

Generalized entropies from first principles

M. P. Almeida

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Ceará, 60455-760 Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil

It is presented a derivation of power law canonical distributions from first principle statistical mechanics, including the exponential distribution as a particular case. It is shown that these distributions arise naturally, and that the heat capacity of the heat bath is the condition that determines its type. As a consequence, it is given a physical interpretation for the parameter q of the generalized entropy.

In a 1988 paper Tsallis [1] proposed a generalized entropy given by the form

$$S_q = k \frac{1}{q-1} \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^W p_i^q \right], \quad (1)$$

with k a positive constant, q a parameter and $\{p_i, i = 1, \dots, W\}$ a discrete probability distribution over the states labeled by i . It is easily observed that S_q in the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ reduces to the standard Boltzmann-Gibbs (BG) entropy

$$S = -k \sum_{i=1}^W p_i \ln p_i. \quad (2)$$

Based on this entropy Eq.(1), a wealthy of papers (see Tsallis [3] for an updated list) has been presented developing an alternative thermodynamical formalism and applying it to actual physical systems.

The supporters of the generalized thermodynamics argue that the BG approach must have a restricted domain of applicability, and that it satisfactorily describes short-range (in time and space) systems with non (multi)fractal boundary conditions [2], and to reinforce this argument they quote a list of physical systems that present a behavior best described by the generalized thermodynamics (see [2] and the references therein). There are recent convincing examples in favor of the generalized thermodynamics in a variety of fields such as turbulence [5,6], elementary particles [4], and anomalous diffusion of micro-organisms in cellular aggregates [7]. However, more experimental and theoretical work is still relevant to assure the applicability and robustness of this generalized approach.

In the large majority of papers that advocate the generalized thermodynamics, the starting point for the analysis is the adoption of the S_q entropy (Eq.(1)). Up to now, little has been proposed in terms of *first principles* to explain the appearance of this entropy form in physical systems. But first principles techniques have already been deployed by S. Abe and A. K. Rajagopal [8] to derive and study power law canonical distributions from microcanonical distributions. Their development results from the use of generalizing functions for the logarithm and for the exponential in classical statistical mechanics procedures [10].

There are several works [9] where the parameter q is linked to the system sensibility on the initial conditions. But a complete specification of its physical meaning is still an open question.

In this letter, we follow the procedure of derivation of the canonical distribution, as presented in standard statistical mechanics textbooks, viz., [10,11,13], to arrive at an understanding of the similarities and dissimilarities between the BG (exponential) canonical distribution and its generalized counterpart, which is a power law. The arguments used are exact and involve no approximation mechanism. From it, we can see that the power law and the exponential distributions are members of a family of possible canonical distributions that arises naturally from statistical mechanics. The signature of these distributions being determined by a parameter that has macroscopic identification. From this simple and basic development, we can get a clear understanding of the physical meaning of the parameter q .

In standard statistical mechanics textbook the derivation of the canonical distribution for a system \mathcal{G}_1 interacting with heat bath \mathcal{G}_2 is based on the structure functions, Ω_2 of \mathcal{G}_2 and Ω of the whole system $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{G}_2$, which expresses the number of configurations of the phase space contained in a shell of constant energy, and is given by

$$\frac{\Omega_2(a - E_1)}{\Omega(a)} = \frac{\Omega_2(a)}{\Omega(a)} \frac{\Omega_2(a - E_1)}{\Omega_2(a)}. \quad (3)$$

Taking the Taylor expansion of $\Omega_2(a - E_1)$ at $E = a$ and defining $\beta = \Omega'_2/\Omega_2$, it can be easily verified that the specification of a constant value for the derivative of the inverse of β with respect to the energy, viz.,

$$\frac{d}{dE} \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right) = q - 1, \quad (4)$$

is sufficient to determine the form of the function $\Omega_2(a - E_1)/\Omega_2(a)$. Indeed, when $d(1/\beta)/dE = 0$ we get $\Omega_2(a - E_1)/\Omega_2(a) = \exp(-\beta E_1)$, and when $d(1/\beta)/dE = q - 1 \neq 0$ we get $\Omega_2(a - E_1)/\Omega_2(a) = [1 - (q - 1)\beta E_1]^{1/(q-1)}$, for $1 - (q - 1)\beta E_1 > 0$, and zero otherwise, which is a power law that we denote by $e_q(-\beta E_1)$. This last function may be considered as a generalized exponential, in the sense that in the limit $q \rightarrow 1$, $e_q(x) \rightarrow \exp(x)$. Also, in classical statistics mechanics $1/\beta$ is proportional to the temperature ($1/\beta = kT$). Therefore the parameter q is related to the energy derivative of the heat bath temperature. It measures the temperature sensitivity of the heat bath to energy variations. Also, we see that for the case $q = 1$, which results in the (BG) exponential distribution,

$$\frac{d}{dE} \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \right) = 0 \quad (5)$$

which means that the BG canonical distribution has the implicit condition that temperature of the heat bath does not depend on its energy. This is realized in the case of an infinity heat bath, which can gain or lose any amount of energy without changing the temperature, which is equivalent to saying that it has infinite heat capacity. For the case of finite heat bath, this condition should no longer be valid and we should get power law canonical distributions.

In terms of the structure function Ω_2 this condition implies that $\Omega_2(E) = C_1(E + C_2)^{1/q-1}$ when $q \neq 1$, and $\Omega_2(E) = C \exp(\alpha E)$ when $q = 0$. It is worthing noting that in some textbooks, e.g. Uhlenbeck and Ford [12], the power law distribution appears in an intermediate step in the derivation of the exponential canonical distribution, which is obtained by letting the system size go to infinity.

Observe also that the derivative of the temperature ($1/\beta$) with respect to energy is proportional to the inverse of the heat capacity, which leads to the following analysis: For $q > 1$, the derivative in Eq.(4) becomes positive and this situation represents a heat bath with a finite positive heat capacity. Analogously, when $q < 1$ the derivative of temperature with energy becomes negative and the heat bath has a negative finite heat capacity.

Let's make a comparison between statistical mechanics and continuum thermodynamics entities in order to derive a microscopic expression for the entropy. The details of the following can be found in [13]. Let $\rho = \rho(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$ be the canonical distribution over the phase space of a given system. Let's consider the case where the macroscopic internal energy has the Hamiltonian as its microscopic counterpart and is given by

$$U = \int_{\Gamma} H \rho d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p}. \quad (6)$$

In a quasi-static process we can compute the rate of change of U with respect to time in the form

$$\dot{U} = \int_{\Gamma} \dot{H} \rho d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} + \int_{\Gamma} H \dot{\rho} d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p}, \quad (7)$$

where the dot on top of the functions represents the total derivative with respect to time. This expression represents the first law of thermodynamics: $\dot{U} = \dot{W} + \dot{Q}$, with $\dot{W} = \int_{\Gamma} \dot{H} \rho d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p}$ and $\dot{Q} = \int_{\Gamma} H \dot{\rho} d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p}$. The second law of thermodynamics asserts that there are functions $T(\beta)$, absolute temperature scales, and S , the entropy, such that $dQ = T(\beta) dS$. In the following paragraph we have a prescription for the determination of entropy functions from the canonical distribution. This establishes a one-to-one correspondence between canonical distributions and entropy forms, in the sense that an entropy form determines a canonical distribution via a *constrained maximum entropy* problem, and the canonical distribution determines (up to constant multiplication and addition) the entropy form.

Under the hypothesis that the canonical distribution $\rho = f(H)$ is a monotonic function of H , such that we can find H as the inverse function $H = f^{-1}(\rho)$, we can define a function

$$F(\rho) = \frac{1}{T(\beta)} \int_0^{\rho} f^{-1}(\xi) d\xi + \psi \rho, \quad (8)$$

with an arbitrary constant ψ , such that

$$S = \int_{\Gamma} F(\rho) d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} \quad (9)$$

is an entropy function, i.e., we have $\dot{Q} = T(\beta) \dot{S}$. Indeed, from these definitions we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{S} &= \int_{\Gamma} F'(\rho) \dot{\rho} d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} \\
&= \frac{1}{T(\beta)} \int_{\Gamma} H \dot{\rho} d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} + \psi \int_{\Gamma} \dot{\rho} d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} \\
&= \frac{\dot{Q}}{T(\beta)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\int_{\Gamma} \dot{\rho} d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} = 0$.

In the case we have $\rho(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = \exp(-\beta H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})) = f(H)$, we get that

$$F(\rho) = -\frac{1}{\beta T(\beta)} [\rho \ln(\rho) - \rho] + \psi \rho, \quad (10)$$

which, by taking $T(\beta) = 1/\beta$ and $\psi = -1$, produces the Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy $S = -\int_{\Gamma} \rho \ln(\rho) d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p}$.

For $q \neq 1$ and $\rho(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) = e_q(-\beta H)$ we have that

$$F(\rho) = \frac{1}{q\beta T(\beta)} \left(\frac{\rho - \rho^q}{q-1} \right) + \left[\frac{1}{q\beta T(\beta)} + \psi \right] \rho, \quad (11)$$

from which, taking $T(\beta) = 1/(q\beta)$ and $\psi = -1$, we get the generalized entropy

$$S_q = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\rho - \rho^q}{q-1} d\mathbf{q} d\mathbf{p} \quad (12)$$

From the above, we can conclude that the existence of power law canonical distributions is perfectly justified from first principles, and that the exponential distribution may be considered as a particular case, the determining feature being the derivative $d(1/\beta)/dE$ of the heat bath temperature. This also implies that the generalized entropies are the adequate entropy forms for the case $d(1/\beta)/dE \neq 0$, which may be considered as a condition of heat bath with finite heat capacity. The Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy is the right form for systems with ideal heat bath.

I would like to thank Profs. J. E. C. Moreira, and R. N. Costa Filho for their comments and suggestions. I want to thank also Prof. C. Tsallis for his comments and for bringing my attention to some recent publications about the topic. This work was partially supported by CNPq (Brazil).

- [1] C. Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. **52**, 479 (1988).
- [2] C. Tsallis, Braz. J. Phys. **29**, 1 (1999).
- [3] <http://tsallis.cat.cbpf.br/biblio.htm>
- [4] I. Bediaga, E. M. F. Curado, and J. Miranda, Physica A **286**, 156 (2000).
- [5] T. Arimitsu, and N. Arimitsu, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 3237 (2000); J. Phys. A **33**, L235 (2000); in *Proceedings IUPAP Workshop on New Trends on Fractal Aspects of Complex Systems* edited by M. L. Lyra (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2001).
- [6] C. Beck, Physica A **277**, 115 (2000); [cond-mat/0005408]; in *Proceedings IUPAP Workshop on New Trends on Fractal Aspects of Complex Systems* edited by M. L. Lyra (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2001); C. Beck, G. S. Lewis, and H. L. Swinney, [cond-mat/0010476];
- [7] A. Upadhyaya, J.-P. Rieu, J. A. Glazier, and Y. Sawada, Physica A (2001) in press.
- [8] S. Abe, and A. K. Rajagopal, Phys. Lett. A **272**, 341, (2000); J. Phys. A **33**, 8733 (2000); [cond-mat/0009400] (2000).
- [9] U. M. S. Costa, M. L. Lyra, A. R. Plastino, and C. Tsallis, Phys. Rev. E **56**, 245 (1997); M. L. Lyra, and C. Tsallis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 53 (1998); M. L. Lyra, Ann. Rev. Comp. Phys., ed. D. Stauffer (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998), page 31.; F. A. Tamarit, S. A. Cannas, and C. Tsallis, Eur. Phys. J. B **1**, 545 (1998); A. R. R. Papa, and C. Tsallis, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 3923 (1998); U. Tirnarkli, C. Tsallis, and M. L. Lyra, Eur. Phys. J. B **10**, 309 (1999); C. R. da Silva, H. R. da Cruz, and M. L. Lyra, Braz. J. Phys. **29**, 144 (1999); C. Anteneodo, and C. Tsallis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5313 (1998).
- [10] R. Kubo, H. Ichimura, T. Usui and N. Hashitsume, *Statistical Mechanics* (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1965).
- [11] A. I. Kinchin, *Mathematical Foundations of Statistical Mechanics* (Dover, New York, 1949).
- [12] G. E. Uhlenbeck, and G. W. Ford, *Lectures in Statistical Mechanics* (Americam Mathematical Society, Providence, 1963), page 18.
- [13] J. H. Weiner, *Statistical Mechanics of Elasticity* (John Willey & Sons, New York, 1983).