Half-metallic antiferromagnets in thiospinels

Min Sik Park and B. I. Min

Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784, Korea

(October 29, 2018)

We have theoretically designed the half-metallic (HM) antiferromagnets (AFMs) in thiospinel systems, $Mn(CrV)S_4$ and $Fe_{0.5}Cu_{0.5}(V_{0.5}Ti_{1.5})S_4$, based on the electronic structure studies in the local-spin-density approximation (LSDA). We have also explored electronic and magnetic properties of parent spinel compounds of the above systems; CuV_2S_4 and $CuTi_2S_4$ are found to be HM ferromagnets in their cubic spinel structures, while $MnCr_2S_4$ is a ferrimagnetic insulator. We have discussed the feasibility of material synthesis of HM-AFM thiospinel systems.

PACS numbers: 71.20.Be, 75.25.+Z, 75.50.Ee

Since the first theoretical report of Heusler halfmetallic (HM) ferromagnet NiMnSb by de Groot *et al.* [1], much effort has been devoted to developing the HM magnetic materials, in which the conduction electrons at the Fermi level E_F are 100% spin-polarized [2]. Especially, the HM antiferromagnet (AFM) attracts great attention because it is a non-magnetic metal but its conduction electrons are 100% spin-polarized. It can be used as a probe of the spin-polarized scanning tunneling microscope without perturbing the spin-character of samples. Further, the HM-AFM is expected to play a vital role in the future spintronic devices that utilize the spin polarization of the carriers.

The first HM-AFM, V_7 MnFe₈Sb₇In, which is a derivative of the Heusler compound, was proposed by van Leuken and de Groot [3]. Anther possibility was suggested by Pickett [4] in the double perovskite system such as La₂VMnO₆. In this case, V and Mn have antiferromagnetically aligned magnetic moments that exactly cancel each other. To date, there has been no successful experimental realization of the HM-AFM.

The thiospinel $FeCr_2S_4$ in its metallic phase has the HM ferrimagnetic state with nominal valence configurations of $\widetilde{\text{Fe}}^{2+}$ (d^6) and Cr^{3+} (d^3) [5–7]. The magnetic moments of Fe and Cr are $4\mu_B$ and $-3\mu_B$, respectively, which produce the integer total magnetic moment of $-2\mu_B$ per formula unit. From this, one can expect that FeV_2S_4 becomes a HM-AFM, since V has one less electron than Cr and so the magnetic moment of two V^{3+} (d^2 : S=1) ions would cancel that of Fe²⁺ (d^6 : S=2), still possessing the HM property. Indeed the local-spindensity approximation (LSDA) band calculation yields the HM-AFM electronic structure of FeV_2S_4 [8]. Unfortunately, FeV_2S_4 does not exist in the cubic spinel structure but in the hexagonal NiAs structure $(Cr_3S_4$ -type) with a complicated magnetic configuration [9]. So the above expectation does not work for FeV_2S_4 in nature.

Motivated by the above expectation, we attempt to search for the HM-AFM in other thiospinel compounds. Most of the thiospinel compounds of AB_2S_4 -type (A, B: transition metals) with cubic structure have a ferrimagnetic ground state. Usually, the magnetic moment of the A ion in the tetrahedral site is antiferromagnetically polarized with that of the B ion in the octahedral site. Under this circumstance, there are some pairs of A and B which give rise to the exactly cancelled magnetic moment in AB_2S_4 . Moreover, some spinels have the HM electronic structures, as in $FeCr_2S_4$. Then, by choosing proper pairs which satisfy these two conditions, one can devise the thiospinels with the HM-AFM nature. One possible pair is $Mn(5\mu_B)$ at A-site and mixed cations $Cr(3\mu_B)$ -V(2 μ_B) at B-site. Another possible pair is $Fe_{0.5}(2.5\mu_B)$ -Cu_{0.5}(0.0 μ_B) at A-site and V_{0.5}(1 μ_B)- $Ti_{1.5}(1.5\mu_B)$ at B-site. Even though a pair like $Fe(4\mu_B)$ and $V(2\mu_B)$ can be chosen, they are not crystallized in the cubic spinel structure, as discussed above. For the same reason, the pairs, such as $[Co(3\mu_B)]$ and $Ti(1\mu_B)$ - $V(2\mu_B)$], and $[Ni(2\mu_B)]$ and $Ti(1\mu_B)$], are not suitable for a possible HM-AFM, because Ti and V form thiospinels only with Cu [10].

In this work, we will propose two candidates of HM-AFM in thiospinels with cubic spinel structure: $Mn[CrV]S_4$ and $Fe_{0.5}Cu_{0.5}[V_{0.5}Ti_{1.5}]S_4$. We show that electronic structures of these thiospinels have the HM-AFM nature, by using the LSDA on the basis of the linearized muffin-tin orbital (LMTO) band method. The von Barth-Hedin form of the exchange-correlation potential is utilized.

 $Mn[CrV]S_4$ – We have performed the LSDA band calculation for a hypothetical spinel Mn[CrV]S_4. We used two formula units in the primitive unit cell. The primitive unit cell is formed by a cubic closed-packed (fcc) array of S atoms, in which one eighth of the tetrahedral and onehalf of the octahedral interstitial sites are occupied by cations. S atoms have four-fold coordination, formed by three octahedral cations and one tetrahedral cation. For the LMTO calculation, four empty spheres are considered in the interstitial sites to enhance the packing ratio.

Figure 1 shows that Mn[CrV]S₄ has the HM-AFM ground state at the lattice constant a = 10.110Å which is the experimental lattice constant of MnCr₂S₄. Spins of Cr and V are ferromagnetically aligned, but they are antiferromagnetically aligned to those of Mn. The energy gap is evident near E_F in the spin-up density of states (DOS), and the DOS at E_F originates mainly from spindown V-3d (~ two t_{2q} electrons) states which will con-



FIG. 1. Total and projected local density of states (PL-DOS) of Mn[CrV]S₄.

tribute to the metallic conductivity. Five spin-up Mn-3d states and three spin-down $\operatorname{Cr}-t_{2g}$ states are fully occupied. Note that small spin-down states are induced in Mn and Cr near $\operatorname{E}_{\mathrm{F}}$ by the hybridization with those of V. The occupied DOS suggests that $\operatorname{Mn}[\operatorname{CrV}]S_4$ has a nominal valence configuration of $\operatorname{Mn}^{2+}[\operatorname{CrV}]^{3+}\operatorname{S}_4^{2-}$, which corresponds to the normal spinel structure. By counting the small magnetic moments of S and empty spheres (ES), the total magnetic moment becomes zero in the unit cell which is a nature of the HM-AFM (Table I).

MnCr₂S₄, the end member of Mn[CrV]S₄, is known to be a ferrimagnetic insulator with $T_C = 80$ K [11] and with the total magnetic moment ~ 1 μ_B [12]. Neutron diffraction experiment at 4.2 K provided that Cr and Mn have local magnetic moments of $3\mu_B$ and $4.7\mu_B$, respectively [13]. Our LSDA band calculation yields the insulating ground state (Fig. 2) with local magnetic moments of $3.13\mu_B$ and $4.56\mu_B$ for Cr and Mn, respectively, which agrees well with experimental results (Table I). The insu-

TABLE I. Total and local spin magnetic moments in μ_B of Mn[CrV]S₄ (MCVS) and MnCr₂S₄ (MCS). ES denotes the empty sphere.

	Total	Mn	\mathbf{Cr}	V	S	ES						
MCVS	0.00	-4.55	3.17	1.99	-0.11	-0.09						
MCS	1.00	-4.56	3.13		-0.13	-0.09						





lating property comes from the Mn $(e_g^2 t_{2g}^3)$ exchange split spin polarized energy gap and the Cr (t_{2g}^3) crystal field split energy gap. The ferrimagnetism in MnCr₂S₄ can be understood in terms of the ordinary kinetic superexchange interaction. It is thus evident that the HM-AFM Mn[CrV]S₄ results from the insertion of V-3d band in the gap region of MnCr₂S₄ with the magnetic moment parallel to that of Cr.

Unfortunately, the other end member MnV_2S_4 exists in the Cr_3S_4 -type structure [14]. It has been reported that the spinel phase of $Mn[Cr_{2-x}V_x]S_4$ is formed successfully up to x = 0.6 with decreasing magnetic moment from $\sim 1\mu_B$ at x = 0.0 to $\sim 0.4\mu_B$ at x = 0.6 [15]. From this, one can extrapolate zero magnetic moment at x = 1.0in accordance with the present LSDA band result. Only when the spinel structure with substituting V for Cr in $MnCr_2S_4$ is retained, the HM-AFM $Mn[CrV]S_4$ could be synthesized. The above experimental result may indicate that the bulk spinel phase of $Mn[Cr_{2-x}V_x]S_4$ would be unstable over x = 0.6. Then the possible attempt would be an artificial fabrication of $Mn[CrV]S_4$ film on appropriate substrates, which is desirable to be tested experimentally.

 $Fe_{0.5}Cu_{0.5}[V_{0.5}Ti_{1.5}]S_4$ – We have mentioned that FeV₂S₄, if it exists in the cubic spinel form, would be a strong candidate for the HM-AFM. We have also seen that V and Ti form this pinels only with Cu: CuV₂S₄ and CuTi₂S₄ [10]. On the basis of this, we have explored the combination of Fe, Cu, V, and Ti to make a



FIG. 3. Total and PLDOS of $Fe_{0.5}Cu_{0.5}[V_{0.5}Ti_{1.5}]S_4$.

thiospinel possessing both the HM and the AFM nature: Fe_{0.5}Cu_{0.5}[V_{0.5}Ti_{1.5}]S₄ (FCVTS). We have performed the LSDA electronic structure calculation for FCVTS by replacing V_{0.5}Ti_{1.5} with a virtual atom having atomic number 22.25. The lattice constant of a = 9.8865Å is used which is interpolated from end members, CuV₂S₄ and CuTi₂S₄. As shown in Fig. 3, FCVTS really has the HM-AFM electronic structure. Ten Cu 3*d*-states and five spin-down Fe 3*d*-states are fully occupied. The DOS at E_F originates from spin-up Fe 3*d* (~ e_g^1) and V_{0.5}Ti_{1.5} 3*d* (~ t_{2g}^2) states. The calculated magnetic moments in Table. II reflect that the valence configuration of FCVTS corresponds to Fe^{0.5}_{0.5}Cu¹⁺_{0.5}Ti_{1.5}]^{3.25+}S²⁻₄ with zero total magnetic moment. The magnetic mechanism in FCVTS can be understood in a similar way to the case in Fe_{0.5}Cu_{0.5}Cr₂S₄ [5]

Now, let us discuss the possibility of synthesizing FCVTS. We first consider parent thiospinels, CuV_2S_4 and $CuTi_2S_4$, both of which have metallic nature [16].

TABLE II. Total and local spin magnetic moments in μ_B of Fe_{0.5}Cu_{0.5}[V_{0.5}Ti_{1.5}]S₄ (FCVTS), cubic spinel CuV₂S₄ (CVS), and CuTi₂S₄ (CTS).

	Total	Fe	Cu	$\mathrm{V}_{0.5}\mathrm{Ti}_{1.5}$	V	Ti	S	\mathbf{ES}
FCVTS	0.00	-3.57	-0.13	1.08			-0.07	0.03
CVS	3.00		-0.28		1.89		0.12	0.00
CTS	1.00		-0.17			0.66	0.04	0.00



The LSDA band calculation shows that the CuV₂S₄ has a HM ground state in its cubic spinel structure (Fig. 4). But, this result does not coincide with experimental results for CuV₂S₄ having no spontaneous magnetic property. In fact, CuV₂S₄ has a CDW-type phase transition below 90 K. Accordingly, the cubic unit cell is transformed to a tetragonal structure which is induced by the Jahn-Teller local small distortion at the V site [17–21]. Our result suggests that if CuV₂S₄ exists in the cubic spinel structure, it will have a HM ferromagnetic ground state. The oxidation state of Cu atoms of CuV₂S₄ is closer to Cu⁺ [22]. The bands near E_F are predominantly of V 3*d* character, so that the metallic nature of CuV₂S₄ comes mainly from the V atom [22].

The CDW-type phase transition can be suppressed by the random occupation of the octahedral sites in the spinel structure. Indeed, $Cu[V_{1.9}Ti_{0.1}]S_4$ showed no evidence of a phase transition due to random occupation of the octahedral sites by the V-Ti mixture [23]. CuV_2S_4 has a large susceptibility due to considerable exchange enhancement [23]. The ferromagnetism in the Cr-mixed CuV_2S_4 compound $CuV_{2-x}Cr_xS_4$ at low Cr concentrations is considered to come from the large susceptibility of CuV_2S_4 [23]. Therefore, one can also expect that, if some of Cu atoms are replaced by Fe atoms, (FeCu)V_2S_4 would become a ferromagnet.

 $CuTi_2S_4$ shows a metallic behavior [24] and the weak magnetism [25]. Our LSDA band calculation also indicates that both the metallic nature and the weak mag-



FIG. 5. Total and PLDOS of $CuTi_2S_4$.

netism of CuTi₂S₄ result from 3*d*-bands of Ti ions (Table. II). As shown in Fig. 5, the CuTi₂S₄ also has a HM ferromagnetic ground state. The electronic configuration of Cu at the A-site is considered to be close to $e_g^4 t_{2g}^6$ (S=0). Combining the above features, one can make a metallic Cu[V_{0.5}Ti_{1.5}]S₄ having a large susceptibility. Then by mixing Fe ions with Cu[V_{0.5}Ti_{1.5}]S₄ to have exact cancellation of magnetic moments, one can possibly make HM-AFM FCVTS.

In conclusion, we have predicted that $Mn[CrV]S_4$ and FCVTS are candidates for the HM-AFM in thiospinels, based on the LMTO band results in the LSDA. $Mn[CrV]S_4$ and FCVTS satisfy two criteria for the HM-AFM: the antiferromagnetic couplings between magnetic moments and the HM property. The possibility of synthesizing HM-AFMs is discussed by considering electronic, transport, and magnetic properties of parent thiospinel compounds. $MnCr_2S_4$ found to be a ferrimagnetic insulator in agreement with experiment, while CuV_2S_4 and $CuTi_2S_4$ are found to be HM ferromagnets in their cubic spinel structures.

Acknowledgments – This work was supported by the KOSEF through the eSSC at POSTECH and in part by the BK21 Project.

- R. A. de Groot and F. M. Mueller, P. G. van Engen, and K. H. J. Buschow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 2024 (1983).
- [2] see the review by V. Yu. Irkhin and M. I. Katsnel'son, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **164**, 705 (1994) [Sov. Phys. Usp. **37**, 659 (1994)].
- [3] H. van Leuken and R. A. de Groot, Phys. Rev. Lett, 74, 1171 (1995).
- [4] W. E. Pickett, Phys. Rev. B 57, 10613 (1998).
- [5] M. S. Park, S. K. Kwon, S. J. Youn, and B. I. Min, Phys. Rev. B 59, 10018 (1999). The ground state of FeCr₂S₄ is an insulator due to the Jahn-Teller distortion below 10 K.
- [6] J. -S. Kang, S. J. Kim, C. S. Kim, C. G. Olson, and B. I. Min, Phys. Rev. B 63, 144412 (2001).
- [7] E. Z. Kurmaev, A. V. Postnikov, H. M. Palmer, C. Greaves, S. Bartkowski, V. Tsurkan, M. Demeter, D. Hartmann, M. Neumann, D. A. Zatsepin, V. R. Galakhov, S. N. Shamin, V. Trofimova, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12, 5411 (2000).
- [8] M. S. Park and B. I. Min, unpublished.
- [9] A. V. Powell, C. Ritter and P. Vaqueiro, J. Solid State Chem. 144, 372 (1999).
- [10] A. Wold and K. Dwight, Solid State Chemistry: Synthesis, Structure and Properties of Selected Oxides and Sulfides, Chapman and Hall, New York, 1993, ch11.
- [11] F. K. Lotgering, J. Phys. Chem. Solids. 29, 699 (1968).
- [12] V.Tsurkan, M. Demeter, B. Schneider, D. Hartmann, M. Neumann, Solid State Commun. 114, 149 (2000).
- [13] N. Menyuk, K. Dwight, A. Wold, J. Appl. Phys. 36, 1088 (1965).
- [14] M. Nogues, M. Mejai and L. Goldstein, J.Phys. Chem. Solids, 40, 375 (1979).
- [15] L. Goldstein, P. Gibart, M. Mejai and M. Perrin, Physica 86-88B, 893 (1977).
- [16] R. J. Bouchard, P. A. Russo and A. Wold, Inorg. Chem. 4, 685 (1965).
- [17] Y.Yoshikawa, S. Wada, K. Miyatani, T. Tanaka and M. Miyamoto, Phys. Rev. B 55, 74 (1997).
- [18] R. M. Fleming, F. J. Disalvo, R. J. Cava and J. V. Waszczak, Phys. Rev. B 24, 2850 (1981).
- [19] J. Mahy, D. Colaitis, D. Van Dyck and S. Amelinckx, J. Solid State Chem. 68, 320 (1987).
- [20] T. Hagino, Y. Seki, S. Takayanagi, N. Wada and S. Nagata, Phys. Rev. B 49, 6822 (1994).
- [21] T. Ohno, Y. Kishimoto, Physica B 259-261, 971 (1999).
- [22] Z. W. Lu, B. M. Klein, E. Z. Kurmaev, V. M. Cherkashenko, V. R. Galakhov, S. N. Shamin, Yu. M. Yarmoshenko, V. A. Trofimova, St. Uhlenbrock, M. Neumann, T. Furubayashi, T. Hagino and S. Nagata, Phys. Rev. B 53, 9626 (1996).
- [23] F. J. DiSalvo and J. V. Waszczak, Phys. Rev. B 26, 2501 (1982).
- [24] N. Matsumoto, T. hagino, K. Taniguchi, S. Chikazawa, S. Nagata, Physica B 284-288, 1978 (2000).
- [25] T. Koyama, H. Sugita, S. Wada, K. Miyatani, H. Tanaka, M. Ishikawa, Physica B 284-288, 1513 (2000).