

Mechanism of spin-triplet superconductivity in Sr_2RuO_4

Masatoshi Sato and Mahito Kohmoto

Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, 7-22-1 Roppongi, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan

The unique Fermi surfaces and their nesting properties of Sr_2RuO_4 are considered. The existence of unconventional superconductivity is shown microscopically, for the first time, from the magnetic interactions (due to nesting) and the phonon-mediated interactions. The odd-parity superconductivity is favored in the α and β sheets of the Fermi surface, and the various superconductivities are possible in the γ sheet. There are a number of possible odd-parity gaps, which include the gaps with nodes, the breaking of time-reversal symmetry and $\vec{d} \parallel \hat{z}$.

74.20.-z, 74.20.Fg

The nature of superconductivity discovered in Sr_2RuO_4 [1] has been the subject of intense theoretical and experimental activity. Although Sr_2RuO_4 has the same layered perovskite structure as La_2CuO_4 , the prototype of the high T_c cuprates superconductors, the electronic structures are very different and the nature of superconductivity seems to be totally different.

The normal state in Sr_2RuO_4 is characterized as essentially a Fermi liquid below 50K. The resistivities in all directions show T^2 behavior for $T \leq 50\text{K}$. The effective mass is about $3 \sim 4m_{\text{electron}}$ and the susceptibility is also about $3 \sim 4\chi_0$ where χ_0 is the Pauli spin susceptibility. In contrast to the conventional normal state (below 50K), there are considerable experimental evidences that the superconducting state (below about 1.5K) is unconventional. The nuclear quadrupole resonance(NQR) does not show the Hebel-Slichter peak [2]. The transition temperature is very sensitive to non-magnetic impurities [3]. The ^{17}O NMR Knight shift shows that the spin susceptibility has no change across T_c but stays just the same as in the normal state for the magnetic field parallel to the Sr_2RuO_4 plane [4]. In addition, spontaneous appearance of an internal magnetic field below the transition temperature is reported by muon spin rotation measurements (μSR) [5].

Shortly after the discovery of the superconductivity in Sr_2RuO_4 , it was proposed that the odd-parity (spin-triplet) Cooper pairs are formed in the superconducting state in analogy with ^3He [6]. The existence of ferromagnetic interaction is crucial in this proposal. In stead, incommensurate antiferromagnetic(AF) fluctuations were found by inelastic neutron scattering experiment [7]. Ferromagnetic interaction is almost negligible compared with AF one.

Earlier specific heat [8] and NQR measurements [2] show a large residual density of states (DOS), 50 ~ 60% of DOS of the normal state, in the superconducting phase. A possible explanation, so called, *orbital dependent superconductivity* was proposed [9]. Since four 4d electrons in Ru^{4+} partially fill the t_{2g} band, the relevant orbitals are d_{xy} , d_{xz} and d_{yz} which determine the electronic bands. The gap of one class of bands is substantially smaller than that of the other class of band. The presence of gapless excitations for temperatures greater than the smaller gap would account for the residual

DOS. Recent specific measurements on high quality compounds, however, suggest the absence of residual DOS [10].

Sigrist et al. [11] proposed the following order parameter which is claimed to be compatible with most of the present experimental data,

$$\vec{d} = \hat{z}(k_x \pm ik_y), \quad (1)$$

where \hat{z} is parallel to the \hat{c} axis and the gap is described as the tensor represented by \vec{d} as $\Delta(k) = i(\vec{d}(k) \cdot \vec{\sigma})\sigma_y$, where $\vec{\sigma}$ is the Pauli matrix [12]. Notice that the direction of the order parameter is frozen along the \hat{c} direction due to the crystal field and there is a full gap on the whole Fermi surface. The experiment of Josephson coupling between In and Sr_2RuO_4 also supports the gap directing \hat{c} axis [13]. Based on the gap (1), the effects of impurity scattering [14], spin wave excitations [15] and collective modes and sound propagation [16] are studied theoretically.

However, the recent experiments on high quality compounds of Ru NQR [17] and specific heat [10] strongly suggest the existence of nodes and the absence of residual DOS. It is proposed a number of gap order parameters consistent with the existence of nodes phenomenologically [18]. See also Ref. [19].




FIG. 1. The Fermi surfaces of Sr_2RuO_4

Details of the Fermi surface have been observed by quantum oscillations [20]. The Fermi surface consists of three sheets, which is consistent with the electronic band calculations [21]. The Fermi sheets are labeled by α , β ,

and γ . See Fig. 1. While the γ sheet of the Fermi surface can be attributed solely to d_{xy} Wannier function, the α and β sheets are due to the hybridization of the d_{xz} and d_{yz} Wannier functions. The γ band is quasi-isotropic two-dimensional, on the other hand the α and β sheets are quasi-one dimensional which can be visualized as a set of parallel planes separated by $Q = 4\pi/3$ running both k_x and k_y directions. Therefore it is natural to expect a sizable nesting effects at the wave vectors $(\pm Q, k_y, k_z)$ and $(k_x, \pm Q, k_z)$. The nesting vectors $(\pm Q, \pm Q, k_z)$ and $(\mp Q, \pm Q, k_z)$ have the maximum effects since they connect the one dimensional Fermi surfaces in both directions. Collective modes in the spin dynamics are studied based on these nesting effects [22]. In fact the neutron scattering experiment [7] shows peaks at $(\pm 0.6\pi, \pm 0.6\pi)$ close to the nesting vectors (up to $(\pm 2\pi, \pm 2\pi)$) [23].

– *Band structure and the pairing interactions*

We denote the annihilation operators for electrons in the three $4d-t_{2g}$ orbitals d_{xz} , d_{yz} and d_{xy} of Ru-ions as $a_{k,s}$, $b_{k,s}$ and $c_{k,s}$. The kinetic term of the α and β bands is given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{kin}}^{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{k,s} (\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(k_x) - \mu) a_{k,s}^\dagger a_{k,s} \\ &+ \sum_{k,s} (\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(k_y) - \mu) b_{k,s}^\dagger b_{k,s} \\ &+ \sum_{k,s} t(k) a_{k,s}^\dagger b_{k,s} + \sum_{k,s} t^*(k) b_{k,s}^\dagger a_{k,s}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and that of the γ band is given by

$$H_{\text{kin}}^\gamma = \sum_{k,s} (\varepsilon^\gamma(k_x, k_y) - \mu) c_{k,s}^\dagger c_{k,s}. \quad (3)$$

The α and β bands are quasi one-dimensional and the Fermi surfaces are well-approximated by four sheets $k_x \sim \pm Q/2$ and $k_y \sim \pm Q/2$. The mixing coefficient $t(k)$ can be neglected except around $k_x = \pm k_y$. The γ band is quasi-isotropic two-dimensional one. The mixing term between the α or β band and the γ one is suppressed by the reflection symmetry of z .

The nesting of the α and β bands leads to the following AF fluctuations:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{AF}}^{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{k,q,s_i} J_\perp^{\alpha\beta}(q_x) (\sigma_z)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_z)_{s_2 s_4} a_{-k,s_1}^\dagger a_{k,s_2}^\dagger a_{-k+q,s_3} a_{k-q,s_4} \\ &+ \sum_{k,q,s_i} J_\perp^{\alpha\beta}(q_y) (\sigma_z)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_z)_{s_2 s_4} b_{-k,s_1}^\dagger b_{k,s_2}^\dagger b_{-k+q,s_3} b_{k-q,s_4} \\ &+ \sum_{k,q,s_i} J_\parallel^{\alpha\beta}(q_x) [(\sigma_x)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_x)_{s_2 s_4} + (\sigma_y)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_y)_{s_2 s_4}] \\ &\quad \times a_{-k,s_1}^\dagger a_{k,s_2}^\dagger a_{-k+q,s_3} a_{k-q,s_4} \\ &+ \sum_{k,q,s_i} J_\parallel^{\alpha\beta}(q_y) [(\sigma_x)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_x)_{s_2 s_4} + (\sigma_y)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_y)_{s_2 s_4}] \\ &\quad \times b_{-k,s_1}^\dagger b_{k,s_2}^\dagger b_{-k+q,s_3} b_{k-q,s_4}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $J_\perp^{\alpha\beta}(q_i) > 0$ and $J_\parallel^{\alpha\beta}(q_i) > 0$ have peaks at $q_i \sim \pm Q$. In general, $J_\perp^{\alpha\beta}(q_i) \neq J_\parallel^{\alpha\beta}(q_i)$. The magnetic field generated by the AF fluctuations above induces the interaction H_{AF}^γ in the γ band:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{AF}}^\gamma &= \sum_{k,q,s_i} J_\perp^\gamma(q_x, q_y) (\sigma_z)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_z)_{s_2 s_4} c_{-k,s_1}^\dagger c_{k,s_2}^\dagger c_{-k+q,s_3} c_{k-q,s_3} \\ &+ \sum_{k,q,s_i} J_\parallel^\gamma(q_x, q_y) [(\sigma_x)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_x)_{s_2 s_4} + (\sigma_y)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_y)_{s_2 s_4}] \\ &\quad \times c_{-k,s_1}^\dagger c_{k,s_2}^\dagger c_{-k+q,s_3} c_{k-q,s_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $J_\perp^\gamma(q_x, q_y) > 0$ and $J_\parallel^\gamma(q_x, q_y) > 0$ have peaks at $(q_x, q_y) = (\pm Q, \pm Q)$ and $(q_x, q_y) = (\mp Q, \pm Q)$.

In addition to the AF fluctuations, we consider the electron-phonon interaction. Due to the low dimensionality of the system, this interaction is weakly screened. We assume that the phonon-mediated interaction for the α and β bands $H_{\text{ph}}^{\alpha\beta}$ is quasi-one dimensional,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{ph}}^{\alpha\beta} &= \sum_{k,k',s,s'} f^{\alpha\beta}(q_x) a_{-k,s}^\dagger a_{k,s'}^\dagger a_{-k+q,s} a_{k-q,s'} \\ &+ \sum_{k,k',s,s'} f^{\alpha\beta}(q_y) b_{-k,s}^\dagger b_{k,s'}^\dagger b_{-k+q,s} b_{k-q,s'}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $f^{\alpha\beta}(q_i) > 0$ has a peak at $q_i = 0$. For the γ band, the phonon-mediated interaction we consider is

$$H_{\text{ph}}^\gamma = \sum_{k,k',s,s'} f^\gamma(q_x, q_y) c_{-k,s}^\dagger c_{k,s'}^\dagger c_{-k+q,s} c_{k-q,s'} \quad (7)$$

where $f^\gamma(q_x, q_y) > 0$ has a peak at $(q_x, q_y) = (0, 0)$.

– *Superconductivity in the α and β bands*

First, we examine the superconductivity in the α and β bands. As we will show immediately, the odd-parity superconductivity is realized due to the quasi-one dimensionality [24]. For simplicity, we put $f^{\alpha\beta}(q_i) \sim f^{\alpha\beta}(0)\delta_{q_i,0}$, $J_\perp^{\alpha\beta}(q_i) \sim J_\perp^{\alpha\beta}(Q)\delta_{q_i,\pm Q}$ and $J_\parallel^{\alpha\beta}(q_i) \sim J_\parallel^{\alpha\beta}(Q)\delta_{q_i,\pm Q}$ in the following.

In the lowest order approximation, we neglect the mixing term $t(k)$, so the gap equation is separated for $a_{k,s}$ and $b_{k,s}$. For $a_{k,s}$ electrons, the gap $\Delta^{(a)}$ is defined by

$$\Delta_{s_2,s_1}^{(a)}(k) = - \sum_{k',s_3,s_4} V_{s_1,s_2,s_3,s_4}(k_x, k'_x) a_{k',s_3} a_{-k',s_4}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{s_1,s_2,s_3,s_4}(k_x, k'_x) &= f^{\alpha\beta}(k_x + k'_x) \delta_{s_1 s_3} \delta_{s_2 s_4} - f^{\alpha\beta}(k_x - k'_x) \delta_{s_1 s_4} \delta_{s_2 s_3} \\ &+ J_\perp^{\alpha\beta}(k_x + k'_x) (\sigma_z)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_z)_{s_2 s_4} \\ &- J_\perp^{\alpha\beta}(k_x - k'_x) (\sigma_z)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_z)_{s_2 s_3} \\ &+ J_\parallel^{\alpha\beta}(k_x + k'_x) [(\sigma_x)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_x)_{s_2 s_4} + (\sigma_y)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_y)_{s_2 s_4}] \\ &- J_\parallel^{\alpha\beta}(k_x - k'_x) [(\sigma_x)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_x)_{s_2 s_3} + (\sigma_y)_{s_1 s_3} \cdot (\sigma_y)_{s_2 s_3}]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

If the gap is unitary (as we assume), the gap equation becomes

$$\Delta_{s_2, s_1}^{(a)}(k) = - \sum_{k', s_3, s_4} V_{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4}(k_x, k'_x) \times \frac{\Delta_{s_3, s_4}^{(a)}(k')}{2E_{k'}^{(a)}} \tanh\left(\frac{\beta E_{k'}^{(a)}}{2}\right), \quad (10)$$

where $E_k^{(a)} = \sqrt{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(k_x) + \text{tr}(\Delta^{(a)}\Delta^{(a)\dagger})}/2$. The gap $\Delta^{(a)}$ is parameterized as [12]

$$\Delta^{(a)}(k) = \begin{cases} i\psi^{(a)}(k)\sigma_y & \text{for even-parity gap} \\ i(\vec{d}^{(a)}(k) \cdot \vec{\sigma})\sigma_y & \text{for odd-parity gap} \end{cases}, \quad (11)$$

and we obtain the solutions as $\psi^{(a)}|_{k_x \sim \pm Q/2} = \text{const.}$ for even-parity gap and $\vec{d}^{(a)}|_{k_x \sim \pm Q/2} = \pm \text{const.}$ for odd-parity gap. For these solutions, the critical temperature is $k_B T_c = 1.13 \hbar \omega_D e^{-1/N(\mu)\lambda}$, where ω_D is the cut-off for the interactions, $N(\mu)$ is the DOS at the Fermi surface for $a_{k,s}$ electrons and λ is

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} f^{\alpha\beta}(0) - J_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta}(Q) - 2J_{\parallel}^{\alpha\beta}(Q) & \text{for even-parity gap} \\ f^{\alpha\beta}(0) + J_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta}(Q) - 2J_{\parallel}^{\alpha\beta}(Q) & \text{for } \vec{d}^{(a)} \parallel \vec{z} \\ f^{\alpha\beta}(0) - J_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta}(Q) & \text{for } \vec{d}^{(a)} \perp \vec{z} \end{cases}. \quad (12)$$

Therefore, the odd-parity superconductivity is realized in $a_{k,s}$, and $\vec{d}^{(a)}$ vector becomes

$$\vec{d}^{(a)}|_{k_y \sim Q/2} = -\vec{d}^{(a)}|_{k_y \sim -Q/2} = \text{const.}, \quad (13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{d}^{(a)} \parallel \hat{z} & \text{ if } J_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta}(Q) > J_{\parallel}^{\alpha\beta}(Q) \\ \vec{d}^{(a)} \perp \hat{z} & \text{ if } J_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta}(Q) < J_{\parallel}^{\alpha\beta}(Q) \end{aligned}. \quad (14)$$

Note that if $J_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta}(Q)$ is large enough, the superconductivity is realized even when $f^{\alpha\beta}(0) = 0$.

In a similar manner, the superconductivity in $b_{k,s}$ is odd-parity and characterized by (13) and (14) when every a 's, k_x and k_y are replaced by b 's, k_y and k_x . The critical temperature is same as $a_{k,s}$. Due to D_{4h} symmetry, it holds that $|\vec{d}^{(a)}| = |\vec{d}^{(b)}|$.

The mixing term $t(k)$ affects the gaps $\vec{d}^{(a)}$ and $\vec{d}^{(b)}$ at $k = \pm K \equiv (\pm Q/2, \pm Q/2, k_z)$ and $k = \pm \tilde{K} \equiv (\mp Q/2, \pm Q/2, k_z)$. If $t(k)$ is large enough, the Fermi surface at $k = \pm K$ and $k = \pm \tilde{K}$ is largely deformed. Because of the weakness of the screening, the attractive force for the electron at those points decreases. This causes nodes at those points. The relative phase of $\vec{d}^{(a)}$ and $\vec{d}^{(b)}$ is not determined in this case, so the time-reversal symmetry is broken in general.

If $t(k)$ is small, $\vec{d}^{(a)}$ and $\vec{d}^{(b)}$ do not disappear at $k = \pm K$ and $k = \pm \tilde{K}$. In this case, the relative phase of $\vec{d}^{(a)}$ and $\vec{d}^{(b)}$ is determined. Since $t(k)$ at $k_x = \pm k_y$ is real, it can be easily shown that $\arg \vec{d}^{(a)} = \arg \vec{d}^{(b)}$ or

$\arg \vec{d}^{(a)} = \arg \vec{d}^{(b)} + \pi$ at $k = \pm K$ and $k = \pm \tilde{K}$. The superconductivity in this case is illustrated in Fig. 2. The existence of nodes in the small $t(k)$ case depends on the symmetry of the system. If the system is invariant under the following transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k,s} & \rightarrow b_{k,s}, \quad b_{k,s} \rightarrow a_{k,s} \quad \text{for } k_x = \pm k_y \\ a_{k,s} & \rightarrow a_{k,s}, \quad b_{k,s} \rightarrow b_{k,s} \quad \text{for } k_x \neq \pm k_y \end{aligned}, \quad (15)$$

the following pairing interaction is added.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta H_{\text{int}}^{\alpha\beta} & = - \sum_{s,s'} \Delta_{ss'}^{(a)*}(K) b_{K,s} b_{-K,s} + (\text{h.c.}) \\ & - \sum_{s,s'} \Delta_{ss'}^{(a)*}(\tilde{K}) b_{\tilde{K},s} b_{-\tilde{K},s} + (\text{h.c.}) \\ & + (a \leftrightarrow b). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

This interaction changes the spectrum at $k = \pm K$ and $k = \pm \tilde{K}$. If $\vec{d}^{(a)} \parallel \vec{d}^{(b)}$ holds, nodes exist at either $k = \pm K$ or $k = \pm \tilde{K}$. If the system does not have the symmetry above or $\vec{d}^{(a)} \not\parallel \vec{d}^{(b)}$, no node exists in the gap.




FIG. 2. Superconductivity in the α and β bands

- *Superconductivity in the γ band*

Next, we examine the superconductivity in the γ band based on the phonon-mediated interaction and AF fluctuations. In contrast to the α and β bands, both even-parity and odd-parity superconductivity can be realized. If $J_{\perp}^{\gamma}(q_x, q_y)$ is large enough, the odd-parity superconductivity is also realized in the γ band, but if not, the various superconductivity can be realized. To show this, we introduce the following AF interaction and phonon-mediated interaction:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\parallel}^{\gamma} & \{ \pm \} (q_x, q_y) \\ & = \sum_{Q_{\{x\}} = \pm 4\pi/3} \frac{g_{\{ \pm \}}}{(q_x - Q_x)^2 + (q_y - Q_y)^2 + Q_{\text{AF}}^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and

$$f^{\gamma}(q_x, q_y) = \frac{g_{\text{ph}}}{q_x^2 + q_y^2 + Q_{\text{ph}}^2}, \quad (18)$$

where g_{\perp}^{\pm} , g_{ph} , Q_{ph} are constants. From the experimental data in [7], we take $Q_{\text{AF}} = 0.0797 \times 2\pi$.

To solve the gap equation, we approximate the Fermi surface of the γ band as a cylinder with $k_{\text{F}} = 2\pi/3$ and use the mean-field approximation. We have solved the gap equation analytically when the phonon-mediated interaction dominates. The gap in this case is given by

$$\psi(k) \propto \begin{cases} \text{const.} & \text{for } s \text{ gap} \\ k_x^2 - k_y^2 & \text{for } d \text{ gap} \end{cases}, \quad (19)$$

$$\vec{d}(k) \propto (k_x \pm ik_y) \quad \text{for } p \text{ gap}. \quad (20)$$

In Fig.3, we show the phase diagram in the case where the phonon-mediated interaction dominates and $g_{\perp} = g_{\parallel}$.




FIG. 3. Superconductivity of the γ band in the case where the phonon-mediated interaction dominates and $g_{\perp} = g_{\parallel}$.

– Discussions

To conclude, we have studied the superconductive properties of Sr_2RuO_4 based on AF fluctuations and phonon-mediated interactions. Due to the quasi-one dimensionality, the odd-parity superconductivity is realized in the α and β bands. The existence of nodes in the gaps of these bands depends on the symmetry of the system (see Eq.(15)) or the strength of the hybridization of d_{xz} and d_{yz} . The direction of \vec{d} vector is determined by the anisotropy of the AF fluctuations.

The γ band has many possibilities of superconductivity. If the AF fluctuations direct to the \hat{c} axis and are strong enough, the odd-parity superconductivity with $\vec{d} \parallel \hat{z}$ is realized, however, if not, s - or d -wave superconductivity is also possible.

There are various possibilities to explain the experimental results of Sr_2RuO_4 from our theory. Here we give one of them which is not in the previous theories. The NMR data [4] and the experiment of the Josephson coupling between In and Sr_2RuO_4 [13] support the odd-parity superconductivity with $\vec{d} \parallel \hat{z}$. This is easily realized in our theory with large $J_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta}(Q)$ and/or $J_{\perp}^{\gamma}(Q, Q)$. The nodes suggested by NQR [17] and specific heat [10] are likely to be those in the α and β bands. The square vortex lattice observed by the neutron scattering [25] is consistent with the odd-parity superconductivity in the α and β bands since the superconductivity occurs in the orthogonal quasi-one dimensional systems. The μSR data

[5] can be explained by the superconductivity in the α and β bands with the large hybridization of d_{xz} and d_{yz} or/and the superconductivity in γ bands with the gap (20). Since larger $J_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta}(Q)$ induces larger $J_{\perp}^{\gamma}(Q, Q)$, it is likely that the odd-parity superconductivity in the α and β band is followed by that in γ band, so the absence of residual DOS also can be explained. The transition temperatures could be different between γ and α, β Fermi surfaces, but there will be a single T_c if the hybridization between d_{xy} and d_{yz}, d_{xz} on the Ru atoms is large enough.

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank P. Bourges, Y. Hasegawa, K. Ishida, H.-Y. Kee, Y. Maeno, K. Maki, Y. Matsuda, Y. Sidis, and M. Sigrist, for useful discussions.

-
- [1] Y. Maeno et al., Nature **372**, 532 (1998).
 - [2] K. Ishida et al., Phys. Rev. B **56**, R505 (1997).
 - [3] A.P. Mackenzie et al. Phys. Rev. Lett, **80**, 161 (1998).
 - [4] K. Ishida et al., Nature **396**, 658 (1998).
 - [5] G.M. Luke et al., Nature **394**, 558 (1998).
 - [6] T.M. Rice and M.Sigrist, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **7**, L643 (1995).
 - [7] Y. Sidis et al., Phys. Rev. Lett, **83**, 3320 (1999).
 - [8] S. Nishizaki et al. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 560 (1998).
 - [9] D.F. Agterberg et al., Phys. Rev. Lett, **78**, 3374 (1997).
 - [10] S. NishiZaki et al. to appear in J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **69** (2000).
 - [11] M. Sigrist et al.,Physica C 317-318, 134 (1999);
 - [12] See, *e.g.* A. Legett, Rev. Mod. Phys. **47**, 331 (1975); M.Sigrist and K. Ueda, Rev. Mod. Phys. **63**, 239 (1991).
 - [13] R. Jin, Y. Liu, Z.Q. Mao, and Y. Maeno, (preprint)cond-mat/9909214.
 - [14] K. Maki and E. Puchkaryov, Europhys Lett, **45**, 263 (1999).
 - [15] H.-Y Kee, Y.B. Kim and K. Maki, (preprint) cond-mat/9911131.
 - [16] H.-Y Kee, Y.B. Kim and K. Maki, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 3584 (2000).
 - [17] K. Ishida et al., to appear in Physica B.
 - [18] Y. Hasegawa, K. Machida, M. Ozaki, to appear in J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **69** (2000).
 - [19] K. Miyake and O. Narikiyo, Phys. Rev. Lett, **83**, 1423 (1999).
 - [20] A.P. Mackenzie et al., Phys. Rev. Lett, **76**, 3786 (1996).
 - [21] T. Oguchi, Phys. Rev.B**51**, 1385 (1995); D.J. Singh, Phys. Rev.B**52**, 1358 (1995).
 - [22] H.-Y Kee, to appear in J. Phys. Condens. Matter.
 - [23] I.I. Mazin and D.J. Singh, Phys. Rev. Lett, **82**, 4324 (1999).
 - [24] M. Kohmoto and M. Sato, (preprint) cond-mat/0001331.
 - [25] T.M. Riseman et al., Nature **396**, 242 (1998).