Shannon's Statistical Inference and the H-theorem in Quantum Statistical Mechanics

K azuo Fu jikaw a

D epartm ent of Physics, University of Tokyo Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

A bstract

A formulation of quantum statistical mechanics, which incoporates the statistical inference of Shannon as its integral part, is discussed. Our basic idea is to distinguish the dynam ical entropy of von Neumann in terms of the density matrix $^{\prime}(t)_{p}H=kTr^{\prime}h^{\prime}$, and the statistical amount of uncertainty of Shannon, $S=k_{n}p_{n}\ln p_{n}$, with $p_{n}=(^{\prime})_{nn}$ in the representation where the total energy and particle numbers are diagonal. We propose to interprete Shannon's statistical inference as specifying the initial conditions of the system in terms of $(^{\prime})_{nn}$, and thus prevent the statistical inference preceding physical dynamics. We argue that them all equilibrium is ensured by a quantum counterpart of mixing property, and that the physical entoropy of the nall state, which is dened by a suitable time average in a them odynamic limit, is estimated by the maximum of Shannon's S. In the context of the H-theorem in a broad sense, our picture is characterized as a specification of initial conditions by statistical inference on the basis of a limited amount of information available and a coarse-graining in the time direction. An interesting analogy with the renormalization group xed point is also noted.

1 Introduction

A form ulation of statistical mechanics on the basis of Shannon's inform ation theory [1] has been proposed by E.T. Jaynes in 1957 [2]. This form ulation utilizes the least biased statistical inference about a physical system on the basis of a limited amount of information available. This approach becomes particularly transparent when it is combined with the basic knowledge of quantum mechanics. Despite of its transparent and attractive aspects, it appears to the present author that this approach is largely regarded as \unphysical!" by the majority of physicists. See, however, Ref.[3] for the use of the information entropy in the context of non-equilibrium statistical them odynamics.

The main purpose of the present paper is to incorporate the attractive features of Jaynes's proposal (in a reformulated form) into the general fram ework of quantum statistical mechanics [4] [5]. By this way, one can see not only the attractive features brought into the general fram ework of quantum statistical mechanics by Shannon's information

theory, but also the limitations and well-known unsolved dynamical issues of quantum statistical mechanics. A characteristic feature of our analysis is that the distinction between statistical aspects and dynamical aspects of quantum statistical mechanics becomes quite transparent. As for the use of Shannon's information theory in quantum statistical mechanics in a dierent setting, see [6] and [3].

In this paper, we work exclusively on quantum statistical mechanics, simply because any modern theory of statistical mechanics, which is taught at the graduate course, should be able to distinguish fermions from bosons and describe the basic phenomena such as the Bose-Einstein condensation and the degenerate Fermi-Dirac electrons in a metal, for example [7][8][9]. In the course of our discussion, we of course often rely on the recent impressive progress in the Boltzmann approach to classical statistical mechanics [10][11][12]. But for the practical reasons stated above, we work on quantum statistical mechanics. Besides, the Jaynes's approach becomes more transparent in the quantum setting.

We would like to brie y sum marize the basic aspects of our analysis. Following Landau and von Neumann, we take the density matrix ` which satis es

$$Tr^{(t)} = 1; 0 _{nn}$$
 (1.1)

as the fundamental ingredient of quantum statistical mechanics. This $^{\circ}$ is regarded as the \wave function" of a many particle system. We examine a quantum system, which is slightly away from thermal equilibrium, in the representation where the total energy and particle numbers are diagonal. The average value of any macroscopic observable $^{\circ}$ is given by

$$\hat{b}$$
 (t) $i = T \hat{b}$ (1.2)

For the system slightly away from equilibrium, \hat{N} (t) i is time dependent in general, and thus \hat{N} is time dependent. Following von Neumann, we introduce the (dynamical) entropy de ned by

$$H = kTr^h^$$
 (1.3)

which is a generalization of G ibbs entropy to a quantum system . It is well known that H thus de ned is constant in time.

The basic observation in this paper is that we can de ne another quantity

$$S = k \sum_{n}^{X} p_n \ln p_n$$
 (1.4)

in term s of p_n (^) $_{hn}$ de ned in the representation where the total energy and particle numbers are diagonal. By de niton we have p_n (t) = p_n (0) and thus S is also constant in time. We propose to identify S thus de ned, which can be regarded as basically statistical quantity, as the amount of uncertainty of Shannon which was introduced into statistical mechanics by Jaynes[2]. Note that H in (1.3) agrees with S in (1.4) only when ^ is diagonalizable simultaneously with the total Hamiltonian and the total particle number operator. This clear distinction between H in (1.3) and S in (1.4) has not been made in the past.

In the present form ulation of quantum statistical mechanics, we regard the least biased estimate on the basis of limited amount of information discussed by Jaynes as a least

biased estim ate of initial conditions on the diagonal elements p_n . Note that the diagonal elements of ^(t) are constant in time and thus they cannot approach equilibrium values by any dynamical motion but by statistical inference. From the days of Boltzmann, it is well known that \Second law of thermodynamics can be represented only by means of assumptions regarding initial conditions" [12]. Instead of choosing the typical states in the classical Boltzmann analysis [11], we use the Shannon's \least biased" estimate as the speci cation of the initial state of a quantum system, for which we have only a very limited amount of information. As is clear from our formulation, the Shannon's information theory does not tell anything about the time development of a many particle system. The information theory of Shannon, however, tells us a very simple derivation of the formula (1.4) on the basis of an interesting composition law, which is briefy reviewed in Section 2, and also tells us how S is interpreted in estimate theory. In quantum statistical mechanics, as formulated here, it is clear that Shannon's statistical inference does not precede physical dynamics.

We still have to deal with the main problem of quantum statistical mechanics as to what kind of dynam ical properties of the system ensure the eventual approach to thermal equilibrium. For this part we do not have a de nite answer, but we will argue that a property, which may be regarded as a quantum counter part of the mixing property in classical ergodic theory [13], is su cient to ensure the eventual thermal equilibrium. In this respect, it may be said that we are at the similar level as a formulation of classical statistical mechanics on the basis of G ibbs ensemble theory. See also Ref.[3] for an attempt to construct general nonequilibrium statistical thermodynamics.

As for the entropy law, some form of coarse graining is necessary not only in the Gibbs approach to quantum statistical approach [9] but also in the Boltzmann approach to classical statistical mechanics [10]. In our approach it turns out to be more convenient to take a coarse graining in the \time direction" or a suitable time averaging [14][3]. In this respect, it may be interesting to recall that several authors regard the Boltzmann equation in classical statistical mechanics as an approximation to the Liouville equation when combined with a suitable time averaging [14][9].

The actual procedure of our analysis of the H-theorem starts with applying the least biased estimate by maximizing Shannon's S (1.4) on the basis of a limited amount of information available. We interprete this least biased estimate as the most noncommittal estimate of the initial conditions on the diagonal elements of '(t) on the basis of the limited information available. The non-diagonal elements of '(t), which are not exed by Shannon's statistical inference, are exed by other macroscopic observables at t=0, as will be described in Section 3. The physical state described by '(t) then develops with time following the Schroedinger equation, which preserves the value of von Neumann entropy H. The entropy law of Clausius in the present formulation is expressed as the approach of the macroscopic properties of the system on a suitable time average in a thermodynamic limit to those of the almost equilibrium state, whose physical entropy is estimated by the maximum value of Shannon's S.

2 Least biased inference in information theory

We here recapitulate, for the sake of completeness, the essense of the least biased estimate in Shannon's information theory [1] following the presentation in Ref.[2]. We consider the variable x which takes the n values $fx_1; x_2;; x_n g$. We also denote the probability p_i for the variable x to assume the value x_i . The non-negative probability p_i is constrained by the condition

$$p_i = 1;$$
 (2.1)

which m eans that the total probability is unity. Let's consider a smooth function f(x) of the variable x, such as f(x) = x.

We then ask what we can say about the set of probabilities $fp_1; p_2; ; ::::; p_n g$, if the only available information is the average value f > f and by

C learly it is in possible to determ ine all p_i uniquely for a large value of n since we know only < f > .

Following Shannon, we introduce the amount of uncertainty for the set of variables $fp_1;p_2;:::::p_ng$ and we determ ine each p_i by allowing the maximum amount of uncertainty, or equivalently, the least bias for the chosen solution of $fp_1;p_2;:::::p_ng$. The non-negative continuous function S_n $(p_1;p_2;:::::p_ng)$ of $fp_1;p_2;:::::p_ng$, which determ ines the amount of uncertainty associated with the n variables, is postulated to satisfy the following two basic requirements:

(a) If all p_i are equal, and consequently $p_i = 1$ =n by de nition,

A (n)
$$S_h (1=n;1=n;...;1=n)$$
 (2.3)

is an increasing function of n. This condition is natural since it means that the amount of uncertainty (or our ignorance) increases when n increases.

(b) The composition law

$$S_{n} (p_{1}; p_{2}; ; ::::; p_{n}) = S_{r} (w_{1}; w_{2}; ::::; w_{r}) + w_{1}S_{k_{1}} (p_{1}=w_{1}; p_{2}=w_{1}; :::; p_{k_{1}}=w_{1})$$

$$+ w_{2}S_{k_{2}} (p_{k_{1}+1}=w_{2}; p_{k_{1}+2}=w_{2}; :::; p_{k_{1}+k_{2}}=w_{2}) + :::::$$

$$+ w_{r}S_{k_{r}} (p_{k_{1}+1}=w_{r}; :::; p_{n}=w_{r})$$

$$(2.4)$$

holds. The meaning of this composition law is as follows: Let's divide the variables $fp_1; p_2; ; ::::; p_n g$ into room binations

$$w_{1} = p_{1} + p_{2} + \dots + p_{k_{1}};$$

$$w_{2} = p_{k_{1}+1} + p_{k_{1}+2} + \dots + p_{k_{1}+k_{2}};$$

$$\vdots \vdots \vdots \vdots$$

$$w_{r} = p_{k_{1}+\dots+k_{r-1}+1} + \dots + p_{n} : (2.5)$$

Here w_1 , for example, stands for the probability that any one of x_i in $fx_1; x_2;; x_{k_1}g$ is realized. If $fx_1; x_2;; x_{k_1}g$ is realized, the probability for one of x_i is given by $p_i = w_1$. The reason we have the factor w_1 in front of S_{k_1} ($p_1 = w_1; p_2 = w_1; ...; p_{k_1} = w_1$) is that we have uncertainty S_{k_1} ($p_1 = w_1; p_2 = w_1; ...; p_{k_1} = w_1$) with probability w_1 in addition to the uncertainty S_r ($w_1; w_2;; w_r$). This composition law requires the agreement of the total amount of uncertainty calculated in this two-step procedure with the original amount of uncertainty in the left-hand side of (2.4).

For example, for n = 3 with $fp_1; p_2; p_3g = f1=2; 1=3; 1=6g$, we may consider $w_1 = 1=2$, $w_2 = 1=3+1=6=1=2$ and the above composition law requires

$$S_3 (1=2;1=3;1=6) = S_2 (1=2;1=2) + \frac{1}{2}S_2 (2=3;1=3)$$
: (2.6)

For the case of only one probability variable, the amount of uncertainty should be zero, and thus $S_1(1) = 0$.

A remarkable fact is that these two conditions (a) and (b) are solved in an elementary way and lead to the unique solution [1][15] (see also Appendix in Ref.[2])

$$S_n(p_1; p_2;; p_n) = k p_i \log p_i$$
 (2.7)

with a positive constant k. One can con m that this solution is in fact non-negative and satis es the above example (2.6), for example.

The solution which gives the maximum for the amount of uncertainty S_n ($p_1; p_2;; p_n$) with the constraints

$$x^{n}$$
 $p_{i} = 1;$
 $i = 1$
 $f > = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{n}} p_{i}f(x_{i});$
(2.8)

is given by using the Lagrange multiplier as

$$p_i = e^{-f(x_i)} = Z_n$$
 ; $Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^{X^n} e^{-f(x_i)}$ (2.9)

and the parameter is determined by

$$\langle f \rangle = \frac{d \ln Z_n}{d} : \qquad (2.10)$$

It is obvious that a simple generalization of this problem leads to the grand canonical ensemble of G ibbs theory

$$p_{i;j} = e^{i E(i;j)} = ; = X e^{i E(i;j)};$$

$$< E > = X p_{i;j}E(i;j) = \frac{e \ln}{e};$$

$$< N > = X p_{i;j}E(i;j) = \frac{e \ln}{e};$$

$$< N > = X p_{i;j} = \frac{e \ln}{e};$$

$$S = K p_{i;j} \ln p_{i;j}$$

$$(2.11)$$

where = 1 = kT and E ($_i$; j) is the jth energy eigenvalue with the particle number $_i$; the sum m ation is over all the physically recognizable energy eigenstates of a m any particle system, and thus the Bose-E instein or Ferm iD irac statistics is automatically incorporated. The amount of uncertainty is identied with the G ibbs entropy for a thermal equilibrium case. This provides a very simple derivation of the G ibbs formula with only a basic knowledge of quantum mechanics.

The conventional statistical mechanics for equilibrium states could thus be interpreted as the least biased estimate on the basis of a small amount of information available, namely, the average energy < E > and the average particle number < N >: It is maximally noncommittal with regard to missing information. It was emphasized in Ref.[2] that "whether or not results agree with experiment, they still represent the best estimates that could have been made on the basis of the information available".

Nevertheless, the physical contents of this formulation such as the role of physical properties of a speci c system, which are expected to be important to ensure thermal equilibrium, are not clear in the presentation in this section. We attempt to incorporate these physical contents into the present formulation in the next section by giving a proper de nition of the amount of uncertainty in the context of quantum statistical mechanics. We present a general framework of quantum statistical mechanics which incorporates the least biased statistical inference as an integral part and analyze the entropy law of Clausius. We thus attempt to provide a physical basis for the derivation of (2.11).

3 Statistical inference and entropy law in quantum statistical mechanics

We here attempt to formulate a general fram ework of quantum statistical mechanics, which incorporates the least biased statistical inference as an integral part. In particular, we discuss how to describe near-equilibrium states and their approach to thermal equilibrium in such a fram ework. We assume that we analyze a physical system which is completely characterized by its total energy and particle numbers, if the thermal equilibrium should be realized. In the representation where the total energy and the particle

num ber are diagonal, we have the density matrix ^(t) which satis es[9]

$$Tr^{(t)} = {\overset{X}{x}}^{n} (t)_{nn} = {\overset{X}{x}}^{n} p_{n} = 1;$$
 $Tr^{\hat{h}} = {\overset{X}{x}}^{n} E_{n}p_{n} = hE i;$
 $Tr^{^{*}} = {\overset{X}{x}}^{n} p_{n} = hN i;$
(3.1)

We here assume for simplicity the presence of only one kind of particles. We set note that p_n is time independent

$$p_n = hnj^{\hat{}}(t)jni = hnje^{i\hat{H}t^{\hat{}}}(0)e^{i\hat{H}t^{\hat{}}}jni = hnj^{\hat{}}(0)jni$$
 (3.2)

since the total H am iltonian is diagonal in the present representation. We assume that either none of the energy levels are degenerate, or if some of them are degenerate, the density matrix ^(t) is diagonalized by a unitary transformation beforehand in each sector which contains the degenerate energy levels. Consequently, all the possible o -diagonal elements of the density matrix ^(t) are time dependent. Our proposal is to de ne the Shannon's amount of uncertainty, which is based on the information available, by

$$S = k p_n \ln p_n : (3.3)$$

This S, which carries no characteristic properties of quantum theory, may be assigned a purely statistical meaning as in the analysis in the previous section, and it is time independent unless one applies some articial approximate manipulation to it.

The dynamical entropy of von Neumann

$$H = kT r^h ^$$
 (3.4)

$$b\hat{O}(t)i = Tr^{(t)}\hat{O}(0):$$
 (3.5)

In the fram ework of Shannon's least biased statistical estimate used by Jaynes, the maximum value of the amount of uncertainty, which is identified with S in (3.3) in the present formulation, is considered with the constraints (3.1). One then obtains the standard result

$$p_n = e^{-n} = \sum_{n=0}^{K} e^{-n} = \sum_{n=0}^{K} f_n$$
 (3.6)

but the non-diagonal elements of '(t) are left completely unspecied, namely, we remain maximally noncommittal with regard to missing information. (In the case of the black

body radiation, the photon number operator does not commute with the total H am iltonian and thus we set the \chem ical potential" = 0 in the above formula.) The parameter attains a meaning of the inverse temperature when the thermal equilibrium is achieved.

On the other hand, the maximum of the von Neumann entropy H with constraints (3.1) gives rise to [7][8][9]

$$^{\circ} = e^{q + ^{\circ} H^{\circ}}$$
 (3.7)

with the grand potential q. This density matrix ^ is completely diagonal; in other words, if one imposes the maximum condition on the von Neumann entropy, we arrive at the canonical ensemble without any freedom of time development. (This property is undestood by using the inequality (3.9) to be discussed below.) In fact, the conventional analysis of therm ostatistics utilizes this property of the entropy H and attempts to prove the Boltzmann's H-theorem for this H in (3.4) as an indicator of the general tendency toward therm alequilibrium [9]. In contrast, we here attempt to characterize the approach to therm alequilibrium from a di erent perspective.

For the general situation, them axim um uncertainty estimate of Shannon as formulated here does not specify the density matrix completely, and the best estimate of the average of a general operator \hat{O} is

$$\text{ho} \, i_0 \qquad p_n \, \hat{O} \, (0)_{nn}$$
(3.8)

which is time independent. This average agrees with the true average (3.5) in the case of therm all equilibrium. The least biased estimate is thus su cient for the description of equilibrium states, which is in agreement with the analysis in the previous section. It is clear that the least biased estimate of Shannon, as formulated here, is purely statistical and does not provide any information about dynamical time development.

We next note an inequality between S and H

To show this relation, we de ne a set of herm it ian operators

$$n = \ln r$$
;
 $n = \ln r$;

nam ely $\, \hat{}_0$ is a diagonal m atrix with diagonal elements p_n , and $\, \hat{}_0$ is a diagonal m atrix with diagonal elements $\ln p_n$. We then have

$$H = kTr(^{\circ}_{0} + ^{\circ}_{0} + ^$$

In this derivation, we used the inequality which follows from the normalization conditions $T \text{ re}^{\hat{}} = 1$ and $T \text{ re}^{\hat{}} = 1$,

$$Tr ^{\circ}e^{\circ} = Tr[(^{\circ} _{0})e^{\circ} _{k}e^{\circ} + e^{\circ}_{0}]$$

$$= [(_{k} _{0})_{kk})e^{k} _{k} e^{k} + (e^{\circ})_{kk}]$$

$$X^{k} _{[(_{k} _{0})_{kk})e^{k} } e^{k} + e^{(^{\circ}_{0})_{kk}}]$$

$$= X^{k} _{k} e^{(^{\circ}_{0})_{kk}} [(_{k} _{0} _{0})_{kk} _{k} _{0} + 1)e^{k} _{0} _{0} + 1] = 0; \quad (3.12)$$

$$(e^{\hat{0}})_{kk} \quad e^{(\hat{0})_{kk}}$$
 (3.13)

where the equality holds only for a diagonal $^{\circ}_{0}$, and on the fact that for a real variable x, $(x + 1) e^{x} + 1 = 0$, where the equality holds only for x = 0.

This inequality, H $\,$ S, valid for any $\,$ (t) suggests that we can impose the maximum amount of uncertainty condition on S without any dynamical constraint on H $\,$ This is consistent with the fact that we impose no constraints on the time dependent o -diagonal elements of $\,$ (t) . Note also that the diagonal components of $\,$ (t) do not change with time in our representation of quantum states, and thus they cannot approach the equilibrium values by any dynamical motion but by statistical inference. These properties put together suggest that we can interprete the least biased estimate formulated by Jaynes as specifying the maximally noncommittal initial conditions on the diagonal elements $\,$ possible. From this analysis, it should be clear that Shannon's statistical inference, as formulated here, does not precede physical dynamics. (In contrast, if one should use (3.4) as Shannon's amount of uncertainty, the statistical inference would precede physical dynamics, since the statistical inference would then determine the time dependent o -diagonal elements of $\,$ (t) as well.)

In analogy with the de niton of a quantum state in term sof a complete set of commuting herm itian operators [16], we assume that our density matrix $^{(t)}$, which is a generalization of the Schroedinger wave function, is completely specified by a set of macroscopic observables $f\hat{O}g$ and energy and particle numbers; in the present case, the operators $f\hat{O}g$ do not commute with the total Hamiltonian by our assumption. We then dene the non-equilibrium state operationally by the relation

h
$$\hat{O}(t)i$$
 $Tr^{(t)}\hat{\Phi}$ $x^{(n)}p_n < n\hat{D}\hat{p}_n > 1$
= $Tr^{(t)}\hat{O}$ $x^{(n)}p_n < n\hat{D}\hat{p}_n > 0$ (3.14)

for som em acroscopic observables $\hat{0}$ other than total energy and particle num bers. Here p_n is dened in (3.6). If we do not not any sensible macroscopic observable $\hat{0}$ which satis es

the above relation, the system is in thermal equilibrium. What we have in mind as the observables \hat{O} is , for example, the smeared energy density $\hat{H}(f) = \int_0^R d^3x f(x) \hat{T}^{00}(0;x) de$ ned in terms of the conserved energy-momentum tensor \hat{T} after a local heating of the system, or the smeared particle number density $\hat{D}(f) = \int_0^3 d^3x f(x) \hat{D}(f)(f) df(f) df(f) df(f) df(f) df(f)$ after injecting particles to the system. The density matrix $\hat{D}(f) = \int_0^3 d^3x f(f) df(f) df($

Compared to the conventional G ibbsian approach to the quantum mechanical H-theorem [9], our formulation puts much emphasis on the statistical inference of the intial conditions of $^(t)$. Our statistical inference contains the statistical aspect of the conventional approach, namely, the notion of equal a priori probabilities in the following sense: Starting with the relation (2.4), one may understand that a coarse-grained density, which is determined by experimental data, is described by the set of probabilities $fw_1; w_2;; w_rg$. For xed values of $fw_1; w_2;; w_rg$, the amount of uncertainty (2.4) assumes a maximum value

$$S_{n} \left(\frac{w_{1}}{k_{1}}; \frac{w_{1}}{k_{1}}; \dots; \frac{w_{2}}{k_{2}}; \dots \right) = S_{r} \left(w_{1}; w_{2}; \dots; w_{r}\right) + w_{1}S_{k_{1}} \left(1 = k_{1}; \dots; 1 = k_{1}\right) + w_{2}S_{k_{2}} \left(1 = k_{2}; \dots; 1 = k_{2}\right) + \dots + w_{r}S_{k_{r}} \left(1 = k_{r}; \dots; 1 = k_{r}\right)$$

$$(3.15)$$

which corresponds to the equal a priori probabilities [9]; namely, equal probability in each sub-block. But we remain maximally noncommittal with regard to the time dependent o -diagonal elements of ^(t) in the present approach.

Our system described by the density operator ^(t) then develops with time following Schroedinger equation with a xed value of the von Neumann entropy H. The value of H is expected to be not far away from that of the canonical ensemble since the maximum value of S xes the diagonal elements of ^(t) at the canonical equilibrium values.

Our next task is to specify what kind of dynam ical properties of a many particle system ensure that the system with initial conditions de ned by our statistical inference will in the long run approach the almost equilibrium state. We rest note that the time average of our ^(t) over a su ciently long period approaches arbitrarily close to the canonical equilibrium $_0$ with diagonal elements p_n in (3.6). In this sense, our system by its construction satis es the Boltzm ann's ergodic postulate; the time average behavior of a system is the same as its equilibrium behavior. Namely a suitable time averaging of (3.14) gives rise to

which is the equilibrium condition.

We need to justify the time averaging procedure in (3.16) on the basis of dynam ical considerations. To be specied, we est have to avoid the persistent macroscopic oscillation of the system. Secondly, we have to avoid the quantum version of Poincare's recurrence theorem [17], even if the apparent macroscopic quasi-periodic motion should be absent. If either one of these conditions were not satis ed, our system, even if it satis es (3.16), would be quite dierent from our intuitive understanding of therm alequilibrium. To avoid the recurrence theorem, we appeal to the notion of a therm odynamic limit, namely, the lim it V ! 1 with the particle density N = V kept nite, where we have an in nite number ofdegrees of freedom and thus the recourence time, if it should exist at all, is expected to be in nitely long [3]. As for the persistent synchronized collective oscillation, the probability for a great number of oscillators in '(t) to synchronize persistently is expected to be negligibly small in a thermodynam ic limit. The thermodynam ic limit suggests that only the macroscopic motion, which is not sensitive to any specic boundary condition such as the shape of the box, could survive in the lim it. In the generic situation, our system in the therm odynam ic lim it is thus expected to give a negligible time correlation between h \circ (t) i for a large time di erence t_1 t_1 . See Ref.[18] for a recent related discussion of quantum dynamics.

We form ulate the above negligible time correlation precisely as a dynamical postulate on our system. The thermal equilibrium condition (3.16) is stated more precisely as (see also (3.14))

$$\frac{1}{\hat{D}} \hat{O} \hat{I}(t_c) \hat{j} \qquad \frac{1}{\hat{J}_{t_c}} \sum_{t_c}^{z_{t+}} \hat{O}_{t_c} dth \hat{O}(t) \hat{I} \hat{J} \qquad (3.17)$$

$$= \hat{J}_{t_c} \sum_{t_c}^{z_{t+}} \hat{O}_{t_c} dtT r^*(t) \hat{O} \qquad x \qquad p_n < n \not D \hat{J}_{t_c} > \hat{J} \qquad \hat{D}^* \hat{I}_{0} \hat{J}$$

for t! 1 for any macroscopic observable $\hat{0}$ and a xed nite t_c ; $h\hat{0}$ i_0 is defined in (3.8) with p_n in (3.6). For $t_c=1$, the left-hand side of this relation vanishes by our construction. We impose this condition for a nite t_c and assume that h $\hat{0}$ i (t_c) is not sensitive to a small variation of t_c . The actual magnitude of t_c , which is expected to be microscopically quite long and of the order of macroscopic time scale, will generally depend on the species system we are analyzing.

We emphasize that this dynam ical condition (3.17) is regarded as a quantum counter part of the so-called mixing property in classical ergodic theory [13]. It is known in classical ergodic theory that not all the systems can achieve them alequilibrium when left isolated. Only those dynamical systems which satisfy the mixing property ensure that the phase space average with Liouville density agrees with the long time average of any macroscopic variable. It is also known that the mixing property alone does not directly gives rise to the entropy law of Clausius due to the Liouville theorem. One need to analyze a coarse graining of the phase space to establish the entropy law [13]. An intuitive physical picture for the appearance of entropy law from a coarse graining is as follows: When one divides the entire phase space into small meshes, one assumes the statistical average, namely, equal a priori probabilities inside each mesh. Because of mixing property, the trajectory

of the many-particle system travels through all the meshes and receives the operation of equal a priori parobabilities (and also decoherence in the case of quantum case) in each mesh. All the meshes are thus e ectively equalized, and the entire system approaches the microcanonical ensemble if the total energy is precisely specified. If one chooses a nermesh, it takes more time for the system to achieve them all equilibrium but the system will eventually arrive at them all equilibrium.

In our formulation, which is based on the Shannon's statistical inference of initial conditions, the above order of dynam ical mixing property and statistical consideration associated with coarse graining is electively reversed. We not use the statistical inference and the dynam ical requirement (3.17) comes later. Since the diagonal elements \hat{n}_{nn} are time independent, this reversal of ordering does not make any dierence. The physical entropy of the nalthermodynamic state dened by this time averaging (3.17), which is characterized by t_{c} , is estimated by

$$H(t_c)$$
 $kTrh^$ (3.18)

with

$$^{2}_{t+}$$
 $^{2}_{t}$ $^{4}_{t}$ $^{5}_{t}$ $^{7}_{t}$ $^{7}_{t}$ $^{7}_{t}$ $^{1}_{t}$ $^{1}_{t}$

for t! 1. This value is expected to be very close to the maximum of Shannon's statistical amount of uncertainty S with p_n as in (3.6), which is the maximum value of any sensible de nition of entropy because of (3.9). We interprete this as a manifestation of the entropy law in the present formulation of quantum statistical mechanics. We reiterate that we used two ingredients to formulate the entropy law in our approach: The rst is the statistical input related to the least biased inference, and the second is the dynamical input related to the postulated damping of synchronized macroscopic collective motion as in (3.17).

The von Neumann entropy H is in contrast rigidly de ned by the basic dynamics, and it does not allow any arbitrary manipulation such as taking a time averaging of $^(t)$. The dynamical entropy H of von Neumann stays constant throughout the unitary time development of the system regardless of our time averaging procedure. In fact, the above time averaging (3.17) to de ne the physical thermodynamic state resolves the discrepancy of the dynamical von Neumann H and the physical statistical entropy (3.18) we de ned.

Physically, the von Neum ann entropy H is sensitive to the dynam icalm otion of all the time scales in the system, whereas the therm odynam ic entropy (3.18) is not sensitive to the motion with time scales shorter than $t_{\rm c}$; moreover, the condition (3.17) states that the macroscopic motion with time scales larger than $t_{\rm c}$ in the system diminishes for t! 1. We here note an interesting analogy of the present formulation with the renormalization group in eld theory. The parameter $t_{\rm c}$ (or to be precise, $h=t_{\rm c}$) characterizes the energy scale of the theory, and the entropy H ($t_{\rm c}$) kT f ln ^ in (3.18) corresponds to the renormalized running coupling constant. The ultra-violet limit $t_{\rm c}$! 0 gives rise to the von Neumann entropy H , which corresponds to the bare coupling constant, the fundamental quantity dened by the basic dynamics , namely, quantum mechanics in the present case. But physics is not sensitive to the bare coupling constant. The infrared limit of H for $t_{\rm c}$! large gives rise to the measurable quantity, the maximum of Shannon's

S, corresponding to the coupling constant = 1=137 in QED de ned in the Thom son lim it. In this analogy, the entropy law of C lausius corresponds to the statement of the existence of a stable infrared xed point.

The main purpose of this note has been to formulate quantum statistical mechanics, which incorporates Shannon's statistical inference as an integral part, and to provide a physical picture for the general tendency toward thermal equilibrium, which is dierent from the one in the conventional formulation of quantum mechanical H-theorem [3][9]: Usually one deals with the entropy which is dened in terms of coarse-grained elements of the density matrix. The dynamical increase (in the future or past direction) of the entropy thus dened is based on the dierent time development of the coarse-grained and \ln in $H = kTr^n$ independently of the technical details, in view of (3.2), the origin of the time variation of the diagonal elements of in the representation where the total Hamiltonian is diagonal (see Section 6.5 in [9]) is not quite clear, at least, to the present author.

In any case, the conventional coarse-grained approach to the quantum mechanical H-theorem contains the statistical input, namely, the equal a priori probabilities, as an essential assumption. The coarse-graining by itself does not necessarily lead to the increase of the entropy, as is seen from (2.4) and (3.15) which show that S remains unchanged even if one divides the density matrix into its subsectors; it is essential to assume the equal a priori probabilities, which diagonalize each subsector of the density matrix with equal eigenvalues, to let S increase. The coarse graining thus performs not only the statistical operation by setting the diagonal elements in each subsector of the density matrix to be equal but also the dynamical operation by setting the o-diagonal time dependent elements to be zero. As a means to analyze the dynamical aspect of the conventional approach to coarse graining, one may utilize a smeared (macroscopic) equation [9][19]

$$\frac{dP_{i}}{dt} = X_{j} A (i! j) [Z_{i}P_{j} Z_{j}P_{i}]$$
 (3.20)

where P_i is the probability for the ith subscetor and Z_i is the dimension of the subsector. The quantity A (i! j) stands for the transition probability between two subsectors; from the requirement of time reversal invariance, one imposes the detailed balancing A (i! j) = A (j! i). This equation (320), which is based on equal a priori probabilities, leads to the same result as the microcanonical ensemble[9], but it is not known to what extent (320) is justified by the basic principles of quantum mechanics. (The subtle problem here is that one somehow equalizes all the time independent $p_n = \hat{p}_{nn}$ in (32) on the basis of Eq.(320) and the assumption of equal a priori probabilities applied to each subsector. Since $p_n = \hat{p}_{nn}$ are time independent, the net result is equivalent to setting all p_n to be equal by statistical considerations alone, i.e., to assuming the microcanonical ensemble from the onset.) Incidentally, Eq.(320), which is a phenomenological equation, may be regarded as a quantum analogue of Boltzmann equation [11].

In contrast, the notion of time derivative of the entropy does not appear directly in our picture. We simply do not the physical entropy of a thermodynamic state after specifying the state by the time averaging (3.17). In the context of the H-theorem in a

broad sense, our proposal is thus characterized as a speci cation of the initial conditions by Shannon's statistical inference on the basis of a limited amount of information and a suitable coarse-graining (3.17) in the time direction. See Refs.[14][3] for the past discussion of coarse-graining in the time direction or time averaging.

From our form ulation on the basis of Shannon's statistical inference, it is expected that our consideration is valid for a system for which the statistical inference is applicable, to begin with. In other words, the system may be slightly away from them all equilibrium but not far away from equilibrium. Our formulation will however be able to incorporate the linear response theory [20][21] by adding an external in nitesimal perturbation, which vanishes both at t=0 and t=1 but assumes a non-vanishing value for some time interval in the intermediate stage. If the system is really far away from equilibrium, it will be a subject of quantum mechanics; the density matrix (t) and the dynamical entropy H of von Neumann are useful for such a situation also, but presumably not the statistical inference. See Ref. [3] for the discussion of general nonequilibrium cases.

4 Discussion

The von Neumann entropy H = kTr^ln , whose time development is de ned by Schroedinger equation, does not change with time. This fact clearly shows that the entropy law of Clausius is not a direct consequence of microscopic dynamical laws alone, just as the canonical distribution (2.11) is not a direct consequence of microscopic dynam ical laws alone: In the context of classical Boltzmann approach, this fact is well known just to quote \ It follows that the macroscopic dynamics cannot be a consequence of the microscopic dynamics alone" [10]. We presented in this paper a formulation of quantum statistical mechanics, which incorporates the reformulated least biased statistical inference of Jaynes[2] as an integral part, and also a physical picture for the quantum mechanical H-theorem in this framework. In particular, it has been shown that the identi cation of (3.3) with the amount of uncertainty of Shannon prevents statistical inference preceding dynamical time development. It is hoped that our analysism akes the elegant aspect of Shannon's theory of inference acceptable as an integral part of quantum statistical mechanics, and thus the derivation of (2.11) would become more acceptable in the com m unity of physicists.

The derivation of the amount of uncertainty from the interesting composition law (2.4) is also important in view of the recent analyses of the so-called T sallis statistics [22], which is based on a modi ed formula of entropy; the modi ed entropy does not satisfy this composition law. One of the essential aspects of Boltzmann-G ibbs statistics is captured by the composition law (2.4).

In conclusion, we have shown that a proper mixture of statistical and dynamical considerations is essential in any attempt, not only in the speems one but also in all the past formulations, to describe many particle systems in quantum statistical mechanics, and that a clear distinction between statistical aspects and dynamical aspects will lead to a better understanding of the entire subject. The remaining problem in our formulation is to specify precisely the class of many-particle Hamiltonians which ensure (3.17).

I thank A . Shim izu, H . Tasaki and M . U eda for stimulating discussions and for calling relevant references to my attention.

R eferences

- [1] C E. Shannon, Bell System Tech. J. 27,379 and 623 (1948).
- [2] E.T. Jaynes, Phys. Rev. 106,620 (1957).
- [3] D. N. Zubarev, Nonequilibrium Statistical Thermodynamics, (Consultants Bureau, New York, 1974), and references therein.
- [4] L.D. Landau, Z.Physik, 45,430 (1927).
- [5] J.von Neum ann, Goettinger Nachr., 1,273 (1927); Collected Works, ed. by A. H. Taub, (Pergam on Press, Oxford, 1961) vol. 1, p. 208.
- [6] E.T. Jaynes, Phys. Rev. 108,171 (1957).
- [7] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Statistical Physics, 3rd edition, (Pergam on Press, Oxford, 1985).
- [8] A. Isihara, Statistical Physics, (A cadem ic Press, New York, 1971).
- [9] D. ter Haar, Elements of Thermostatistics, second edition, (Holt, Reinhart and Winston, New York, 1966), and references therein.
- [10] O E. Lanford, Physica 106A, 70 (1981).
- [11] J.L.Lebow itz, Physica 194A, 1(1993), and references therein.
- [12] J.Briam ont, Science of Chaos or Chaos in Science?, chao-dyn/9603009.
- [13] H. Nakano and M. Hattori, What is Ergodicity?, (Manuzen, Tokyo, 1994) (in Japanese).
 - M. Pollicott and M. Yuri, Dynamical Systems and Ergodic Theory, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
- [14] J.G. Kirkwood, J. Chem. Phys. 14,180 (1946);15,72 (1947).
 N. N. Bogolyubov, Studies in Stat. Mech., 1,1 (1962).
 E. D. G. Cohen and T. H. Berlin, Physica, 26,717 (1960).
- [15] Eq.(2.7) is derived as follows: We consider the case where all the probabilities are equal, $p_i = \frac{1}{n}$, and the division $w_i = n_i = n_i = n_i = n_i$. Then the composition law (2.4) gives A ($\frac{r}{i=1}n_i$) = H_r(w_1 ; w_2 ;; w_r) + $\frac{r}{i=1}$ w_i A (n_i), if one remembers (2.3). For the special case with all $n_i = m$, this leads to A (rm) = A (r) + A (m) which is solved by A (m) = k logm with a positive constant k. Inserting this back into the composition

- law above, we obtain $H_r(w_1; w_2;; w_r) = k^{\frac{p}{r}} w_i \log w_i$, which leads to the nal expression (2.7) if one notes that the (non-negative) rational numbers are densely distributed.
- [16] PAM.Dirac, Principles of Quantum Mechanics, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1958).
- [17] P.Bocchieri and A. Loinger, Phys. Rev. 107,337 (1957). I.C. Percival, J.M ath. Phys. 2,235 (1961).
- [18] H. Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett., 80,1373 (1998).
- [19] R.C. Tolman, The Principles of Statistical Mechanics, (Oxford Univ. Press, 1938).
- [20] H B. Callen and T A.W elton, Phys. Rev. 83,34 (1951).
- [21] R.Kubo, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 12,570 (1957).
- [22] C.T sallis, J. Stat. Phys. 52,479 (1988).