reported in experiments on lubricants. [7]

Let me now comment further on the expression chosen for the transformation rates R_+ . Other prescriptions can be used, [2] but introduce some correlations between free-volume and force fluctuations. It has been checked that the results presented here do not depend on other possible choices of R_{\pm} , so long as free-volume activation is incorporated. The factor $\exp\left[-v_0/v_f\right]$ is essential, and accounts for the fact that at low free-volume, activated processes slow down dramatically. The preceding expression is chosen because it allows to factorise $\exp\left[-v_0/v_f\right]$ in equations (1-6). It simplifies somehow the resulting constitutive equations, but more importantly, the freevolume enters the kinetics of shear motion only as much as it determines its time-scale. The coupling between free-volume relaxation and shear deformation is realized through this mechanism only, which is a rather minimal and subtle effect. It is profound and particularly illuminating that such a subtle coupling via time-scales suffices to obtain so many non-trivial features.

It is noteworthy that early approach to stick-slip relied on the introduction of rate-and-state laws, in the spirit of friction laws used to study earthquakes dynamics. [10] In the current approach, free-volume is identified as a logarithmically relaxing state variable responsible for strainsoftening and stick-slip motion. The importance given to free-volume provides a direct test of the theory since some appropriate control of the free-volume kinetics could, in principle, prevent stick-slip motion. Although difficult to realize in most experimental set-ups, this might turn out to have an important practical impact.

The current approach has remained at a qualitative and general level. This theory has now to pass the test of more stringent comparison with experimental data. This might require minor adaptations, *e.g.* to the case of granular materials, [3,9] but the mechanism proposed is of great simplicity and should adapt to various types of structural systems. A complete picture of dynamical properties of dense materials will require, however, to incorporate spatial extension of a sample of material and account for localization of the deformation into shear bands. Recent developments in STZ theory have shown a possible mechanism [11] and should benefit from the incorporation of free-volume dynamics.

This work has benefited from discussions with Jean Carlson, Pascal Favreau, Delphine Gourdon, Jacob Israelachvili, Jim Langer, and Carl Robert. I am grateful to Jean Carlson who has permitted my venue in Santa Barbara and triggered my interest for stick-slip. I particularly acknowledge Jim Langer as much for his encouragements as for his stimulating critiques, and for a careful reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by the W. M. Keck Foundation, and the NSF Grant No. DMR-9813752, and EPRI/DoD through the Program on Interactive Complex Networks.

- C. S. O'Hern, S. A. Langer, A. J. Liu, and S. R. Nagel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 111 (2001).
- [2] M. L. Falk and J. S. Langer, Phys. Rev. E 57, 7192 (1998). M. L. Falk and J. S. Langer, M.R.S. Bulletin 25, 40 (2000).
- [3] A. Lemaître, cond-mat/0107422, (2001).
- [4] J. B. Knight *et al.*, Phys. Rev. E **51**, 3957 (1995). E. R. Nowak *et al.*, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 1971 (1998). T. Boutreux and P. G. de Gennes, Physica A **244**, 59 (1997).
- [5] O. A. Hassan and M. C. Boyce, Pol. Engineer. and Science 35, 331 (1995).
- [6] P. A. Thompson, G. S. Grest, and M. O. Robbins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3448 (1992). H. Yoshizawa and J. Israechvili, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 97, 11300 (1993).
 A. L. Demirel and S. Granick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2261 (1996).
- [7] C. Drummond and J. Israelachvili, Phys. Rev. E 63, 041506 (2001).
- [8] P. A. Thompson and G. S. Grest, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1751 (1991).
 S. Nasuno, A. Kudrolli, and J. Gollub, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 949 (1997).
 W. Losert, J.-C. Géminard, S. Nasuno, and J. P. Gollub, Phys. Rev. E 61, 4060 (2000).
- [9] Note that the specificities of a particular material enter via the quantities $\bar{\mu}$ and R_0 . In hard-sphere systems, R_0 is expected to be proportional to the collision frequency, \sqrt{T}/v_f (at temperature T), and the typical normal force, $\bar{\mu}$, is expected to be proportional to the pressure P. [3] For the sake of simplicity, such specificities are left for future studies, and in the present work, R_0 and $\bar{\mu}$ are constants. This corresponds, for example, to the case when molecules interact via a Lennard-Jones potential.
- [10] J. M. Carlson and A. A. Batista, Phys. Rev. E 53, 4153 (1996).
- [11] J. S. Langer, Phys. Rev. E 64, 011504, (2001).