

# Josephson Coupling, Phase Correlations, and Josephson Plasma Resonance in Vortex Liquid Phase.

A. E. Koshelev<sup>a</sup>, L. N. Bulaevskii<sup>b</sup> and M. P. Maley<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Materials Science Division,  
Argonne National Laboratory,  
Argonne, Illinois 60439*

<sup>b</sup> *Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545  
(November 23, 2018)*

Josephson plasma resonance has been introduced recently as a powerful tool to probe interlayer Josephson coupling in different regions of the vortex phase diagram in layered superconductors. In the liquid phase, the high temperature expansion with respect to the Josephson coupling connects the Josephson plasma frequency with the phase correlation function. This function, in turn, is directly related to the pair distribution function of the liquid. We develop a recipe to extract the phase and density correlation functions from the dependencies of the plasma resonance frequency  $\omega_p(\mathbf{B})$  and the  $c$  axis conductivity  $\sigma_c(\mathbf{B})$  on the  $ab$ -component of the magnetic field at fixed  $c$ -component. Using Langevin dynamic simulations of two-dimensional vortex arrays we calculate density and phase correlation functions at different temperatures. Calculated phase correlations describe very well the experimental angular dependence of the plasma resonance field. We also demonstrate that in the case of weak damping in the liquid phase, broadening of the JPR line is caused mainly by random Josephson coupling arising from the density fluctuations of pancake vortices. In this case the JPR line has a universal shape, which is determined only by parameters of the superconductors and temperature.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recent studies of Josephson plasma resonance (JPR) absorption in highly anisotropic layered high-temperature and organic superconductors<sup>1-10</sup> have proved that this tool provides unique information on Josephson coupling of layers and on the structure of vortex phases in the presence of an applied magnetic field. JPR in layered superconductors was observed by applying a microwave electric field oriented along the  $c$  axis which excites charge oscillations between layers. In the superconducting state interlayer charge transfer is provided by tunneling of the Cooper pairs. In the absence of a magnetic field the JPR frequency,  $\omega_p$ , at zero temperature is determined by Josephson interlayer coupling<sup>11-13</sup>:

$$\omega_p^2(B=0) \equiv \omega_0^2 = \frac{8\pi^2 cs}{\epsilon_c \Phi_0} J_0 = \frac{c^2}{\epsilon_c \lambda_c^2} = \frac{c^2}{\epsilon_c \gamma^2 \lambda_{ab}^2} \quad (1)$$

where  $J_0 = c\Phi_0/(8\pi^2 s\lambda_c^2)$  is the Josephson current,  $\epsilon_c$  is the high frequency dielectric constant for electric fields along the  $c$  axis,  $\lambda_{ab}$  and  $\lambda_c$  are the London penetration lengths for supercurrent in the  $ab$  plane and in the  $c$  direction respectively,  $\gamma = \lambda_c/\lambda_{ab}$  is the anisotropy ratio and  $s$  is the interlayer spacing. For the most investigated HTS compound  $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_x$  (Bi-2212) the JPR frequency  $\nu_p = \omega_p/2\pi$  at low temperatures is in the range of several hundred gigahertz. At nonzero temperature, in the presence of thermally excited quasiparticles, Eq. (1) is valid as well, if the JPR frequency is well below the scattering rate of quasiparticles, because under this condition only the Cooper pairs contribute to plasma oscillations<sup>14,15</sup>. Then, the JPR frequency drops with temperature proportional to  $1/\lambda_c^2(T)$ . This allows one to observe resonance absorption as a function of temperature at a fixed frequency of uniform microwave electric field oriented along the  $c$  axis<sup>16</sup>. Recently the JPR frequency  $\omega_0(T)$  was also measured by sweeping frequency<sup>17</sup> and the value  $\omega_0(0)/2\pi = 125$  GHz was obtained for slightly underdoped Bi-2212. The same JPR frequency was recently obtained for a Bi-2212 whisker<sup>18</sup>. Transport measurements<sup>18</sup> on the mesa fabricated from this whisker gave the critical current density  $J_0(0) = 1200$  A/cm<sup>2</sup>. This allows one to extract the dielectric constant  $\epsilon_c$  from Eq. (1),  $\epsilon_c \approx 12$ .

A DC magnetic field applied along the  $c$  axis, penetrates inside the superconductor in the form of pancake vortices<sup>19-21</sup>. In an ideal material at low temperatures pancakes would form straight lines and have no influence on interlayer coupling. Due to very weak coupling, pinning and thermal fluctuations easily misalign pancakes in different layers, which leads to suppression of effective Josephson coupling<sup>22</sup> and, as a consequence, to reduction of the

Josephson plasma resonance frequency<sup>23,24</sup>. In real materials pancakes in neighboring layers are always misaligned due to pinning in the vortex glass phase (below the irreversibility line) and due to thermal disorder in the vortex liquid phase. Misaligned pancakes induce a gauge-invariant phase difference  $\varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r})$  between neighboring layers  $n$  and  $n+1$  which causes suppression of the JPR frequency according to the relation<sup>23,24</sup>:

$$\omega_p^2(B, T) = \omega_0^2(T) \mathcal{C}(B, T), \quad \mathcal{C}(B, T) \equiv \langle \cos \varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where  $\langle \dots \rangle$  means thermal average and average over disorder caused by pinning centers.

The JPR frequency is given by the simple expression (2) and is not sensitive to phase dynamics only if  $\omega_p(B, T)$  is much higher than the characteristic frequencies  $\omega_{ps}$  of interlayer phase slips induced by vortex motion<sup>25</sup>. This frequency can be estimated from the  $ab$  component of static resistivity<sup>26</sup>,  $\omega_{ps} \approx (2 \div 4) \cdot 10^8 [1/\text{s}] \cdot T[\text{K}] \cdot \rho_{ab}$ . We will show that, more directly,  $\omega_{ps}$  can be extracted from both the  $c$  axis conductivity and plasma frequency. These estimates show that typically  $\omega_{ps}$  in the liquid region varies from  $10^{-4} \omega_p$  at  $T \approx 40$  K to  $10^{-2} \omega_p$  at  $T \approx 70$  K. Thus, the plasma frequency is determined by a snapshot of vortex positions. For this reason JPR study provides information on the equilibrium properties of the vortex lattice though it is dynamic in nature.

The plasma frequency is mainly sensitive to the average value of  $\cos \varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r})$  even if its local variations are very large, provided the random part of  $\cos \varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r})$  changes rapidly in space. In this case these rapid variations are averaged out by the smooth external oscillating electric field. Space variations of  $\cos \varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r})$  result in mixing of phase collective modes with different momenta and frequency. Such a mixing is responsible for resonance line broadening<sup>27,28</sup>. Mixing is determined by Josephson coupling and is proportional to  $l_\varphi^2/\lambda_J^2$ , where  $\lambda_J = \gamma s$  is the Josephson length, and  $l_\varphi$  is the characteristic length of the phase correlation function

$$S(\mathbf{r}, T, \mathbf{B}) = \langle \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r}) - \varphi_{n,n+1}(0)] \rangle. \quad (3)$$

Averaging in space is effective for  $l_\varphi \ll \lambda_J$ . This condition is fulfilled for fields  $B \gg B_J = \Phi_0/\lambda_J^2$  in a vortex phase with strong disorder along the  $c$  axis, when  $l_\varphi \approx a$ . Such a situation is realized in the vortex liquid phase where interlayer correlations of vortex positions are very weak and also in the Bean critical state obtained by sweeping magnetic field after zero field cooling.  $l_\varphi$  is of the order of  $a$  also in the vortex glass phase obtained by cooling in high magnetic fields above the ‘‘second peak’’ field ( $\approx 300 - 500$  G), where the three-dimensional vortex lattice is strongly disordered according to  $\mu^+$ SR<sup>29</sup> and neutron scattering<sup>30</sup> measurements. In this decoupled phase, interaction of pancake vortices in different layers is very weak, see discussion in Ref. 31.

Therefore, the key parameter which determines field and temperature dependence of the JPR frequency is  $\mathcal{C}(B, T)$ . This allows the use of JPR as a sensitive probe of the vortex state. The field dependence of  $\omega_p$  allows one to observe JPR absorption as a function of magnetic field by sweeping the magnetic field  $B$  at fixed frequency  $\omega$  of applied microwave electric field. In this way the resonance magnetic field  $B_r$  was obtained as a function of temperature and frequency  $\omega$ ,  $\omega_p(B_r, T) = \omega$ , see, e. g., Refs. 1,2,5,6. Tsui *et al.*<sup>1</sup> found the field and temperature dependence of  $\omega_p$  in the vortex state of Bi-2212 by measuring  $B_r(\omega, T)$  at several frequencies and using field sweeping (FS) after zero field cooling. They found that the resonance frequency depends nonmonotonically on temperature at fixed field and has a maximum at the irreversibility line. Measuring  $B_r(\omega, T)$  in the field cooling (FC) and FS modes, Matsuda *et al.*<sup>2</sup> demonstrated that the plasma frequency is history dependent below the irreversibility line. Recently the Josephson plasma resonance has also been measured in the vortex crystal state at small fields<sup>39,40</sup>. Field dependence of the JPR frequency in this phase is well described by the model based on the suppression of the Josephson coupling due to elastic fluctuations of pancake vortices<sup>41</sup>.

In the vortex liquid phase, for the magnetic field  $B_z$  oriented along the  $c$  axis, the field and temperature dependence of JPR frequency is approximately described as<sup>1,2,10</sup>

$$\omega_p^2(B_z, T) \propto \omega_0^2(T) B_z^{-\nu} T^{-1} \quad (4)$$

with  $\nu$  being slightly smaller than unity ( $\approx 0.8$ ).

The influence of vortices on interlayer coupling in the vortex liquid was also probed by direct  $c$ -axis transport measurements<sup>32-36</sup>. In particular, pancake misalignment suppresses the Josephson current  $J_c(T, B_z)$  as compared to its zero field value  $J_0(T)$ , as  $J_c(T, B_z) \approx J_0(T) \mathcal{C}(T, B_z)$ , so that  $J_c(T, B_z)$  is proportional to  $\omega_p^2(B_z, T)$ . The field dependence  $J_c(T, B_z) \propto B_z^{-1}$ , similar to Eq. (4) was indeed found for the  $c$ -axis critical current<sup>34-36</sup>.

Behavior of JPR in the liquid phase described by Eq. (4) was explained in Ref. 25 in the framework of the high temperature expansion with respect to Josephson coupling. This approach provides a general relation, connecting the average cosine factor  $\mathcal{C}(B, T)$  with the phase correlation function  $S(\mathbf{r}, T, \mathbf{B})$  defined by Eq. (3),

$$\mathcal{C} \approx \frac{E_J}{2T} \int d\mathbf{r} S(\mathbf{r}, T, B_z) = f \frac{E_0 B_J}{2T B_z}, \quad (5)$$

where thermal average  $\langle \dots \rangle$  in Eq. (3) is now done without the Josephson coupling,  $E_J = E_0/\lambda_J^2$  is the energy of Josephson interlayer coupling per unit area,  $E_0 = \Phi_0^2 s/16\pi^3 \lambda_{ab}^2$ , and

$$f = \frac{B}{\Phi_0} \int d\mathbf{r} S(\mathbf{r}, T, B_z) \quad (6)$$

is a dimensionless universal function of order unity. The relation (5) was derived assuming that: a)  $\langle \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r})] \rangle = 0$  if the Josephson coupling is absent, and b)  $S(\mathbf{r}, T, B_z)$  is determined by vortices only, and thus its correlation length  $l_\varphi \approx a$ . Such a perturbative approach is valid if the Josephson energy in the area  $l_\varphi^2$  is small compared with  $T$ , i.e.  $E_0 l_\varphi^2/\lambda_J^2 \approx E_0 a^2/\lambda_J^2 \ll T$ . This condition is fulfilled in magnetic fields  $B_z \gg B_J(E_0/T)$  and, under such condition, one gets  $\omega_p(B, T) \ll \omega_0(T)$ . For Bi-2212 crystals with  $\gamma$  of the order of several hundred the high temperature expansion approach is valid practically at all fields above  $\approx 100$  G.

The same physical picture provides prediction for dependence of the JPR frequency on the in-plane field  $B_x$ . In the decoupled phase at  $B_z \gg B_J$  the in-plane field produces an additional linearly growing term in the phase difference,  $2\pi B_x y s/\Phi_0$ , which accounts for the phase difference due to the vector potential corresponding to  $B_x$ . Therefore, the dependence of  $\omega_p^2$  on  $B_x$  is simply determined by the Fourier transform of the phase correlation function  $S(\mathbf{r}, T, B_z)$ . It was also assumed in Ref. 25 that  $S(\mathbf{r}, T, B_z)$  can be approximated by a Gaussian,  $S(\mathbf{r}) = \exp(-\pi B_z r^2/2f\Phi_0)$ . In this case the dependence of JPR on the in-plane field can be presented in the analytical form:

$$\omega_p^2(B_x, B_z, T) \approx \omega_p^2(B_z, T) \exp(-f\pi s^2 B_x^2/\Phi_0 B_z). \quad (7)$$

The exponential factor is the Fourier component of  $S(\mathbf{r})$ . Such dependence was found to describe fairly well the experimental angular dependencies of the resonance field<sup>4,8</sup>.

In this paper we develop a detailed theory of the Josephson plasma resonance in the liquid phase based on perturbation theory with respect to the Josephson coupling. This approach is justified because  $\omega_p^2/\omega_0^2 = C(B, T)$  is well below unity in this state. We will extend the analysis of Ref. 25 by taking into account spin-wave type phase fluctuations in addition to the effect of vortices on JPR. In this approach  $S(\mathbf{r}, T, B) = S_r(\mathbf{r}, T) S_v(\mathbf{r}, T, B)$ , where  $S_r(\mathbf{r}, T)$  is determined by spin-wave type phase fluctuations, while  $S_v(\mathbf{r}, T, B)$  is the phase correlation function induced by vortices. We will calculate  $S_r(\mathbf{r}, T)$  and express  $S_v(\mathbf{r}, T, B)$  via the correlation function of vortex density in the system without Josephson coupling. We show that, at temperatures above the melting point, the coupling between two dimensional pancake liquids in different layers is very weak and can be neglected. If, in addition, pinning inside the layers is neglected, then the density correlation function coincides with the density correlation function of the two-dimensional one-component Coulomb plasma<sup>37</sup>. Accurate analysis shows that the Gaussian dependence of  $S_v(\mathbf{r})$  used in Refs. 25 and 4 actually exists only at  $r \lesssim a$ ; at large distances  $S_v(\mathbf{r})$  decays exponentially,  $S_v(\mathbf{r}) \propto \exp(-r/l)$ . This means that the dependence of JPR frequency on the in-plane field  $B_x$  is also not exactly Gaussian, and is given by the Fourier transform of the phase correlation function,  $f_s(b) \approx (1/a^2) \int d\mathbf{r} \exp(ibx/a) S(\mathbf{r})$ ,

$$\omega_p^2(B_x, B_z) = \omega_p^2(0, B_z) f_s(B_x/\sqrt{B_z H_0}), \quad (8)$$

with  $H_0 = \Phi_0/(2\pi s)^2$ . As the function  $f_s(b)$  is directly connected to the phase and density correlation functions, this equation allows us to obtain information on the structure of the vortex state from experimental study of JPR. We calculated numerically the two-dimensional density correlation functions using Langevin dynamics simulations and used these functions to calculate the phase correlation functions  $S_v(\mathbf{r}, T, B)$  at different temperatures. Influence of weak interlayer coupling and pinning is investigated perturbatively. We found that the function  $f_s(b)$  in Eq. (8) calculated from numerical data gives a better description of the angular dependence of the resonance field than the naive Gaussian ansatz (7). Part of these results has been published in a short paper<sup>38</sup>.

We will investigate also the shape of the resonance line. We demonstrate that in the case of weak damping in the liquid phase the plasma resonance line acquires a universal shape due to fluctuation-induced inhomogeneities of the interlayer phase difference. This mechanism gives a natural explanation for experimentally observed asymmetric broadening of the line. The tail at high frequencies arises due to mixing of propagating plasma modes by random Josephson coupling. The low frequency tail is caused by plasma modes localized in the rare large areas where the Josephson coupling is suppressed due to fluctuations, similar to the well known Lifshitz tail in the electron density of states in disordered semiconductors. These results were published in the short communication 28. In this paper we present their detailed derivations. At high frequencies (fields) we calculate the shape of the line using perturbative expansion with respect to inhomogeneous Josephson coupling. At frequencies below the resonance frequency we use the method of optimal fluctuation to calculate the exponential tail of the JPR line.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we present general thermodynamic relations for a layered superconductor in the mixed state and discuss phase dynamics. Section III is devoted to Josephson coupling in the liquid

state. We obtain the high temperature expansion for the parameter  $\mathcal{C}(B, T)$ , derive general relations connecting the JPR frequency with the pair distribution functions, and calculate the pair distribution functions numerically. We also establish a relation between the plasma frequency and the static c-axis conductivity. In Section IV we calculate the shape of the JPR line in the liquid state due to the inhomogeneous broadening mechanism.

## II. GENERAL FORMALISM

### A. Thermodynamics

We consider a layered superconductor in the vortex state. In the most part of the field-temperature phase diagram below  $T_c$  the relevant degrees of freedom are the vortex coordinates  $\mathbf{r}_{n\nu}$  and phase spin-wave type degrees of freedom  $\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}(\mathbf{r})$ . The energy functional in terms of these degrees of freedom can be written as<sup>27</sup>:

$$\mathcal{F}\{\mathbf{r}_{n\nu}, \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}(\mathbf{r})\} = \mathcal{F}_{em}(\mathbf{r}_{n\nu}) + \mathcal{F}_{pin}(\mathbf{r}_{n\nu}) + \mathcal{F}_{\varphi}\{\mathbf{r}_{n\nu}, \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}(\mathbf{r})\}. \quad (9)$$

Here  $\mathcal{F}_{em}(\mathbf{r}_{n\nu})$  is the functional which accounts for the two-dimensional energy of pancakes and their electromagnetic interaction in different layers<sup>19–21</sup>:

$$\mathcal{F}_{em}(\mathbf{r}_{n\nu}) = \frac{E_0}{4\pi} \int d\mathbf{k}d\mathbf{q} \sum_{n,m,\nu,\nu'} \frac{\exp[i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_{n\nu} - \mathbf{r}_{m\nu'}) + iq(n-m)]}{k^2[1 + \lambda_{ab}^{-2}(k^2 + Q^2)^{-1}]}, \quad (10)$$

where  $Q^2 = 2(1 - \cos q)/s^2$ . The contribution  $\mathcal{F}_{pin}(\mathbf{r}_{n\nu})$  accounts for pinning:

$$\mathcal{F}_{pin}(\mathbf{r}_{n\nu}) = \sum_{n,\nu} \int d\mathbf{r} V_{pin}(\mathbf{r}) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{n\nu}), \quad (11)$$

where  $V_{pin}(\mathbf{r})$  is the pinning potential for vortices, see, e.g., Ref. 42. The last term is the energy of intralayer currents associated with spin-wave type of excitations and the Josephson energy. It depends on the regular part of the phase difference and vortex coordinates:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varphi}\{\mathbf{r}_{n\nu}, \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}\} = E_0 \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mn} L_{nm} \nabla \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{m,m+1}^{(r)} + \frac{1}{\lambda_J^2} \sum_n \left[ 1 - \cos(\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)} + \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}) \right] \right\}. \quad (12)$$

Here  $\nabla = (\partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y)$ . The mutual inductance of layers,  $L_{nm}$ , is:

$$L_{nm} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dq}{2\pi} L(q) \cos(n-m)q \approx \frac{\lambda_{ab}}{2s} \exp\left[-\frac{|n-m|s}{\lambda_{ab}}\right], \quad L(q) = \frac{1}{2(1 - \cos q) + s^2/\lambda_{ab}^2}. \quad (13)$$

The phase  $\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(\mathbf{r})$  is the singular part of the phase difference induced by vortices at positions  $\mathbf{r}_{n\nu}$  and  $\mathbf{r}_{n+1\nu}$  when Josephson coupling is absent ( $1/\lambda_J = 0$ ):

$$\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\nu} [\phi_v(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{n\nu}) - \phi_v(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{n+1,\nu})], \quad (14)$$

where  $\phi_v(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \arctan(y/x)$  is the polar angle of the point  $\mathbf{r}$ .

The average cosine of the total phase difference is given by the expression:

$$\mathcal{C} = 1 + \frac{T}{NS} \frac{\partial}{\partial E_J} \langle \ln Z \rangle_{dis}, \quad (15)$$

$$Z = \int D\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}(\mathbf{r}) D\mathbf{r}_{n\nu} \exp\left[-\mathcal{F}\{\mathbf{r}_{n\nu}, \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}(\mathbf{r})\}/T\right]. \quad (16)$$

Here  $\langle \dots \rangle_{dis}$  is an average over disorder (pinning),  $N$  is the total number of layers, and  $S$  is the total area of the layer.

## B. Phase dynamics

Time variations of the phase difference leading to excitations of quasiparticles and the deviations of the quasiparticle distribution function from equilibrium should be accounted for in the time-dependent equation for the phase difference. General equations describing phase dynamics are still under discussion and they depend on the mechanism of pairing, see Refs. 43–45. At the phenomenological level, the dynamic equations for the phase difference  $\varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  can be derived as follows. Introducing the in-plane superfluid momentum  $\mathbf{p}_n = \nabla\phi_n - (2\pi/\Phi_0)\mathbf{A}_n$ , we can express the in-plane magnetic field as

$$\mathbf{H}_\perp = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi s} \mathbf{n}_z \times (\nabla\varphi_{n,n+1} - s\nabla_z\mathbf{p}_n)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}_z$  is the unit vector in z-direction,  $\nabla_z\mathbf{p}_n \equiv (\mathbf{p}_{n+1} - \mathbf{p}_n)/s$ . Using this representation the z-component of the Maxwell equation  $\text{curl}\mathbf{B} = (4\pi/c)\mathbf{j} + (1/c)\partial\mathbf{D}/\partial t$  can be written as

$$\frac{4\pi}{c}j_z + \frac{\varepsilon_c}{c}\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial t} = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi s}(\nabla^2\varphi_{n,n+1} - s\nabla_z\nabla\mathbf{p}_n) \quad (17)$$

where the current  $j_z$  consists of the Josephson and quasiparticle contributions,  $j_z = J_0 \sin\varphi_{n,n+1} + j_{qz}$ . From the in-plane component of the Maxwell equation we obtain the independent equation for  $\nabla\mathbf{p}_n$

$$(-\nabla_z^2 + \lambda_{ab}^{-2})\nabla\mathbf{p}_n = -\frac{8\pi^2}{c\Phi_0}\nabla\left(\mathbf{j}_n + \frac{\varepsilon_{ab}}{4\pi}\frac{\partial\mathbf{E}_\perp}{\partial t}\right) - \frac{1}{s}\nabla_z\nabla^2\varphi_{n-1,n}, \quad (18)$$

Here  $\varepsilon_{ab}$  is the high frequency dielectric constant for the in-plane electric field. We split the in-plane current into the quasiparticle and superconducting components,  $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}_s + \mathbf{j}_q$  with  $\mathbf{j}_s = (c\Phi_0/8\pi^2\lambda_{ab}^2)\mathbf{p}_n$ . In the following we consider the case when the in-plane equilibration occurs at time scales much shorter than the inverse plasma frequency. This allows us to neglect the in-plane quasiparticle current and electric field in Eq. (18). Solving formally Eq. (18) with respect to  $\nabla\mathbf{p}_n$  and substituting it into Eq. (17) we obtain

$$\sin\varphi_{n,n+1} - \lambda_J^2 \sum_m L_{nm} \nabla^2\varphi_{m,m+1} + \frac{j_{qz}}{J_0} + \frac{\varepsilon_c}{4\pi J_0} \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (19)$$

To obtain a closed set of equations for  $\varphi_{n,n+1}$ , we have to connect  $E_z$  with  $\partial\varphi_{n,n+1}/\partial t$ . In a common Josephson junction these quantities are connected by the famous Josephson relation  $\partial\phi_{n,n+1}/\partial t = (2\pi cs/\Phi_0)E_z$ . However, it was shown<sup>12,44</sup> that in atomically layered superconductors this simple relation is violated due to the charging of layers. The charging effect leads to the variations of the chemical potential  $\mu_n$  in the layer  $n$ , and, in general, the time derivative of  $\varphi_{n,n+1}$  can be written as

$$\frac{\partial\varphi_{n,n+1}}{\partial t} = \frac{2\pi cs}{\Phi_0} \left( E_z - \nabla_z \frac{\mu_n}{e} \right). \quad (20)$$

where  $\mu_n$  is related with the variation of the charge  $\rho_n$  as

$$\mu_n = \frac{4\pi e\lambda_D^2}{\varepsilon_c s} \rho_n \quad (21)$$

where  $\lambda_D$  is the Debye screening length. The charge density is related to electric field by the Poisson equation

$$\nabla_z E_z = \frac{4\pi}{\varepsilon_c} \rho_n \quad (22)$$

Combining Eqs.(20), (21), and (22) we obtain relation between  $E_z$  and  $\partial\varphi_{n,n+1}/\partial t$

$$\frac{\partial\varphi_{n,n+1}}{\partial t} = \frac{2\pi cs}{\Phi_0} (1 - \lambda_D^2 \nabla_z^2) E_z. \quad (23)$$

Finally, the quasiparticle current is determined by the quasiparticle conductivity  $\sigma_{qz}$

$$j_{qz} = \frac{\Phi_0 \sigma_{qz}}{2\pi cs} \frac{\partial\varphi_{n,n+1}}{\partial t} \quad (24)$$

The interlayer quasiparticle conductivity in superconductors with d-wave pairing remains nonzero even at  $T \rightarrow 0$  and it was found to be  $\approx 1 - 2$  (kohm cm) $^{-1}$  in Bi-2212 crystals at 4 K and  $B = 0$ <sup>47</sup> depending weakly on  $B$ <sup>48</sup>. Eqs. (19), (23), and (24) form a closed set of equations for  $\varphi_{n,n+1}$ . They describe phase dynamics when deviations of the quasiparticle distribution function from that at equilibrium can be neglected. Deviations from equilibrium and charging effects may lead to the broadening of JPR resonance in addition to the effect of dissipation caused by quasiparticles. It is unclear at that moment how important are nonequilibrium effects because until now they were studied only in the framework of simple microscopic models<sup>45</sup>, which may be unadequite for HTS.

As we are mainly interested in the effect of pancake vortices on JPR in the following, we will use simplified equations in which we do not account for quasiparticle conductivity<sup>46</sup> and charging effects<sup>12,44</sup>. This simplest form of equation for  $\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  which describes phase variations at fixed vortex positions is:

$$\frac{1}{\omega_0^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)} - \lambda_J^2 \sum_m L_{nm} \nabla^2 \varphi_{m,m+1}^{(r)} + \sin [\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)} + \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(\mathbf{r})] = \frac{1}{4\pi J_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{D}_z(t), \quad (25)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_z(t)$  is the homogeneous in space alternating external electric field, and we assume that  $\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(\mathbf{r})$  is time independent, because characteristic frequencies of vortex motion are well below the plasma frequency as discussed above. For small amplitude variations we expand the phase difference as:

$$\varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) + \varphi'_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (26)$$

Here  $\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$  is the solution of the stationary equation. We obtain for the Fourier component of the phase difference induced by the external electric field,  $\varphi'_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ , the equation

$$\frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2} \varphi'_{n,n+1} + \lambda_J^2 \sum_m L_{nm} \nabla^2 \varphi'_{m,m+1} - \varphi'_{n,n+1} \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})] = -\frac{i\omega}{4\pi J_0} \mathcal{D}_z(t), \quad (27)$$

The homogeneous part of the averaged electric field between layers  $n$  and  $n + 1$  is

$$\langle E_z(\omega) \rangle = \frac{i\omega \Phi_0}{2\pi c s} \langle \varphi'_{n,n+1} \rangle \quad (28)$$

and JPR absorption is determined by the imaginary part of the inverse dielectric function,  $1/\epsilon(\omega) = \langle E_z(\omega) \rangle / \mathcal{D}_z(\omega)$ . Expanding  $\varphi'_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r})$  in terms of the eigenfunctions  $\Psi_{\alpha n}(\mathbf{r})$  of the Schrödinger-like equation

$$-\lambda_J^2 \sum_m L_{nm} \nabla^2 \Psi_{\alpha m} + \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})] \Psi_{\alpha n} = \frac{\omega_\alpha^2}{\omega_0^2} \Psi_{\alpha n}, \quad (29)$$

we obtain a useful expression for  $\text{Im}(1/\epsilon(\omega))$

$$\text{Im} \frac{1}{\epsilon(\omega)} = \frac{\pi\omega}{2\epsilon_c} \int d\mathbf{r} \left\langle \sum_{\alpha,n} \Psi_{\alpha 0}(0) \Psi_{\alpha n}^*(\mathbf{r}) \delta(\omega - \omega_\alpha) \right\rangle, \quad (30)$$

where  $\langle \dots \rangle$  is the average over configurations of  $\cos \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$ . This expression will be used in Sec. V to calculate the shape of the JPR line.

### III. JOSEPHSON COUPLING IN THE LIQUID STATE

#### A. High temperature expansion

In the vortex liquid phase we can use perturbation theory with respect to Josephson coupling, which relates the cosine of the total phase difference with the phase correlation function  $S(\mathbf{r})$  as in Eq. (5). Splitting the total phase difference  $\varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r})$  into vortex,  $\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(\mathbf{r})$ , and regular  $\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}(\mathbf{r})$  contributions, we obtain:

$$\langle \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)} + \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}] \rangle = \frac{E_J}{2T} \int d\mathbf{r} \langle \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r}) - \varphi_{n,n+1}(0)] \rangle = \frac{E_J}{2T} \int d\mathbf{r} S_v(\mathbf{r}) S_r(\mathbf{r}), \quad (31)$$

where the correlation function of phases  $S_v(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(\mathbf{r}) - \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(0)] \rangle$  is determined by vortex positions,

$$S_v(\mathbf{r}) = \langle Z_v^{-1} \int D\mathbf{r}_{n\nu} \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(\mathbf{r}) - \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(0)] \exp\{-(1/T)[\mathcal{F}_{em} + \mathcal{F}_{pin}]\} \rangle_{dis},$$

$$Z_v = \int D\mathbf{r}_{n\nu} \exp\{-(1/T)[\mathcal{F}_{em} + \mathcal{F}_{pin}]\},$$

and  $S_r(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}(\mathbf{r}) - \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}(0)] \rangle$  describes the effect of spin-wave type phase fluctuations (phase difference fluctuations in the absence of vortices),

$$S_r(\mathbf{r}) = Z_s^{-1} \int D\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)} \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}(\mathbf{r}) - \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}(0)] \exp[-(E_0/2T) \sum_{nm} L_{nm} \nabla \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{m,m+1}^{(r)}],$$

$$Z_s = \int D\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)} \exp[-(E_0/2T) \sum_{nm} L_{nm} \nabla \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{m,m+1}^{(r)}].$$

In the lowest order in  $E_J$ , spin-wave type of fluctuations and vortex coordinates degrees of freedom do not interact. In the Gaussian approximation for spin-wave type of phase fluctuations, we obtain:

$$S_r(\mathbf{r}) = \exp\left(-\frac{T}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{q} \frac{1 - \cos(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r})}{E_0 L(\mathbf{q}) k^2}\right) = \left(\frac{\xi_{ab}}{r}\right)^{2\alpha}, \quad \alpha = \frac{T}{2\pi E_0}. \quad (32)$$

We cut off the logarithmic divergence in the integration over  $\mathbf{k}$  is at  $k \approx 1/\xi_{ab}$ , where  $\xi_{ab}$  is the superconducting correlation length. As we show below, a particular value of this cutoff has no influence on observable quantities

## B. Relation between the phase correlation function $S_v(\mathbf{r})$ and the density correlation function of the liquid

Next we express the phase correlation function  $S_v(\mathbf{r}, T, B)$  via the density correlation function of pancake vortices induced by the  $B_z$  component of the magnetic field. Let us consider the phase difference between points  $\mathbf{r}$  and 0 in the layer  $n$  induced by pancakes with density  $\rho_n(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{\nu} \delta(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_{n\nu})$ . Denoting the phase in the layer  $n$  at the point  $\mathbf{r}$  by  $\phi_n(\mathbf{r})$  we obtain

$$\phi_n(\mathbf{r}) - \phi_n(0) = \int d\mathbf{R} \rho_n(\mathbf{R}) \beta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}), \quad (33)$$

where

$$\beta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = \phi_v(\mathbf{r}/2 - \mathbf{R}) - \phi_v(-\mathbf{r}/2 - \mathbf{R}) \quad (34)$$

is the angle at which the segment connecting points  $-\mathbf{r}/2$  and  $\mathbf{r}/2$  is seen from the point  $\mathbf{R} = (X, Y)$ .  $\beta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})$  has a jump of  $2\pi$  when point  $\mathbf{R}$  intersects segment  $[-\mathbf{r}/2, \mathbf{r}/2]$ . Choosing the  $x$  axis along the segment  $[-\mathbf{r}/2, \mathbf{r}/2]$ , we can represent  $\beta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})$  as

$$\beta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) = \arcsin \frac{Yr}{[(R^2 + r^2/4)^2 - (Xr)^2]^{1/2}}, \quad (35)$$

For the phase difference  $\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(\mathbf{r}) - \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(0)$  between layers  $n$  and  $n+1$  in the presence of both components  $B_x$  and  $B_z$  we obtain

$$\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(\mathbf{r}) - \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)}(0) = \Phi_v(\mathbf{r}) + (2\pi/\Phi_0) \int_{n_s}^{(n+1)s} dz [A_z(\mathbf{r}, z) - A_z(0, z)], \quad (36)$$

$$\Phi_v(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{R} [\rho_n(\mathbf{R}) - \rho_{n+1}(\mathbf{R})] \beta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}). \quad (37)$$

Here  $A_z(y) = -B_x y$  is the vector potential for the  $B_x$  component of magnetic field. We assume here that  $B_x$  is large enough so that it penetrates almost freely into the sample and therefore has no influence on the phase fluctuations.

In the following we will use the Gaussian approximation for the random function  $\Phi_v(\mathbf{r})$ , i.e. we neglect irreducible higher-order correlation functions. Then

$$\langle \cos[\Phi_v(\mathbf{r})] \rangle \approx \exp[-F_v(\mathbf{r})], \quad F_v(r) = \langle [\Phi_v(\mathbf{r})]^2 \rangle / 2. \quad (38)$$

Therefore the vortex-induced phase fluctuations are characterized by the the correlation function

$$S_v(\mathbf{r}) = \exp[-F_v(r)] \cos(2\pi s B_x y / \Phi_0). \quad (39)$$

Using Eqs. (37) and (38) we connect  $F_v(r)$  with the following density correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} K(\mathbf{r}) &= (1/2) \langle [\rho_n(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_{n+1}(\mathbf{r})][\rho_n(0) - \rho_{n+1}(0)] \rangle \\ &\equiv K_0(\mathbf{r}) - K_1(\mathbf{r}) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

by the relation

$$F_v(r) = \int d\mathbf{R} d\mathbf{R}_1 K(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}_1) \beta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}) \beta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}_1), \quad (41)$$

where  $K_n(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \delta\rho_0(\mathbf{r}) \delta\rho_n(0) \rangle$  and  $\delta\rho_n(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_n(\mathbf{r}) - n_v$  is the deviation of vortex density from its average value  $n_v = B_z / \Phi_0$  in the layer  $n$  at point  $\mathbf{r}$ . We will use the representation

$$K(\mathbf{r}) = n_v \delta(\mathbf{r}) + n_v^2 h(r), \quad n_v \int d\mathbf{r} h(r) = -1. \quad (42)$$

In the case of uncorrelated pancake arrangements in neighboring layers  $h(r)$  is the pair distribution function of the 2D liquid. Using identity  $\int d\mathbf{r} K(\mathbf{r}) = 0$  we rewrite Eq. (41) as

$$F_v(r) = -(n_v^2/2) \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{R} h(r_1) [\beta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{r}_1/2) - \beta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R} + \mathbf{r}_1/2)]^2 \quad (43)$$

Performing the integration over  $\mathbf{R}$  and averaging over orientation of  $\mathbf{r}_1$  we finally obtain

$$F_v(r) = -\pi n_v^2 \int dr_1 r_1 h(r_1) J(r, r_1), \quad (44)$$

where the universal function  $J(r, r_1)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J(r, r_1) &= 2\pi r^2 [\ln(r_1/r) + 2], \quad r_1 > r, \\ J(r, r_1) &= 4\pi [2rr_1 - r_1^2 - (r_1^2/2) \ln(r/r_1)], \quad r_1 < r. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The function  $J(r, r_1)$  and its first three derivatives are continuous functions of  $r$  and  $r_1$  but the fourth derivative has jump  $8\pi/r_1^2$  at  $r = r_1$ . Eqs. (44) and (45) represent a very important general relation connecting phase fluctuations with the vortex density fluctuations in the Gaussian approximation for the pancake liquid. The function  $F_v(r)$  increases linearly at large  $r$ ,

$$F_v(r) \approx r/l_0, \quad a/l_0 = -8\pi^2 \int_0^\infty dx x^2 h(x), \quad r \gg a, \quad (46)$$

indicating exponential decay of phase fluctuations at large distances. Here we introduced the dimensionless distance  $x = r/a$  with  $a = n_v^{-1/2}$ . For small  $r$  the function  $F_v(r)$  increases approximately quadratically with  $r$ :

$$F_v(r) \approx \pi(r/a)^2 \left( \ln \frac{a}{r} + 2 + C_2 \right), \quad C_2 = -2\pi \int_0^\infty dx x h(x) \ln x, \quad r \ll a. \quad (47)$$

Note that  $h(x)$  depends on the temperature and pinning. The temperature dependence is determined by the dimensionless parameter  $T/E_0$  if the effect of pinning is neglected. Respectively, the function  $F_v(r/a)$  is also temperature and pinning dependent.



### C. Effective Josephson coupling and plasma frequency

The problem of calculating of  $\mathcal{C}(B, T)$ , which determines the Josephson plasma frequency is now reduced to calculation of the integral (44) with pair distribution functions of the liquid,  $h(r)$ . These functions are not available analytically. They can be calculated from Monte Carlo simulations<sup>37</sup> or (approximately) using the density functional theory<sup>49</sup>. As the first approximation we consider uncorrelated two dimensional liquids in layers. For weakly coupled superconductors the density correlations between the layers and weak pinning can be taken into account perturbatively. In the 2D approximation the vortex system is equivalent to the one-component two-dimensional Coulomb plasma, which was studied extensively in the past<sup>37</sup>. The pair distribution function  $h_{2D}(r, B, T, E_0)$  within this approximation has an exact scaling property:

$$h_{2D}(r, B, T, E_0) = h_{2D}(r/a, T/E_0) \quad (48)$$

i.e., it depends on magnetic field only through the spatial scale. As follows from Eq. (44) this scaling property is also transferred to the function  $F_v(r)$ ,  $F_v(r) = F_v(r/a, T/E_0)$ . If, in addition, fluctuations of the regular phase are neglected then at  $B_x = 0$  we obtain from Eqs. (31,39) the expression (5) for  $\mathcal{C}$ . Correlation properties of the liquid determine the field independent universal function  $f(T/E_0)$  defined by Eq. (6). Therefore, the scaling property  $\mathcal{C} \propto 1/B_z$  would be exact if we neglect (i) coupling between pancake liquids in different layers (ii) pinning inside the layers, and (iii) regular phase fluctuations. All these effects are typically small in the pancake liquid phase.

Now, we further develop this approach and include fluctuations of the regular phase, magnetic interlayer coupling and pinning. First, we include the effect of regular phase fluctuations. As the temperature approaches  $T_c$  the role of these fluctuations of regular phase progressively increases. The correlation function of regular phase  $S_r(\mathbf{r})$  is given by Eq. (32). We substitute (32) into Eq. (31) and take into account that the Josephson coupling,  $E_J \propto \lambda_c^{-2}$ , and the plasma frequency at zero field are renormalized by the phase fluctuations. Their suppression is determined by the cosine factor at  $B = 0$ ,  $C(T)$ , which was estimated in Ref. 50 as

$$C(T) = (\xi_{ab}/\lambda_J)^\alpha. \quad (49)$$

Using the scaling property of  $S_v(\mathbf{r})$  we obtain for magnetic field along the  $c$  axis:

$$\langle \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(v)} + \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(r)}] \rangle = f_s(T) \frac{E_0(T)}{2T} \left( \frac{B_J}{B_z} \right)^{1-\alpha}, \quad (50)$$

where

$$f_s(T) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dx x^{1-2\alpha} S_v(x, T)$$

again depends only on reduced temperature  $T/E_0$  within the 2D approximation. Therefore, regular phase fluctuations reduce the power index in the field dependence of  $\mathcal{C}$  and make it temperature dependent. Numerical calculations, described below in Section III E, give  $f_s(T) \approx 1.0 - 1.75T/(2\pi E_0)$  at  $\alpha = T/(2\pi E_0) \lesssim 0.1$ . Using Eqs. (31), (32) and (41) we obtain the JPR frequency for arbitrarily oriented magnetic field:

$$\omega_p^2(B_x, B_z, T) = \omega_0^2(T) \frac{E_0}{2T} \left( \frac{B_J}{B_z} \right)^{1-\alpha} f_s \left( \frac{2\pi s B_x}{\sqrt{\Phi_0 B_z}}, T \right), \quad (51)$$

$$f_s(b, T) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dx x^{1-2\alpha} S_v(x, T) J_0(bx), \quad (52)$$

where  $J_0(x)$  is the Bessel function.

### D. Influence of interlayer coupling and pinning. Perturbative estimate.

We consider now the influence of weak interlayer magnetic coupling and weak pinning. In both cases it is necessary to calculate a correction to the density correlation function and substitute it into Eq. (44). The starting point of the calculation is the thermodynamic expression for the density correlation function

$$K_{n-m}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \equiv \langle \delta\rho_n(\mathbf{r}) \delta\rho_m(\mathbf{r}') \rangle \quad (53)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \int D\mathbf{r}_{n\nu} \delta\rho_n(\mathbf{r}) \delta\rho_m(\mathbf{r}') \exp \left( -\frac{\mathcal{F}_{2D} + \mathcal{F}_{mc} + \mathcal{F}_{pin}}{T} \right). \quad (54)$$

Here we split the electromagnetic part of the energy (10) into the intralayer two-dimensional part ( $\mathcal{F}_{2D}$ ) and the interlayer magnetic coupling ( $\mathcal{F}_{mc}$ ) part. It is convenient to represent all energy contributions in continuous form:

$$\mathcal{F}_{2D} = \pi E_0 \sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \ln \frac{a}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \delta\rho_n(\mathbf{r}) \delta\rho_n(\mathbf{r}') \quad (55)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{mc} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,m} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' V_{mc}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}', n - m) \delta\rho_n(\mathbf{r}) \delta\rho_m(\mathbf{r}') \quad (56)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{pin} = \sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} V_{pin}(\mathbf{r}) \delta\rho_n(\mathbf{r}) \quad (57)$$

with

$$\langle V_{pin}(\mathbf{r}) V_{pin}(0) \rangle = W(\mathbf{r}). \quad (58)$$

Magnetic interaction between pancake vortices,  $V_{mc}(\mathbf{r}, n)$ , has been considered in Refs. 19–21. At small distances  $r, ns \ll \lambda_{ab}$  a simple analytical expression can be obtained for  $n > 0$

$$V_{mc}(\mathbf{r}, n) = \frac{\pi s E_0}{2\lambda_{ab}^2} \left[ \sqrt{r^2 + (ns)^2} - ns - ns \ln \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + (ns)^2} + ns}{2ns} \right]. \quad (59)$$

If both  $\mathcal{F}_{mc}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{pin}$  are neglected then  $K_{n-m}(\mathbf{r}) = K_{2D}(\mathbf{r}) \delta_{nm}$ , with  $K_{2D}(\mathbf{r})$  being the density correlation function of a 2D Coulomb plasma,  $K_{2D}(\mathbf{r}) = n_v \delta(\mathbf{r}) + n_v^2 h_{2D}(\mathbf{r})$ .

First, we obtain the correction to the density correlation function induced by magnetic coupling,  $\Delta_{mc} K_{n-m}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$ . Expanding (53) with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_{mc}$  we obtain:

$$\Delta_{mc} K_{n-m}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{T} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 V_{mc}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2, n - m) K_{2D}(\mathbf{r}_1) K_{2D}(\mathbf{r}_2). \quad (60)$$

Interplane phase correlations are determined by the density correlation function in neighboring layers,  $|m - n| = 1$  (see Eq. (40)). The integral (60) decreases rapidly at  $r \gg a$ , because the main contribution comes from the distances  $r_1, r_2$  of the order  $a$  and  $\int d\mathbf{r} K_{2D}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ . For  $s \ll r < \lambda_{ab}$ , the interaction potential for pancakes in neighboring layers  $V_{mc}(\mathbf{r}, 1)$  increases linearly with  $r$ ,  $V_{mc}(\mathbf{r}, 1) \approx (\pi s E_0 / 2\lambda_{ab}^2) r$  and the correction to  $K_1(\mathbf{r})$  is:

$$\Delta_{mc} K_1(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\pi s E_0}{2\lambda_{ab}^2 T} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 (|\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2| - r) K_{2D}(\mathbf{r}_1) K_{2D}(\mathbf{r}_2). \quad (61)$$

Using the scaling property of  $K_{2D}(\mathbf{r})$ , we get a simple dimensional estimate of this integral

$$\Delta_{mc} K_1(\mathbf{r}) / n_v^2 = (s a E_0 / \lambda_{ab}^2 T) F_{mc}(r/a), \quad (62)$$

where  $F_{mc}(x)$  is a dimensionless function of order unity. An exact shape of this function can be obtained by numerical evaluation of the integral in Eq. (61). Using the scaling estimate (62) we obtain the correction to  $\mathcal{C}$  given by Eq. (50) due to magnetic coupling,  $\Delta_{mc} \mathcal{C}$ :

$$\Delta_{mc} \mathcal{C} = f_{mc} \frac{s \Phi_0^{1/2} E_0^2 B_J}{\lambda_{ab}^2 T^2 B^{3/2}}$$

with  $f_{mc} \sim 1$ . This correction is small because of the small parameter  $s/\lambda_{ab} \approx 0.01$  in atomically layered superconductors.

Let us turn now to the pinning-induced correction to the density correlation function,  $\Delta_{pin} K_{n-m}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = \Delta_{pin} K_0(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta_{nm}$ . Expanding (53) with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_{pin}$  we obtain in the second order with respect to pinning potential:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{pin} K_0(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = & \quad (63) \\ & \frac{1}{2T^2} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 W(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) [\langle \delta\rho(\mathbf{r}) \delta\rho(\mathbf{r}') \delta\rho(\mathbf{r}_1) \delta\rho(\mathbf{r}_2) \rangle - K_{2D}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') K_{2D}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

This correction is determined by the quartic correlation function of density. Such a perturbation approach is valid for weak pinning when the pinning energy of a single vortex,  $E_p \approx \sqrt{W(0)}$ , is small in comparison with the temperature.

An important observation following from Eq. (63) is that, in this temperature interval, the first pinning correction exactly coincides with the correction induced by the extra interaction potential  $W(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)/T$ . The range of this potential coincides with the correlation length of pinning,  $r_p$ , that is much smaller than the intervortex spacing. It means that this potential has almost no influence on the density correlation function at  $E_p \ll T$ , because the contribution to the correction comes only from the rare configurations when two particles approach at distance of the order of  $r_p$ . We can conclude, therefore, that influence of pinning on the pair distribution function of the liquid is negligible at  $T \gg E_p$ . This conclusion is in agreement with the more detailed study of influence of point pinning on correlation properties of the pancake liquid using the replica approach<sup>51</sup>. Note, that strong pinning sites with  $E_p \approx E_0$ , such as columnar defects produced by the heavy ion irradiation, can not be treated perturbatively and may strongly influence density correlations of the pancake liquid.

### E. Phase correlations in pancake liquid regime. Numerical calculations

In the decoupled liquid phase correlations can be calculated using the pair distribution function  $h(r)$  of the two-dimensional vortex system. The phase correlation function  $F_v(\mathbf{r})$  is connected with  $h(r)$  by relation (44). To study the behavior of phase correlations in the liquid state we performed numerical simulations of the motion of  $N_v = 900$  point vortices by numerical solution of the set of Langevin equations for the vortex coordinates  $\mathbf{R}_i$ :

$$\frac{d\mathbf{R}_i}{dt} = \sum_{i \neq j} \mathbf{f}_v(\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j) + \mathbf{f}_{L_i}(t). \quad (64)$$

Here  $\mathbf{f}_v(\mathbf{r})$  is the intervortex interaction force,  $\mathbf{f}_{L_i}(t)$  is the Langevin force,  $\langle f_{L_i\alpha}(0) f_{L_j\beta}(t) \rangle = 2T\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ij}\delta(t)$ . The quantity  $2\pi E_0 = 2s\Phi_0^2/(4\pi\lambda_{ab})^2$ , and the lattice constant  $a_0 = \sqrt{2/\sqrt{3}n_v}$  of the ideal lattice are taken as the units of energy and length. To facilitate numerical calculations we model the real infinite-range  $1/r$  interaction force by the finite-range interaction force  $f_v(r) = (1/r) (1 - r^2/r_{cut}^2)^2$ , cut off at the finite length  $r_{cut}$ . To minimize the effect of cutting we have chosen the cutting length  $r_{cut} = 4.33$  to reproduce the shear modulus  $C_{66} = B\Phi_0/(8\pi\lambda_{ab})^2$  for the uncut interactions (i.e., for  $r_{cut} = \infty$ ). We solve the Langevin equations (64) at different values of  $T$  ranging from 0.006 to 0.1. Simulations give a melting transition at  $T_m \approx 0.007$ , in agreement with the value of the melting point for the two-dimensional Coulomb plasma<sup>37</sup>. A set of pair distribution functions,  $h(r)$ , has been generated using averaging over a large number ( $\approx 2 \cdot 10^4$ ) of vortex configurations (see Fig. 1). For each  $h(r)$  we calculated the phase correlation function,  $S(r)$ , integrating numerically Eq. (44). Several phase correlation functions are presented in Fig. 2. Note that the phase correlation function has surprisingly weak temperature dependence. To facilitate comparison with experiment, we also calculated the function  $f_s(b, T)$  that determines the angular dependence of the plasma frequency via Eqs. (51) and (52). This function is plotted in Fig. 3. We also calculated typical lengths, characterizing decay of  $S(r)$ :  $l_0$  defined by Eq. (46),  $R$ , and  $R_1$ , where the last two lengths are defined by relations

$$R^2 = \int_0^\infty r dr S(r), \quad R_1^2 = \int_0^\infty r^3 dr S(r) / R^2. \quad (65)$$

Temperature dependence of the phase correlation length  $l_0$  is shown in Fig. 5. For comparison we also show two points extracted directly from the phase correlation functions, which were generated using Monte Carlo simulations of the uniformly frustrated XY model<sup>52</sup>. We see that the results of the two models are in perfect agreement. We found that, in spite of considerable change in shape of  $h(r)$  with increasing temperature, the phase correlation function does not change much. In particular, we find that  $l_0$  drops from  $0.3 a_0$  to  $0.25 a_0$  (see Fig. 5),  $R^2 \approx (0.12 - 0.13) a_0^2$ , and  $R_1^2 \approx (0.49 - 0.55) a_0^2$ , ( $R_1 \approx 2R$ ) in the investigated temperature range.

### F. Extracting the phase correlation and pair distribution functions from angular dependence of the plasma frequency

Measurements of dependence of the resonance frequency  $\omega_p$  on  $B_x$  at fixed  $B_z$  and  $T$  allow one, in principle, to obtain the phase correlation function  $S(r)$  and the pair distribution function  $h(x)$ , i.e., to extract quantitative information about correlations in the vortex liquid. Using Eq. (51) the function  $f(b) = f_s(b)/f_s(0)$  can be found from the dependence  $\omega_p(B_x)$  as:

$$f(b) = \frac{\omega_p^2(B_x = b\sqrt{\Phi_0 B_z}/2\pi s)}{\omega_p^2(B_x = 0)}. \quad (66)$$

Usually the angular dependence of the resonance field  $B_r(\theta)$  is measured at fixed microwave frequency<sup>4,8</sup> rather than  $\omega_p(B_x)$ . This dependence also can be used to extract  $f(b)$ :

$$f \left[ b = \frac{2\pi s B_{rx}(\theta)}{\sqrt{\Phi_0 B_{rz}(\theta)}} \right] = \left[ \frac{B_{rz}(\theta)}{B_{rz}(0)} \right]^{1-\alpha} \quad (67)$$

The function  $F_s(x)$  may be found by the reverse Fourier transform from Eq. (52),

$$F_s(x, T) = -\ln \left[ \frac{f_s(0, T) x^{2\alpha}}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty db f(b) b J_0(bx) \right]. \quad (68)$$

In the final stage the density correlation function  $h(x)$  according to Eq. (44) is given by the relation:

$$h(x) = -\frac{1}{8\pi x^3} \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ x^3 \frac{d}{dx} \left[ x \frac{d^3 F_s(x)}{dx^3} \right] \right\}. \quad (69)$$

Unfortunately it includes high order derivatives which makes the procedure very difficult for practical realization.

It is more practical to compare the Fourier transform of the phase correlation function  $f(b)$ , which can be directly extracted from the angular dependence of resonance field via Eq. (67), with the theoretically predicted function obtained by numerical simulations (Fig. 3). To make this comparison we used angular dependence of the resonance field for an optimally doped Bi-2212 sample at 40 K from Fig. 3 of Ref. 4. We plot in Fig. 4 the function  $B_{rz}(\theta)/B_{rz}(0)$  ( $\alpha$  at this temperature can be neglected) vs  $2\pi s B_{rx}(\theta)/\sqrt{\Phi_0 B_{rz}(\theta)}$  and the theoretical  $f(b)$  at  $T/2\pi E_0 = 0.032$ , roughly corresponding to 40 K. One can see that the experimental  $f(b)$  is very close to the theoretical one. This indicates that phase fluctuations in real Bi-2212 crystals are well described by the pancake liquid model.

### G. Relation between the plasma frequency and the $c$ -axis conductivity in vortex liquid

In this part we will establish a relation between  $\omega_p$  and the  $c$ -axis conductivity  $\sigma_c$  in the vortex liquid which allows us to estimate the characteristic frequency of phase slips,  $\omega_{ps}$ , from experimental data for  $\omega_p$  and  $\sigma_c$ . For the plasma frequency we obtain:

$$\omega_p^2(B) = (4\pi s J_0^2 / \epsilon_c T) \int d\mathbf{r} S(\mathbf{r}, B, t = 0), \quad (70)$$

$$S(\mathbf{r}, B, t) = \langle \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r}, t) - \varphi_{n,n+1}(0, 0)] \rangle, \quad (71)$$

The  $c$ -axis conductivity below  $T_c$  consists of the quasiparticle and Josephson contributions. The conductivity due to the interlayer Josephson currents is finite in the liquid phase because of the phase slips induced by pancake thermal motion<sup>26</sup>. When the temperature is decreased at fixed field, the system smoothly crosses over from the region of dominating quasiparticle transport to the region of dominating Josephson transport. We consider the latter region, where the conductivity is related to the correlation function of the Josephson currents via the Kubo formula

$$\sigma_c = (s J_0^2 / T) \int_0^\infty dt \int d\mathbf{r} \langle \sin \varphi_{n,n+1}(0, 0) \sin \varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = (s J_0^2 / 2T) \int d\mathbf{r} dt S(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (72)$$

Now the characteristic frequency  $\omega_{ps}$  involved in the  $c$  axis conductivity may be estimated using the relation

$$\omega_{ps} = \frac{\int d\mathbf{r} S(\mathbf{r}, 0)}{\int_0^\infty dt \int d\mathbf{r} S(\mathbf{r}, t)} = \frac{\epsilon_c \omega_p^2}{8\pi \sigma_c}, \quad (73)$$

if both conductivity and plasma frequency are known at a given temperature and magnetic field.

In Bi-2212 crystals with  $T_c \approx 85$  K a  $c$ -axis conductivity  $\sigma_c \approx 0.5$  ohm<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> was found in Ref. 53 at  $T = 67$  K and  $B_z = 0.3$  T. JPR frequency in a crystal with similar  $T_c$  is  $\omega_p/2\pi \approx 120$  GHz at 4.2 K and  $\approx 90$  GHz at 67 K<sup>17</sup>. From these data, using Eq. (51) we estimate  $\omega_p/2\pi \approx 10$  GHz at  $T = 67$  K and  $B_z = 0.3$  T. With  $\epsilon_c \approx 12$  we obtain  $\omega_{ps}/2\pi \approx 0.2$  GHz at  $B_z = 0.3$  T. Thus we estimate  $\omega_{ps}/\omega_p \approx 0.02$  which justifies the static approach for calculation of plasma frequency.

Consider now influence of the in-plane field on the  $c$ -axis conductivity. In the lowest order in Josephson coupling, we split  $[\varphi_{n,n+1}(0, 0) - \varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r}, t)]$  into the contribution induced by pancakes and that caused by the unscreened

parallel component  $B_x$ . Assuming that  $B_x$  is along the  $x$  axis, we obtain a simple expression for the contribution of the parallel component to the phase difference:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{n,n+1}(0,0) - \varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r},t) &\approx \\ [\varphi_{n,n+1}(0,0) - \varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r},t)]_{B_x=0} &- 2\pi s B_x y / \Phi_0. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Inserting this expression into Eqs. (71) and (72) we obtain

$$\sigma_c(B_z, B_x) = (\pi s J_0^2 / T) \int dr r \tilde{G}(r, B_z) J_0(2\pi s B_x r / \Phi_0), \quad (75)$$

where

$$\tilde{G}(\mathbf{r}, B_z) = \int_0^\infty dt S(\mathbf{r}, t, B_z). \quad (76)$$

The function  $S(\mathbf{r}, t)$  describes the dynamics of the phase difference caused by mobile pancakes. If  $g(B_z, B_x) = \sigma_c(B_\perp, B_x) / \sigma_c(B_z, 0)$  is known in the vortex liquid, the correlation function  $G(r) = \tilde{G}(r) J_0^2 \Phi_0^2 / 4\pi s T \sigma_c(B_z, 0)$  may be found using the inverse Fourier-Bessel transform:

$$G(r, B_z) = \int dB_x B_x g(B_x, B_z) J_0(2\pi s B_x r / \Phi_0). \quad (77)$$

This procedure was realized in Ref. 53 and the function  $G(r, B_z)$  was found for pristine and irradiated Bi-2212 crystals.

## IV. LINE SHAPE OF PLASMA RESONANCE

### A. Formulation of the problem and general relations

To find the line shape we need an equation for the phase difference which describes time variations of the interlayer phase difference and intralayer charges in the presence of an alternating electric field applied along the  $c$  axis. We will use the simplest version of this equation (27), which is similar to the Schrödinger equation. Thus the problem of inhomogeneous line broadening is very similar to the problem of the density of states of a quantum particle in a random potential, and one can use the same techniques. The role of the random potential in our problem is played by  $u_n(\mathbf{r}) = \cos[\varphi_{n,n+1}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})] - \mathcal{C}$ . Neglecting the Josephson coupling, we obtain:

$$\langle u_n(\mathbf{r}) u_m(0) \rangle = \frac{S(\mathbf{r})}{2} \delta_{nm}. \quad (78)$$

where  $S(\mathbf{r})$  is the phase correlation function (3). At large frequencies the random potential can be treated as a small perturbation. The exact criterion will be formulated below. Perturbative analysis of Eq. (27) can be conveniently performed using the Green function formalism. The Green function  $\tilde{G}_{mn}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; E)$  is defined as the solution of the equation:

$$\sum_m \{ [E - \mathcal{C} + u_n(\mathbf{r})] \delta_{nm} + \lambda_J^2 L_{nm} \nabla^2 \} \tilde{G}_{mn'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; E) = \delta_{nn'} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \quad (79)$$

with  $E = \omega^2 / \omega_0^2$ . The homogeneous part of the alternating averaged phase is connected with  $\tilde{G}_{nn'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; E)$  by the relation:

$$\langle \varphi'_{n,n+1}(\omega) \rangle = -\frac{i\omega \mathcal{D}_z(\omega)}{4\pi J_0} \sum_{n'} \int d\mathbf{r}' \left\langle \tilde{G}_{nn'}\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right) \right\rangle = -\frac{i\omega \mathcal{D}_z(\omega)}{4\pi J_0} G\left(\mathbf{k} = 0, q = 0; \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right), \quad (80)$$

where  $G(\mathbf{k}, q; E)$  is the Fourier component of  $G_{nn'}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'; E) = \langle \tilde{G}_{nn'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; E) \rangle$ . The homogeneous part of the averaged electric field between layers  $n$  and  $n+1$  is:

$$\langle E_z(\omega) \rangle = \frac{i\omega^2 \Phi_0}{2\pi c s} \langle \varphi'_{n,n+1}(\omega) \rangle = \frac{1}{\epsilon_c} \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2} G\left(0, 0; E = \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right) \mathcal{D}_z(\omega). \quad (81)$$

Therefore, the dielectric function which describes the response to a homogeneous external electric field is connected with the Green function as:

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon(\omega)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_c} \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2} G\left(0, 0; E = \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}\right). \quad (82)$$

The JPR absorption and shape of the JPR line is determined by  $\text{Im}[1/\epsilon(\omega)]$ .

## B. Diagrammatic expansion with respect to the random potential $u_n(\mathbf{r})$

The Green function at  $u(\mathbf{r}) = 0$  is

$$G_0(\mathbf{k}, q; E) = \frac{1}{E - \kappa^2(\mathbf{k}, q)}, \quad \kappa^2(\mathbf{k}, q) = \mathcal{C} + \frac{\lambda_J^2 k^2}{2(1 - \cos q) + s^2/\lambda_{ab}^2}. \quad (83)$$

This function determines the spectrum of the phase collective mode  $\omega(\mathbf{k}, q) = \omega_0 \kappa(\mathbf{k}, q)$ . Using a standard diagrammatic approach, we can write:

$$G(\mathbf{k}, q; E) = [E - \kappa^2(\mathbf{k}, q) - \Sigma(\mathbf{k}, q; E)]^{-1}, \quad (84)$$

where  $\Sigma(\mathbf{k}, q; E)$  is the self-energy. In the lowest order with respect to  $u(\mathbf{r})$  (the Born approximation for scattering) we obtain:

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{k}, q; E) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}' dq'}{(2\pi)^3} G_0(\mathbf{k}', q'; E) S(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'). \quad (85)$$

The imaginary part of the self-energy,  $\Sigma_2(\mathbf{k}, q; E)$ , determines line broadening, while the real part,  $\Sigma_1(\mathbf{k}, q; E)$ , determines the shift of the resonance center due to inhomogeneities. For  $E - \mathcal{C} \ll \lambda_J^2/a^2$ , one can neglect the  $\mathbf{k}$ -dependence of  $S(\mathbf{k})$  and we obtain:

$$\Sigma_2(\mathbf{k}, q; E) = (S_0/16\pi^2) \int d\mathbf{k} dq \delta [E - \mathcal{C} - \kappa^2(\mathbf{k}, q)] = (S_0/4\lambda_J^2) \Theta(E - \mathcal{C}), \quad (86)$$

$$\Sigma_1(\mathbf{k}, q; E) = -\frac{S_0}{4\pi\lambda_J^2} \ln \frac{\lambda_J^2/a^2}{|E - \mathcal{C}|}, \quad (87)$$

where  $\Theta(x) = 1$  at  $x > 0$ , and vanishes otherwise.  $S_0$  is the Fourier component of the function  $S(\mathbf{r})$  at  $\mathbf{k} = 0$ , i.e.

$$S_0 = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\langle \cos \left[ \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) - \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(0)}(0) \right] \right\rangle. \quad (88)$$

It is of the order  $a^2$  in both the liquid phase and the vortex glass phase with strong disorder. In addition, in the liquid phase, the high temperature expansion gives the relation  $S_0 = 2CT/E_J$ , which allows us to connect the width of the line with its center. Parameter  $\Sigma_\infty \equiv S_0/4\lambda_J^2 = CT/(2E_0)$  gives the reduced scattering rate due to the random potential. Perturbative expansion with respect to the random potential works if  $E - \mathcal{C} \gg \Sigma_\infty$ . In the real units this criterion is given by  $(\omega^2 - \omega_p^2)/\omega_p^2 \gg T/2E_0$ . In spite of strong local variations of the random potential  $u(\mathbf{r})$ , which are much larger than  $\mathcal{C}$ , the parameter  $\Sigma_\infty$  is much smaller than  $\mathcal{C}$ . The reason is that typically the  $ac$  phase  $\phi'_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r})$  varies smoothly in space and effectively averages out these short-range variations.

The dielectric function can be now represented as

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon(\omega)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_c} \frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_p^2 [1 - s_1(\omega)] - i\omega_b^2 \Theta(\omega^2 - \omega_p^2)}. \quad (89)$$

Here  $\omega_b = (T/2E_0)^{1/2} \omega_p$  is the line width for the vortex liquid at the right-hand side of the resonance line, and  $s_1(\omega) = (T/2\pi E_0) \ln[c_0^2/a^2(\omega^2 - \omega_p^2)]$ . The shape of the resonance line in the Born approximation is given by the expression:

$$\text{Im} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon(\omega)} \right] = \frac{1}{\epsilon_c} \frac{\omega^2 \omega_b^2}{(\omega^2 - \omega_p^2 [1 - s_1(\omega)])^2 + \omega_b^4} \Theta(\omega^2 - \omega_p^2), \quad (90)$$

The Born approximation gives only the right-hand side of the resonance line because it describes transformation of the homogeneous plasma mode (with  $k = 0$  and  $q = 0$ ) into the inhomogeneous phase collective modes with nonzero momenta  $k \lesssim a/\lambda_J^2$  and  $q$  of the order unity in the presence of the random coupling  $\cos \varphi_{n,n+1}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$ . Estimate for values  $k$  involved in the line broadening follows from the relation  $\kappa^2(\mathbf{k}, q) \approx S_0/4\lambda_J^2$  at values  $q$  of the order unity. This means that the main part of the JPR line near its center at  $\omega > \omega_p$  comes from averaging over distances  $R \lesssim \lambda_J^2/a$  in the vortex liquid state. Fluctuations of potential at smaller distances result in a far right-hand wing of the JPR line. Note that  $\omega_b$  in the liquid phase is small compared with the frequency of the line center due to the small factor  $\mu = T/2E_0$ .

The absorption as function magnetic field is given by

$$\text{Im} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon(\omega, B)} \right] = \frac{1}{\epsilon_c} \frac{\mu B_r B}{[B - B_r(1 - s_1)]^2 + (\mu B_r)^2} \Theta(B - B_r), \quad (91)$$

where  $B_r = f\omega_0^2 E_J \Phi_0 / 2T\omega^2$  is the resonance magnetic field in the liquid phase. Eq. (91) is valid at  $B - B_r \gg \mu B_r$ , and, thus the term  $(\mu B_r)^2$  in the denominator may be dropped. Then the dependence of absorption on  $B$  is proportional to  $B/(B - B_r)^2$ , and this dependence is in agreement with experiment<sup>2</sup>.

### C. Self-consistent Born Approximation

Perturbative analysis of the previous Section can be improved by using the renormalized Green function (84) in the Born expression for the self energy (85). This approximation, known as the self-consistent Born approximation, leads to the following equation for the self-energy

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{k}, q; E) = \frac{S_0}{2(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k}' dq' \frac{1}{E - \kappa^2(\mathbf{k}', q') - \Sigma(\mathbf{k}', q'; E)}. \quad (92)$$

Introducing dimensionless function  $s_1(\zeta)$  and  $s_2(\zeta)$  of the dimensionless variable  $\zeta = (E - \mathcal{C})/\Sigma_\infty + (2/\pi) \ln(\lambda_J^2/a^2)$  with  $\Sigma_\infty \equiv S_0/4\lambda_J^2$  as:

$$\frac{\Sigma_2}{\Sigma_\infty} = s_2(\zeta), \quad \frac{\Sigma_1}{\Sigma_\infty} = -\frac{2}{\pi} \ln \frac{\lambda_J^2}{a^2} - s_1(\zeta) \quad (93)$$

we obtain the following equations for these functions

$$s_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \frac{\zeta + s_1}{s_2} \quad (94)$$

$$s_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln [(\zeta + s_1)^2 + s_2^2] \quad (95)$$

The resonance absorption  $p(\omega)$  can be written in terms of these functions as:

$$p(\omega)\omega_b^2/\omega^2 = f [(\omega^2 - \tilde{\omega}_p^2)/\omega_b^2], \quad (96)$$

$$f(\zeta) = \frac{s_2(\zeta)}{[\zeta + s_1(\zeta)]^2 + s_2^2(\zeta)}. \quad (97)$$

Here  $\tilde{\omega}_p$  is the resonance frequency shifted by the random Josephson coupling,  $\tilde{\omega}_p^2 = \omega_p^2(1 - (T/\pi E_0) \ln(B/B_J))$ . This negative shift is due to the second order perturbative correction to the ground state “energy”, similar to the well known result of quantum mechanical perturbation theory. The scaling representation (96) is exact, i.e., the shape of the line is fully determined by two dimensionless functions  $s_1(\zeta)$  and  $s_2(\zeta)$ . Eqs. (94) and (95) allow us to calculate these functions approximately. Fig. 6 shows dependencies of the reduced JPR absorption  $p(\omega)\omega_b^2/\omega^2$  and the functions  $s_{1,2}$  on the reduced frequency  $\zeta$ . The self-consistent Born approximation corresponds to summation of the perturbation series, which includes only certain class of diagrams. Omitted terms have the same order and this approximation does not describe quantitatively the line shape in the region of maximum and below. In particular, within this approximation  $\Sigma_2$  and resonant absorption still vanish at  $E < \mathcal{C} - \Sigma_\infty/\pi$ . In the real situation, there is a long absorption tail due to the localized plasma modes arising from exponentially rare fluctuation suppression of coupling at large areas. This tail is very similar to the Lifshitz tail in the density of states in inhomogeneous semiconductors<sup>54,55</sup>.

### D. Lifshitz Tail

To calculate the exponential tail due to localized plasma modes (left-hand side of the resonance line) one has to use the method of optimal fluctuation<sup>54</sup>. We will follow the derivations present in the book of Lifshitz, Pastur, and Gredeskul<sup>55</sup>. From now on we make the replacement  $E \rightarrow E - \mathcal{C}$ . The resonant absorption is determined by the averaged spectral density

$$\rho(E) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int d\mathbf{r}' \sum_{n'} \text{Im} [G_{nn'}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'; E - i\delta)], \quad (98)$$

which can be represented as:

$$\rho(E) = \int d\mathbf{r} \sum_n \int Du(\mathbf{r}) P\{u_n(\mathbf{r})\} \sum_\nu \Psi_\nu(0, 0; u) \Psi_\nu(\mathbf{r}, n; u) \delta(E - \mathcal{E}_\nu\{u\}), \quad (99)$$

where  $\Psi_\nu(\mathbf{r}, n; u)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  are eigenfunction and eigenvalue of the state  $\nu$  in the system with the potential  $u_n(\mathbf{r})$ . The probability of realization of this potential is  $P\{u_n(\mathbf{r})\} = \exp[-\mathcal{H}\{u(\mathbf{r})\}]$ . The scale of variations of typical eigenfunctions  $\Psi_\nu(\mathbf{r}, n; u)$  for not too small  $\mathcal{E}_\nu$  is much larger than the scale of variation of  $u_n(\mathbf{r})$ . This allows us to treat  $u_n(\mathbf{r})$  as a short ranged Gaussian random variable and approximate  $\mathcal{H}\{u_n(\mathbf{r})\}$  as

$$\mathcal{H}\{u_n(\mathbf{r})\} = \sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{[u_n(\mathbf{r})]^2}{S_0}. \quad (100)$$

This is a good approximation to find the line shape not far away from the line center because the eigenvalue  $\mathcal{E}_0$  not far away from  $\mathcal{C}$  comes from the effective random potential  $u_n(\mathbf{r})$  averaged over large length scales  $R$ . This approximation breaks down only for very large and highly improbable fluctuations of the random potential. With exponential accuracy in the tail region we have  $\rho(E) \propto \exp(-\Phi(E))$  with

$$\Phi(E) = \min_u \mathcal{H}\{u_n(\mathbf{r})\} |_{\mathcal{E}_0\{u\}=E}, \quad (101)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_0\{u\}$  is the lowest eigenvalue at given  $u_n(\mathbf{r})$ . Following the standard line of reasoning<sup>55</sup>, which we outline in the Appendix, we obtain that  $\Phi(E)$  is determined by solution of the nonlinear dimensionless eigenvalue problem:

$$-\nabla^2 \psi_n + \nabla_n^2 \psi_n^3 = -\epsilon_0 \nabla_n^2 \psi_n(\mathbf{r}). \quad (102)$$

with condition  $\psi_n(0) = 1$ .  $\Phi(E)$  can be found from solution of this equation as:

$$\Phi(E) = \frac{\lambda_J^2 E}{\epsilon_0 S_0} \psi_4. \quad (103)$$

with  $\psi_4 = \sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_n^4(r)$ . Numerical solution of Eq. (102) gives  $\epsilon_0 = -0.1642$  and  $\psi_4 = 2.4178$ . Asymptotic solution at large distances corresponds to a dipole field:

$$\psi_n(\mathbf{r}) = 0.224 \frac{|\epsilon_0| r^2 - 2n^2}{(|\epsilon_0| r^2 + n^2)^{5/2}} \quad (104)$$

because the time derivative of the phase difference  $\varphi_{n,n+1}(\mathbf{r}) = \Psi_n(\mathbf{r})$  gives the electric field  $E_z$  between layers  $n$  and  $n+1$ . This electric field of the dipole type describes plasma oscillations with low frequencies when opposite charges are distributed between layers in the regions where Josephson coupling is below the average value due to fluctuations in the vortex density.

From Eq. (103) we obtain  $\Phi(E) = 14.72 \lambda_J^2 E / S_0$ , which corresponds to the low-frequency tail (low-magnetic-field tail) of the dielectric function:

$$\text{Im} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon(\omega)} \right] \propto \exp \left[ -7.36 \frac{E_0(\omega_p^2 - \omega^2)}{T\omega_p^2} \right] \quad (105)$$

at fixed magnetic field ( $\omega_p$  depends on  $B$ ). At fixed frequency, the imaginary part of the inverse dielectric function as a function of magnetic field is:

$$\text{Im} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon(B)} \right] \propto \exp \left( -3.68 \frac{B_r - B}{\mu B_r} \right). \quad (106)$$

where  $\mu = T/2E_0$  and the frequency dependent resonance field  $B_r$  is defined after Eq. (91). In real units the coarse-grained optimum cosine fluctuation at  $E = \mathcal{C} - \omega^2/\omega_0^2 \ll \mathcal{C}$  is:



$$u_n(\mathbf{r}) = \cos \varphi_{n,n+1} - \mathcal{C} = \frac{E}{|\varepsilon_0|} \psi_n^2 \left( \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r_E} \right), \quad r_E = \lambda_J \sqrt{\frac{|\varepsilon_0|}{E}}. \quad (107)$$

Thus both sides of the resonance line are characterized by the width  $\omega_b \approx (T/E_0)^{1/2} \omega_p$  in the vortex liquid phase at  $B_z \gg B_J$ . Comparing the shape of the left-hand side of the resonance line, Eq. (106), with that of the right-hand side, Eq. (91), we see that the low-field side is sharper in agreement with experiment<sup>2,3,6</sup>.

By using Eq. (91) and data of Matsuda *et al.*<sup>2</sup> for the resonance line in the liquid phase at  $T = 36$  K, we may estimate the parameter  $\mu \approx 0.06$ , while our theoretical estimate  $\mu = T/2E_0(T)$  gives  $\approx 0.13$  at  $\lambda_{ab} = 2500$  Å and  $s = 15.6$  Å. We note that, actually, Eq. (91) may be invalid near  $B = B_r$ , and, thus, our estimate of  $\mu$  from experimental data is correct by order of magnitude only. The line was found to be anisotropic with a sharper left-hand side in agreement with Eqs. (91) and (107). Thus, our model gives at least qualitative explanation of the JPR line form.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

We have obtained a quite complete description of the JPR for the vortex liquid phase in magnetic fields  $B_z \gg B_J$ . We related the JPR frequency in the presence of a tilted magnetic field,  $\omega_p(B_x, B_z)$ , to the correlation function of the pancake liquid. Numerical results for the density correlation function of the two-dimensional Coulomb plasma allow one to predict the field dependence of the plasma frequency or *vice versa*; experimental data for  $\omega_p(B_x, B_z)$  allow one to obtain information on the density correlations in the pancake liquid.

We have explained the line shape of the JPR in the liquid phase by inhomogeneous broadening caused by disorder in pancake positions along the  $c$  axis. It is the excitation of the phase collective modes with nonzero momenta by a homogeneous-in-space alternating electric field in the presence of an inhomogeneous vortex distribution that leads to line broadening of the JPR line at  $\omega > \omega_p$ . Rare fluctuation suppressions of coupling in large areas due pancake density fluctuations result in the left-hand side ( $\omega < \omega_p$ ) of the resonance line broadening. These mechanisms lead to a JPR line that agrees well with experimentally observed asymmetric line shape. Due to this inhomogeneous broadening of JPR line mechanisms associated with dissipation due to quasiparticles remain hidden when measurements are made in a disordered vortex state.

## Acknowledgments

We thank M. Gaifullin for providing data of Ref. 4 which were used in Fig. 4. We would like to thank V. M. Vinokur for stimulating discussions and I. Aranson for help in numerical calculations. This work in Argonne was supported by the National Science Foundation Office of the Science and Technology Center under contract No. DMR-91-20000. and by the U. S. Department of Energy, BES-Materials Sciences, under contract No. W-31- 109-ENG-38. In Los Alamos this work was supported by U.S. DOE. AEK also would like to acknowledge support from the Japan Science and Technology Corporation, STA Fellowship 498051, and to thank National Research Institute for Metals for hospitality.

## Appendix: Spectral density in the Lifshitz tail region

In this Appendix we derive the eigenvalue equation (102) which determines the spectral density  $A(E) \propto \exp(-\Phi(E))$  in the Lifshitz tail region. Using the Lagrange technique we represent  $\Phi(E)$  as

$$\Phi(E) = \min_u [\mathcal{H}\{u_n(\mathbf{r})\} - \beta(E - \mathcal{E}_0\{u\})], \quad (108)$$

$\beta$  is the Lagrange factor, which has to be determined by the condition  $\mathcal{E}_0\{u\} = E$ . Representing  $\mathcal{E}_0[u]$  as

$$\mathcal{E}_0\{u\} = \min_\Psi \left[ H_0\{\Psi\} + \sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} u_n(\mathbf{r}) \Psi_n^2(\mathbf{r}) \right] \quad (109)$$

with the Hamiltonian for the “free particle”

$$H_0\{\Psi\} = \lambda_J^2 \sum_{n,m} \int d\mathbf{r} L_{nm} \nabla \Psi_n \nabla \Psi_m \quad (110)$$

we can rewrite Eq. (101) as:

$$\Phi(E) = -\beta E + \min_\Psi \left( \beta H_0\{\Psi\} + \min_u \left[ \mathcal{H}\{u_n(\mathbf{r})\} + \beta \sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} u_n(\mathbf{r}) \Psi_n^2(\mathbf{r}) \right] \right). \quad (111)$$

Optimal  $u_n(\mathbf{r})$  is determined by the condition

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta u_n(\mathbf{r})} + \beta \Psi_n^2(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad (112)$$

which gives

$$u_n(\mathbf{r}) = -S_0 \beta \Psi_n^2(\mathbf{r})/2, \quad (113)$$

and Eq. (101) can be represented as:

$$\Phi(E) = -\beta E + \min_{\Psi} \left( \beta H_0\{\Psi\} - \frac{\beta^2 S_0}{4} \sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} \Psi_n^4(\mathbf{r}) \right). \quad (114)$$

Optimal  $\Psi_n(\mathbf{r})$  is determined by the equation

$$-\lambda_J^2 \sum_m L_{nm} \nabla^2 \Psi_m(\mathbf{r}) - (\beta S_0/2) \Psi_n^3(\mathbf{r}) = E \Psi_n(\mathbf{r}). \quad (115)$$

Applying the operator  $-\nabla^2 + s^2/\lambda_{ab}^2$  to this equation and neglecting small terms of the order  $s^2/\lambda_{ab}^2$  we can rewrite this equation in a simpler form

$$-\lambda_J^2 \nabla^2 \Psi_n(\mathbf{r}) + (\beta S_0/2) \nabla_n^2 \Psi_n^3(\mathbf{r}) = -E \nabla_n^2 \Psi_n(\mathbf{r}), \quad (116)$$

where  $\nabla_n^2 \Psi_n = 2\Psi_n - \Psi_{n+1} - \Psi_{n-1}$ . Using relation

$$H_0\{\Psi\} = \lambda_J^2 \sum_{n,m} \int d\mathbf{r} L_{nm} \nabla \Psi_n \nabla \Psi_m = \sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} ((\beta S_0/2) \Psi_n^4(\mathbf{r}) + E \Psi_n^2(\mathbf{r})) \quad (117)$$

we obtain for  $\Phi(E)$ :

$$\Phi(E) = -\beta E + \min_{\Psi} \left( \beta H_0\{\Psi\} - \frac{\beta^2 S_0}{4} \sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} \Psi_n^4(\mathbf{r}) \right) \quad (118)$$

$$= \frac{\beta^2 S_0}{4} \sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} \Psi_n^4(\mathbf{r}). \quad (119)$$

Introducing dimensionless variables

$$\Psi_n(\mathbf{r}) = \Psi_0(0) \psi_n(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{r} = \tilde{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\lambda_J}{\sqrt{\beta S_0 \Psi_0^2(0)/2}}, \quad \epsilon_0 = \frac{2E}{\beta S_0 \Psi_0^2(0)}, \quad (120)$$

we obtain the dimensionless equation (102).  $\Phi(E)$  can be found from solution of this equation as:

$$\Phi(E) = \frac{\beta}{2} \lambda_J^2 \Psi_0^2(0) \psi_4 \quad (121)$$

with  $\psi_4 = \sum_n \int d\tilde{\mathbf{r}} \psi_n^4(\tilde{\mathbf{r}})$ . Using the relation  $\beta \Psi_0^2(0) = 2E/\epsilon_0 S_0$  we obtain the final relation (103).

<sup>1</sup> O. K. C. Tsui, N. P. Ong, Y. Matsuda, Y. F. Yan, and J. B. Peterson, Phys. Rev. Lett., **73**, 724 (1994); O.K. C. Tsui, N. P. Ong, and J. B. Peterson, Phys. Rev. Lett., **76**, 819 (1996); O. K. C. Tsui, Proceedings for SPIE's Photonics West 96, Symposium on Oxide Superconductor Physics and Nano-Engineering II, San Jose, CA.

<sup>2</sup> Y. Matsuda, M. B. Gaifullin, K. Kumagai, K. Kadowaki, and T. Mochiku, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4512 (1995).

<sup>3</sup> Y. Matsuda, M. B. Gaifullin, K. Kumagai, M. Kosugi, and K. Hirata, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1972 (1997).

<sup>4</sup> Y. Matsuda, M. B. Gaifullin, K. Kumagai, K. Kadowaki, T. Mochiku, and K. Hirata, Phys. Rev. B, **55**, R8685 (1997).

- <sup>5</sup> T. Shibauchi, A. Sato, A. Mashio, T. Tamegai, H. Mori, S. Tajima, and S. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. B **55**, R11977 (1997).
- <sup>6</sup> K. Kadowaki, I. Kakeya, M. B. Gaifullin, T. Mochiku, S. Takahashi, T. Koyama, and M. Tachiki Phys. Rev. B **56**, 5617 (1997).
- <sup>7</sup> I. Kakeya, K. Kindo, K. Kadowaki, T. Mochiku, S. Takahashi, T. Koyama, and M. Tachiki, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 3108 (1998).
- <sup>8</sup> N. P. Ong, S. P. Bayrakci, O. K. C. Tsui, K. Kishio, and S. Watauchi, Physica C **293**, 20 (1997).
- <sup>9</sup> S. Sakamoto, A. Maeda, T. Hanaguri, Y. Kotaka, J. Shimoyama, K. Kishio, Y. Matsushita, M. Hasegawa, H. Takei, H. Ikeda, and R. Yoshizaki, Phys. Rev. B **53**, R14749 (1996).
- <sup>10</sup> A. Maeda, Y. Tsuchiya, S. Sakamoto, H. Ikeda, and R. Yoshizaki, Physica C **293**, 143 (1997).
- <sup>11</sup> T. Mishonov, Phys. Rev. B, **44**, 12033 (1991); **50**, 4004 (1994).
- <sup>12</sup> S. N. Artemenko and A. G. Kobel'kov, JETP Lett. **58**, 445 (1993); Physica C (Amsterdam) **253**, 373 (1995).
- <sup>13</sup> M. Tachiki, S. Koyama, and M. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. B, **50**, 7065 (1994).
- <sup>14</sup> S. V. Pokrovsky and V. L. Pokrovsky, Journ. of Superconductivity, **8**, 183 (1995).
- <sup>15</sup> S. N. Artemenko, L. N. Bulaevskii, M. P. Maley, and V. M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. B, **59**, 11587(1999).
- <sup>16</sup> M. Gaifullin, Y. Matsuda, and L. N. Bulaevskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3551 (1998).
- <sup>17</sup> M.B. Gaifullin, Yu. Matsuda, N. Chikumoto, K. Kishio, and R. Yoshizaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3928 (1999).
- <sup>18</sup> Yu. Matsuda and Yu. Latyshev, private communication.
- <sup>19</sup> A. I. Buzdin and D. Feinberg, J. Phys. (Paris) **51**, 1971 (1990).
- <sup>20</sup> S. N. Artemenko and A. N. Kruglov, Phys. Lett. A **143** 485 (1990).
- <sup>21</sup> J. R. Clem, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 7837 (1991).
- <sup>22</sup> L. I. Glazman and A. E. Koshelev, Phys. Rev. B, **43**, 2835 (1991).
- <sup>23</sup> L. N. Bulaevskii, M. P. Maley, and M. Tachiki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 801 (1995).
- <sup>24</sup> L. N. Bulaevskii, V. L. Pokrovsky, and M. P. Maley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1719 (1996).
- <sup>25</sup> A. E. Koshelev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3901 (1996).
- <sup>26</sup> A. E. Koshelev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1340 (1996).
- <sup>27</sup> L. N. Bulaevskii, D. Dominguez, M. P. Maley, A. R. Bishop, O. K. C. Tsui, and N. P. Ong, Phys. Rev. B, **54**, 7521 (1996).
- <sup>28</sup> A. E. Koshelev and L. N. Bulaevskii Phys. Rev. B **60**, R3743 (1999).
- <sup>29</sup> S. L. Lee, P. Zimmermann, H. Keller, M. Warden, I. M. Savić, R. Schauwecker, D. Zech, R. Cubitt, E. M. Forgan, P. H. Kes, T. W. Li, A. A. Menovsky, and Z. Tarnawski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3862 (1993); C. M. Aegerter, S. L. Lee, H. Keller, E. M. Forgan, and S. H. Lloyd, Phys. Rev. B **54**, R15 661 (1996).
- <sup>30</sup> R. Cubitt and E. M. Forgan, Nature **365**, 407 (1993).
- <sup>31</sup> A. E. Koshelev and V. M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 8026 (1998).
- <sup>32</sup> E. Rodriguez, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3375 (1993).
- <sup>33</sup> J. H. Cho, M. P. Maley, S. Fleshler, A. Lacerda, and L. N. Bulaevskii, Phys. Rev. B, **50**, 6493 (1994).
- <sup>34</sup> T. Yasuda, T. Uchiyama, T. Fukami, T. Aomine, and S. Takano, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 11973 (1996).
- <sup>35</sup> A. Yurgens, D. Winkler, N. V. Zavaritsky, and T. Claeson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 5122 (1997); A. Yurgens, D. Winkler, T. Claeson, G. Yang, I. F. G. Parker, and C. E. Gough Phys. Rev. B **59**, 7196 (1999).
- <sup>36</sup> M. Suzuki, T. Watanabe, and A. Matsuda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4248 (1997).
- <sup>37</sup> J. M. Caillol, D. Levesque, J. J. Weis, and J. P. Hansen, J. Stat. Phys. **28**, 325 (1982); S. W. de Leeuw and J. W. Perram, Physica **113A**, 546 (1982)
- <sup>38</sup> A. E. Koshelev, L. N. Bulaevskii and M. P. Maley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 902 (1998).
- <sup>39</sup> T. Shibauchi, T. Nakano, M. Sato, T. Kisu, N. Kameda, N. Okuda, S. Ooi, and T. Tamegai, Phys. Rev. Lett., **83**, 1010 (1999) ;
- <sup>40</sup> M. Gaifullin, Y. Matsuda, N. Chikumoto, J. Shimoyama, and K. Kishio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** 2945 (2000).
- <sup>41</sup> L. N. Bulaevskii, A. E. Koshelev, V. M. Vinokur, and M. P. Maley, Phys. Rev. B **61**, R3819 (2000); A. E. Koshelev and L. N. Bulaevskii, *Proceeding of the 6th International Conference on Materials and Mechanisms of Superconductivity and High-Temperature Superconductors*, Houston, February 20-25, 2000, to be published in Physica C.
- <sup>42</sup> G. Blatter, M. V. Feigel'man, V. B. Geshkenbein, A. I. Larkin, and V. M. Vinokur, Rev. Mod. Phys., **66**, 1125 (1994).
- <sup>43</sup> S. N. Artemenko and A. G. Kobel'kov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3551 (1997)
- <sup>44</sup> T. Koyama, and M. Tachiki, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 16183 (1996).
- <sup>45</sup> D. A. Ryndyk, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., **65**, 755 (1997) [JETP Lett., **65**, 791 (1997)]; Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3376 (1998); Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **116**, 1798 (1999) (JETP **89**, 975 (1999)).
- <sup>46</sup> L. N. Bulaevskii, M. Zamora, D. Baeriswyl, H. Beck, and J. R. Clem, Phys. Rev. B, **50**, 12831 (1994); R. Kleiner, P. Müller, H. Kohlstedt, N. F. Pedersen, and S. Sakai, Phys. Rev. B, **50**, 3942 (1994).
- <sup>47</sup> Yu.I. Latyshev, T. Yamashita, L.N. Bulaevskii, M. Graf, A.V. Balatsky, and M.P. Maley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 5345 (1999).
- <sup>48</sup> N. Morozov, L. Krusin-Elbaum, T. Shibauchi, L. N. Bulaevskii, M. P. Maley, Yu. I. Latyshev, and T. Yamashita, Rev. Lett. **84**, 1784 (2000); I. Vekhter, L. N. Bulaevskii, A. E. Koshelev, and M. P. Maley, Rev. Lett. **84**, 1296 (2000); N. Morozov, L. N. Bulaevskii, and M. P. Maley, Yu. I. Latyshev, T. Yamashita, cond-mat/0005393, unpublished.
- <sup>49</sup> S. Sengupta, C. Dasgupta, H. R. Krishnamurthy, G. I. Menon, and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3444 (1991); G. I. Menon, C. Dasgupta, H. R. Krishnamurthy, T. V. Ramakrishnan, and S. Sengupta, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 16 192 (1996).
- <sup>50</sup> L. I. Glazman and A. E. Koshelev, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **96** 1371 (1990) [Sov. Phys. JETP **70**, 774 (1990)].

- <sup>51</sup> G. I. Menon and C. Dasgupta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1023 (1994); G. I. Menon, C. Dasgupta, and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 7607 (1999).
- <sup>52</sup> A. E. Koshelev, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 11201 (1997).
- <sup>53</sup> N. Morozov, M. P. Maley, L. N. Bulaevskii, V. Thorsmølle, A. E. Koshelev, A. Petrean, and W. K. Kwok, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1008 (1999).
- <sup>54</sup> B. I. Halperin and M. Lax, Phys. Rev. **148**, 722 (1966); J. Zittartz and J. S. Langer, Phys. Rev. **148**, 741 (1966); I. M. Lifshitz, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **53**, 743 (1967) [Sov. Phys. JETP, **26**, 462 (1968)]; P. Van Mieghem Rev. Mod. Phys. **64**, 755 (1992);
- <sup>55</sup> I. M. Lifshitz, S. A. Gredeskul and L. A. Pastur, *Introduction to the theory of disordered systems*, New York: Wiley, 1988.




FIG. 1. Temperature evolution of pair distribution functions for a 2D vortex liquid (Langevin dynamics simulations). The plots are labeled by reduced temperature measured in units  $2\pi E_0$  (as in Refs. 37). In parenthesis we also show approximate values of corresponding real temperature for Bi-2212 assuming a temperature dependent London penetration depth  $\lambda_{ab} = 200\text{nm}/(1 - (T/T_c)^2)^{1/2}$  with  $T_c = 90\text{K}$ .




FIG. 2. Phase correlation functions at different temperatures obtained by numerical integration of Eq. (44) with numerical pair distribution functions shown in Fig. 1.




FIG. 3. The Fourier transform of the phase correlation function  $f_s(b)$  which determines the angular dependence of the plasma frequency given by Eq. (51). The function is calculated by the Fourier-Bessel transformation (52) using the phase correlation functions shown in Fig. 2.




FIG. 4. Comparison between the function  $f(b) = f_s(b)/f_s(0)$  extracted from the angular dependence of the resonance field (optimally doped Bi-2212 sample at 40 K from Ref. 4, Fig. 3) and numerically calculated  $f(b)$  at  $T = 0.032 \cdot 2\pi E_0$




FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of the phase correlation length defined by Eq. (46) in units of lattice constant  $a_0$ . Squares represent results of numerical integration of Eq. (46) using pair distribution functions  $h(r)$  generated from Langevin dynamics simulations. Spread of data is due to an error in the integration because of numerical noise in  $h(r)$  at large  $r$ . Two filled circles represent correlation lengths extracted directly from the phase correlation function, which was obtained by Monte Carlo simulations of the frustrated XY model<sup>52</sup>.




FIG. 6. Plot of reduced JPR lineshape  $p(\omega)\omega_b^2/\omega^2$  vs reduced frequency  $\zeta$  obtained within SCBA (Eqs. (96), (94), and (95)). The insert shows frequency dependencies of the dimensionless self energies  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ .