

# Coherent State Path Integral for Bloch Particle

Junya Shibata

*Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan*

Komajiro Niizeki

*Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan*

(Dated: November 7, 2018)

We construct a coherent state path integral formalism for the one-dimensional Bloch particle within the single band model. The transition amplitude between two coherent states is a sum of transition amplitudes with different winding numbers on the two-dimensional phase space which has the same topology as that of the cylinder. Appearance of the winding number is due to the periodicity of the quasi-momentum of the Bloch particle. Our formalism is successfully applied to a semiclassical motion of the Bloch particle under a uniform electric field. The wave packet exhibits not only the Bloch oscillation but also a similar breathing to the one for the squeezed state of a harmonic oscillator.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The path integral formalism [1] of quantum mechanics uses classical paths to evaluate a quantum-mechanical transition amplitude. This is an advantage of the formalism over other formulations of quantum mechanics because intuitive arguments are available on solving separate problems in quantum mechanics. The path integral formalism is particularly useful when a physics in a semiclassical regime is considered. In quantum mechanics, dynamical variables are usually quantized and can be mutually incommutable. On the other hand, in the classical mechanics, they are continuous and are mutually commutable. The path integral formalism fully exploits these properties of the classical mechanics. A typical example is the coherent state path integral formalism [2], [3] for the quantum spin system [4].

Meanwhile, the motion of a single electron in a crystal lattice is successfully treated by the single band model [5], in which the relevant Hilbert space is restricted to the subspace associated with a particular band. We may call such an electron a Bloch particle (electron) [6]. We shall consider, for simplicity, the one-dimensional (1D) Bloch particle. On account of the restricted Hilbert space, the position and the momentum of the Bloch particle have important differences from those of the conventional particle: i) the position is quantized in unit of the lattice spacing  $a$  and ii) the momentum is not a true momentum but a quasi-momentum which has a periodicity with the period  $2\pi\hbar/a$ . The two points, i) and ii), are closely related to each other because the position and the quasi-momentum are canonically conjugate to each other. They prevent us from directly applying the path integral formalism for the conventional particle to quantum mechanics of the Bloch particle. If there ever exists a consistent path integral formalism for the Bloch particle, the position of the Bloch particle must be treated as a continuous variable in the formulation.

The purpose of this paper is to present a coherent state path integral formalism for the Bloch particle. The theory will be applied to the transition amplitude of the coherent state of the Bloch particle under a uniform electric field, and famous Bloch oscillations [6], [7] will be reproduced.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we construct the coherent state for the Bloch particle. This is given in the Wannier representation. In Section 3 we compute matrix elements of the kinetic energy and the potential energy for the coherent states by use of the Poisson summation formula. In Section 4 we construct the coherent state path integral formalism for the Bloch particle. In Section 5 evaluates the transition amplitude for the Bloch particle under a uniform electric field on the basis of the stationary-action approximation. In Section 6 we conclude this paper with a summary and a discussion.

## II. COHERENT STATE OF BLOCH PARTICLE

The Wannier states,  $|n\rangle$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , form an orthonormal complete set of the Hilbert space of the Bloch particle:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle\langle n| = 1. \quad (1)$$

The position operator,  $\hat{x}$ , is diagonal in the Wannier representation:

$$\hat{x} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle x_n \langle n|, \quad x_n := na, \quad (2)$$

while the translation operator is off-diagonal:

$$\hat{T} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n+1\rangle\langle n|, \quad (3)$$

or, equivalently,  $\hat{T}|n\rangle = |n+1\rangle$ . Then,  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{T}$  satisfy the commutation:

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{T}] = a\hat{T}, \quad (4)$$

in which the quantization of  $\hat{x}$  is built in. The quasi-momentum operator  $\hat{p}$  is ill-defined but is related to the well-defined operator  $\hat{T}$  by

$$\hat{T} = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}a\hat{p}\right). \quad (5)$$

The translation operator  $\hat{T}$ , which is unitary, is diagonal in the Bloch representation which is based on the Bloch state (the momentum state),  $|p\rangle$ . That is,

$$\hat{T}|p\rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}ap\right)|p\rangle. \quad (6)$$

The Bloch state is specified by its wave function,  $\langle n|p\rangle = \sqrt{a/(2\pi\hbar)}\exp(inap/\hbar)$ . It is periodic:  $|p+2\pi\hbar/a\rangle = |p\rangle$ , so that  $p$  is a variable on a circle (or, equivalently, the Brillouin zone) whose radius is equal to  $\hbar/a$ . It is unnormalizable but two different Bloch states are mutually orthogonal. The completeness of Bloch states is represented as

$$\int_{\text{Bz}} dp |p\rangle\langle p| = 1, \quad (7)$$

where the symbol Bz stands for the Brillouin zone.

It is convenient to introduce the angular variable,  $\phi := ap/\hbar$ , which is the dimensionless quasi-momentum. It is a variable on the unit circle,  $S^1$ . Its operator version,  $\hat{\phi}$ , is related to  $\hat{T}$  by

$$\hat{T} = \exp(-i\hat{\phi}). \quad (8)$$

Since  $\phi$  has a different scale from that of  $p$ , we shall change the normalization for  $|p\rangle$  to obtain  $|\phi\rangle := \sqrt{\hbar/a}|p\rangle$  or, equivalently,  $\langle n|\phi\rangle = \exp(in\phi)/\sqrt{2\pi}$ . Hence, we may write

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in\phi}|n\rangle, \quad (9)$$

$$\oint d\phi |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| = 1. \quad (10)$$

Our path integral formalism for the Bloch particle will be established through an extension of the coherent state path integral formalism for the conventional particle [3]. In the latter formalism, we use coherent states, in each of which the position and the momentum are specified within the restriction imposed by the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. Therefore, the coherent state is a minimal packet and is an eigenstate of the non-hermitian operator:  $\hat{z} := \kappa\hat{x} + i\lambda\hat{p}$ , where  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  are positive parameters characterizing the coherent state. Since  $[\hat{z}, \hat{z}^\dagger] = \kappa\lambda/2$ ,  $\hat{z}$  is an unnormalized annihilation operator of a harmonic oscillator, and we assume that  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  are chosen so that  $\hat{z}$  is dimensionless. Different coherent states are different eigenstates:  $\hat{z}|z\rangle = z|z\rangle$ , where  $z := \kappa x + i\lambda p$  is a complex parameter specifying the coherent state. The position and the momentum of the coherent state are not sharply defined but their mean values are given by  $x$  and  $p$ , respectively, while their spreads by

$$\delta x = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\lambda}{2\kappa}}, \quad \delta p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\kappa}{2\lambda}}, \quad (11)$$

which satisfy  $\delta x\delta p = \hbar/2$ . Note that the ratio  $\kappa/\lambda$  is more important than the two separate parameters themselves. The complex  $z$  plane is isomorphic to the two-dimensional phase space  $(x, p)$ , and  $z$  can be treated as a complex dynamical variable in the coherent state path integral formalism.

We shall return to the case of the Bloch particle. A drawback for the case is that  $\hat{p}$  is ill-defined. To circumvent it, we set  $\lambda = a/\hbar$  and introduce a new non-hermitian operator by the formal equation,  $\hat{A} := \exp(-\hat{z})$ , which is well-defined because

$$\hat{z} = \kappa\hat{x} + i\hat{\phi}. \quad (12)$$

The formal expression for  $\hat{A}$  can be transformed with a standard procedure of the operator algebra into the exact one:

$$\hat{A} = \exp\left[-\kappa\left(\hat{x} - \frac{a}{2}\right)\right] \hat{T}. \quad (13)$$

The coherent state,  $|z\rangle$ , of the Bloch particle is an eigenstate of  $\hat{A}$ :

$$\hat{A}|z\rangle = e^{-z}|z\rangle, \quad z := \kappa x + i\phi. \quad (14)$$

It is of a vital importance in a later argument that the phase space for the complex dynamical variable  $z$  is the cylinder  $\Gamma := \mathbf{R} \otimes S^1$  or, equivalently, the fundamental strip,  $\Sigma := -\pi < \Im z \leq \pi$ , on the complex  $z$  plane. Remember that  $\Gamma$  as well as  $S^1$  is not simply connected.

If the coherent state is normalized appropriately, it is given in the Wannier representation as

$$|z\rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n(z)|n\rangle, \quad (15)$$

$$C_n(z) := \langle n|z\rangle = N \exp\left[-\frac{\kappa}{2a}(x_n - x)^2 + in\phi\right], \quad N = \left(\frac{\kappa a}{\pi}\right)^{1/4}. \quad (16)$$

Thus, the coherent state is of a wave packet, whose spread is equal to  $\delta x := \sqrt{a/(2\kappa)}$ .

The Bloch representation for the coherent state is readily obtained from this equation together with (9):

$$\langle \phi'|z\rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{\kappa}{2a}(x_n - x)^2 + in(\phi - \phi')\right], \quad (17)$$

which can be rewritten with the Poisson summation formula into

$$\langle \phi'|z\rangle = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} N' \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\kappa a}(\phi' - \phi_m)^2 - i\frac{x}{a}(\phi' - \phi_m)\right], \quad N' := (\pi\kappa a)^{-1/4} \quad (18)$$

with  $\phi_m := \phi + 2\pi m$ , where  $m$  is an integral variable to be called the *winding number*. The coherent state has a form of a wave packet in the momentum space as well, and its spread is equal to  $\delta\phi := \sqrt{\kappa a/2}$ , which is consistently related to  $\delta x$  by the Heisenberg uncertainty equality.

Although the left hand side of (18) is periodic on the variable  $\phi'$ , each summand in the r. h. s. (right hand side) is not. Hence, the variable  $\phi'$  should be regarded in the r. h. s. as a variable on  $\mathbf{R}$ , which is simply connected and is the universally covering space for  $S^1$ . A similar situation will be realized in other infinite series of periodic functions to appear.

The inner product between different coherent states can be calculated in the Wannier representation as

$$\langle z|z'\rangle = N^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\kappa a n^2 + n(z^* + z') - \frac{\kappa}{2a}(x^2 + x'^2)\right], \quad (19)$$

which is transformed with the Poisson summation formula into

$$\langle z|z'\rangle = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{S}_c(z, z'_m)\right], \quad z'_m := z' + 2\pi m, \quad (20)$$

where

$$\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{S}_c(\zeta, \zeta') = \frac{1}{8\kappa a} [2(\zeta^* + \zeta')^2 - (\zeta^* + \zeta)^2 - (\zeta'^* + \zeta')^2]. \quad (21)$$

Note that  $\mathcal{S}_c(z, z'_m)$  depends on  $m$  because  $\mathcal{S}_c(z, \zeta)$  is periodic on neither of the two variables. In particular, we obtain,

$$\langle z|z \rangle = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi^2}{\kappa a} m^2 + i \frac{\pi x}{a} m \right] \quad (22)$$

$$= \vartheta_3 \left( \frac{\pi x}{2a} \middle| \frac{i\pi}{\kappa a} \right), \quad (23)$$

where  $\vartheta_3(z|\tau)$  is the  $\theta$ -function [8] defined by

$$\vartheta_3(z|\tau) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{in^2\pi\tau + i2\pi n z}, \quad \Im\tau > 0. \quad (24)$$

Hence, the coherent state  $|z\rangle$  is not normalized to unity. In fact, the r. h. s.'s of (17), (18), (19) and (20) are all represented with the third  $\theta$ -function,  $\vartheta_3$ , but with different arguments. The transformation combining the two expressions for  $\langle \phi'|z\rangle$  or  $\langle z|z'\rangle$  is the famous Jacobi's imaginary-number transformation for the  $\theta$ -function.

We can consider  $C_n^*(z) = \langle z|n\rangle$  to be the wave function of the Wannier state  $|n\rangle$  in the coherent-state representation. The wave functions of Wannier states are orthonormal in the sense represented as

$$\int C_n(z) C_{n'}^*(z) d\mu(z) = \delta_{n,n'}, \quad d\mu(z) := \frac{dx d\phi}{2\pi a}, \quad (25)$$

where the integral must be performed over the entire phase space. The coherent states form an overcomplete set but we can derive from this result the following resolution of unit:

$$\int d\mu(z) |z\rangle\langle z| = 1. \quad (26)$$

This is a basic result for the construction of the coherent state path integral formalism.

Above discussions show that the coherent state of the Bloch particle has similar properties to those of the coherent state of the conventional particle. The former coherent state tends asymptotically to the latter in the quasi-continuous limit,  $a\kappa \ll 1$ , where the spread of the packet in the real space is much larger than  $a$ , the lattice spacing.

It is important in a later discussion that, for a given  $\phi'$ , the summation in the r. h. s. of (18) is dominated by a single term if  $a\kappa \ll 1$ , so that the interference among terms with different winding numbers vanishes then.

### III. MATRIX ELEMENTS

In order to construct the coherent state path integral formalism, we need to compute the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian,  $\hat{H}$ , for the coherent states. We assume that  $\hat{H}$  is written as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{W} + \hat{G}, \quad (27)$$

where  $\hat{W}$  and  $\hat{G}$  are the kinetic energy and the potential energy, respectively, and are commutable with  $\hat{T}$  and  $\hat{x}$ , respectively.

We begin our calculation with  $\hat{G}$ . We assume it to be represented with an analytic function  $g(\zeta)$  as  $\hat{G} = g(\hat{x})$ . Its matrix element for coherent states is calculated in the Wannier representation as

$$\langle z|\hat{G}|z'\rangle = N^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} g(na) \exp \left[ -\kappa a n^2 + n(z^* + z') - \frac{\kappa}{2a} (x^2 + x'^2) \right], \quad (28)$$

which is transformed by use of the Poisson summation formula into:

$$\langle z|\hat{G}|z'\rangle = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_c(z, z'_m) \right] G(z^*, z'_m), \quad (29)$$

where

$$G(\zeta, \zeta') = \left( \frac{\kappa a}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{-\kappa t^2/a} g \left( t + \frac{\zeta + \zeta'}{2\pi\kappa} \right). \quad (30)$$

Meanwhile, the Bloch state  $|\phi\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ , which is commutable with  $\hat{T}$ . The eigenvalue  $W(\phi)$  is a periodic function:  $W(\phi + 2\pi) = W(\phi)$ . Let us write the Fourier expansion of  $W(\phi)$  as

$$W(\phi) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} V_l e^{-il\phi}, \quad V_{-l} = V_l^*. \quad (31)$$

If the potential term is absent, the eigen-energy is specified by  $\phi$  as  $E = W(\phi)$ , which is nothing but the dispersion relation for the Bloch particle. A simplest expression for  $W(\phi)$  is

$$W(\phi) = -V \cos \phi. \quad (32)$$

The relevant band width is  $2V$  provided that  $V > 0$ .

For (31), we obtain

$$\hat{\mathcal{W}} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} V_l \hat{T}^l. \quad (33)$$

The matrix elements of  $\hat{T}^l$  for coherent states can be calculated by a similar procedure to the one for  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z | \hat{T}^l | z' \rangle &= N^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ -\kappa a n^2 + n(z^* + z' + l\kappa a) - lz' - \frac{\kappa}{2a}(x^2 + x'^2) - \frac{\kappa a}{2} l^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_c(z, z'_m) + \frac{l}{2}(z^* - z'_m) - \frac{\kappa a}{4} l^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Thus,

$$\langle z | \hat{\mathcal{W}} | z' \rangle = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_c(z, z'_m) \right] W(z^*, z'_m), \quad (35)$$

where

$$W(\zeta, \zeta') = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\kappa a l^2 / 4} V_l e^{l(\zeta - \zeta') / 2}. \quad (36)$$

From (27), (29), and (35), we obtain

$$\langle z | \hat{\mathcal{H}} | z' \rangle = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_c(z, z'_m) \right] H(z^*, z'_m) \quad (37)$$

with

$$H(\zeta, \zeta') := W(\zeta, \zeta') + G(\zeta, \zeta'). \quad (38)$$

#### IV. COHERENT STATE PATH INTEGRAL FORMALISM

Now, we can construct a coherent state path integral formalism for the Bloch particle. We write the transition amplitude between the initial state  $|z_I\rangle$  and the final state  $|z_F\rangle$  as

$$\mathcal{K}(z_F, z_I; T) := \langle z_F | \exp(-iT\hat{H}/\hbar) | z_I \rangle. \quad (39)$$

We slice the time interval into  $N$  identical pieces of length  $\epsilon := T/N$ , and write  $\exp(-iT\hat{H}/\hbar) = [\exp(-i\hat{H}\epsilon/\hbar)]^N$ . Inserting the resolution of unit, (26), into the relevant site associated with each discrete time yields

$$\mathcal{K}(z_F, z_I; T) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} d\mu(z_j) \prod_{j=1}^N \langle z_j | e^{-i\epsilon\hat{H}/\hbar} | z_{j-1} \rangle \quad (40)$$

with  $z_0 := z_I$  and  $z_N := z_F$ . The transition amplitude  $\langle z | \exp(-i\epsilon \hat{H}/\hbar) | z' \rangle$  can be rewritten to the order  $\epsilon$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z | e^{-i\epsilon \hat{H}/\hbar} | z' \rangle &\simeq \langle z | \left( 1 - i\epsilon \hat{H}/\hbar \right) | z' \rangle \\ &= \langle z | z' \rangle - \frac{i\epsilon}{\hbar} \langle z | \hat{H} | z' \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Using (20) and (37), we can rewrite this equality to the order  $\epsilon$  as

$$\langle z | e^{-i\epsilon \hat{H}/\hbar} | z' \rangle \simeq \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ \frac{i\epsilon}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}(z, z'_m) \right], \quad (42)$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}(\zeta, \zeta') := \mathcal{S}_c(\zeta, \zeta') - \epsilon H(\zeta, \zeta'). \quad (43)$$

Using (42), we can change (40) into we obtain

$$\mathcal{K}(z_F, z_I; T) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} d\mu(z_j) \prod_{j=1}^N \sum_{m_j=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left[ \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}(z_j, z_{j-1} + 2\pi i m_j) \right]. \quad (44)$$

Since  $H(\zeta, \zeta')$  is composed of two terms,  $W(\zeta, \zeta')$  and  $G(\zeta, \zeta')$ ,  $\mathcal{S}(\zeta, \zeta')$  has three terms. From (21), (30) and (36), we see that  $\mathcal{S}(z_j, z_{j-1} + 2\pi i m_j)$  depends on  $m_j$  through the two forms:

$$z_j^* + z_{j-1} + 2\pi i m_j, \quad z_j^* - z_{j-1} - 2\pi i m_j. \quad (45)$$

We can rewrite (44) into a more convenient form if we employ a procedure in a text book [4], in which the authors discuss the Feynman kernel in a periodic system. We begin with changing the integer variables,  $m_j$ 's, into others,  $m'_j$ 's, by

$$m_j = m'_j - m'_{j-1}, \quad m'_0 = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (46)$$

Then, the sum on  $m_j$ 's is transformed to that on  $m'_j$ 's. We next change  $\phi_j$  into

$$\phi_j = \phi'_j + 2\pi m'_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1. \quad (47)$$

Then, two quantities in (45) are transformed to

$$z_j'^* + z_{j-1}', \quad z_j'^* - z_{j-1}' - 4\pi i m'_j, \quad (48)$$

respectively, where  $z_j' := \kappa x_j + i\phi'_j$ . Since  $z_j$  is a variable on the fundamental strip  $\Sigma$ ,  $z_j'$  is a variable on the shifted strip,  $\Sigma - 2\pi i m'_j$ . From the considerations made up to this point, we can conclude that the integration on  $\phi_j$ 's in (44) is transformed as

$$\prod_{j=1}^N \sum_{m_j=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi_j = \sum_{m'_N=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi'_j, \quad (49)$$

where the quantity  $\mathcal{S}(z_j, z_{j-1} + 2\pi i m_j)$  included in the summand of (44) must be replaced simultaneously by  $\mathcal{S}(z'_j, z'_{j-1})$ . Note that the term  $-4\pi i m'_j$  in the second quantity of (48) does not effect the summand because of the form of (36). Thus, we arrive at the final expression for the transition amplitude:

$$\mathcal{K}(z_F, z_I; T) := \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}(z_F^m, z_I; T), \quad z_F^m := z_F + i2\pi m \quad (50)$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}(z_F^m, z_I; T) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx_j}{a} \frac{d\phi_j}{2\pi} \exp \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}] \right), \quad (51)$$

where the action  $\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}]$  is a “functional” of the path  $\mathbf{z} := (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_N)$  with  $z_N := z_{\text{F}}^m$ . and is given by

$$\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}] = \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{S}(z_j, z_{j-1}). \quad (52)$$

It is important to notice here that the phase space for the path  $\mathbf{z}$  is the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ , which is the universally covering space for the cylinder  $\Gamma$ . The transition amplitude has been represented as a sum of transition amplitudes each of which is associated with the final state  $z_{\text{F}}^m = z_{\text{F}} + i2\pi m$  with a specified  $m$ . Note that  $z_{\text{F}}^m = \kappa x_{\text{F}} + i\phi_{\text{F}}^m$  with  $\phi_{\text{F}}^m := \phi_{\text{F}} + 2\pi m$ . Although  $|z_{\text{F}}^m\rangle$  and  $|z_{\text{F}}\rangle$  are an identical state of the Bloch particle, one need to distinguish them and sum over the relevant paths in the path integral formula. This is derived from that  $\Gamma$  is not simply connected [9].

We shall rewrite the expression for  $\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}]$  into a more tractable form. It is composed of the canonical term and the dynamical term:

$$\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}] = \mathcal{S}_{\text{c}}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}] + \mathcal{S}_{\text{d}}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}], \quad (53)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{c}}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}] := \sum_{j=1}^N \mathcal{S}_{\text{c}}(z_j, z_{j-1}), \quad (54)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{d}}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}] := - \sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon H(z_j, z_{j-1}). \quad (55)$$

We introduce here a new quantity by the equation

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_{\text{c}}(z_j, z_{j-1}) = P(z_j) - P(z_{j-1}) + \frac{i}{\hbar} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{c}}(z_j, z_{j-1}) \quad (56)$$

with

$$P(z) := \frac{1}{8\kappa a} \{(z^*)^2 - z^2\}. \quad (57)$$

Then, we may write

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_{\text{c}}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}] = P(z_{\text{F}}^m) - P(z_{\text{I}}) + \frac{i}{\hbar} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{c}}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}], \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{c}}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}] := \sum_{j=1}^N \left( -\frac{1}{4\kappa a} \right) \{z_j^*(z_j - z_{j-1}) - (z_j^* - z_{j-1}^*)z_{j-1}\}, \quad (59)$$

where the summand is just an explicit form for  $(i/\hbar)\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{c}}(z_j, z_{j-1})$ . The first two term in the r. h. s. of (53) are purely imaginary, so that they may be called gauge terms because they give rise to a phase factor of (51). The phase factors affect the interference among different terms in (50).

The equation (59) reduces in the continuum-time limit to the functional:

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{c}}[z^*, z] = -\frac{1}{4\kappa a} \int_0^T dt \left\{ z^*(t) \frac{dz(t)}{dt} - \frac{dz^*(t)}{dt} z(t) \right\}. \quad (60)$$

However, we should emphasize on the necessity of the discrete-time formalism of the coherent state path integral in order to obtain a correct result for the transition amplitude [10]. Thus, equation (60) is usable only for the evaluation of the stationary action, We should mention, finally, that the discrete-time formalism of the coherent state path integral can be consistently formulated because  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{c}}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}]$  and  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{d}}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}]$  are holonomic functions of two sets of complex variables  $\mathbf{z}$  and  $\mathbf{z}^*$ , where the two sets are regarded to be independent variables [10].

## V. APPLICATION TO THE BLOCH OSCILLATION

As an application of the present formalism, we consider the time evolution of the coherent state of the Bloch particle under a uniform electric field  $F$ . As a consequence of the boundedness of the energy band, the Bloch particle exhibits so called the Bloch oscillation [6], [7]. If the simplest dispersion (32) is adopted, the Hamiltonian assumes

$$\hat{H} = -V \cos \hat{\phi} - F \hat{x}, \quad (61)$$

whose matrix element is:

$$H(z_j^*, z_{j-1}) = -V e^{-\kappa a/4} \cosh\left(\frac{z_j^* - z_{j-1}}{2}\right) - \frac{F}{2\kappa}(z_j^* + z_{j-1}). \quad (62)$$

To evaluate the transition amplitude, we employ the stationary-action approximation, which is justified for a semi-classical motion.

We consider here the classical motion of the Bloch particle. The equation of motion is:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{aV}{\hbar} \sin \phi, \quad (63)$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \omega \quad (64)$$

with

$$\omega := \frac{Fa}{\hbar}. \quad (65)$$

The solution for the initial condition,  $x(0) = x_I$  and  $\phi(0) = \phi_I$ , is given by

$$x = x_{\text{cl.}}(t) := x_I + L_{\text{cl.}}[\cos \phi_I - \cos(\omega t + \phi_I)], \quad (66)$$

$$\phi = \phi_{\text{cl.}}(t) := \phi_I + \omega t, \quad (67)$$

where  $L_{\text{cl.}} := V/F$  is so called the localization length. This solution shows the Bloch oscillation with the angular frequency  $\omega$ .

Thus, the condition for the motion to be semi-classical is given by  $L_{\text{cl.}} \gg \delta x \simeq \sqrt{a/\kappa} \gg a$ .

### A. Stationary action

The primary task is to find the stationary point, namely, stationary action path of the action  $\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}]$  or, equivalently,  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}]$ . We will execute it by a similar procedure to the one presented in Ref.[10]. The equation of motion for the stationary action path is obtained by differentiating the action:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{S}}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}]}{\partial z_j^*} \right|_{\mathbf{s}} = \left. \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{S}}[\mathbf{z}^*, \mathbf{z}]}{\partial z_j} \right|_{\mathbf{s}} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1. \quad (68)$$

For the stationary action path  $\{\mathbf{z}^s, \bar{\mathbf{z}}^s\}$ , we are allowed to take the continuous-time limit [10], so that,

$$\frac{dz^s(t)}{dt} = i\omega + i\Omega \sinh\left(\frac{\bar{z}^s(t) - z^s(t)}{2}\right), \quad (69)$$

$$\frac{d\bar{z}^s(t)}{dt} = -i\omega + i\Omega \sinh\left(\frac{\bar{z}^s(t) - z^s(t)}{2}\right) \quad (70)$$

with

$$\omega := \frac{Fa}{\hbar}, \quad \Omega := \frac{\kappa a}{\hbar} V e^{-\kappa a/4}. \quad (71)$$

These equations must be solved under the following boundary conditions [10]:

$$z^s(0) = z_I, \quad \bar{z}^s(T) = z_{\text{F}}^{m*}. \quad (72)$$

It should be noted that  $z^s(t)$  and  $\bar{z}^s(t)$  are not necessary complex conjugate to each other [10].

From (70) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}(z^s(t) - \bar{z}^s(t)) = i(\omega t + \phi_0), \quad (73)$$

where  $\phi_0$  is a complex constant. Inserting this into the r. h. s. 's of (70), we can solve for  $z^s(t)$  and  $\bar{z}^s(t)$  to obtain

$$z^s(t) = z^s(t, \phi_0) := z_I + i\omega t - \frac{\Omega}{\omega} [\cos(\omega t + \phi_0) - \cos \phi_0], \quad (74)$$

$$\bar{z}^s(t) = \bar{z}^s(t, \phi_0) := z_F^{m*} - i\omega(t - T) - \frac{\Omega}{\omega} [\cos(\omega t + \phi_0) - \cos(\omega T + \phi_0)], \quad (75)$$

where the integration constants are fixed by the boundary conditions (72). This solution is consistent to (73) only if  $\phi_0$  satisfies the equation,

$$D(\phi_0) := z_F^{m*} - z^s(T, \phi_0) + 2i(\omega T + \phi_0) = 0, \quad (76)$$

where use has been made of  $\bar{z}^s(T, \phi_0) = z_F^{m*}$ .

The following two quantities are introduced here for a convenience of later arguments:

$$\tilde{\Omega}(t) := \frac{\Omega}{2} \cos(\omega t + \phi_0), \quad (77)$$

$$Z(t, \phi_0) := \int_0^t dt' \tilde{\Omega}(t') = \frac{\Omega}{2\omega} [\sin(\omega t + \phi_0) - \sin \phi_0]. \quad (78)$$

Alternatively, we may write

$$Z(t, \phi_0) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial z^s(t, \phi_0)}{\partial \phi_0}. \quad (79)$$

The stationary action,  $\mathcal{S}_m^s := \mathcal{S}[\bar{z}^s, z^s]$ , can be obtained by substituting (74) and (75) into the action (53) with (55), (58), and (60):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i}{\hbar} \mathcal{S}_m^s &= -\frac{i}{2a} \{x_F \phi_F^m - x_I \phi_I\} \\ &- \frac{1}{4\kappa a} \left[ |z_F^m|^2 + |z_I|^2 - 2z_F^{m*} z_I - (z_F^{m*} - z_I + i\omega T + 2i\phi_0)^2 \right. \\ &\left. - 2i\omega T \left( z_F^{m*} + z_I + i\frac{\omega T}{2} \right) - 8iZ(T, \phi_0) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

## B. Semi-classical stationary action

Let  $z_{\text{sc}}(t) := z^s(t, \phi_I)$ . Then  $z(t) := z_{\text{sc}}(t)$  and  $\bar{z}(t) := z_{\text{sc}}^*(t)$  satisfy the equation of motion (70) with the initial condition,  $z(0) = z_I$  and  $\bar{z}(0) = z_I^*$ . More explicitly,

$$z_{\text{sc.}}(t) = \kappa x_{\text{sc.}}(t) + i\phi_{\text{sc.}}(t), \quad (81)$$

$$x_{\text{sc.}}(t) = x_I + L[\cos \phi_I - \cos(\omega t + \phi_I)], \quad (82)$$

$$\phi_{\text{sc.}}(t) = \phi_I + \omega t \quad (83)$$

with

$$L := \frac{\Omega}{\omega \kappa} = \frac{V}{F} e^{-\kappa a/4}. \quad (84)$$

The solution (81) is the semi-classical solution, which tends to the classical solution (67) in the limit  $\kappa a \rightarrow 0$  because  $L = L_{\text{cl.}} \exp(-\kappa a/4)$ .

Let  $\Delta z := z_F^m - z_{\text{sc}}(T)$ . Then

$$\Delta z = \kappa \Delta x + i\Delta \phi, \quad (85)$$

$$\Delta x = x_F - x_{\text{sc.}}(T), \quad (86)$$

$$\Delta\phi = \phi_{\text{F}}^m - \phi_{\text{sc.}}(T). \quad (87)$$

The condition for the semi-classical solution to satisfy the boundary conditions (72) is given by  $\Delta z = 0$  for an integer  $m$ . If this condition is satisfied, the solution of the equation (76) is given by  $\phi_0 = \phi_{\text{I}}$ .

It will be shown later on that under the semi-classical condition, we can assume  $|\Delta z|^2 = (\kappa\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2 \ll 1$ . Then, we are allowed to solve the equation (76) for  $\phi_0$  to the first order on  $\Delta z$ . We set  $\phi_0 := \phi_{\text{I}} + \delta\phi$ , and expand  $D(\phi_0)$  to the first order on  $\delta\phi$  to obtain

$$D(\phi_0) \simeq \Delta z^* + 2(i - Z(T, \phi_{\text{I}}))\delta\phi, \quad (88)$$

where use has been made of  $D(\phi_{\text{I}}) = \Delta z^*$  and (79). Therefore, we obtain

$$\phi_0 \simeq \phi_{\text{I}} + \frac{i\Delta z^*}{2\{1 + iZ(T, \phi_{\text{I}})\}}. \quad (89)$$

In the similar way, let  $\tilde{z}_{\text{sc}}(t) := z^s(t - T, \phi_{\text{F}}^m)$  and  $\Delta\tilde{z}_{\text{sc}}(0) - z_{\text{I}}$ . Then, under the semi-classical condition,  $|\Delta\tilde{z}|^2 \ll 1$ , we can obtain the correspondence with  $\delta\phi$  as

$$\delta\tilde{\phi} := \frac{i\Delta\tilde{z}}{2\{1 + iZ(T, \phi_{\text{F}}^m - \omega T)\}}. \quad (90)$$

We should remark here that the following equality holds to the zeroth order on  $\Delta\phi$ :

$$Z(T, \phi_{\text{I}}) \simeq Z(T, \phi_{\text{F}}^m - \omega T) \simeq \frac{\Omega}{\omega} \cos\left(\frac{\phi_{\text{F}}^m + \phi_{\text{I}}}{2}\right) \sin\frac{\omega T}{2} =: C(T), \quad (91)$$

which is symmetrical with respect to  $\phi_{\text{I}}$  and  $\phi_{\text{F}}^m$ . Hence, we set

$$\phi_0 = \frac{\phi_{\text{F}}^m + \phi_{\text{I}} - \omega T}{2} + \frac{i\kappa\Delta\bar{x}}{2\{1 + iC(T)\}}, \quad (92)$$

$$\Delta\bar{x} := x_{\text{F}} - x_{\text{I}} + L \left[ \cos\left(\frac{\phi_{\text{F}} + \phi_{\text{I}} + \omega T}{2}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\phi_{\text{F}} + \phi_{\text{I}} - \omega T}{2}\right) \right], \quad (93)$$

and substituting (92) into (80) and (105), we find the following semi-classical stationary action:

$$\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{S}_m^s = -\frac{\kappa}{4a} \frac{(\Delta\bar{x})^2}{1 + iC(T)} - \frac{1}{4\kappa a} (\Delta\phi)^2 - \frac{i}{2a} (x_{\text{F}} + x_{\text{I}})\Delta\phi + \frac{2i}{\kappa a} C(T) \quad (94)$$

### C. Fluctuation

We introduce the fluctuation variables through

$$z_j = z_j^s + \zeta_j, \quad z_j^* = \bar{z}_j^s + \zeta_j^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N-1. \quad (95)$$

Substituting (95) into the action  $\mathcal{S}[\bar{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{z}]$ , and expanding the result up to the second order in the fluctuation, we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}[\bar{\mathbf{z}}^s + \zeta^*, \mathbf{z}^s + \zeta] \simeq \mathcal{S}_m^s + \mathcal{S}_m^2[\zeta^*, \zeta], \quad (96)$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_m^2[\zeta^*, \zeta]$  is a quadratic form on the fluctuation variables. Therefore, the contribution of the fluctuation to the action is represented as a factor of

$$\mathcal{F}_m(T) := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{d(\Re\zeta_j)d(\Im\zeta_j)}{2\kappa a} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathcal{S}_m^2[\zeta^*, \zeta]\right), \quad (97)$$

which is a multi-dimensional Gaussian integral. The leading contribution to  $(i/\hbar)\mathcal{S}_m^2[\zeta^*, \zeta]$  is written as  $-\sum_j \zeta_j^* \zeta_j / (2\kappa a)$ , and the fluctuation of  $\zeta$  is of the order  $\sqrt{\kappa a}$ , which is small when  $\kappa a \ll 1$ .

There exists a standard procedure to evaluate  $\mathcal{F}_m(T)$  [11], [12]. To evaluate the Gaussian integral is reduced to a calculation of the determinant of a symmetric matrix associated with the quadratic form. Since the matrix is

tridiagonal its determinant is given as the last term of coupled three-term recursion relations. In the limit of  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , they reduce to a set of coupled first-order differential equations:

$$\frac{dM(t)}{dt} = -\tilde{\Omega}(t)M'(t), \quad (98)$$

$$\frac{dM'(t)}{dt} = -\tilde{\Omega}(t) \{M(t) + 2iM'(t)\} \quad (99)$$

$$(100)$$

with the initial condition

$$M(0) = 1, \quad M'(0) = 0. \quad (101)$$

The differential equations are readily solved to obtain

$$M(t) = e^{-iZ(t)} [1 + iZ(t)], \quad (102)$$

$$M'(t) = -Z(t) e^{-iZ(t)} \quad (103)$$

with  $Z(t) := Z(t, \phi_0)$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{F}_m(T) = [M(T)]^{-1/2} \quad (104)$$

$$= \frac{e^{iC(T)/2}}{\sqrt{1 + iC(T)}}, \quad (105)$$

where we have used the semi-classical expression,  $C(T) \simeq Z(T, \phi_I)$ .

#### D. Transition amplitude

The transition amplitude in the semi-classical approximation is given by

$$\mathcal{K}(z_F, z_I; T) \simeq \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^s(z_F^m, z_I; T), \quad (106)$$

$$\mathcal{L}^s(z_F^m, z_I; T) := \mathcal{F}_m(T) e^{iS_m^s/\hbar}, \quad (107)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_m(T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + iC(T)}}. \quad (108)$$

It follows that

$$|\mathcal{L}^s(z_F^m, z_I; T)|^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (C(T))^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\kappa}{2a} \frac{(\Delta\bar{x})^2}{1 + (C(T))^2} - \frac{1}{2\kappa a} (\Delta\phi)^2 \right] \quad (109)$$

or, equivalently,

$$|\mathcal{L}^s(z_F^m, z_I; T)|^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (C(T))^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\kappa}{2a} \frac{(x_F - \bar{x}_{sc.}(T))^2}{1 + (C(T))^2} - \frac{1}{2\kappa a} (\phi_F^m - \phi_{sc.}(T))^2 \right], \quad (110)$$

$$\bar{x}_{sc.}(T) := x_I + 2L \sin \left( \frac{\phi_F^m + \phi_I}{2} \right) \sin \frac{\omega T}{2}. \quad (111)$$

For given  $x_F$  and  $\phi_F$ , the summation in the r. h. s. of (106) is dominated by a single term because we have assumed that  $a\kappa \ll 1$ . Therefore, the interference among terms with different winding numbers vanishes then. Since  $\phi_{sc.}(T)$  changes linearly with  $T$ , the leading term in (106) changes discontinuously with  $T$ . It follows from (110) that, for a given initial condition  $(x_I, \phi_I)$ , the wave packet centroid in the extended phase space,  $x_F - \phi_F$ , exhibits the Bloch oscillations along the position axis. While, the time-dependence of the spreads of the wave packet in the phase space are given by

$$\delta x(T) = \sqrt{\frac{2a}{\kappa} \{1 + (C(T))^2\}}, \quad \delta\phi = \sqrt{2\kappa a} \quad (112)$$

along the momentum and the position axes, respectively. The maximum value of  $\delta x(T)$  is equal to  $\sqrt{(2a/\kappa)\{1+(C(T))^2\}}$ , so that our assumption  $|\Delta z|^2 \ll 1$  has been justified under the semi-classical condition.

The expressions for  $\delta x(0)$  and  $\delta\phi$  are larger by the factor  $\sqrt{2}$  than those for the relevant coherent states. This is because  $\langle z_{\text{F}}^m | z_{\text{I}} \rangle$  is a sort of the convolution of the two coherent states. The time-dependence of the spread of the wave packet along the position axis is periodic by (112) with (91). This breathing behavior is similar to the behavior of the squeezed state of the harmonic oscillator [13].

Our solution remains correct in the zero-field limit,  $F = 0$ , where the Bloch particle exhibits a ballistic motion:  $x_{\text{sc.}}(T) = x_{\text{I}} + v_{\text{I}}T$ ,  $\phi_{\text{sc.}}(T) = \phi_{\text{I}}$ , and  $C(T) = (\Omega T/2) \cos \phi_{\text{I}}$  with  $v_{\text{I}} := (aV/\hbar) \sin \phi_{\text{I}}$  being the group velocity. The spread of the wave packet,  $\delta x(T)$ , increases monotonously with  $T$  because of the dispersion of the phase velocity.

## VI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have succeeded in properly defining the coherent states for the Bloch particle. Using them, we have constructed a coherent state path integral formalism for the Bloch particle. The transition amplitude involves contribution from paths with different winding numbers associated with the quasi-momentum. The theory has been successfully applied to the semiclassical motion of the Bloch particle under a uniform electric field, and the famous Bloch oscillation has been reproduced.

If one of two dynamical variables which are canonically conjugate to each other is continuous but periodic, the other is discrete and unbounded on both the positive and the negative sides of its value. The converse of this statement is also true. The second example in addition to the Bloch particle is the rigid rotator, where the rotation angle,  $\phi$ , is continuous but the angular-momentum,  $L_z$ , is discrete. That is, the roles of the position and the momentum are reversed from the case of the Bloch particle. Therefore, the roles of the kinetic energy and the potential energy are reversed as well. The periodic potential energy  $W(\phi)$  stands for the hindering potential, and can assume various forms depending on the physical condition for the rigid rotator. On the contrary, the kinetic energy is restricted to the form  $(L_z)^2/(2I)$  with  $I$  being the moment of inertia. The third example is the order parameter of a mesoscopic superconductor or the BEC of a ultra cold gas, where the phase of the order parameter,  $\phi$ , is continuous but the number of the condensate,  $N$ , is discrete. Anyway, the coherent state path integral formalism established in the present paper can be applied to other systems than the Bloch particle.

It is our hope that the path integral formalism will help to achieve solving more complicated problem for the Bloch particle or other systems. In fact, the authors have found recently equivalence of the quantum dynamics of a domain wall in a quasi-one dimensional mesoscopic ferromagnet to that of the Bloch particle. This subject is discussed in a separate paper [14].

- 
- [1] R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
  - [2] *Coherent states: applications in physics and mathematical physics* edited by J. R. Klauder and B-S. Skagerstam, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985).
  - [3] L. S. Schulman, *Techniques and Applications of Path Integration* (Wiley, New York, 1981).
  - [4] T. Kashiwa, Y. Ohnuki and M. Suzuki, *Path Integral Methods* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1997), pp. 103-110.
  - [5] N. W. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, *Solid State Physics* (Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, Philadelphia, 1976).
  - [6] F. Bloch, *Z. Phys.* **52**, 555 (1928).
  - [7] C. Zener, *Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A* **145**, 523 (1934).
  - [8] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1962), Ch. 11.
  - [9] L. Schulman, *Phys. Rev.* **176**, 1558 (1968).
  - [10] J. Shibata and S. Takagi, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **13**, 107 (1999).
  - [11] H. G. Solari, *J. Math. Phys.* **28**, 1097 (1987).
  - [12] J. Shibata and S. Takagi, *Phys. Rev.* **B62**, 5719 (2000).
  - [13] D. F. Walls and G. J. Milburn, *Quantum Optics*, 2nd edn. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg 1995).
  - [14] J. Shibata and K. Niizeki, in preparation.