

**DEGRADATION OF PHASE COHERENCE BY DEFECTS
IN A TWO-DIMENSIONAL VORTEX LATTICE**

J. P. Rodriguez

Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, California State University, Los Angeles, CA 90032.

Abstract

The thermodynamic nature of two-dimensional vortex matter is studied through a duality analysis of the XY model over the square lattice with low uniform frustration. A phase-coherent vortex lattice state is found at low temperature if rigid translations are prohibited. It shows a non-zero phase rigidity that is degraded exclusively by the creation of dislocation pairs. The unbinding of such pairs causes the vortex lattice to simultaneously lose phase coherence and to melt at a continuous (Kosterlitz-Thouless) phase transition. General phase auto-correlation functions are also computed, and these results are used to argue for the existence of a continuous melting transition of vortex matter in layered superconductors.

PACS Indices: 74.60.Ec, 61.72.Bd, 74.60.Ge, 61.72.Lk

The nature of the mixed phase in layered superconductors remains badly understood theoretically.¹ For example, the rich phase diagram shown by high-temperature superconductors in external magnetic field continues to yield surprises.² These systems are layered and extremely type-II. The minimum theoretical description of a layered type-II superconductor in external magnetic field is one that neglects both magnetic screening and Josephson coupling, which is equivalent to a stack of isolated two-dimensional (2D) XY models with uniform frustration.^{3–5} A 2D vortex lattice state is believed to exist at low temperature in such case.¹ Indeed, the elastic medium description predicts that the 2D vortex lattice melts continuously into an intermediate hexatic phase that retains the six-fold rotational symmetry shown by the solid state.^{6–8} On the contrary, calculations of the long-range phase correlations in 2D and three-dimensional (3D) vortex lattices that also employ the elastic medium approximation find no evidence for macroscopic phase coherence due to the appearance of an infrared divergence.⁹ Controversy therefore surrounds the theoretical existence of a vortex lattice state in pure type-II superconductors.^{10,11}

In this Letter, we show that a phase-coherent vortex-lattice state is in fact possible at low temperature in two dimensions if rigid translations of the vortex lattice are prohibited by surface barriers. A direct calculation of the phase correlations in the 2D XY model with low uniform frustration demonstrates that the infrared divergence alluded to above⁹ can be removed in a natural and unambiguous way through an asymptotic regularization scheme. This result is confirmed independently by a direct calculation of the phase rigidity. The Villain approximation is employed throughout.^{12–14} We also demonstrate that phase coherence is lost at the continuous melting transition as a result of the unbinding of dislocation pairs and in a manner that is parallel to the loss of the shear rigidity.⁶ Notably, the renormalization group associated with the phase rigidity in the vicinity of the 2D melting transition is identical to that of the shear modulus. Last, we show how the difference between the intermediate hexatic phase and the vortex liquid phase is reflected by a subtle difference in the phase factor of the phase auto-correlation functions.

The layered XY model with low uniform frustration provides a qualitatively correct theoretical description of vortex matter deep inside of the mixed phase in extremely type-II layered superconductors, where magnetic screening can be neglected.⁵ In the absence of Josephson coupling, its thermodynamics is determined by the energy functional

$E_{XY}^{(2)} = -J \sum_{\vec{r}, \mu} \cos[\phi(\vec{r} + \hat{\mu}a) - \phi(\vec{r}) - A_\mu(\vec{r})]$ for the superfluid kinetic energy of an isolated layer in terms of the superconducting phase $\phi(\vec{r})$, with local phase rigidity J and with vector potential $\vec{A} = (0, 2\pi f x/a)$. Here f is the density of vortices and a denotes the square lattice constant. Monte Carlo simulations of the above uniformly frustrated XY model indicate that melting of the low-temperature 2D vortex lattice occurs at a temperature $k_B T_m \cong J/20$ for low density of vortices,³ f . Monte Carlo simulations of the corresponding non-neutral lattice Coulomb gas obtain a quantitatively similar phase diagram.⁴ This indicates that the Villain approximation for the uniformly frustrated XY model in two dimensions,¹²⁻¹⁴ which reduces to such a Coulomb gas ensemble, is valid at temperatures even as high or higher than the vortex-lattice melting transition.

The Villain approximation itself for the XY model over the square lattice amounts to the expression $\langle \exp[i \sum_{\vec{r}} p(\vec{r}) \phi(\vec{r})] \rangle = Z_V^{(2)}[p]/Z_V^{(2)}[0]$ for any generalized phase auto-correlation function set by an integer source field, $p(r)$, in terms of the quotient of the corresponding partition functions

$$Z_V^{(2)}[p] = \sum_{\{\vec{n}(\vec{r})\}} \prod_{\vec{r}} \delta[\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{n}|_{\vec{r}} - p(\vec{r})] \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{\vec{r}} |\vec{n}|^2 - i \sum_{\vec{r}} \vec{n} \cdot \vec{A}\right). \quad (1)$$

Above, $n_\mu(\vec{r})$ is an integer field that ranges over the links, (\vec{r}, μ) , of the square lattice, while $\beta = J/k_B T$. The regime of validity of the Villain approximation for the XY model is generally at low temperatures.¹⁴ The conservation equation expressed by the δ -function factors above can be treated exactly. After a series of manipulations, one is led to a factorized expression for general phase auto-correlation functions in terms of independent spin-wave (sw) and vortex (vx) contributions:^{13,14}

$$\left\langle e^{i \sum_{\vec{r}} p \cdot \phi} \right\rangle = C_{\text{sw}}[p] \left\langle e^{i \sum_{\vec{R}} (Q-f) \cdot \Phi_P} \right\rangle_{\text{vx}} e^{-i \int_P \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{l}}. \quad (2)$$

The spin-wave factor above is equal to an exponential $C_{\text{sw}}[p] = \exp[-\eta_{\text{sw}} \sum_{(1,2)} p(1) 2\pi G^{(2)}(1,2) p(2)]$ of the Greens function $G^{(2)} = -\nabla^{-2}$ over the square lattice, and it decays algebraically with a correlation exponent $\eta_{\text{sw}} = (2\pi\beta)^{-1}$. Note that^{13,14} $2\pi G^{(2)}(1,2) \cong \ln(r'_0/r_{12})$, with $r'_0 \sim a$. The vortex contribution above represents an average over (integer) vorticity $Q(\vec{R})$ on the dual lattice of points \vec{R} . These vortices form a Coulomb gas ensemble with a uniform background at a density f . The ensemble is weighted by the Gibbs distribution set

by the Coulomb energy

$$E_{\text{vx}} = 2\pi J \sum_{(a,b)} [Q(a) - f] 2\pi G^{(2)}(a, b) [Q(b) - f]. \quad (3)$$

The flux field

$$\Phi_P(\vec{R}) = -2\pi \sum_{\vec{R}'} G^{(2)}(\vec{R} - \vec{R}') \cdot P(\vec{R}') \quad (4)$$

that appears in the vortex average [see Eq. (2)] is a potential determined by the charge distribution $P(\vec{R})$ for an arbitrary string(s) of elementary dipoles that connect oppositely charged probes, $p(\vec{r}) = \pm 1$, of the correlation function (2) (see refs. 13 and 14). Last, the line integral over the vector potential on the right-hand side of Eq. (2) follows the path (P) traced out by this track of dipoles.

Before we go on to compute general phase autocorrelations of the 2D vortex lattice using expression (2), it is instructive to first compute the phase rigidity. This quantity is known to be given by the one over the dielectric constant of the non-neutral Coulomb gas ensemble (3) :^{4,15}

$$\rho_s/J = 1 - \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} (2\pi)^2 \beta \langle Q_{\vec{k}} Q_{-\vec{k}} \rangle / \mathcal{N} k^2 a^2. \quad (5)$$

Here $Q_{\vec{k}} = \sum_{\vec{R}} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}} Q(\vec{R})$ is the Fourier transform of the vorticity and \mathcal{N} denotes the total number of sites on the square lattice. Surface barriers are assumed to prohibit “floating” of the 2D vortex lattice.^{3,4,7} Now suppose that each vortex is displaced by $\vec{u}(\vec{R})$ with respect to the zero-temperature triangular vortex lattice. Conservation of vorticity dictates that this displacement field is related to fluctuations in the density of the 2D vortex lattice by $Q - f = -\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u}$. This continuity equation is understood to be coarse-grain averaged on a scale larger than the triangular vortex lattice constant, a_Δ . Incompressibility is the result: rotational invariance gives $\langle Q_{\vec{k}} Q_{-\vec{k}} \rangle = k^2 \langle [\sum'_{\vec{R}} \vec{u}]^2 \rangle / 2$ as $k \rightarrow 0$. Substitution into Eq. (5) then yields the formula

$$\frac{\rho_s}{J} = 1 - \frac{2\pi J}{k_B T} \cdot \frac{\pi \langle [\sum'_{\vec{R}} \vec{u}]^2 \rangle}{N_{\text{vx}} a_{\text{vx}}^2} \quad (6)$$

for the phase rigidity in terms of fluctuations of the center of mass of the vortex lattice, where rigid translations are excluded. In obtaining Eq. (6), we have used the trivial identity $\mathcal{N} a^2 = N_{\text{vx}} a_{\text{vx}}^2$, where N_{vx} denotes the total number of vortices, and where a_{vx} is the square root of the area per vortex. Notice that vortex/anti-vortex excitations *not* associated with

displacements of the zero-temperature vortex lattice are neglected, which shall be assumed throughout. Monte Carlo simulation results indicate that this approximation is valid at melting.⁴ Eq. (6) for the rigidity can be reduced further by expressing the displacement field as a superposition of wave and defect components:^{7,8} $\vec{u} = \vec{u}_{\text{wv}} + \vec{u}_{\text{df}}$. Waves contribute nothing to the degradation of phase coherence, since $\sum \vec{u}_{\text{wv}} = 0$ if rigid translations are excluded. We then obtain the final result

$$\frac{\rho_s}{J} = 1 - \frac{2\pi J}{k_B T} \cdot \frac{\pi \langle [\sum_{\vec{R}}' \vec{u}_{\text{df}}]^2 \rangle}{N_{\text{vx}} a_{\text{vx}}^2} \quad (7)$$

for the phase rigidity of a 2D vortex lattice. Notice that the degradation of phase coherence is due exclusively to vortex wandering from defects!

We now resume with the direct calculation of the phase auto-correlation functions (2). The first step to take at this stage is to “remove” the net vorticity of the 2D lattice through a singular gauge transformation:⁵ $\langle e^{i \sum_{\vec{r}} p \cdot \phi} \rangle = C_{\text{sw}}[p] \cdot C_{\text{vx}}[p] \cdot e^{i \sum_{\vec{R}} Q_0 \cdot \Phi_P - i \int_P \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{l}}$, where $C_{\text{vx}}[p] = \langle e^{i \sum_{\vec{R}} \delta Q \cdot \Phi_P} \rangle_{\text{vx}}$ represents the vortex component. Here $\delta Q = Q - Q_0$ is the fluctuation in the vorticity with respect to the triangular vortex lattice at zero temperature, $Q_0(\vec{R})$. It is known that $C_{\text{vx}}[p]$ is independent of the particular shape of the path(s) P connecting probe points p of the correlation function because of the neutrality condition $\sum \delta Q = 0$ (see refs. 13 and 14). And since both the correlation function (2) and its spin-wave component, C_{sw} , are manifestly path invariant, the phase factor above that remains after the singular gauge transformation must therefore also be path invariant. We then obtain the formal expression

$$\langle e^{i \sum_{\vec{r}} p \cdot \phi} \rangle = C_{\text{sw}}[p] \cdot C_{\text{vx}}[p] \cdot e^{i \sum_{\vec{r}} p \cdot \phi_0}, \quad (8)$$

where the phase $\phi_0(\vec{r})$ should resemble the zero-temperature configuration. The next step is to employ the cumulant expansion, $C_{\text{vx}}[p] = \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \langle (\sum_{\vec{R}} \delta Q \cdot \Phi_P)^2 \rangle_{\text{vx}}]$, for the vortex component, which assumes small distortions of the 2D vortex lattice. The latter suggests the Taylor expansion $\sum_{\vec{R}} \delta Q \cdot \Phi_P \cong \sum_{\vec{R}}' \vec{u} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \Phi_P$ for the argument,⁹ which yields $\langle (\sum_{\vec{R}} \delta Q \cdot \Phi_P)^2 \rangle_{\text{vx}} = \sum_{a,b} \vec{\nabla} \Phi_P|_a \cdot \langle \vec{u}(a) \vec{u}(b) \rangle \cdot \vec{\nabla} \Phi_P|_b$. The cumulant expansion then yields the algebraic decay $C_{\text{vx}}[p] = \exp[\eta_{\text{vx}} \sum_{(1,2)} p(1) \ln(r_{12}/r_0) p(2)]$ for the vortex component in the asymptotic limit $r_{12} \rightarrow \infty$ and $R_{ab} < \infty$, with a vortex correlation exponent

$$\eta_{\text{vx}} = \pi \left\langle \left[\sum_{\vec{R}}' \vec{u} \right]^2 \right\rangle / N_{\text{vx}} a_{\text{vx}}^2, \quad (9)$$

and with the natural ultraviolet scale $r_0 \sim a_{\text{vx}}$. As in the case of the phase rigidity (7), the separation of the displacement field into wave and defect components yields the final formula

$$\eta_{\text{vx}} = \pi \left\langle \left[\sum_{\vec{R}}' \vec{u}_{\text{df}} \right]^2 \right\rangle / N_{\text{vx}} a_{\text{vx}}^2, \quad (10)$$

for the correlation exponent of the vortex component in terms of vortex wandering due to lattice defects. Last, Eq. (8) implies that phase correlations in the 2D vortex lattice state decay algebraically, with a net correlation exponent equal to $\eta = \eta_{\text{sw}} + \eta_{\text{vx}}$. Comparison with the spin-wave result $\eta_{\text{sw}} = k_B T / 2\pi J$ suggests the identity $\eta = k_B T / 2\pi \rho_s$ between this exponent and the phase rigidity.¹⁶ A moment's inspection of Eq. (7) determines that the identity indeed holds true in the limit $\eta_{\text{vx}} \ll \eta_{\text{sw}}$, when defects are dilute, which is implicit throughout. In conclusion, both the present calculation of the correlation exponent and the previous calculation of the phase rigidity are in complete agreement.

To compute the fluctuations in the center of mass due to defects [Eq. (10)], we shall now take the continuum limit, $f \rightarrow 0$, and model the 2D vortex lattice (3) as an incompressible elastic medium:^{10,11} $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{u} = 0$ and $E_{\text{vx}} = \frac{1}{2}\nu \int d^2R (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{u})^2$. Here ν represents the local 2D shear modulus. Neither vacancy/interstitials nor disclinations are important at low temperature.^{7,8} We shall therefore compute first the average $\langle |\vec{u}_{\text{df}}|^2 \rangle$ due to the presence of a *single* dislocation pair anywhere inside of the 2D vortex lattice.⁶ Indeed, suppose that a dislocation pair of extent \vec{R}_{12} lies a distance \vec{R} from the origin. It is then easy to show that the displacement there is given asymptotically by $\vec{u}_{\text{df}}(0) \cong (\pi R)^{-1} (\vec{b} \cdot \hat{R}) [\hat{z} \cdot (\hat{R} \times \vec{R}_{12})] \hat{R}$, where $\pm \vec{b}$ are the equal and opposite Burger's vectors of each dislocation. We now quote the elastic interaction energy due to such a dislocation pair:⁶ $E_{12} = \pi^{-1} \nu b^2 [\ln(R_{12}/a_{\text{df}}) - \frac{1}{2} \cos 2\theta]$, where θ denotes the angle between \vec{R}_{12} and \vec{b} , and where a_{df} represents the core diameter of a dislocation. After averaging $|\vec{u}_{\text{df}}(0)|^2$ over the Boltzmann weight, one obtains the result $\langle |\vec{u}_{\text{df}}|^2 \rangle \cong (b^2/4\pi)(n_{\text{df}} \langle R_{12}^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} n_{\text{df}} \langle R_{12}^2 \cos 2\theta \rangle) \ln(R_0/a_{\text{df}})$. Here n_{df} denotes the density of dislocations, while R_0 represents an infrared cutoff.¹⁷ The logarithmic divergence associated with this scale justifies the neglect of higher-order multipole corrections to $\vec{u}_{\text{df}}(0)$. It also justifies the neglect of the contribution of the autocorrelator $\langle \vec{u}_{\text{df}} \cdot \vec{u}'_{\text{df}} \rangle$ at different points to fluctuations (10) in the center of mass. This is due to the fact (*i*) that $-\langle \vec{u}_{\text{df}}(a) \cdot \vec{u}_{\text{df}}(b) \rangle$ must decay faster than $[\langle |\vec{u}_{\text{df}}|^2 \rangle / 2\pi \ln(R_0/a_{\text{df}})] (a_{\text{vx}}/R_{ab})^2$ because $\eta_{\text{vx}} > 0$, and to the

fact (ii) that the former autocorrelator is short range as a result of disordering by the free dislocation pairs. Eqs. (7) and (10) then yield a degradation of long-range phase coherence

$$\frac{J}{\rho_s} = 1 + \frac{\pi}{2}\beta \left(\frac{b^2}{a_{\text{vx}}^2} \right) \left(n_{\text{df}} \langle R_{12}^2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} n_{\text{df}} \langle R_{12}^2 \cos 2\theta \rangle \right) \ln \left(\frac{R_0}{a_{\text{df}}} \right). \quad (11)$$

The second term on the right-hand side above is proportional to the renormalization of one over the shear modulus obtained first by Kosterlitz and Thouless (KT).⁶ The proportionality constant is set by the estimate $\nu b^2 \cong (\pi/2\sqrt{3})J$ for the shear modulus of the 2D vortex lattice,^{10,11} with the Burger's vectors limited to basis vectors of the triangular vortex lattice ($b = a_{\Delta}$). We conclude that phase correlations in the 2D vortex lattice reflect the *same* continuous 2D melting transition that is monitored by the macroscopic shear modulus! In the limit of a dilute concentration of dislocations, $n_{\text{df}} \rightarrow 0$, this unique 2D melting transition occurs at a temperature $k_B T_m^{(0)} = \nu b^2 / 4\pi$, which is about $J/14$ using the previous estimate for ν .^{10,11} Eq. (11) therefore implies that the correlation exponent that characterizes the algebraic decay of long-range phase coherence (2) acquires a small value $\eta_m = k_B T_m^{(0)} / 2\pi J \cong (28\pi)^{-1}$ just below the melting temperature.

Standard 2D melting theory also predicts short-range translational order at temperatures just above T_m .⁶⁻⁸ The previous calculation (11) indicates that phase correlations are also short range at $T > T_m$. The phase correlation length in the melted state can be obtained from the following construction. At low temperature, $T < T_m$, the previous algebraic auto-correlation functions (8) can be recovered by taking the appropriate power of a partition function describing the system of dislocations, $\vec{b}(\vec{R})$:

$$\left\langle e^{i \sum_{\vec{r}} p \cdot \phi} \right\rangle = g_0^{n+} \cdot (Z_{\text{df}}[\vec{\eta}\vec{e}]/Z_{\text{df}}[0])^{J'/J} \cdot e^{i \sum_{\vec{r}} p \cdot \phi_0}, \quad (12)$$

with¹⁸

$$Z_{\text{df}}[\vec{\eta}\vec{e}] = \int \mathcal{D}\vec{\psi} \sum_{\{\vec{b}(\vec{R})\}} \exp \left[-(k_B T / 2J') \sum_{\vec{R}}' (\vec{\nabla}\vec{\psi} - \vec{\eta}\vec{e})^2 + 2\pi i \sum_{\vec{R}}' \vec{\psi} \cdot \vec{b} / a_{\Delta} \right]. \quad (13)$$

Above, $\vec{\psi}(\vec{R})$ is a real two-component field that ranges over the zero-temperature vortex lattice. The vector field $\vec{\eta}$ represents tracks of elementary dipoles over the triangular vortex lattice that link probe points of the correlation function on the dual honeycomb lattice (see refs. 13 and 14), while \vec{e} represents any unit vector. The exponent $J'/J = \nu a_{\Delta}^2 / 2\pi^2 J$ is

equal to the ratio of $T_m^{(0)}$ to $T_c^{(0)} = \frac{\pi}{2}J/k_B$, which is approximately equal to $(7\pi)^{-1}$ by the previous estimate for the 2D shear modulus. Last, the pre-factor $g_0 \sim 1$ is raised to the number of positive (or negative) probes, n_+ , in the correlation function. Residual angular interactions between dislocations are suppressed by the vector Coulomb gas (13).¹⁸ It is easy to show that the system of dislocations is in a “plasma” phase at high temperatures, $T > T_m$. Following the standard prescription,^{13,18} the latter indicates that the two-point phase auto-correlator (12) decays exponentially above the melting temperature, with a correlation length ξ_{vx} that is proportional to the Debye screening length λ_D of the “plasma”. The phase correlation length ξ_{vx} therefore diverges exponentially as the system of vortices begins to freeze exactly like $\ln \lambda_D \propto (T - T_m)^{-\bar{\nu}}$, with exponent $\bar{\nu} = 2/5$ in the case (13) when residual angular interactions are suppressed.¹⁸

The two-point phase correlator is easily calculated directly from the Villain model (1) at high temperatures, however.⁵ One obtains

$$\langle e^{i\phi(1)} e^{-i\phi(2)} \rangle = g_0 e^{-r_{12}/\xi_{vx}} e^{-i \int_1^2 \vec{A}(\vec{r}) \cdot d\vec{r}} \quad (14)$$

asymptotically for this auto-correlation function in the disordered phase, where the phase correlation length is inversely related to the line-tension of the strings in the dual theory (1). Although this result exhibits exponential decay just like the previous one (12) at $T > T_m$, it also exhibits a non-trivial phase factor, unlike the prior result. The resolution of this paradox is due to the existence of an intermediate *hexatic* phase just above the melting point,⁷ which was discovered by Halperin and Nelson after the initial KT analysis of 2D melting.⁶ The phase correlations (14) in the vortex liquid phase at high temperatures, $T > T_h$, show no vestiges of the zero-temperature vortex lattice asymptotically. The intermediate hexatic phase, $T_m < T < T_h$, retains long-range orientational order coincident with the triangular vortex-lattice state,¹⁹ and this is reflected by the trivial phase factor in the phase autocorrelator (8). These subtleties are summarized by Table I.

We shall close by reviewing arguments for the existence of a continuous melting transition in the layered 3D *XY* model with low uniform frustration, which describes the mixed phase of layered superconductors.⁵ The author has recently performed a partial duality analysis of this system in the limit of weak Josephson coupling that leads to the partition function $Z_{CG} = \sum_{\{n_z\}} (\beta/2\gamma'^2)^{N[n_z]} \Pi_l C[p_l]$ in terms of an integer link field $n_z(\vec{r}, l)$

on points \vec{r} between adjacent layers l and $l + 1$. Above, $C[p_l]$ represents the phase auto-correlation function (2) of an isolated layer l probed at $p_l(\vec{r}) = n_z(\vec{r}, l - 1) - n_z(\vec{r}, l)$, while $N[n_z]$ counts the total number of fluxon charges, $n_z = \pm 1$. The latter system is dilute in the weak-coupling limit reached at large model anisotropy parameters, $\gamma' \rightarrow \infty$. It has been shown in this Letter that $C[p]$ is formally identical to the corresponding auto-correlator for the 2D XY model without frustration at criticality in the vicinity of the 2D continuous melting transition (see Table I). Since the layered 3D XY model without frustration shows a continuous order/disorder transition,²⁰ and since this model is also described by a partition function like Z_{CG} at weak coupling, we conclude that the layered 3D XY model with low uniform frustration should show an analogous continuous melting transition at weak coupling.⁵

The author thanks Charles Creffield, Angeles Vozmediano, Ed Rezayi and Victor Gurarie for discussions.

References

1. M. Tinkham, *Physica C* **235**, 3 (1994).
2. G.W. Crabtree and D.R. Nelson, *Physics Today* **50**, 38 (April 1997).
3. S.A. Hattel and J.M. Wheatley, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 11951 (1995).
4. M. Franz and S. Teitel, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 6551 (1995).
5. J.P. Rodriguez, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 9117 (2000); *Physica C* **332**, 343 (2000); *Europhys. Lett.* **54**, 793 (2001).
6. J.M. Kosterlitz and D.J. Thouless, *J. Phys. C* **6**, 1181 (1973).
7. D.R. Nelson and B.I. Halperin, *Phys. Rev. B* **19**, 2457 (1979).
8. A.P. Young, *Phys. Rev. B* **19**, 1855 (1979).
9. M.A. Moore, *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 7336 (1992); G. Baym, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 11697 (1995).
10. T. Nattermann and S. Scheidl, *Adv. Phys.* **49**, 607 (2000).
11. G. Blatter, M.V. Feigel'man, V.B. Geshkenbein, A.I. Larkin, and V.M. Vinokur, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **66**, 1125 (1994).
12. J. Villain, *J. Physique* **36**, 581 (1975).
13. J.V. José, L.P. Kadanoff, S. Kirkpatrick and D.R. Nelson, *Phys. Rev. B* **16**, 1217 (1977).
14. C. Itzykson and J. Drouffe, *Statistical Field Theory*, vol. 1, (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991) chap. 4.
15. P. Minnhagen and G.G. Warren, *Phys. Rev. B* **24**, 2526 (1981); P. Minnhagen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **59**, 1001 (1987).
16. J.M. Kosterlitz, *J. Phys. C* **7**, 1046 (1974).
17. The logarithmic infrared divergence that appears in Eq. (11) is benign relative to the algebraic one that n_{df}^{-1} acquires directly from the Boltzmann weight (see refs. 6-8).
18. D. R. Nelson, *Phys. Rev. B* **18**, 2318 (1978).
19. Theoretical calculations indicate that an underlying grid can act to convert the second-order hexatic/liquid phase transition into a sharp crossover (see refs. 7 and 8).
20. S. Hikami and T. Tsuneto, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **63**, 387 (1980); S.R. Shenoy and B. Chattopadhyay, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 9129 (1995); S.W. Pierson, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 688 (1996).

TABLE I. Listed are the phase auto-correlation functions at long-range and the phase rigidities obtained here for the various phases of the 2D XY model with a low uniform frustration. Rigid translations of the vortex lattice are prohibited.

Phase	$\langle e^{i\phi(1)} e^{-i\phi(2)} \rangle$	ρ_s/J	Observations
Vortex Lattice ($T < T_m$)	$g_0 (r_0/r_{12})^\eta e^{i\phi_0(1)} e^{-i\phi_0(2)}$	η_{sw}/η	solid
Hexatic ($T_m < T < T_h$)	$g_0 e^{-r_{12}/\xi_{\text{vx}}} e^{i\phi_0(1)} e^{-i\phi_0(2)}$	0	not rigid
Vortex Liquid ($T > T_h$)	$g_0 e^{-r_{12}/\xi_{\text{vx}}} e^{-i \int_1^2 \vec{A} \cdot d\vec{l}}$	0	not rigid